STA 106 Notes - M. Pouokam	
Dylan M Ang	
April 18, 2022	

Contents

C	ontents							
1	Terminology 1							
2	Notation							
3	Mean and Variance of RVs 3.1 Linear Combinations of RVs							
4	Normal RVs and χ^2 RV 4.1 Normal RVs	2 2 2						
5	Hypothesis Testing and Confidence Intervals	2						
	5.1 Testing for difference in means	2						
	5.2 Confidence Interval for difference in means .	3						
	5.3 Assumptions	3						
6	Experimental Design	3						
	6.1 Sampling	3						
	6.2 Factors	3						
	6.3 Crossed vs. Nested	3						
	6.4 Blocking	3						
	6.5 ANOVA Designs	3						
7	Single Factor ANOVA	4						
	7.1 Estimating μ_i and Notation	4						
	7.2 Residuals/Errors	5						
	7.3 Total Variance Partitioning	5						
	7.4 SS Properties	5						
	7.5 F test for equal means	5						
	7.6 Alternative Form of Single Factor ANOVA .	6						
8	Alternative Approach to F test	6						
9	Calculating Power 6							
10	10 Sample Size Calculations 6							

Terminology

	Subject : A	A person, place or thing from which we measure
	data.	
2	Population	· The collection of all subjects of interest

Population: The collection of all subjects of interest.

Sample: A subset of the population, from which we collect data.

Response/Dependent Variable: The variable which is of primary interest.

Explanatory/Independent Variable: The variable which we believe helps explain some of the variance in the response variable.

For this class, the response variable is numerical, and the explanatory variable/s are categorical. This is often phrased as "how does this numerical variable differ by group?"

Random Variable: A variable whose outcome is random. These are typically denoted by capital letters.

Notation

Let Y = the random variable denoting all possible values of the response variable.

Let y = an observed value of Y (in other words, measured observations).

Let Y_{ij} = the rv denoting all possible values of the *jth* observation in group i.

Let y_{ij} = the *ith* observed value of the *jth* group in Y.

As an example, let i = 1 denote sex M, and i = 2 denote sex F.

• Y_{13} = All possible values of height for the 3rd male. The outcome is random and unknown.

• $y_{13} = 72$ inches. The specific observed value of the 3rd male once measured.

 $\mu_i=$ The population mean for group i (a single value).

 \bar{Y}_i = All possible values of the sample mean for group i.

 $\bar{y}_i = A$ specific, observed value of \bar{Y}_i . In other words, the mean of one given sample.

 σ_i = The population standard deviation for group i.

 $S_i = \text{All possible values of the sample standard deviation.}$

 $s_i = A$ specific, observed value of S_i . In other words, the standard deviation of one given sample.

The book does not make this distinction $\implies Y = y$ and $\bar{Y} = \bar{y}$

Parameter: The unknown population value of some statistic. For example μ_i, σ_i . These values are constant (non-random) if we could measure the population we would know the true value.

The goal of statistics 106 is to estimate parameters with sample values, and use the assumed distribution of those sample values to form Hypothesie Tests (HTs) and

Confidence Intervals (CIs)

Mean and Variance of RVs

Let Y_i be drawn from a distribution with population mean μ_Y and population standard deviation σ_Y .

Let the mean of $Y_i = \mu_{Y_i} = E\{Y_i\} = \mu_Y$.

Let the standard deviation of $Y_i = \sigma_{Y_i} = \sigma\{Y_i\} = \sigma_Y$.

3.1 Linear Combinations of RVs

Let Y^* be a linear combination of Y where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then

Combination	Mean	Variance
$Y^* = a + Y$	$\mu_{Y^*} = a + \mu_Y$	$\sigma_{Y^*}^2 = \sigma_Y^2$
$Y^* = bY$	$\mu_{Y^*} = b\mu_Y$	$\sigma_{Y^*}^2 = b^2 \sigma_Y^2$
$Y^* = a + bY$	$\mu_{Y^*} = a + b\mu_Y$	$\sigma_{Y^*}^2 = b^2 \sigma_Y^2$

Summation Identities

Let Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n be RVs

$$E\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}\right\} = E\left\{Y_{1} + Y_{2} + \dots + Y_{n}\right\}$$
$$= E\left\{Y_{1}\right\} + E\left\{Y_{2}\right\} + \dots + E\left\{Y_{n}\right\}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left\{Y_{i}\right\}$$

If Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n are independent RVs,

$$\sigma^2 \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^2 \left\{ Y_i \right\}$$

Let $\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$, Y_i is independent with mean μ_Y and std.dev. σ_V .

$$E\{\bar{Y}\} = E\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}Y_{i}\right\} = E\left\{\frac{1}{n}(Y_{1} + Y_{2} + \dots + Y_{n})\right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}E\{Y_{1} + Y_{2} + \dots + Y_{n}\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}\left(E\{Y_{1}\} + E\{Y_{2}\} + \dots + E\{Y_{n}\}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}E\{Y_{i}\} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mu_{Y}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}(\mu_{Y} + \mu_{Y} + \dots + \mu_{Y}) = \frac{1}{n}(n * \mu_{Y})$$

$$E\{\bar{Y}\} = \mu_{Y}$$

$$\sigma^{2}\{\bar{Y}\} = \sigma^{2}\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}Y_{i}\right\} = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2}\sigma^{2}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}Y_{i}\right\}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sigma^{2}\{Y_{i}\}$$

$$\mu_{(\bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_2)} = \mu_1 - \mu_2$$

$$\mu_{(\bar{Y}_1 + \bar{Y}_2)} = \mu_1 + \mu_2$$

$$\sigma^2 \{ (\bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_2) \} = \sigma^2 \{ 1 \} + \sigma^2 \{ 2 \}$$

$$\sigma^2 \{ (\bar{Y}_1 + \bar{Y}_2) \} = \sigma^2 \{ 1 \} + \sigma^2 \{ 2 \}$$

Normal RVs and χ^2 RV

Normal RVs

A normal RV follows a bell curve created by a probability density function (pdf)

If Y is normally distributed with mean μ_Y and std dev σ_V , we say that $Y \sim N(\mu_V, \sigma_V)$

 $Y \sim N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y) \implies Y^* = a + bY \sim N(a + b\mu_Y, b\sigma_Y)$ From this we can get two more results.

If Y_1, \ldots, Y_n independent and $Y_i \sim N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y)$, then

1.
$$\bar{Y} \sim N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y/\sqrt{n})$$

2.
$$\sum Y_i \sim N(n\mu_Y, \sqrt{n\sigma_Y})$$

The standard normal distribution is a specific linear combination of a general normal distribution, denoted Z. If equal variances are assumed, the following test statistic Let $Y \sim N(\mu_V, \sigma_V)$

$$Z = \frac{Y - \mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} = \frac{Y}{\sigma_Y} - \frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}$$
$$E\{Z\} = \frac{-\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} + \mu_Y(\frac{1}{\sigma_Y}) = 0$$
$$\sigma_Z^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_Y}\right)^2 \sigma_Y^2 = 1$$

Therefore $Z \sim N(0,1)$

4.2 χ^2 Distribution

The χ^2 distribution (chi-squared) is a sum of independent squared Z distributions.

Let Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n be independent RVs where $Z_i \sim$ N(0,1)

$$X=Z_1^2+\cdots+Z_n^2\sim\chi_r^2 \text{ with degrees of freedom}$$

$$r=\text{ The number of summed and squared }Z_i^2$$

$$E\left\{\chi_r^2\right\}=r$$

Hypothesis Testing and Confidence Intervals

5.1 Testing for difference in means

Step 1: Declare Hypothesis

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 \text{ or } \mu_1 \le \mu_2 \text{ or } \mu_1 \ge \mu_2$$

 $H_A: \mu_1 \ne \mu_2 \text{ or } \mu_1 > \mu_2 \text{ or } \mu_1 < \mu_2$

Step 2: Calculate test-statistic

$$t_s = \frac{(\bar{y_1} - \bar{y_2}) - \Delta_0}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)}}$$

formula can be used.

$$t_s = \frac{(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2) - \Delta_0}{\sqrt{s_p^2(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}} \sim t, df = n_1 + n_2 - 2$$
$$s_p^2 = \frac{s_1^2(n_1 - 1) + s_2^2(n_2 - 1)}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$$

 $|t_s|$ = The number of estimated standard deviations our sample difference in means is from the null.

Step 3: Calculate the p-value

If $H_A \implies \text{p-value}$

$$H_{A} \Longrightarrow p$$

$$\mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2} \qquad 2P\{t > |t_{s}|\}$$

$$\mu_{1} < \mu_{2} \qquad P\{t < t_{s}\}$$

$$\mu_{1} > \mu_{2} \qquad P\{t > t_{s}\}$$

p-value = P {our data or more extreme| H_0 TRUE}

p-value = probability of observing our sample data or more extreme, if in reality the null hypothesis were true.

Step 4: State decision rule and conclusion

If
$$p-value < \alpha, reject\ H_0$$

If $p-value \ge \alpha, fail\ to\ reject\ H_0$

Recall that

$$\alpha = P \{ \text{Type I Error} \} = P \{ reject H_0 | H_0 true \}$$

Confidence Interval for difference in 6.2 means

The corresponding $(1-\alpha)100\%$ CI for $(\mu_1-\mu_2)$ is

$$(\bar{y_1} - \bar{y_2}) \pm t_{1-\alpha/2;n_1+n_2-2} \sqrt{s_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}$$

 $t_{1-\alpha/2;n_1+n_2-2}$ is the $(1-\alpha)100th$ percentile of a t distribution with $df = n_1 + n_2 - 2$

Assumptions

- 1. Random samples from both groups.
- 2. Groups are independent
- 3. $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ if using s_n formula.
- 4. $\bar{Y}_1 \bar{Y}_2$ is distributed normally, either because
 - (a) Both populations are normal
 - (b) n_1 and $n_2 \ge 30$ (Central limit theorem)

Experimental Design

6.1Sampling

tant. Typically, the categorical variable is seen as a format.

treatment, and the goal is to see if it had an effect on 6.4 Blocking the numerical variable.

In an experiment, subjects and randomly assigned a treatment, and the results are assessed to find a causal relationship between variables.

In an observational study, subjects are randomly sampled and may fall into natural treatment groups, but are not assigned one. The data is assessed to find correlations between variables.

Factors

Factors are the variables that experimenters control during an experiment in order to determine their effect on the response variable. A factor can take on only a small number of values, which are known as factor levels. Examples of factors are brand of equipment, where the factor levels are brand A, B, and C.

A treatment is a combination of factors that has been applied to a subject. Ex: A study with two factors - control vs drug group, and patient blood type.

bt/drug	A	В	AB	O	
С	C,A	С,В	C, AB	C, O	
D	D,A	D,B	D, AB	D, O	

C,A and D,A are two possible treatments.

Crossed vs. Nested

When we have two factors, the design can be either crossed or nested

A crossed design is where every possible treatment (combinations of factor levels) is present in the study.

A nested design is where not all possible treatments are present. For example, if we have 8 schools and two teaching methods, but not all schools teach both types.

	l	A	1			С	C	\mathbf{C}
1	1,A	1,A	1,B	1,B				
2					2,C	2,C	2,C	2,C

In ANOVA studies, the sampling scheme is very impor- Here, we would say that schools are nested within class

Consider an experiment that is trying to determine if a new supplement increases vitamin C absorption.

Let Y response variable = vitamin C absorption

Let Factor A = group with levels "control" and "new".

There is a total variance in how subjects absorb vitamin C. If we can explain more of that variance, we are more likely to be able to tell if factor A had an effect.

Blocking is using another explanatory variable to further split the subjects. For example, perhaps gender affects how subjected absorb vitamin C. Then we could first block (separate) subjects by gender, then randomly assign them to factor A. This may reduce unexplained variance

ANOVA Designs 6.5

Most ANOVA models assume an underlying structure to the data.

$$Y = [overall\ constant] + [same\ things] + [individual\ error]$$

For example, we may say that the height of a tree has some overall value which could be affected by the same things, and then also individual variance (error)

This is similar to a regression model

Depending on the design of the study, we use different models.

Completely Randomized Designs are where treatments are assigned to subjects randomly.

For example, say we assign a sample of trees randomly to 4 different fertilizers (A,B,C,D).

Then our model would be

$$\begin{aligned} height &= [some\ constant] + [fertilizer\ effect] \\ &+ [ind\ error] \end{aligned}$$

7 Single Factor ANOVA

Consider Y = numeric, and X = categorical with "a" categories total. The basic model we use is,

$$Y_{ij} = \mu_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$
 $i = 1, \dots, a, j = 1, \dots, n_i$

This is called the group mean model

- Y_i = the jth value of Y for the ith group.
- μ_i = the unknown, true population mean for the ith group.
- ϵ_{ij} = the jth residual/error of Y for the ith group.

We assume

- 1. $Y'_{ij}s$ were randomly sampled (independent).
- 2. The ith group is independent (i = 1, ..., a)
- 3. $\epsilon_{ij} \sim N(o, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$ (errors are independent and normally distributed with mean 0, constant variance.)

Notice that Y_{ij} is a linear combination of the RV ϵ_{ij} , so

$$E \{Y_{ij}\} = E \{\mu_i + \epsilon_{ij}\}$$
$$= E \{\mu_i\} + E \{\epsilon_{ij}\}$$
$$= \mu_i + 0 = \mu_i$$

$$\sigma^{2} \{Y_{ij}\} = \sigma^{2} \{\mu_{i} + \epsilon_{ij}\}$$
$$= \sigma^{2} \{\mu_{i}\} + \sigma^{2} \{\epsilon_{ij}\}$$
$$= 0 + \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} = \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}$$

If we assume ϵ_{ij} is normally distributed $\implies Y_{ij}$ is normally distributed. Therefore,

$$Y_{ij} \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$$

7.1 Estimating μ_i and Notation

Estimate population mean with sample mean. Get μ_i from \bar{y}_i .

First, some notation. Let

- 1. $Y_{i\bullet} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij} = \text{total for all } n_i \text{ observations in group i.}$
- 2. $Y_{\bullet \bullet} = \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij} = \text{total for entire sample regardless of group.}$
- 3. $n_T = \sum_{i=1}^a n_i$ = overall sample size regardless of group.
- 4. $\bar{Y}_{i\bullet} = Y_{i\bullet}/n_i = \text{sample mean for all observations in group i.}$
- 5. $\bar{Y}_{\bullet \bullet} = \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij} / \sum_{i=1}^{a} n_i = \sum_{i=1}^{a} \bar{Y}_{i \bullet} n_i / n_T =$ overall sample mean.

Is $\bar{Y}_{i\bullet}$ a good estimator for μ ? Consider,

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \epsilon_{ij}^2 = \text{Sum of Squared Errors}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{j} (Y_{ij} - \mu_i)^2$$

$$= \sum_{j} (Y_{ij} - \mu_1)^2 + \sum_{j} (Y_{ij} - \mu_2)^2 + \dots + \sum_{j} (Y_{ij} - \mu_a)^2$$

$$\frac{dQ}{d\mu_i} = \frac{d}{d\mu_i} \left\{ \sum_j (Y_{ij} - \mu_1)^2 + \dots + \sum_j (Y_{ij} - \mu_i)^2 \dots \right\}$$

$$= \frac{d}{d\mu_i} \left\{ \sum_j (Y_{ij} - \mu_i)^2 \right\}$$

$$= 2 \sum_j (Y_{ij} - \mu_i)(-1) = -2 \sum_j (Y_{ij} - \mu_i)$$

then expand the sum and set to "0".

$$-2\sum_{j} (Y_{ij} - \mu_i) = 0 \implies \sum_{j} (Y_{ij} - \mu_i) = 0$$

$$= \sum_{j} Y_{ij} - \sum_{j} \mu_i = 0$$

$$= \sum_{j} \mu_i = \sum_{j} Y_{ij}$$

$$= n_i \mu_i = \sum_{j} Y_{ij}$$

$$= \hat{\mu}_i = \sum_{j} \frac{Y_{ij}}{n_i}$$

$$= \bar{Y}_{i\bullet}$$

Thus, $\hat{\mu_i} = \bar{Y_{i\bullet}}$ is the estimator of μ_i that minimizes the sum of squared errors.

Mean and Variance of $\hat{\mu_i}$

$$E\{\hat{\mu}_i\} = E\left\{\sum_j Y_{ij}/n_i\right\} = \frac{1}{n_i} E\left\{\sum_j Y_{ij}\right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_j E\{Y_{ij}\} = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_j \mu_i$$
$$= \frac{1}{n_i} (n_i \mu_i) = \mu_i$$
$$\implies E\{\hat{\mu}_i\} = \mu_i$$

$$\begin{split} \sigma^2 \left\{ \hat{\mu}_i \right\} &= \sigma^2 \left\{ \sum_j Y_{ij} / n_i \right\} = (\frac{1}{n_i}^2) \sigma^2 \left\{ \sum_j Y_{ij} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{n_i}^2 \sum_j \sigma^2 \left\{ Y_{ij} \right\} = \frac{1}{n_i^2} n_i \sigma^2 \left\{ \epsilon \right\} \\ &\Longrightarrow \sigma^2 \left\{ \hat{\mu}_i \right\} = \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{n_i} \end{split}$$

Since $\hat{\mu_i} = \sum_j Y_{ij}/n_i$ is a linear combination of normal RVs, it is normally distributed. Thus,

$$\bar{Y}_{i\bullet} = \hat{\mu}_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sqrt{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2/n_i})$$

Residuals/Errors

The errors for a model are the actual values minus the estimated values, so

$$\epsilon_{ij} = Y_{ij} - \mu_i$$

The estimated errors (residuals) are

$$e_{ij} = y_{ij} - \hat{\mu_i} \ (or \ \hat{\epsilon_{ij}} = y_{ij} - \hat{\mu_i})$$

Total Variance Partitioning

The total or overall variance of a data set is widely accepted to be the sum of squared distance from the mean. It is often denoted SSTO and defined as

$$SSTO = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{\bullet \bullet})^{2}$$

We can decompose $(Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{\bullet \bullet})$

$$(Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{\bullet \bullet}) = Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{\bullet \bullet} + \bar{Y}_{i \bullet} - \bar{Y}_{i \bullet}$$
$$= (\bar{Y}_{i \bullet} - \bar{Y}_{\bullet \bullet}) + (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{i \bullet})$$
$$= (\bar{Y}_{i \bullet} - \bar{Y}_{\bullet \bullet}) + e_{ij}$$

Square both sides and expand,

$$(Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{\bullet \bullet})^2 = (\bar{Y}_{i\bullet} - \bar{Y}_{\bullet \bullet})^2 + (e_{ij}^2) + 2(\bar{Y}_{i\bullet} - \bar{Y}_{\bullet \bullet})e_{ij}$$

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{j} (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{\bullet \bullet})^{2} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} (\bar{Y}_{i\bullet} - \bar{Y}_{\bullet \bullet})^{2} + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} (e_{ij}^{2}) + 0$$

2 parts:

1.
$$SSA = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} (\bar{Y}_{i\bullet} - \bar{Y}_{\bullet\bullet})^2 = \sum_{i} n_i (\bar{Y}_{i\bullet - \bar{Y}_{\bullet\bullet}})^2 = \text{Thus, } df \{SSA\} = a - 1$$
 Factor A Sum of Squares .

2.
$$SSE = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} e_{ij}^{2} = \text{Sum of Squares Error}$$
.

In general, we want SSE to be small (low error) so then SSA is large. This means that much of the variance in Y is due to a difference in the overall mean vs. group mean, and not due to error. This is why it is called analysis of variance.

SS Properties

Notice SSTO, SSA, and SSE are sums of squared values, so they keep growing larger as i or j increase. They never converge.

Due to this, we stabilize or standardize the SS values to obtain "Mean Square" values. The value we stabilize them with is the df (degrees of freedom).

To find $df\{SSTO\} = MSTO$

 $df\{SSTO\}$ = number of observations that can freely vary, subject to our constraint.

Our constraint

$$\implies \sum_{i} \sum_{j} (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{\bullet \bullet}) = 0$$

$$\implies \bar{Y}_{\bullet \bullet} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} Y_{ij} / n_{T}$$

So, we may allow $n_T - 1$ values of Y_{ij} to vary freely, but the last one cannot.

So, $df\{SSTO\} = n_T - 1$. Thus,

$$MSTO = SSTO/df \{SSTO\} = \frac{SSTO}{n_T - 1}$$

To find $df \{SSA\} = MSA$

$$SSA = \sum_{i} n_i (\bar{Y}_{i\bullet} - \bar{Y}_{\bullet\bullet})^2$$

In other words, the total variance can be partitioned into We have "a" values of $\bar{Y}_{i\bullet}$ that we are summing over, and the constraint is $\sum_{i} n_i (\bar{Y}_{i\bullet} - \bar{Y}_{\bullet\bullet}) = 0$

Thus,
$$df \{SSA\} = a - 1$$

$$MSA = SSA/df \{SSA\}$$

$$E\{MSA\} = \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} + \left(\sum_{i} n_{i}(\mu_{i} - \mu)^{2}\right)/(a-1)$$

To find $df \{SSE\} = MSE$ $SSE = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{i\bullet})^2$ which uses n_T values of Y_{ij} and has "a" constraints: $\sum_{i} (Y_{i,i} - \bar{Y}_{i\bullet}) = 0$ Thus $df \{SSE\} = n_T - a$

$$\begin{split} MSE &= SSE/d\!f \; \{SSE\} \\ E \, \{MSE\} &= \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 \end{split}$$

F test for equal means

The first main question of single factor ANOVA is "is the statistical evidence to suggest the group means are equal for all groups?"

To answer that question, we will compare MSE to MSA, and use the fact that $E\{MSE\} = E\{MSA\}$ if and only if $\mu_i = \mu$ for all i (i.e. the group means equal the overall mean).

Step 1: Declare Hypotheses

 $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \overline{\cdots = \mu_a}$

 H_A : At least one $\mu_i \neq$ to another.

Assume H_0 is true.

Step 2: Find test-statistic Let our test-statistic be

$$F_s = \frac{MSA}{MSE}$$

Notice if H_0 were exactly true, $F^* = 1$

We can show that, if H_0 is true, F_s is the ratio of two independent χ^2 variables, and is F distributed with $df \{num\} = a - 1, df \{denom\} = n_T - a$

Step 3: Calculate p-value

$$p = P\left\{F > F_s\right\} \text{ where}$$

$$df\left\{num\right\} = a - 1, df\left\{denom\right\} = n_T - a$$

Step 4: Decision Rule and Conclusion

If p-value $< \alpha$, reject H_0

If p-value $\geq \alpha$, fail to reject H_0

Note: SSE can be written as:

$$SSE = \sum_{i} s_i^2 (n_i - 1)$$

 $s_i^2 = \text{sample variance for the ith group}$

If we fail to reject H_0 , that means that the tested factor has no effect on the mean.

If we reject H_0 , the tested factor has an effect on the mean.

7.6 Alternative Form of Single Factor ANOVA

This is called the factor effect model.

$$Y_{ij} = \bar{\mu} + \gamma_i + \epsilon_{ij} \text{ where } \sum_{i=1}^{a} \gamma_i = 0$$
 (1)

We have the same

8 Alternative Approach to F test

Consider a "full" and "reduced" model,

Full Model: The movel with the most parameters being considered.

Reduced Model: is a subset of the full model.

For single factor ANOVA,

$$Y_{ij} = \mu_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$
 Full: "a" parameters (2)

$$Y_{ij} = \mu_0 + \epsilon_{ij}$$
 Reduced: 1 parameter

Step 1: Declare Hypotheses

 H_0 : the reduced model is a statistically better fit.

 H_A : the reduced model is not a statistically better fit.

$$SSE_F = SSE$$
 of full model

$$SSE_R = SSE$$
 of Reduced model (5)

Step 2: Calculate F test-statistic

$$F_{s} = \left[\frac{SSE_{R} - SSE_{F}}{df \left\{SSE_{R}\right\} - df \left\{SSE_{F}\right\}}\right] / \left[\frac{SSE_{F}}{df \left\{SSE_{F}\right\}}\right] \quad (6)$$

where

$$df \{num\} = df \{SSE_R\} - df \{SSE_F\}$$

$$df \{denom\} = df \{SSE_F\}$$
 (8)

$$df\left\{SSE\right\} = n_T - a \tag{9}$$

$$df\left\{SSE_F\right\} = n_T - a \tag{10}$$

$$df\left\{SSE_R\right\} = n_T - 1\tag{11}$$

NOTE: In Single Factor ANOVA, (6) reduces to

$$F_S = \frac{MSA}{MSE}$$

9 Calculating Power

The power of a test is the probability of rejecting H_0 when H_0 is false. Aka the probability of correctly rejecting the null.

$$Power = P \{ \text{Reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ false} \}$$
 (12)

This calculation requires that we assume a specific H_A is true, i.e that we assume some values of μ_i are true, where not all μ_i are equal.

Then, F_s is no longer F distributed, it is non-central-F, with parameter ϕ (phi) which measures how much differ-

ent F_s is under H_A to the under H_0 .

(3)

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i (\mu_i - \mu_0)^2}{a}}$$
 (13)

In practice, μ_i and μ_0 must be approximated based on sample values.

After calculating ϕ , use the power table to find power values given $df \{num\}, df \{denom\}, \alpha, \phi$

For the Power table, round down all degrees of freedom, but if ϕ isn't in the table, round up or down to pick the closest value.

NOTE: \sqrt{MSE} is an estimate for σ_{ϵ}

NOTE: Power calculations require strict assumptions because we assume $\mu_i, \mu_0, \sigma_{\epsilon}$. Be sure to take power calculations as estimates.

10 Sample Size Calculations

A common request is to determine the minimum sample size needed to achieve a particular power for a given α .

Make a few changes,

- 1. We assume all n_i values will be equal. Call this common value n_c .
- 2. We still assume σ_{ϵ}^2 is known.
- 3. Instead of specifying ϕ , focus on Δ .

$$\Delta = \text{Largest effect size} = max\{\mu_i\} - min\{\mu_i\}$$
 (14)

and more specifically,

 $\Delta/\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$ = the number of standard deviations of ϵ_{ij} the largest mean is suspected to be from the smallest.

 $\beta = P \left\{ \text{Type II Error} \right\}$

$$Power = 1 - \beta$$

To use the table, select the table section with the desired Power, then choose the column with the closest value for Δ/σ (round up or down), and then choose the subcolumn with the desired α . Go down to the row with the correct a value. The value in that slot is the minimum sample size per group n_c .

Notes:

- Generally, as $\alpha \uparrow, \beta \downarrow$ and vice versa.
- The larger ϕ , the more difference there was in the assumed μ_i , and the higher the power.

• The larger Δ/σ_{ϵ} , the less n_c has to be for a partic- 11.3 CI for contrast of means ular power.

Confidence Intervals for SFA 11

If we have rejected the null hypothesis that the population means are equal, confidence intervals answer the followup question, "which means are different?"

CI for Single mean 11.1

For estimating a particular μ_i , we can use the fact that

$$\bar{Y}_{i\bullet} \sim N(\mu_i, \sqrt{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2/n_i})$$
 (15)

$$\implies \frac{\bar{Y}_{i\bullet} - \mu_i}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2/n_i}} \sim N(0, 1) \tag{16}$$

 σ_{ϵ}^2 is unknown but can be estimated with \sqrt{MSE} . However, then $(\bar{Y}_{i\bullet} - \mu_i)/\sqrt{MSE/n_i}$ follows a t distribution with $df = n_T - a$.

Thus a $(1-\alpha)100\%$ CI for μ_i is:

$$\bar{Y}_{i\bullet} \pm t_{1-\alpha/2;n_T-a} \sqrt{MSE/n_i}$$
 (17)

CI for difference in Means

For a CI comparing μ_i to μ'_i where $i \neq i'$, we have a similar conclusion that.

$$(\bar{Y}_{i\bullet} - \bar{Y}'_{i\bullet}) \sim N(\mu_i - \mu'_i, \sqrt{\sigma_\epsilon^2/(n_i + n'_i)})$$
 (18)

and so

$$\frac{\left[(\bar{Y_{i\bullet}} - \bar{Y_{i\bullet}}) - (\mu_i - \mu_i')\right]}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2/(n_i + n_i')}} \sim t_{n_T - a}$$
(19)

NOTE: The abova results depend on the assumptions of SFA.

Thus, a $(1-\alpha)100\%$ CI for $(\mu_i - \mu_i')$ is

$$(\bar{Y}_{i\bullet} - \bar{Y}'_{i\bullet}) \pm t_{1-\alpha/2;n_T-a} \sqrt{MSE/(n_i + n'_i)}$$
 (20)

A contrast of group means is a linear combination of μ_i where the constants in front of the μ_i 's sum to 0.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{a} c_i \mu_i \text{ where } \sum_{i} c_i = 0$$
 (21)

Notice that $\sum_i c_i \bar{Y_{i\bullet}} \sim N(\sum c_i \mu_i, \sqrt{MSE \sum c_i^2/n_i})$ Thus a $(1-\alpha)100\%$ CI for $\sum c_i \mu_i$ is

$$\sum_{i} c_{i} \bar{Y}_{i\bullet} \pm t_{1-\alpha/2;n_{t}-a} \sqrt{MSE \sum_{i} c_{i}^{2}/n_{i}} \qquad (22)$$

Notice: all CIs use \sqrt{MSE} , which works because the assumption of SFA is that all group std. dev.'s are equal.

11.4 Simultaneous CI

We often want to make multiple confidence intervals for an ANOVA model. If each CI has $\alpha = P$ {Type 1 Error}, the error builds with each interval. So for a simultaneous CI with 2 intervals, the maximum error is 1.0.

Proof: Let A = # of Type I errors made out of 2 intervals.

$$P\{A \ge 1\} = 1 - P\{A < 1\} = 1 - P\{A = 0\}$$
$$= 1 - (1 - \alpha)^2 = 0.19$$

This can be generalized to: for g confidence intervals at level $(1-\alpha)100\%$, the overall/family/simultaneous error rate is: $1 - (1 - \alpha)^g$

11.5 Correcting CI to reduce alpha

 $(1-\alpha)100\%$ CIs that correct for a increased α

Tukey's
$$\bar{Y}_{i\bullet} - \bar{Y}'_{i\bullet} \pm T \sqrt{MSE/(n_i + n'_i)}$$
 (23)

Scheffe's
$$\sum_{i} c_{i} \bar{Y}_{i \bullet} \pm S \sqrt{MSE \left(\sum_{i} c_{i}/n_{i}\right)}$$
 (24)

Bonferroni's
$$\bar{Y}_{i\bullet} - \bar{Y}'_{i\bullet} \pm B\sqrt{MSE/(n_i + n'_i)}$$
 (25)

Multipliers

$$T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} q_{1-\alpha;a,n_t-a} \tag{26}$$

$$S = \sqrt{(a-1)F_{1-\alpha;a-1,n_T-a}}$$
 (27)

$$B = t_{1-\alpha/(2q);n_T-a} \tag{28}$$

Distributions

- $q(1-\alpha; a, n_t-a)$ represents the $(1-\alpha)100^{th}$ percentile of a studentized range distribution at $1-\alpha$ with degrees of freedom a and $n_T - a$.
- $F(1-\alpha;a-1,n_T-a)$ represents the test statistic from an F distribution at $(1 - \alpha)$ given $df_1 = a - 1$ and $df_2 = n_T - a$.
- $t(1-\alpha(2q); n_T-a)$ represents a test statistic from a t distribution at $1 - \alpha/(2q)$ with degrees of freedom $n_T - a$. Note that $1 - \alpha/2$ becomes over 2g, this is because Bonferroni simply decreases the α value per interval to sum to α overall.

Applications

- Tukev results in narrower CIs when only pairwise differences are being considered.
- Scheffe is best for taking multiple contrasts, other than pairwise differences (or as a combination of pairwise differences and general contrasts). In general, if an interval is only pairwise combinations, Tukey is best.
- Bonferroni is best used when a relatively small number of intervals is being taken (g is small). For large values of g, the error becomes so small that the actual multiplier becomes very large, and thus the interval widens.

NOTE: There is a change in the conclusion of these three corrected CIs, we say we are overall (or family wise or simultaneously) $(1-\alpha)100\%$ confident that