

Workshop1 peer review

As a developer would the model help you and why/why not?

Yes, because the model used the class diagrams which make it easy to understand and follow. According to "Larman [9, p223]".

Do you think a domain expert (for example the Secretary) would understand the model why/why not?

The domain expert for example the secretary may not fully understand the domain model since some associations are missing, for example the association between the role and secretary is not specified. Yet "Larman states [9, p246] it's useful to find and show associations that are needed to satisfy the information requirements of the current scenarios under development, and which aid in understanding the domain".

What are the strong points of the model, what do you think is really good and why?

Since the model contains all the required guidelines according to "Larman [9, p229]". which are 1. conceptual classes 2. classes are represented in a UML diagram and 3. associations and attributes. We believe that the model diagram is good.

What are the weaknesses of the model, what do you think should be changed and why?

Some of the weakness we have discovered in the model diagram is a missing association between role to secretary and association between role to member. We think that they should put the association between role to secretary and role to member.

Do you think the model has passed the grade 2 (passing grade) criteria?

Yes, because the model diagram have all the basic requirements for passing grade 2.

References

1. Larman C., Applying UML and Patterns 3rd Ed, 2004, ISBN: 0-13-148906-2