

[10/11/2016]

[Workshop2 peer review]

---

We could open the executable file of the program, unfortunately we could not see any functionality of the program instructions as they were suggesting in the list, and there were no any instructions provided from the developer on how to execute the program. Therefore we never managed to explore the functionality of the program. As we are required to do.

It is very hard to understand if the diagrams conform to the program since we could not go further in the execution of the program.

Looking through the code it seems like architecture design is alright since there seems a model view separation and model coupled to the user interface , however we are not very certain because we did not run the code.

We could not tell whether the requirements of the unique user Id were fulfilled since we could not go further in running the program.

The quality of the source code is very nice in especially with the naming and the code standard.

As a developer the diagram, provided would not be helpful, since the program it relates does not run

I think the naming in the source code is easy to understand and the program is also object oriented.

Since it was not possible to run the program and there no guidelines provided by the developer on how to the program should be run. The program was not well tested for functionality. The developer should fix those issues for the program to get a pass.