

Homework 1

David Yang

*Chapter 1 (Set Theory and Logic) Problems.*Section 2 (Functions), 2.5

In general, let us denote the *identity function* for a set C by i_C . That is, define $i_C: C \rightarrow C$ to be the function given by the rule $i_C(x) = x$ for all $x \in C$. Given $f: A \rightarrow B$, we say that a function $g: B \rightarrow A$ is a *left inverse* for f if $g \circ f = i_A$; and we say that $h: B \rightarrow A$ is a *right inverse* for f if $f \circ h = i_B$.

- a) Show that if f has a left inverse, f is injective; and if f has a right inverse, f is surjective.

Solution. Suppose that f has a left inverse. Then there exists a function $g: B \rightarrow A$ such that

$$g \circ f = i_A.$$

Suppose that $f(a) = f(a')$ for two (not necessarily distinct) elements a and a' in A . Note that since $f(a) = f(a')$, it follows that

$$g(f(a)) = g(f(a'))$$

However, since $g \circ f = i_A$, we know that $g(f(a)) = (g \circ f)(a) = a$ and $g(f(a')) = (g \circ f)(a') = a'$. Thus, it follows that $a = a'$ and so f is injective. We conclude that if f has a left inverse, f is injective.

Similarly, suppose that f has a right inverse. Then there exists a function $h: B \rightarrow A$ such that

$$f \circ h = i_B.$$

Consider any $b \in B$. Note that $(f \circ h)(b) = f(h(b)) = b$. Consequently, for any $b \in B$, there exists an element $a = h(b)$ in A such that $f(a) = b$, and equivalently, f is surjective. We conclude that if f has a right inverse, f is surjective. ■

- b) Give an example of a function that has a left inverse but no right inverse.

Solution. From part (a), we know that a function that has a left inverse but no right inverse is injective but not surjective. One such function $f: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = x^2$ is one such function; its left inverse is the square root function and it has no right inverse as it is not surjective – for example, $f(-3) = f(3) = 9$. ■

- c) Give an example of a function that has a right inverse but no left inverse.

Solution. From part (a), we know that a function that has a right inverse but no left inverse is surjective but not injective. $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ defined by $f(x) = x^2$ is one such function; its right inverse is the square root function and it has no left inverse as it is not injective – for example, $f(-3) = f(3) = 9$. ■

d) **Can a function have more than one left inverse? More than one right inverse?**

Solution. A function can have more than one left inverse. Consider the sets $A = \{1, 2\}$ and $B = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Define the function $f: A \rightarrow B$ as $f(a) = a$ for any element $a \in A$. Define $g: B \rightarrow A$ such that $g(1) = 1$, $g(2) = 2$, and $g(3) = 1$, and g' similarly, such that $g'(1) = 1$, $g'(2) = 2$, and $g'(3) = 2$. g and g' are two distinct left inverses of f .

Similarly, a function can have more than one right inverse. Consider the sets $A = \{1, 2\}$ and $B = \{1\}$. Define the function $f: A \rightarrow B$ as $f(1) = f(2) = 1$. Furthermore, define $h: B \rightarrow A$ such that $h(1) = 1$ and h' similarly, such that $h'(1) = 2$. h and h' are two distinct right inverses of f . ■

e) **Show that if a function f has both a left inverse g and right inverse h , then f is bijective and $g = h = f^{-1}$.**

Solution. Consider $(g \circ f) \circ h$ and $g \circ (f \circ h)$, which are equivalent due to the associativity of functions. Note that since g is a left inverse of f , $g \circ f = id_A$; similarly, since h is a right inverse of f , $f \circ h = id_B$. It follows that

$$(g \circ f) \circ h = id_A \circ h = h \text{ and } g \circ (f \circ h) = g \circ id_B = g.$$

Thus, $g = h$ and they are both equal to f^{-1} , as f^{-1} is by definition the function that is both the right and left inverse of f . ■

Prove the following Theorem: *If an ordered set A has the least upper bound property, then it has the greatest lower bound property.*

Solution. Let A be an ordered set with the least upper bound property and let B be a nonempty subset of A that is bounded below. Consider the set of lower bounds of B , which we denote as $L(B)$. Since B is bounded below, $L(B)$ is nonempty. Fix some element $b \in B$, and consider any element $l \in L(B)$. Since l is a lower bound in B , by definition, $l \leq b$.

Consequently, the element b is an upper bound of $L(B)$. $L(B)$ is a nonempty subset of A that is bounded above by the element b in A . Since A has the least upper bound property, we know that $L(B)$ must have a least upper bound, which we will denote l' . We claim that l' is the greatest lower bound of B ; to prove this, we will show that l' is both a lower bound of B and that it is the greatest such lower bound.

First, we claim that l' is a lower bound of B . Consider any $b \in B$. By construction, b is an upper bound for $L(B)$. Furthermore, l' is the least upper bound of $L(B)$; consequently, $l' \leq b$ for any $b \in B$, and so l' is a lower bound for B .

It remains to show that l' is in fact the greatest lower bound of B . Note that since l' is a lower bound of B , l' is in $L(B)$. Consider any other lower bound l of B , where l is in $L(B)$ by definition. Since by construction, l' is the least upper bound of $L(B)$, it follows that $l \leq l'$ for any $l \in L(B)$. Thus, l' is the greatest lower bound of B .

We conclude that B , an arbitrary nonempty subset of A that is bounded below, has a greatest lower bound. Thus, every nonempty subset of A that is bounded below has a greatest lower bound and so by definition, A has the greatest lower bound property. ■

Let J be a well-ordered set. A subset J_0 of J is said to be *inductive* if for every $\alpha \in J$,

$$(S_\alpha \subset J_0) \implies \alpha \in J_0.$$

Theorem (The Principle of Transfinite Induction). *If J is a well-ordered set and J_0 is an inductive subset of J , then $J_0 = J$.*

Solution. Let J_0 be an inductive subset of J , and assume for the sake of contradiction that $J_0 \neq J$. Then the set $J \setminus J_0$ is nonempty, and since it is a subset of the well-ordered set J , it by definition has a minimal element, which we will denote as m .

Since m is by definition the minimal element in J that is not in J_0 , all elements smaller than J under the well-order relation are in J_0 . Equivalently, the section S_m is a subset of J_0 . Since J_0 is an inductive subset of J , this implies that m is itself in J_0 , which contradicts the fact that m was defined to be the minimal element in $J \setminus J_0$.

Thus, J_0 must be equal to J . We conclude that if J is a well-ordered set and J_0 is an inductive subset of J , then $J_0 = J$. ■