Stefan Vigerske, Humboldt University Berlin, Germany

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Model requirements	1
3	Usage	1
4	Detailed Options Description	2

1 Introduction

SCIP (Solving Constraint Integer Programs) is developed at the Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum für Informationstechnik Berlin (ZIB). The SCIP main developer had been Tobias Achterberg, current developers are Timo Berthold, Gerald Gamrath, Stefan Heinz, Gregor Hendel, Thorsten Koch, Stefan Vigerske, Robert Waniek, Michael Winkler, and Kati Wolter. Since SCIP is distributed under the ZIB Academic License, it is only available for users with a GAMS academic license.

SCIP is a framework for Constraint Integer Programming oriented towards the needs of Mathematical Programming experts who want to have total control of the solution process and access detailed information down to the guts of the solver. SCIP can also be used as a pure MIP solver or as a framework for branch-cut-and-price. Within GAMS, the MIP and MIQCP solving facilities of SCIP are available.

For more detailed information, we refer to [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7] and the SCIP web site http://scip.zib.de, especially the list of papers listed at http://scip.zib.de/related.shtml.

GAMS/SCIP uses the linear solver SOPLEX [8] as LP solver and the COIN-OR Interior Point Optimizer IPOPT [6] as nonlinear solver.

2 Model requirements

SCIP supports continuous, binary, integer, semi-continuous, and semi-integer variables, special ordered sets, and branching priorities. Indicator constraints are not supported by the interface yet.

3 Usage

The following statement can be used inside your GAMS program to specify using SCIP

```
Option MIP = SCIP; { or QCP or RMIQCP or MIQCP }
```

The above statement should appear before the Solve statement. If SCIP was specified as the default solver during GAMS installation, the above statement is not necessary.

If a continuous linear program (LP or RMIP) is given to GAMS/SCIP, the linear programming solver is called directly. In this case, it is not possible to provide an option file.

GAMS/SCIP currently does not support the GAMS Branch-and-Cut-and-Heuristic (BCH) Facility. If you need to use GAMS/SCIP with BCH, please consider to use a GAMS system of version ≤ 23.3 , available at http://www.gams.com/download/download_old.htm.

Specification of SCIP Options

GAMS/SCIP currently supports the GAMS parameters reslim, iterlim, nodlim, optcr, and optca. Further, under Linux and Windows, the option threads can be used to control the number of threads used in the linear algebra routines of IPOPT.

Options can be specified by a SCIP options file. A SCIP options file consists of one option or comment per line. A pound sign (#) at the beginning of a line causes the entire line to be ignored. Otherwise, the line will be interpreted as an option name and value separated by an equal sign (=) and any amount of white space (blanks or tabs). Further, string values have to be enclosed in quotation marks.

A small example for a scip.opt file is:

```
presolving/probing/maxrounds = 0
separating/maxrounds = 0
separating/maxroundsroot = 0
```

It causes GAMS/SCIP to disable probing during presolve and to turn off all cut generators.

4 Detailed Options Description

SCIP supports a large set of options. Sample option files can be obtained from http://www.gams.com/~svigerske/scip2.0.

In the following we give a detailed list of some SCIP options. A list of all SCIP options can be obtained from http://scip.zib.de/doc/html/PARAMETERS.html.

GAMS interface specific options

gams/names (boolean) FALSE

This option causes GAMS names for the variables and equations to be loaded into SCIP. These names will then be used for error messages, log entries, and so forth. Turning names off may help if memory is very tight.

gams/mipstart (boolean)

TRU

This option controls the use of advanced starting values for mixed integer programs. A setting of TRUE indicates that the variable level values should be checked to see if they provide an integer feasible solution before starting optimization.

gams/print_statistics (boolean)

FALSE

This option controls the printing of solve statistics after a MIP solve. Turning on this option indicates that statistics like the number of generated cuts of each type or the calls of heuristics are printed after the MIP solve.

gams/interactive (boolean)

FALSE

whether a SCIP shell should be opened instead of issuing a solve command (this option is not available in demo mode)

Branching

branching/preferbinary (boolean)
should branching on binary variables be preferred?

FALSE

branching/clamp $(0 \le \text{real} \le 0.5)$ 0.2minimal relative distance of branching point to bounds when branching on a continuous variable branching/allfullstrong/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ -1000priority of branching rule <allfullstrong> branching/allfullstrong/maxdepth (-1 < integer)-1maximal depth level, up to which branching rule <allfullstrong> should be used (-1 for no limit) branching/allfullstrong/maxbounddist (0 < real < 1)maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying branching rule (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes) branching/fullstrong/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ 0 priority of branching rule <fullstrong> branching/fullstrong/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal depth level, up to which branching rule <fullstrong> should be used (-1 for no limit) branching/fullstrong/maxbounddist $(0 \le real \le 1)$ 1 maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying branching rule (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes) branching/inference/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ 1000 priority of branching rule <inference> branching/inference/maxdepth (-1 < integer)-1maximal depth level, up to which branching rule <inference> should be used (-1 for no limit) branching/inference/maxbounddist (0 < real < 1)maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying branching rule (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes) branching/inference/useweightedsum (boolean) TRUE should a weighted sum of inference, conflict and cutoff weights be used? branching/mostinf/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ 100 priority of branching rule <mostinf> branching/mostinf/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal depth level, up to which branching rule <mostinf> should be used (-1 for no limit) branching/mostinf/maxbounddist $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying branching rule (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes) branching/leastinf/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ 50 priority of branching rule <leastinf> branching/leastinf/maxdepth (-1 < integer)-1maximal depth level, up to which branching rule <leastinf> should be used (-1 for no limit) branching/leastinf/maxbounddist $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying branching rule (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes) branching/pscost/priority (-536870912 < integer < 536870911)2000 priority of branching rule <pscost> branching/pscost/maxdepth (-1 < integer)-1maximal depth level, up to which branching rule <pscost> should be used (-1 for no limit) branching/pscost/maxbounddist (0 < real < 1)maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound

r

for applying branching rule (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes)

strategy for computing score of external branching candidates (b: rb-int-br, r: rb-int-br-rev, i: rb-inf)

branching/pscost/strategy (character)

branching/random/priority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ priority of branching rule $<$ random $>$	-100000
branching/random/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level, up to which branching rule <random> should be used (-1 for no limit)</random>	-1
branching/random/maxbounddist $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best not applying branching rule $(0.0: \text{ only on current best node}, 1.0: \text{ on all nodes})$	1 ode's dual bound
branching/random/seed $(0 \le integer)$ initial random seed value	0
branching/relpscost/priority ($-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911$) priority of branching rule $<$ relpscost $>$	10000
branching/relpscost/maxdepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth level, up to which branching rule <relpscost> should be used (-1 for no limit)</relpscost>	-1
branching/relpscost/maxbounddist $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best not applying branching rule (0.0) : only on current best node, (0.0) : on all nodes	1 ode's dual bound
branching/relpscost/sbiterquot $(0 \le real)$ maximal fraction of strong branching LP iterations compared to node relaxation LP iterations	0.5
branching/relpscost/sbiterofs $(0 \le integer)$ additional number of allowed strong branching LP iterations	100000
branching/relpscost/initcand $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of candidates initialized with strong branching per node	100
branching/relpscost/inititer $(0 \le integer)$ iteration limit for strong branching initializations of pseudo cost entries $(0: auto)$	0
Conflict analysis	
conflict/enable (boolean) should conflict analysis be enabled?	TRUE
conflict/useprop (boolean) should propagation conflict analysis be used?	TRUE
conflict/useinflp (boolean) should infeasible LP conflict analysis be used?	TRUE
conflict/useboundlp (boolean) should bound exceeding LP conflict analysis be used?	FALSE
conflict/usesb (boolean) should infeasible/bound exceeding strong branching conflict analysis be used?	FALSE
conflict/usepseudo (boolean) should pseudo solution conflict analysis be used?	TRUE
<pre>conflict/preferbinary (boolean) should binary conflicts be preferred?</pre>	FALSE
conflict/restartnum $(0 \le integer)$ number of successful conflict analysis calls that trigger a restart $(0: disable conflict restarts)$	0
conflict/restartfac $(0 \le \text{real})$ factor to increase restartnum with after each restart	1.5
Constraints	
constraints/linear/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	0

constraints/linear/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/linear/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)	5
constraints/linear/maxroundsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of separation rounds per node in the root node (-1: unlimited)	-1
constraints/linear/maxsepacuts $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of cuts separated per separation round	50
constraints/linear/maxsepacutsroot $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of cuts separated per separation round in the root node	200
constraints/linear/separateall (boolean) should all constraints be subject to cardinality cut generation instead of only the ones with non-zero.	FALSE zero dual value?
constraints/and/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/and/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/bounddisjunction/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	-1
constraints/bounddisjunction/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/conjunction/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	-1
constraints/conjunction/propfreq $(-1 \le \text{integer})$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	-1
constraints/countsols/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	-1
constraints/countsols/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	-1
constraints/countsols/active (boolean) is the constraint handler active?	FALSE
constraints/countsols/sparsetest (boolean) should the sparse solution test be turned on?	TRUE
<pre>constraints/countsols/discardsols (boolean) is it allowed to discard solutions?</pre>	TRUE
<pre>constraints/countsols/collect (boolean) should the solutions be collected?</pre>	FALSE
constraints/countsols/sollimit $(-1 \le integer \le -1)$ counting stops, if the given number of solutions were found (-1: no limit)	-1
constraints/cumulative/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/cumulative/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	5
constraints/cumulative/usebinvars (boolean) should the binary representation be used?	FALSE
constraints/cumulative/usecoretimes (boolean) should coretimes be propagated?	TRUE

constraints/cumulative/usecoretimesholes (boolean) should coretimes be propagated to detect holes?	FALSE
constraints/cumulative/localcuts (boolean) should cuts be added only locally?	FALSE
constraints/cumulative/usecovercuts (boolean) should covering cuts be added every node?	TRUE
constraints/cumulative/useedgefinding (boolean) should edge finding be used?	FALSE
constraints/cumulative/useenergeticreasoning (boolean) should energetic reasoning be used?	FALSE
constraints/cumulative/cutsasconss (boolean) should the cumulative constraint create cuts as knapsack constraints?	TRUE
constraints/indicator/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	10
constraints/indicator/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/integral/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	-1
constraints/integral/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	-1
constraints/knapsack/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	0
constraints/knapsack/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/linear/upgrade/knapsack (boolean) enable linear upgrading for constraint handler <knapsack></knapsack>	TRUE
constraints/knapsack/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)	5
constraints/knapsack/maxroundsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of separation rounds per node in the root node (-1: unlimited)	-1
constraints/knapsack/maxsepacuts (0 \leq integer) maximal number of cuts separated per separation round	50
constraints/knapsack/maxsepacutsroot ($0 \le integer$) maximal number of cuts separated per separation round in the root node	200
constraints/linking/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/linking/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/linking/linearize (boolean) this constraint will not propagate or separate, linear and setppc are used?	FALSE
constraints/logicor/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	0
constraints/logicor/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/linear/upgrade/logicor (boolean) enable linear upgrading for constraint handler <logicor></logicor>	TRUE

```
constraints/or/sepafreq (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                           0
frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)
constraints/or/propfreq (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                           1
frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)
constraints/orbitope/sepafreq (-1 < integer)
                                                                                                           5
frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)
constraints/orbitope/propfreq (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                         -1
frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)
constraints/quadratic/sepafreq (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                           2
frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)
constraints/quadratic/propfreq (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                          10
frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)
constraints/quadratic/replacebinaryprod (0 \le integer)
max. length of linear term which when multiplied with a binary variables is replaced by an auxiliary variable and
a linear reformulation (0 to turn off)
constraints/quadratic/empathy4and (0 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                           0
empathy level for using the AND constraint handler: 0 always avoid using AND; 1 use AND sometimes; 2 use
AND as often as possible
constraints/quadratic/minefficacysepa (0 < real)
                                                                                                      0.0001
minimal efficacy for a cut to be added to the LP during separation; overwrites separating/efficacy
                                                                                                     2 \cdot 10^{-6}
constraints/quadratic/minefficacyenfo (0 < real)
minimal target efficacy of a cut in order to add it to relaxation during enforcement (may be ignored)
constraints/quadratic/scaling (boolean)
                                                                                                       TRUE
whether a quadratic constraint should be scaled w.r.t. the current gradient norm when checking for feasibility
                                                                                                        10^{10}
constraints/quadratic/cutmaxrange (0 \le real)
maximal range of a cut (maximal coefficient divided by minimal coefficient) in order to be added to LP relaxation
constraints/quadratic/linearizenlpsol (boolean)
                                                                                                       TRUE
whether convex quadratic constraints should be linearized in a solution found by the NLP or RENS heuristic
constraints/quadratic/checkcurvature (boolean)
                                                                                                       TRUE
whether multivariate quadratic functions should be checked for convexity/concavity
                                                                                                       TRUE
constraints/quadratic/linfeasshift (boolean)
whether to try to make solutions in check function feasible by shifting a linear variable (esp. useful if constraint
was actually objective function)
constraints/setppc/sepafreq (-1 < integer)
                                                                                                           0
frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)
constraints/setppc/propfreq (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                           1
frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)
constraints/linear/upgrade/setppc (boolean)
                                                                                                       TRUE
enable linear upgrading for constraint handler <setppc>
                                                                                                           0
constraints/SOS1/sepafreq (-1 \le integer)
frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)
constraints/SOS1/propfreq (-1 < integer)
                                                                                                           1
frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)
constraints/SOS1/branchSOS (boolean)
                                                                                                       TRUE
Use SOS1 branching in enforcing (otherwise leave decision to branching rules)?
constraints/SOS1/branchNonzeros (boolean)
                                                                                                      FALSE
Branch on SOS constraint with most number of nonzeros?
```

constraints/SOS1/branchWeight (boolean)	FALSE
Branch on SOS cons. with highest nonzero-variable weight for branching (needs branchNonzeros =	
constraints/SOS2/sepafreq $(-1 \le \text{integer})$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	0
constraints/SOS2/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/soc/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
constraints/soc/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	20
<pre>constraints/quadratic/upgrade/soc (boolean) enable quadratic upgrading for constraint handler <soc></soc></pre>	TRUE
constraints/soc/nauxvars $(0 \le integer)$ number of auxiliary variables to use when creating a linear outer approx. of a SOC3 constraint; 0 t	o turn off
constraints/soc/glineur (boolean) whether the Glineur Outer Approximation should be used instead of Ben-Tal Nemirovski	TRUE
constraints/soc/linearizenlpsol (boolean) whether SOC constraints should be linearized in a solution found by the NLP or RENS heuristic	TRUE
constraints/soc/minefficacy $(0 \le \text{real})$ minimal efficacy of a cut to be added to LP in separation	0.0001
constraints/soc/linfeasshift (boolean) whether to try to make solutions feasible in check by shifting the variable on the right hand side	TRUE
constraints/soc/nlpform (character) which formulation to use when adding a SOC constraint to the NLP (a: automatic, q: nonconvex qu s: convex sqrt form, e: convex exponential-sqrt form)	a adratic form,
constraints/varbound/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	0
constraints/varbound/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
<pre>constraints/linear/upgrade/varbound (boolean) enable linear upgrading for constraint handler <varbound></varbound></pre>	TRUE
constraints/xor/sepafreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for separating cuts (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	0
constraints/xor/propfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for propagating domains (-1: never, 0: only in root node)	1
Output	
display/verblevel $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 5)$ verbosity level of output	4
display/width $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of characters in a node information line	80
display/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for displaying node information lines	100
display/headerfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for displaying header lines (every n'th node information line)	15
display/lpinfo (boolean) should the LP solver display status messages?	FALSE

display/sols/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column $<$ sols $>$ $(0: \text{off, 1: auto, 2:on})$	0
display/feasST/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <feasst> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)</feasst>	0
display/solfound/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <solfound> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)</solfound>	1
display/time/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <time> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)</time>	1
display/nnodes/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <nnodes> $(0: \text{off}, 1: \text{auto}, 2: \text{on})$</nnodes>	1
display/nodesleft/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <nodesleft> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)</nodesleft>	1
display/lpiterations/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <pre></pre> piterations> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)	1
display/lpavgiterations/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <pre></pre> lpavgiterations> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)	1
display/memused/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <memused> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)</memused>	1
display/depth/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <depth> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)</depth>	1
display/maxdepth/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <maxdepth> $(0: \text{ off, } 1: \text{ auto, } 2:\text{on})$</maxdepth>	1
display/plungedepth/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <plungedepth> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)</plungedepth>	1
display/nfrac/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <nfrac> $(0: \text{ off, } 1: \text{ auto, } 2:\text{on})$</nfrac>	1
display/nexternbranchcands/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <nexternbranchcands> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)</nexternbranchcands>	1
display/vars/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <vars> $(0: \text{off}, 1: \text{auto}, 2:\text{on})$</vars>	1
display/conss/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <conss> $(0: \text{ off, } 1: \text{ auto, } 2:\text{on})$</conss>	1
display/curconss/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column < curconss> $(0: \text{off}, 1: \text{auto}, 2: \text{on})$	1
display/curcols/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <curcols> $(0: \text{off}, 1: \text{auto}, 2: \text{on})$</curcols>	1
display/currows/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <currows> $(0: \text{ off, } 1: \text{ auto, } 2:\text{on})$</currows>	1
display/cuts/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <cuts> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)</cuts>	1
display/separounds/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <separounds> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)</separounds>	1
display/poolsize/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <pre><pre>column</pre> <pre>column</pre> <pre></pre></pre>	1
display/conflicts/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column < conflicts> $(0: \text{off. 1: auto. 2:on})$	1

display/strongbranchs/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <strongbranchs> $(0: \text{off}, 1: \text{auto}, 2: \text{on})$</strongbranchs>	1
display/lpobj/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <lpobj> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)</lpobj>	1
display/curdualbound/active $(0 \le integer \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <curdualbound> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)</curdualbound>	1
display/estimate/active $(0 \le integer \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <estimate> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)</estimate>	1
display/avgdualbound/active $(0 \le integer \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <avgdualbound> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)</avgdualbound>	1
display/dualbound/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column < dualbound> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)	1
display/primalbound/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <pre> primalbound> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)</pre>	1
display/cutoffbound/active $(0 \le integer \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <cutoffbound> $(0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)$</cutoffbound>	1
display/gap/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column $<$ gap $> (0: \text{off, 1: auto, 2:on})$	1
display/nsols/active $(0 \le \text{integer} \le 2)$ display activation status of display column <nsols> (0: off, 1: auto, 2:on)</nsols>	1
Heuristics	
heuristics/actconsdiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <actconsdiving> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</actconsdiving>	-1
heuristics/actconsdiving/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <actconsdiving></actconsdiving>	5
heuristics/actconsdiving/maxlpiterquot $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.05
heuristics/act consdiving/maxlpiterofs (0 \leq integer) additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/actconsdiving/backtrack (boolean) use one level of backtracking if infeasibility is encountered?	TRUE
heuristics/coefdiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <coefdiving> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</coefdiving>	10
heuristics/coefdiving/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ coefdiving $>$	1
heuristics/coefdiving/maxlpiterquot $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.05
heuristics/coefdiving/maxlpiterofs $(0 \le integer)$ additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/coefdiving/backtrack (boolean) use one level of backtracking if infeasibility is encountered?	TRUE
heuristics/crossover/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <crossover> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</crossover>	30
heuristics/crossover/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <crossover></crossover>	0
heuristics/crossover/nodesofs $(0 \le \text{integer} \le -1)$	500

number of nodes added to the contingent of the total nodes	
heuristics/crossover/nusedsols $(2 \leq integer)$ number of solutions to be taken into account	3
heuristics/crossover/nodesquot $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ contingent of sub problem nodes in relation to the number of nodes of the original problem	0.1
heuristics/crossover/minfixing rate $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ minimum percentage of integer variables that have to be fixed	0.666
heuristics/dins/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $< dins > (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)$	-1
heuristics/dins/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $$	0
heuristics/dins/nodesofs $(0 \le \text{integer} \le -1)$ number of nodes added to the contingent of the total nodes	5000
heuristics/dins/nodesquot $(0 \le real \le 1)$ contingent of sub problem nodes in relation to the number of nodes of the original problem	0.05
heuristics/dins/minnodes $(0 \le \text{integer} \le -1)$ minimum number of nodes required to start the subproblem	500
heuristics/dins/solnum ($1 \le integer$) number of pool-solutions to be checked for flag array update (for hard fixing of binary variables)	5
heuristics/dins/neighborhoodsize $(1 \leq integer)$ radius (using Manhattan metric) of the incumbent's neighborhood to be searched	18
heuristics/feaspump/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <feaspump> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</feaspump>	20
heuristics/feaspump/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <feaspump></feaspump>	0
heuristics/feaspump/maxlpiterquot $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.01
heuristics/feaspump/objfactor $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ factor by which the regard of the objective is decreased in each round, 1.0 for dynamic	1
heuristics/feaspump/alphadiff $(0 \le real \le 1)$ threshold difference for the convex parameter to perform perturbation	1
heuristics/feaspump/maxlpiterofs $(0 \le integer)$ additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/feaspump/neighborhoodsize $(1 \leq integer)$ radius (using Manhattan metric) of the neighborhood to be searched in stage 3	18
heuristics/feaspump/beforecuts (boolean) should the feasibility pump be called at root node before cut separation?	TRUE
heuristics/feaspump2/usefp20 (boolean) should an iterative round-and-propagate scheme be used to find the integral points?	FALSE
heuristics/feaspump2/pertsolfound (boolean) should a random perturbation be performed if a feasible solution was found?	TRUE
heuristics/feaspump2/stage3 (boolean) should we solve a local branching sub-MIP if no solution could be found?	FALSE
heuristics/fixandinfer/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <fixandinfer> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</fixandinfer>	-1
heuristics/fixandinfer/freqofs $(0 \leq integer)$	0

frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <fixandinfer></fixandinfer>	
heuristics/fracdiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <fracdiving> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</fracdiving>	10
heuristics/fracdiving/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <fracdiving></fracdiving>	3
heuristics/fracdiving/maxlpiterquot $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.05
heuristics/fracdiving/maxlpiterofs $(0 \le integer)$ additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/fracdiving/backtrack (boolean) use one level of backtracking if infeasibility is encountered?	TRUE
heuristics/guideddiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <guideddiving> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</guideddiving>	10
heuristics/guideddiving/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <guideddiving></guideddiving>	7
heuristics/guideddiving/maxlpiterquot $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.05
heuristics/guideddiving/maxlpiterofs $(0 \leq integer)$ additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/guideddiving/backtrack (boolean) use one level of backtracking if infeasibility is encountered?	TRUE
heuristics/intdiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <intdiving> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</intdiving>	-1
heuristics/intdiving/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <intdiving></intdiving>	9
heuristics/intdiving/maxlpiterquot $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.05
heuristics/intdiving/maxlpiterofs $(0 \le integer)$ additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/intdiving/backtrack (boolean) use one level of backtracking if infeasibility is encountered?	TRUE
heuristics/intshifting/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <intshifting> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</intshifting>	10
heuristics/intshifting/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $< intshifting>$	0
heuristics/linesearchdiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic elinesearchdiving> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)	10
heuristics/linesearchdiving/freqofs ($0 \le integer$) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic earchdiving>	6
heuristics/linesearchdiving/maxlpiterquot $(0 \le real)$ maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.05
heuristics/linesearch diving/maxlpiterofs (0 \leq integer) additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/linesearchdiving/backtrack (boolean) use one level of backtracking if infeasibility is encountered?	TRUE
heuristics/localbranching/freq $(-1 \le integer)$	-1

frequency for calling primal heuristic <localbranching> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</localbranching>	
heuristics/localbranching/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <localbranching></localbranching>	0
heuristics/localbranching/nodesofs (0 \leq integer) number of nodes added to the contingent of the total nodes	1000
heuristics/localbranching/neighborhoodsize $(1 \leq integer)$ radius (using Manhattan metric) of the incumbent's neighborhood to be searched	18
heuristics/localbranching/nodesquot $(0 \le real \le 1)$ contingent of sub problem nodes in relation to the number of nodes of the original problem	0.05
heuristics/mutation/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <mutation> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</mutation>	-1
heuristics/mutation/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <mutation></mutation>	8
heuristics/mutation/nodesofs $(0 \le integer)$ number of nodes added to the contingent of the total nodes	500
heuristics/mutation/nodesquot $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ contingent of sub problem nodes in relation to the number of nodes of the original problem	0.1
heuristics/mutation/minfixing rate $(10^{-6} \le \text{real} \le 0.999999)$ percentage of integer variables that have to be fixed	0.8
heuristics/objpscostdiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <objpscostdiving> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</objpscostdiving>	20
heuristics/objpscostdiving/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ objpscostdiving $>$	4
heuristics/objpscostdiving/maxlpiterquot $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to total iteration number	0.01
heuristics/objpscostdiving/maxlpiterofs $(0 \leq integer)$ additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/octane/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $<$ octane $>$ $(-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)$	-1
heuristics/octane/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $< octane >$	0
heuristics/oneopt/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <oneopt> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</oneopt>	1
heuristics/oneopt/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <oneopt></oneopt>	0
heuristics/pscostdiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <pscostdiving> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</pscostdiving>	10
heuristics/pscostdiving/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <pre><pre>cpscostdiving></pre></pre>	2
heuristics/pscostdiving/maxlpiterquot $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.05
heuristics/pscostdiving/maxlpiterofs (0 \leq integer) additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/pscostdiving/backtrack (boolean) use one level of backtracking if infeasibility is encountered?	TRUE
heuristics/rens/freq $(-1 \le integer)$	0

frequency for calling primal heuristic <rens> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</rens>	
heuristics/rens/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <rens></rens>	C
heuristics/rens/minfixing rate $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ minimum percentage of integer variables that have to be fixable	0.5
heuristics/rens/nodesofs $(0 \le \text{integer} \le -1)$ number of nodes added to the contingent of the total nodes	500
heuristics/rens/nodesquot $(0 \le real \le 1)$ contingent of sub problem nodes in relation to the number of nodes of the original problem	0.1
heuristics/rins/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <rins> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</rins>	-1
heuristics/rins/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $< rins >$	5
heuristics/rins/nodesofs $(0 \le integer)$ number of nodes added to the contingent of the total nodes	500
heuristics/rins/nodesquot $(0 \le real \le 1)$ contingent of sub problem nodes in relation to the number of nodes of the original problem	0.1
heuristics/rins/minfixingrate $(0 \le \text{real} \le 1)$ minimum percentage of integer variables that have to be fixed	C
heuristics/rootsoldiving/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <rootsoldiving> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</rootsoldiving>	20
heuristics/rootsoldiving/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <rootsoldiving></rootsoldiving>	5
heuristics/rootsoldiving/maxlpiterquot $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.01
heuristics/rootsoldiving/maxlpiterofs $(0 \leq integer)$ additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/rounding/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <rounding> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</rounding>	1
heuristics/rounding/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <rounding></rounding>	C
heuristics/shiftandpropagate/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <shiftandpropagate> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</shiftandpropagate>	C
heuristics/shift andpropagate/freqofs (0 \leq integer) frequency offset for calling primal heuristic shift andpropagate>	C
heuristics/shifting/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <shifting> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</shifting>	10
heuristics/shifting/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ shifting $>$	C
heuristics/simplerounding/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <simplerounding> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</simplerounding>	1
heuristics/simplerounding/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic $<$ simplerounding $>$	C
heuristics/subnlp/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic $<$ subnlp $>$ $(-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)$	1
heuristics/subnlp/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$	C

frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <subnlp></subnlp>	
heuristics/subnlp/nlpverblevel $(0 \le integer)$ verbosity level of NLP solver	0
heuristics/subnlp/nlpiterlimit $(0 \le integer)$ iteration limit of NLP solver; 0 to use solver default	0
heuristics/subnlp/nlptimelimit $(0 \le \text{real})$ time limit of NLP solver; 0 to use solver default	0
heuristics/subnlp/nlpsolver (string) name of an NLP solver to use (empty value means to use solver with highest priority)	
heuristics/subnlp/iteroffset $(0 \le integer)$ number of iterations added to the contingent of the total number of iterations	500
heuristics/subnlp/iterquotient $(0 \le \text{real})$ contingent of NLP iterations in relation to the number of nodes in SCIP	0.1
heuristics/subnlp/itermin $(0 \le integer)$ contingent of NLP iterations in relation to the number of nodes in SCIP	300
heuristics/subnlp/runalways (boolean) whether to run NLP heuristic always if starting point available (does not use iteroffset, iterquot, itermin)	FALSE
heuristics/subnlp/forbidfixings (boolean) whether to add constraints that forbid specific fixings that turned out to be infeasible	TRUE
heuristics/trivial/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <trivial> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</trivial>	0
heuristics/trivial/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <trivial></trivial>	0
heuristics/trysol/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <trysol> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</trysol>	1
heuristics/trysol/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <trysol></trysol>	0
heuristics/twoopt/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <twoopt> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</twoopt>	-1
heuristics/twoopt/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <twoopt></twoopt>	0
heuristics/undercover/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <undercover> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</undercover>	0
heuristics/undercover/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <undercover></undercover>	0
heuristics/undercover/fixingalts (string) prioritized sequence of fixing values used ('l'p relaxation, 'n'lp relaxation, 'i'ncumbent solution)	li
heuristics/undercover/nodesofs $(0 \le \text{integer} \le -1)$ number of nodes added to the contingent of the total nodes	500
heuristics/undercover/nodesquot $(0 \le real \le 1)$ contingent of sub problem nodes in relation to the number of nodes of the original problem	0.1
heuristics/undercover/onlyconvexify (boolean) should we only fix variables in order to obtain a convex problem?	FALSE
heuristics/undercover/postnlp (boolean) should the nlp heuristic be called to polish a feasible solution?	TRUE
heuristics/veclendiving/freq $(-1 \leq integer)$	10

frequency for calling primal heuristic < veclendiving> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)	
heuristics/veclendiving/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <veclendiving></veclendiving>	4
heuristics/veclendiving/maxlpiterquot $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal fraction of diving LP iterations compared to node LP iterations	0.05
heuristics/veclendiving/maxlpiterofs $(0 \le integer)$ additional number of allowed LP iterations	1000
heuristics/veclendiving/backtrack (boolean) use one level of backtracking if infeasibility is encountered?	TRUE
heuristics/zirounding/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling primal heuristic <zirounding> (-1: never, 0: only at depth freqofs)</zirounding>	1
heuristics/zirounding/freqofs $(0 \le integer)$ frequency offset for calling primal heuristic <zirounding></zirounding>	0
Limits	
limits/time $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal time in seconds to run	1000
limits/nodes $(-1 \le \text{integer} \le -1)$ maximal number of nodes to process (-1: no limit)	-1
limits/stallnodes $(-1 \le integer \le -1)$ solving stops, if the given number of nodes was processed since the last improvement of the primal solutio (-1: no limit)	-1 on value
limits/memory $(0 \le \text{real})$ maximal memory usage in MB; reported memory usage is lower than real memory usage!	∞
$\label{eq:limits/gap} $$\inf(0 \le \mathrm{real})$ solving stops, if the relative gap =(primalbound - dualbound)/dualbound is below the given value$	0.1
limits/absgap $(0 \le \text{real})$ solving stops, if the absolute gap = —primalbound - dualbound— is below the given value	0
limits/solutions $(-1 \le integer)$ solving stops, if the given number of solutions were found (-1: no limit)	-1
limits/bestsol $(-1 \le integer)$ solving stops, if the given number of solution improvements were found (-1: no limit)	-1
limits/maxsol $(1 \le integer)$ maximal number of solutions to store in the solution storage	100
limits/restarts $(-1 \le \text{integer})$ solving stops, if the given number of restarts was triggered (-1: no limit)	-1
LP	
lp/solvefreq $(-1 \le \text{integer})$ frequency for solving LP at the nodes (-1: never; 0: only root LP)	1
lp/solvedepth $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal depth for solving LP at the nodes (-1: no depth limit)	-1
lp/initalgorithm (character) LP algorithm for solving initial LP relaxations (automatic 's'implex, 'p'rimal simplex, 'd'ual simplex, 'barrier with 'c'rossover)	s o'arrier,
lp/resolvealgorithm (character) LP algorithm for resolving LP relayations if a starting basis exists (automatic 's'impley 'n'rimal simpley	s 'd'ual

LP algorithm for resolving LP relaxations if a starting basis exists (automatic 's'implex, 'p'rimal simplex, 'd'ual

simplex, 'b'arrier, barrier with 'c'rossover)

lp/pricing (character) LP pricing strategy ('l'pi default, 'a'uto, 'f'ull pricing, 'p'artial, 's'teepest edge pricing, 'q'uickstart steepest edge pricing, 'd'evex pricing) Memory memory/savefac $(0 \le real \le 1)$ 0.8 fraction of maximal memory usage resulting in switch to memory saving mode Micellaneous misc/catchctrlc (boolean) TRUE should the CTRL-C interrupt be caught by SCIP? misc/usevartable (boolean) TRUE should a hashtable be used to map from variable names to variables? misc/useconstable (boolean) TRUE should a hashtable be used to map from constraint names to constraints? misc/usesmalltables (boolean) FALSE should smaller hashtables be used? yields better performance for small problems with about 100 variables misc/permutationseed $(-1 \le integer)$ -1seed value for permuting the problem after the problem was transformed (-1: no permutation) **Node Selection** nodeselection/childsel (character) child selection rule ('d'own, 'u'p, 'p'seudo costs, 'i'nference, 'l'p value, 'r'oot LP value difference, 'h'brid inference/root LP value difference) nodeselection/bfs/stdpriority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ 100000 priority of node selection rule

bfs> in standard mode 0 nodeselection/dfs/stdpriority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ priority of node selection rule <dfs> in standard mode nodeselection/estimate/stdpriority (-536870912 < integer < 536870911)200000 priority of node selection rule <estimate> in standard mode nodeselection/estimate/bestnodefreq $(0 \le integer)$ 10 frequency at which the best node instead of the best estimate is selected (0: never) nodeselection/hybridestim/stdpriority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ 50000 priority of node selection rule <hybridestim> in standard mode nodeselection/hybridestim/bestnodefreq $(0 \le integer)$ 1000 frequency at which the best node instead of the hybrid best estimate / best bound is selected (0: never) nodeselection/restartdfs/stdpriority $(-536870912 \le integer \le 536870911)$ 10000 priority of node selection rule <restartdfs> in standard mode nodeselection/restartdfs/selectbestfreq $(0 \le integer)$ 0 frequency for selecting the best node instead of the deepest one Tolerances numerics/epsilon $(10^{-20} \le \text{real} \le 0.001)$ 10^{-9} absolute values smaller than this are considered zero numerics/sumepsilon $(10^{-17} \le \text{real} \le 0.001)$ 10^{-6} absolute values of sums smaller than this are considered zero numerics/feastol $(10^{-17} \le \text{real} \le 0.001)$ 10^{-6} feasibility tolerance for constraints 10^{-9} numerics/dualfeastol $(10^{-17} < \text{real} < 0.001)$

feasibility tolerance for reduced costs in LP solution

Presolving

presolving/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal number of presolving rounds (-1: unlimited, 0: off) presolving/maxrestarts $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal number of restarts (-1: unlimited) presolving/boundshift/maxrounds (-1 < integer)0 maximal number of presolving rounds the presolver participates in (-1: no limit) presolving/dualfix/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal number of presolving rounds the presolver participates in (-1: no limit) presolving/implies/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal number of presolving rounds the presolver participates in (-1: no limit) presolving/inttobinary/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal number of presolving rounds the presolver participates in (-1: no limit) presolving/probing/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ _1 maximal number of presolving rounds the presolver participates in (-1: no limit) presolving/probing/maxruns $(-1 \le integer)$ 1 maximal number of runs, probing participates in (-1: no limit) presolving/trivial/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ -1maximal number of presolving rounds the presolver participates in (-1: no limit) **Domain Propagation** propagating/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ 100 maximal number of propagation rounds per node (-1: unlimited) propagating/maxroundsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ 1000 maximal number of propagation rounds in the root node (-1: unlimited) propagating/abortoncutoff (boolean) TRUF. should propagation be aborted immediately? setting this to FALSE could help conflict analysis to produce more conflict constraints propagating/pseudoobj/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ 1 propagating/rootredcost/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ 1 frequency for calling propagator < rootredcost > (-1: never, 0: only in root node) propagating/vbounds/freq (-1 < integer)1 frequency for calling propagator <vbounds> (-1: never, 0: only in root node) Separation separating/maxbounddist $(0 \le real \le 1)$ maximal relative distance from current node's dual bound to primal bound compared to best node's dual bound for applying separation (0.0: only on current best node, 1.0: on all nodes) separating/minefficacy (0 < real)0.05 minimal efficacy for a cut to enter the LP separating/minefficacyroot $(0 \le real)$ 0.01 minimal efficacy for a cut to enter the LP in the root node separating/minortho (0 < real < 1)0.5minimal orthogonality for a cut to enter the LP separating/minorthoroot $(0 \le real \le 1)$ 0.5 minimal orthogonality for a cut to enter the LP in the root node separating/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ 5

maximal number of separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)	
separating/maxroundsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of separation rounds in the root node (-1: unlimited)	-1
separating/maxstallrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of consecutive separation rounds without objective or integrality improvement (-1: no a restriction)	5 additional
separating/maxcuts $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of cuts separated per separation round $(0: disable local separation)$	100
separating/maxcutsroot $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of separated cuts at the root node (0: disable root node separation)	2000
separating/poolfreq $(-1 \le integer)$ separation frequency for the global cut pool (-1: disable global cut pool, 0: only separate pool at the results of the global cut pool in the global cut p	oot)
separating/clique/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator <clique> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)</clique>	0
separating/clique/maxsepacuts $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of clique cuts separated per separation round (-1: no limit)	10
separating/cgmip/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator $< cgmip > (-1: never, 0: only in root node)$	-1
separating/cgmip/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of cgmip separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)	0
separating/cgmip/maxroundsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of cgmip separation rounds in the root node (-1: unlimited)	50
separating/cgmip/dynamiccuts (boolean) should generated cuts be removed from the LP if they are no longer tight?	TRUE
separating/cgmip/nodelimit $(-1 \le integer \le -1)$ node limit for sub-MIP (-1: unlimited)	10000
separating/cgmip/usecmir (boolean) use CMIR-generator (otherwise add cut directly)?	TRUE
separating/cgmip/cmirownbounds (boolean) tell CMIR-generator which bounds to used in rounding?	FALSE
<pre>separating/cgmip/allowlocal (boolean) allow to generate local cuts?</pre>	FALSE
separating/cgmip/onlyintvars (boolean) generate cuts for problems with only integer variables?	FALSE
separating/cgmip/onlyactiverows (boolean) use only active rows to generate cuts?	TRUE
separating/cgmip/usecutpool (boolean) use cutpool to store CG-cuts even if the are not efficient?	TRUE
separating/cgmip/primalseparation (boolean) only separate cuts that are tight for the best feasible solution?	TRUE
separating/cgmip/onlyrankone (boolean) whether only rank 1 inequalities should be separated	FALSE
separating/cgmip/earlyterm (boolean) terminate separation if a violated (but possibly sub-optimal) cut has been found?	TRUE
separating/cgmip/addviolationcons (boolean) add constraint to subscip that only allows violated cuts?	TRUE

separating/cgmip/addviolconshdlr (boolean) add constraint handler to filter out violated cuts?	FALSE
separating/cgmip/conshdlrusenorm (boolean) should the violation constraint handler use the norm of a cut to check for feasibility?	TRUE
separating/cgmip/objlone (boolean) should the objective of the sub-MIP minimize the l1-norm of the multipliers?	FALSE
separating/cmir/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator $<$ cmir $>$ $(-1: never, 0: only in root node)$	0
separating/cmir/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of cmir separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)	3
separating/cmir/maxroundsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of cmir separation rounds in the root node (-1: unlimited)	10
separating/cmir/maxsepacuts $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of cmir cuts separated per separation round	100
separating/cmir/maxsepacutsroot $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of cmir cuts separated per separation round in the root node	500
separating/cmir/dynamiccuts (boolean) should generated cuts be removed from the LP if they are no longer tight?	TRUE
separating/flowcover/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator <flowcover> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)</flowcover>	0
separating/flowcover/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)	5
separating/flowcover/maxroundsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of separation rounds in the root node (-1: unlimited)	10
separating/flowcover/maxsepacuts $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of flow cover cuts separated per separation round	100
separating/flowcover/maxsepacutsroot $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of flow cover cuts separated per separation round in the root	200
separating/flowcover/dynamiccuts (boolean) should generated cuts be removed from the LP if they are no longer tight?	TRUE
separating/gomory/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator <gomory> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)</gomory>	0
separating/gomory/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of gomory separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)	5
separating/gomory/maxroundsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of gomory separation rounds in the root node (-1: unlimited)	-1
separating/gomory/maxsepacuts $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of gomory cuts separated per separation round	50
separating/gomory/maxsepacutsroot $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of gomory cuts separated per separation round in the root node	500
separating/gomory/dynamiccuts (boolean) should generated cuts be removed from the LP if they are no longer tight?	TRUE
separating/impliedbounds/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator <impliedbounds> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)</impliedbounds>	0
separating/intobj/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator $< intobj > (-1: never, 0: only in root node)$	-1

separating/mcf/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator $< mcf > (-1: never, 0: only in root node)$	0
separating/mcf/dynamiccuts (boolean) should generated cuts be removed from the LP if they are no longer tight?	TRUE
separating/mcf/maxsepacuts $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of mcf cuts separated per separation round	100
separating/mcf/maxsepacutsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of mcf cuts separated per separation round in the root node – default separation	200
separating/oddcycle/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator <oddcycle> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)</oddcycle>	-1
separating/oddcycle/useclassical (boolean) should classical search method by Groetschel, Lovasz, Schrijver be used? Otherwise use levelgraph r Hoffman, Padberg.	TRUE nethod by
separating/oddcycle/liftoddcycles (boolean) should odd cycle cuts be lifted?	FALSE
separating/oddcycle/maxsepacuts $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of oddcycle cuts separated per separation round	5000
separating/oddcycle/maxsepacutsroot $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of oddcycle cuts separated per separation round in the root node	5000
separating/oddcycle/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of oddcycle separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)	10
separating/oddcycle/maxroundsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of oddcycle separation rounds in the root node (-1: unlimited)	10
separating/rapidlearning/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator <rapidlearning> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)</rapidlearning>	-1
separating/redcost/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator <redcost> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)</redcost>	1
separating/redcost/continuous (boolean) should reduced cost fixing be also applied to continuous variables?	FALSE
separating/strongcg/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator <strongcg> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)</strongcg>	0
separating/strongcg/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of strong CG separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)	5
separating/strongcg/maxroundsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of strong CG separation rounds in the root node (-1: unlimited)	20
separating/strongcg/maxsepacuts $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of strong CG cuts separated per separation round	50
separating/strongcg/maxsepacutsroot $(0 \le integer)$ maximal number of strong CG cuts separated per separation round in the root node	500
separating/strongcg/dynamiccuts (boolean) should generated cuts be removed from the LP if they are no longer tight?	TRUE
separating/zerohalf/freq $(-1 \le integer)$ frequency for calling separator <zerohalf> (-1: never, 0: only in root node)</zerohalf>	-1
separating/zerohalf/maxrounds $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of zerohalf separation rounds per node (-1: unlimited)	5
separating/zerohalf/maxroundsroot $(-1 \le integer)$ maximal number of zerohalf separation rounds in the root node (-1: unlimited)	10

```
separating/zerohalf/maxsepacuts (0 \le integer)
                                                                                                          50
maximal number of 0,1/2-cuts separated per separation round
separating/zerohalf/maxsepacutsroot (0 \le integer)
                                                                                                         500
maximal number of 0.1/2-cuts separated per separation round in the root node
separating/zerohalf/dynamiccuts (boolean)
                                                                                                        TRUE
should generated cuts be removed from the LP if they are no longer tight?
separating/zerohalf/preprocessing/decomposeproblem (boolean)
                                                                                                       FALSE
should problem be decomposed into subproblems (if possible) before applying preprocessing?
separating/zerohalf/preprocessing/delta (0 \le real \le 1)
                                                                                                          0.5
value of delta parameter used in preprocessing method 'd'
separating/zerohalf/preprocessing/ppmethods (string)
                                                                                                     CXGXIM
preprocessing methods and ordering:
# 'd' columns with small LP solution,
# 'G' modified Gaussian elimination,
# 'i' identical columns,
# 'I' identical rows,
# 'L' large slack rows,
# 'M' large slack rows (minslack),
# 's' column singletons,
# 'X' add trivial zerohalf cuts,
# 'z' zero columns,
# 'Z' zero rows,
# 'C' fast 'z', 's'.
# 'R' fast 'Z', 'L', 'I'
# '-' no preprocessing
separating/zerohalf/separating/forcecutstolp (boolean)
                                                                                                       FALSE
should the cuts be forced to enter the LP?
separating/zerohalf/separating/forcecutstosepastore (boolean)
                                                                                                       FALSE
should the cuts be forced to enter SCIP's sepastore?
separating/zerohalf/separating/minviolation (0.001 \le \text{real} \le 0.5)
                                                                                                          0.3
minimal violation of a 0.1/2-cut to be separated
separating/zerohalf/separating/sepamethods (string)
                                                                                                          2g
separating methods and ordering:
# '!' stop further processing if a cut was found,
#'2' exact polynomial time algorithm (only if matrix has max 2 odd entries per row),
# 'e' enumeration heuristics (k=1: try all preprocessed rows),
#'E' enumeration heuristics (k=2: try all combinations of up to two preprocessed rows),
# 'g' Extended Gaussian elimination heuristics,
# 's' auxiliary IP heuristics (i.e. number of solved nodes is limited)
# 'S' auxiliary IP exact (i.e. unlimited number of nodes)
#
# '-' no processing
separating/zerohalf/separating/auxip/settingsfile (string)
optional settings file of the auxiliary IP (-: none)
separating/zerohalf/separating/auxip/sollimit (-1 \le integer)
                                                                                                          -1
limits/solutions setting of the auxiliary IP
separating/zerohalf/separating/auxip/penaltyfactor (0 \le real \le 1)
                                                                                                       0.001
penalty factor used with objective function 'p' of auxiliary IP
separating/zerohalf/separating/auxip/useallsols (boolean)
                                                                                                        TRUE
should all (proper) solutions of the auxiliary IP be used to generate cuts instead of using only the best?
```

```
separating/zerohalf/separating/auxip/objective (character)
                                                                                                           v
auxiliary IP objective:
\# 'v' maximize cut violation,
\# 'u' minimize number of aggregated rows in cut,
\# 'w' minimize number of aggregated rows in cut
# weighted by the number of rows in the aggregation,
# 'p' maximize cut violation and penalize a high number
\# of aggregated rows in the cut weighted by the number
\# of rows in the aggregation and the penalty factor p
Timing
timing/clocktype (1 \le integer \le 2)
                                                                                                           1
default clock type (1: CPU user seconds, 2: wall clock time)
timing/enabled (boolean)
                                                                                                       TRUE
is timing enabled?
```

SCIP References

- [1] Tobias Achterberg. Constraint Integer Programming. PhD thesis, Technische Universität Berlin, 2007.
- [2] Tobias Achterberg. SCIP: Solving Constraint Integer Programs. *Mathematical Programming Computations*, 1(1):1–41, 2009.
- [3] Tobias Achterberg, Timo Berthold, Thorsten Koch, and Kati Wolter. Constraint integer programming: A new approach to integrate CP and MIP. In L. Perron and M.A. Trick, editors, *Integration of AI and OR Techniques in Constraint Programming for Combinatorial Optimization Problems*, 5th International Conference, CPAIOR 2008, volume 5015 of LNCS, pages 6–20. Springer, 2008.
- [4] Timo Berthold. Primal heuristics for mixed integer programs. Diploma thesis, Technische Universität Berlin, 2006.
- [5] Timo Berthold, Stefan Heinz, and Stefan Vigerske. Extending a CIP framework to solve MIQCPs. In Jon Lee and Sven Leyffer, editors, *Mixed-integer nonlinear optimization: Algorithmic advances and applications*, IMA volumes in Mathematics and its Applications. Springer, 2009. to appear.
- [6] Andreas Wächter and Lorenz T. Biegler. On the implementation of a primal-dual interior point filter line search algorithm for large-scale nonlinear programming. *Mathematical Programming*, 106(1):25–57, 2006. http://projects.coin-or.org/Ipopt.
- [7] Kati Wolter. Implementation of cutting plane separators for mixed integer programs. Diploma thesis, Technische Universität Berlin, 2006.
- [8] Roland Wunderling. Paralleler und objektorientierter Simplex-Algorithmus. PhD thesis, Technische Universität Berlin, 1996. http://www.zib.de/Publications/abstracts/TR-96-09, http://soplex.zib.de.