Math 104: Topology

DAVID YANG

Spring 2024

Abstract

These notes arise from my studies in Math 104: Topology, taught by Professor Allison N. Miller, at Swarthmore College, following the material of Munkre's *Topology*. I am responsible for all faults in this document, mathematical or otherwise. Feel free to message me with any suggestions or corrections at dyang5@swarthmore.edu.

Contents

1	I Chapter I: Set Theory and Logic		
	1.2	Functions	
	1.3	Relations	
	1.4	The Integers and the Real Numbers	
	1.5	Cartesian Products	
	1.6	Finite Sets	
	1.7	Countable and Uncountable Sets	
	1.8	Principle of Recursive Definition	
	1.9	Infinite Sets and the Axiom of Choice	

1 Chapter 1: Set Theory and Logic

1.2 Functions

Definition 1.1 (Injective, Surjective, Bijection)

A function $f: A \to B$ is said to be **injective** (or **one-to-one**) if for each pair of distinct points of A, their images under f are distinct.

It is said to be **surjective** if every element of B is the image of some element of A under f.

If f is both **injective** and **surjective**, it said to be **bijective**.

1.3 Relations

Definition 1.2 (Relation)

A **relation** on a set A is a subset C of the Cartesian product $A \times A$.

Definition 1.3 (Equivalence Relation)

An equivalence relation \sim on a set A is a relation C on A having the following three properties:

- (Reflexivity) $x \sim x$ for every x in A.
- (Symmetry) If $x \sim y$, then $y \sim x$.
- (Transitivity) If $x \sim y$ and $y \sim z$, then $x \sim z$.

Definition 1.4 (Order Relation)

A relation C on a set A is an **order relation** (also simple order, or linear order) if it has the following properties:

- (Comparability) For every x and y in A for which $x \neq y$, either xCy or yCx.
- (Nonreflexivity) For no x in A does the relation xCx hold.
- (Transitivity) If xCy and yCz, then xCz.

Note: the relation C is often replaced as <, just as how it is synonyous with \sim in the case of an equivalence relation.

Remark. It follows that xCy and yCx cannot both be true. If so, then transitivity implies xCx, contradicting nonreflexivity.

Example. Suppose that A and B are two sets with order relations $<_A$ and $<_B$ respectively. The order relation < on $A \times B$ defined by

$$a_1 \times b_1 < a_2 \times b_2$$

if $a_1 <_A a_2$ or if $a_1 = a_2$ and $b_1 <_B b_2$ is known as the **dictionary order relation** on $A \times B$.

Definition 1.5 (Supremum and Infimum)

Let A be an ordered set. The subset A_0 of A is **bounded above** if there is an element b of A such that $x \leq b$ for every $x \in A_0$: b is an **upper bound** for A_0 . If the set of all upper bounds for A_0 has a smallest element, that elements is the **supremum** of A_0 (also the least upper bound).

The subset A_0 of A is **bounded below** if there is an element b of A such that $b \le x$ for every $x \in A_0$: b is a **lower bound** for A_0 . If the set of all lower bounds for A_0 has a largest element, that elements is the **infimum** of A_0 (also the greatest lower bound).

Definition 1.6 (Least Upper Bound and Greatest Lower Bound Properties)

An ordered set A is said to have the **least upper bound property** if every nonempty subset A_0 of A that is bounded above has a least upper bound.

An ordered set A is said to have the **greatest lower bound property** if every nonempty subset A_0 of A that is bounded below has a greatest lower bound.

1.4 The Integers and the Real Numbers

Theorem 1.1 (Well-Ordering Principle). Every nonempty subset of \mathbb{Z}_+ has a smallest element.

1.5 Cartesian Products

This section contains definitions and examples of indexing functions (e.g. $\{1, \ldots, n\}, \mathbb{Z}_+$), tuples, sequences, and Cartesian products.

Definition 1.7 (ω -tuple / Sequence)

Given a set X, a ω -tuple of elements of X is a function

$$\mathbf{x} \colon \mathbb{Z}_+ \to X$$

also known as a **sequence** (or infinite sequence) of elements of X.

1.6 Finite Sets

This section contains basic definitions of finite sets, including cardinality and proof of a number of set axioms.

1.7 Countable and Uncountable Sets

Definition 1.8 (Countably Infinite)

A set A is infinite if it is not finite. It is **countably infinite** of there is a bijective correspondence

$$f: A \to \mathbb{Z}_+$$
.

Example. The set \mathbb{Z} of all integers is countably infinite. Similarly, $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is countably infinite.

Proof (Countability of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$). *Proof 1.* Consider the bijections $f: \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \to A$ and $g: A \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ defined as follows:

$$f(x,y) = (x+y-1,y)$$
 and $g(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}(x-1)x + y$.

The composition $g \circ f$ is also a bijection from $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ to \mathbb{Z} , so $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is countably infinite.

Proof 2. Consider $f(n,m) = 2^n 3^m$, an injective map from $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ to \mathbb{Z} .

Definition 1.9 (Countable and Uncountable Sets)

A set is **countable** if it is either finite or countably infinite. A set that is not countable is **uncountable**.

Example. $\{0,1\}^{\omega}$, $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$, and \mathbb{R} are examples of uncountable sets.

Theorem 1.2. Let B be a nonempty set. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. B is countable.
- 2. There is a surjective function $f: \mathbb{Z}_+ \to B$.
- 3. There is an injective function $g: B \to \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Theorem 1.3 (Countable Union of Countable Sets). A countable union of countable sets is countable.

1.8 Principle of Recursive Definition

This section contains recursion axioms and the introduction of the principle of recursion/recursion formula.

1.9 Infinite Sets and the Axiom of Choice

Theorem 1.4. Let A be a set. The following statements about A are equivalent:

- 1. A is infinite.
- 2. There exists an injective function $f: \mathbb{Z}_+ \to A$
- 3. There exists a bijection of A with a proper subset of itself.

Theorem 1.5 (Axiom of Choice). Given a collection \mathcal{A} of disjoint nonemopty sets, there exists a set C consisting of exactly one element from each element of \mathcal{A} ; that is, a set C such that C is contained in the union of the elements of \mathcal{A} , and for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the set $C \cap A$ contains a single element.

1.10 Well-Ordered Sets

Definition 1.10 (Well-Ordered Sets)

A set A with an order relation < is **well-ordered** if every nonempty subset of A has a smallest element.

Example. The set $\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+$ is a well-ordered set in the dictionary order.

However, the dictionary order does not give a well-ordering of the set $(\mathbb{Z}_+)^{\omega}$.

Theorem 1.6 (Well-Ordering Theorem; Zermelo, 1904). If A is a set, there exists an order relation on A that is a well-ordering.

Corollary 1.1. There exists an uncountable well-ordered set.

Definition 1.11 (Section of a Set)

Let X be a well-ordered set. Given $\alpha \in X$, let S_{α} denote the set

$$S_{\alpha} = \{ x \mid x \in X \text{ and } x < \alpha \}.$$

 S_{α} is the **section** of X by α .