

Lecture Notes

Dylan M Ang

August 2nd, 2021

Contents

1	Events	1
2	Notes	1
2.1	Error Theory	3
2.2	Categorical vs Hypothetical Imperatives	3

1 Events

- Review Syllabus
- Discuss Philosophy Powerpoint

2 Notes

An argument has two parts:

- Conclusion: What is being argued.
- Premises: The defense of the conclusion.
- Watch out for background info. Support for the premises, but not necessary.

Standard Form:

- Condense items into a list featuring the premises and the conclusion.

Types of Ethics

- Normative Ethics: Questions how we should live our lives.
- Applied Ethics: Concerned with the application of normative ethical principles to moral problems.
- Metaethics: Concerned with the nature of moral thought and talk. Metaethicists ask what morality is.
 - Metaphysics: What exists and what are those things like?
 - Moral Metaphysics: Are there moral facts? If so, what makes them true? If not, why do people think there are?
 - Epistemology: What is knowledge? When is a belief justified?
 - Moral Epistemology? How do we figure out what actions are right?
 - Philosophy of Language: In virtue of what do words mean things?

Realism vs. Anti-Realism Moral realism is a view about moral metaphysics. It is usually said to consist of three claims. Moral realism is the same as moral objectivism.

1. Moral judgements are truth-apt. (Cognitivism)
2. Some moral judgements are true. (Success Theory)
3. Some moral judgements are objectively true. (Objectivism)

Moral Anti-realism is the view that there are no objective moral facts.

- Deny objectivism. Moral facts can be true or false, but they are not objectively so.

Anti-realists can disagree with one or all of the three claims made from moral realists.

2.1 Error Theory

V Cognitivism

V Success Theory

X Objectivism

There is no inherent good or bad. Moral judgements can not have a true/false value.

2.2 Categorical vs Hypothetical Imperatives

Hypothetical Imperatives: If you want X, you should do Y.

Categorical Imperatives: You should do Y.