A Look at Ethiopic Numerals

Daniel Yacob, Ge'ez Frontier Foundation

Ethiopic numerals have a familiar quality about them that seems to catch the eye and pique the imagination of the first-time viewer. In particular, the bars above and below the letter-like symbols appear reminiscent of their Roman counterparts. The symbols in between the bars, however, are clearly not of Roman origin. The shapes appear Ethiopic but only half seem to correspond to Ethiopic syllables and in an incomprehensible order.

The mystery begins to unfold when we look at the lesser-known ancient Greek numeral system. The ancient Greeks used two numeral systems, in fact. The "Acrophonic" numeral system was used for weights and measures. The "Milesian" numeral system used universally and based on the lowercase letters marked with a 'to indicate the numeric context. The Ethiopic numerals are said to have come from the interpretation of the Milesian system by Ethiopia's Nile brethren the Egyptian Copts. In the Coptic practice the preferred numeric modifier was a bar, $\bar{\circ}$, placed above their lowercase letters. Coptic script borrowed heavily from the Greek uppercase alphabet. The Coptic lowercase characters are nearly indistinguishable from the upper and the bar in some practices is also used as a means to clearly mark an uppercase context.

It is unclear if the Ethiopians borrowed the Coptic numerals outright or just the practice of using the alphabet to create a recordable counting system. Perhaps a little of both. It would be a difficult case to argue that $\mathbf{7}$, $\mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{7}$, $\mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{7}$, and $\mathbf{7}$. did not in some way influence the numerals $\mathbf{7}$, $\mathbf{7}$, and $\mathbf{7}$.

Western Numeral	Greek Numeral	Coptic Numeral	Ethiopic Numeral	Ethiopic Syllable
1	A'	λ A	Ã	h
2	B'	B	Ē	Ω
3	Γ'	Γ	Ţ	7
4	Δ'	五	Q	ደ
5	E'	€	<u></u>	υ
6	ς′	₹	Ž	Ø
7	Z'	Z	1	Н
8	H'	Ħ	Ţ	ሐ
9	Θ'	$\overline{\Theta}$	ğ	m
10	I'	ī	Ĩ	P
20	K'	\overline{K}	蒼	h
30	Λ'	$\overline{\lambda}$	Ũ	٨
40	M'	M	দু	æ
50	N'	N	ğ	ን
60	Ξ'	<u>₹</u>	至	w
70	O'	$\overline{0}$	Ğ	0
80	Π'	π	萓	6.
90	Q'	\overline{q}	Ĩ	8
100	P'	P	Ĕ	ф

After 100 the Copts and Greeks went on define alphabetic letters as representing multiples of a hundred up to 900. The Ethiopians did this as well but ultimately did not introduce a new numeral glyph until 10,000, which is also when we see the last Greek entry. Rather, the Ethiopians chose to prefix ones and tens to 100 (e.g. $200 = \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{8}$). None of the groups had a number for zero (the alphabet starts with the I^{st} letter) nor a thousand. Ilf, the final Ethiopic numeral, ' $\frac{1}{8}$ ', is often confused as the representation of 1,000. This is probably because it appears as the next logical order of 10 following 100, ' $\frac{1}{8}$ ', it is however ten thousand. As we shall soon see, orders of 100 are very important to Ethiopic number formatting, consider ' $\frac{1}{8}$ ' as the shorthand of ' $\frac{1}{8}$ ' (literally "one hundred one hundreds" or $\frac{1}{8} \times \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{8} = 10,000$). The syllable ' $\frac{1}{8}$ ', short for "thousand" in Amharic, is used as the numeral for 1,000 alongside western numerals but rarely with Ethiopic.

An interesting point to note is that the Copts and Greeks inserted archaic characters for the numbers 6 and 90. These adjustments helped create the "Omicron-Ayne Axis" at number 70 where the two circular glyphs curiously line up. It is all the more curious that in <u>numerology tables</u> (another subject!) using the Ge'ez syllabary in the Unicode like "UNA" ordering, Omicron and Ayne are the *only* two that remain aligned! While this may be no more than mere coincidence it is probable that Copts, Greeks and Ethiopians were making an effort to synchronize the numeric values assigned to letters with the equivalent letters and values used in the Hebrew "Gematria" practice. Thus, transporting the practice to a limited extent.

Conversion

Conversion from Ethiopic numerals into western form is trivial, going the other way, however, takes a little care. Somewhere in an ancient corner of forgotten mathematics lies a sleeping conversion formula in wait of reawakening. Deciding it was better to let sleeping formulas lie and not confront my limitations as a mathematician I found a relatively pain free means to convert long numbers. The key lies in understanding the mechanics of Ethiopic numeral sequences as groups of tens partitioned by orders of a hundred:

1)	Start with an arbitrary number	7,654,321				
2)	From right to left group	[07]	[65]	[43]	[21]	
	numbers in sets of two.					
3)	We'll add subscripts for book	[07]3	$[65]_2$	[43]1	$[21]_0$	
	keeping					
4)	Now expand the sets into 10's	$([07])_3$	$([60][05])_2$	$([40][03])_1$	$([20][01])_0$	
	and 1's and write as separate					
	numbers.					
5)	Go ahead and convert to	(½) ₃	$(\mathbf{\vec{x}}\mathbf{\vec{k}})_2$	(፵፫) ₁	(፳፮)0	
	Ethiopic numbers.					
6)	g after odd subscripts.	$(\mathbf{\bar{z}}) + (\mathbf{\bar{r}})$	$(\mathbf{\vec{z}}\mathbf{\vec{\xi}})+(\mathbf{\vec{k}})$	$(\mathbf{\vec{a}}\mathbf{\vec{k}})+(\mathbf{\vec{k}})$	(ద్దేద్ద)	
	聲 after even -except 0!					
7)	Group.	<u> </u>	፷ፚ፼	979	<u>ሺ</u> ያ	
8)	Collect and we're done!	<u>፲፻</u> ፰፮፼ <mark>፵፫፻</mark> ፳፮				

We do need to add to our 8 easy steps the rule that " $\underline{\mathbf{z}}$'s and 0's multiply with $\underline{\mathbf{z}}$ ". In the first case, $\underline{\mathbf{z}}$'s cannot appear before an Ethiopic hundred ($\underline{\mathbf{z}}$) when the value in the *tens* place is zero. Demonstrating the rule, suppose that our example number had the sequence "01" or "00" instead of "43". The reductions would have then followed as per:

$$([0][1])_1 \Rightarrow (\mathbf{\bar{g}}) + (\mathbf{\bar{g}}) \Rightarrow (1\mathbf{\bar{g}}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{\bar{g}})$$

and

$$([0][0])_1 \Rightarrow (0) + (\mathbf{\tilde{e}}) \Rightarrow (0\mathbf{\tilde{e}}) \Rightarrow (0)$$

Computationally, this "grouping by twos" method is probably not the most efficient approach, but it gets the job done. Pseudo code for the method is in our last table:

```
asciiNumberString = "7654321";
n = asciiNumberString.length - 1;
if (n^2) - 0 f // precondition the number to avoid tests later
     asciiNumberString = '0' + asciiNumberString;
     n++;
}
for( place = n; place >= 0; place-- ) {
     // initialize and setup our values:
     asciiOne = asciiten = ethioOne = ethioTen = '';
     if( asciiOne != '0')
           ethioOne = asciiOne + ('a' - '1'); // map onto Ethiopic "ones"
     if( asciiTen != '0')
           ethioTen = asciiTen + ('[' - '1'); // map onto Ethiopic "tens"
     pos = (place % 4) / 2; // \mathbf{R} for even subscripts, \mathbf{R} for odd
     // find a separator, if any, to follow ethioTen and ethioOne:
     sep = (place != 0)
            ? (pos != 0)
              ? ( (ethioOne != '') || (ethioTen != '') )
                 : ''
              : '፼'
            : ''
     // remove 'ā' under special conditions:
     if ( (ethioOne == '\delta') && (ethioTen == '') && (n > 1) ) {
           if( (sep == '\hat{g}') || ((place+1) == n) )
                 ethioOne = '';
     }
     // append the result
     ethioNumberString += ethioTen + ethioOne + sep;
}
return( ethioNumberString );
```

Sample Numbers generated by this algorithm.

See Also:

Python: A Numeral Conversion Algorithm in Python

JavaScript: A Numeral Conversion Algorithm in JavaScript

Java: A Numeral Conversion Algorithm in Java

► (*Inverse*: <u>Ethiopic to Integer</u>)

C#: A Numeral Conversion Algorithm in C#

VisualBasic: A Numeral Conversion Algorithm in Visual Basic

C: A Numeral Conversion Algorithm in C

Perl: A Numeral Conversion Algorithm in Perl

► A Numeral Conversion Demonstration Script in Perl (ASCII output)