

Homework 5

David Yang

1. Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. Normal with mean μ and variance σ^2 .

a) Show the MLE for σ is the square root of the MLE for σ^2 (in general, if $\hat{\theta}$ is the MLE of θ , then $\hat{\varphi} = g(\hat{\theta})$ is the MLE for $\varphi = g(\theta)$).

Solution. If X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. Normal with mean μ and variance σ^2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mu, \sigma^2) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2}} \\ &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\sum (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The log-likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned} l(\mu, \sigma^2) &= n \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \right) - \frac{\sum (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \\ &= -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{\sum (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting the partials to 0 to solve for the MLEs, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \mu} &= 2 \frac{\sum (x_i - \mu)}{2\sigma^2} \\ \frac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma^2} &= -\frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \cdot 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\sum (x_i - \mu)^2 \right) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^4} \right) \\ &= -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \sum (x_i - \mu)^2 \end{aligned}$$

The first equation is equivalent to $\sum (x_i - \mu) = 0$, so solving for μ gives

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\sum x_i}{n} = \bar{x}.$$

The second equation is equivalent to $\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \sum (x_i - \mu)^2$, and solving for σ^2 gives

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{\sum (x_i - \mu)^2}{n}.$$

Plugging in the MLE for μ , we get the MLE for $\hat{\sigma}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{\sum (x_i - \mu)^2}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if we find the MLE for σ , we get that

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \cdot 4\pi\sigma - \frac{\sum (x_i - \mu)^2}{4\sigma^3}.$$

Equating this to 0, plugging in $\hat{\mu} = \bar{x}$, and solving for σ , we find that

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2,$$

so $\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2}$. Thus, the MLE for σ is the square root of the MLE for σ^2 , as desired. ■

b) **Show that $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sum \frac{(X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n}$ has smaller mean square error than the unbiased estimate $s^2 = \sum \frac{(X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1}$.**

Solution. Note that $\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$, so

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2} \right] = n-1 \text{ and } \text{Var} \left[\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2} \right] = 2(n-1).$$

It follows that

$$\mathbb{E} [s^2] = \sigma^2 \text{ and } \text{Var} [s^2] = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1}.$$

Furthermore, we know that $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} s^2$. Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E} [\hat{\sigma}^2] = \frac{n-1}{n} \mathbb{E} [s^2] = \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2$$

and

$$\text{Var} [\hat{\sigma}^2] = \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right)^2 \text{Var} [s^2] = \frac{2\sigma^4(n-1)}{n^2}.$$

Since s^2 is an unbiased estimate, its mean square error is equal to its variance:

$$MSE(s^2) = \text{Var} [s^2] = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} MSE(\hat{\sigma}^2) &= \text{Var} [\hat{\sigma}^2] + (\mathbb{E} [\hat{\sigma}^2] - \sigma^2)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{2\sigma^4(n-1)}{n^2} \right) + \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2 - \sigma^2 \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{2\sigma^4(n-1)}{n^2} + \frac{\sigma^4}{n^2} \\ &= \frac{(2n-1)\sigma^4}{n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that by direct comparison, since $\frac{2n-1}{n^2} < \frac{2}{n-1}$, it follows that $MSE(\hat{\sigma}^2) < MSE(s^2)$, as desired. ■

- c) Find an expression for the expected value of the sample standard deviation $s = \sqrt{s^2}$. Use this to construct an unbiased estimate for σ . You could check your answer by generating sample variances from the Gamma distribution implied by a given n and σ .

Solution. We know that $\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$. Suppose that $X \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$. Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2}} \right] = \mathbb{E} [\sqrt{x}].$$

It follows from LOTUS and integral by recognition that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [\sqrt{x}] &= \int_0^\infty \sqrt{x} f_X(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \sqrt{x} \frac{x^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{x}{2}}}{2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)} dx \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{x}{2}}}{2^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} dx \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)} \end{aligned}$$

where the final step follows by recognizing the integrand as the pdf for a χ^2 variable. It follows that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2}} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{s}{\sigma} \sqrt{n-1} \right] = \frac{\sqrt{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)}.$$

Using linearity of expectation, we find that

$$\mathbb{E} [s] = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n-1}} \frac{\sqrt{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)}.$$

Thus, an unbiased estimate for σ is simply

$$\hat{\sigma} = \frac{\sqrt{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)}{\sigma \sqrt{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}.$$

■

2. Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. $\text{Poisson}(\theta)$.

- a) Let $Y = \sum X_i$. If $n = 3$, find $P(X_1 = 2, X_2 = 3, X_3 = 0 \mid Y = 5, \theta = 2)$. How does this change if $\theta = 3$?

Solution. Since the sum of Poissons is a Poisson, we know that $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(3\theta)$. For $\theta = 2$, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 = 2, X_2 = 3, X_3 = 0 \mid Y = 5) &= \frac{P(X_1 = 2, X_2 = 3, X_3 = 0 \cap Y = 5)}{P(Y = 5)} \\ &= \frac{P(X_1 = 2)P(X_2 = 3)P(X_3 = 0)}{P(Y = 5)} \end{aligned}$$

where the second line follows from the fact that each X_i are i.i.d.

Plugging in the respective probabilities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 = 2, X_2 = 3, X_3 = 0 \mid Y = 5) &= \frac{P(X_1 = 2)P(X_2 = 3)P(X_3 = 0)}{P(Y = 5)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{e^{-2}2^2}{2!} \frac{e^{-2}2^3}{3!} \frac{e^{-2}2^0}{0!}}{\frac{e^{-6}6^5}{5!}} \\ &= \boxed{\frac{10}{243}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\theta = 3$, we use the same logic to get that

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 = 2, X_2 = 3, X_3 = 0 \mid Y = 5) &= \frac{P(X_1 = 2)P(X_2 = 3)P(X_3 = 0)}{P(Y = 5)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{e^{-3}3^2}{2!} \frac{e^{-3}3^3}{3!} \frac{e^{-3}3^0}{0!}}{\frac{e^{-9}9^5}{5!}} \\ &= \boxed{\frac{10}{243}} \end{aligned}$$

and so the probability does not change depending on the value of θ . ■

- b) **Show that \bar{X} is the MLE for θ , and that the reciprocal Fisher information gives the exact variance of $\hat{\theta}$. Verify that $\text{Poisson}(\theta)$ is a 1-parameter exponential family, so you know you can use the second derivative formula for the Fisher information.**

Solution. Note that

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^{x_i}}{x_i!}$$

so the log-likelihood is

$$l(\theta) = -n\theta + \sum x_i \log \theta - \sum \log(x_i!).$$

Setting $\frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta}$ to 0, we get that

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta} = -n + \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta} = 0$$

so $\boxed{\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum x_i}{n} = \bar{x}}$ as desired.

We will now verify that $\text{Poisson}(\theta)$ is a 1-parameter exponential family, so you know you can use the second derivative formula for the Fisher information. Note that if $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x) &= \frac{\theta^x e^{-\theta}}{x!} \\ &= \exp\left(\log\left(\frac{\theta^x e^{-\theta}}{x!}\right)\right) \\ &= \exp((x \log \theta) - \log(x!) - \theta) \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely the form of the exponential family; $T(x) = x$, $\eta(\theta) = \log(\theta)$, $A(\theta) = \theta$, and $B(x) = \log(x!)$.

Thus, $\text{Poisson}(\theta)$ is a 1-parameter exponential family.

To find the Fisher information for X_1, \dots, X_n , we will use the second derivative formula. We found that

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta} = -n + \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta}$$

so

$$\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \theta^2} = -\frac{\sum x_i}{\theta^2}.$$

It follows that

$$I_n(\theta) = -\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \theta^2} \right] = -\mathbb{E} \left[-\frac{\sum x_i}{\theta^2} \right] = \frac{\mathbb{E} [\sum x_i]}{\theta^2} = \frac{n}{\theta}.$$

However, we also know that

$$\text{Var} [\hat{\theta}] = \text{Var} [\bar{X}] = \frac{n \text{Var} [X_i]}{n^2} = \frac{\theta}{n}.$$

Thus, we conclude that $\frac{1}{I_n(\theta)} = \text{Var} [\hat{\theta}]$ so the reciprocal Fisher information gives the exact variance of $\hat{\theta}$, as desired. ■

- c) **If $n = 10$ and $\theta = 10$, check the Normal approximation to the distribution of \bar{X} . Use the continuity correction to approximate $P(\bar{X} = 10)$ and compare this to the exact probability based on the associated Poisson distribution.**

Solution. Note that $\mathbb{E} [\bar{X}] = \mathbb{E} [X_i] = 10$. On the other hand, $\text{Var} [\bar{X}] = \frac{1}{n} \text{Var} [X_i] = \frac{10}{10} = 1$. It follows that the normal approximation for \bar{X} is $N(10, 1)$.

Using the continuity correction, we can approximate $P(\bar{X} = 10)$ as $P(9.95 < \bar{X} < 10.05)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} P(\bar{X} = 10) &= P(9.95 < \bar{X} < 10.05) \\ &= \text{pnorm}(10.05, 10, 1) - \text{pnorm}(9.95, 10, 1) \approx 0.0399. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, note that $P(\bar{X} = 10) = P(Y = 100)$, where $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(10 \cdot 10)$, so

$$P(Y = 100) = \frac{100^{100} e^{-100}}{100!} \approx 0.04.$$

We see that the exact probability based on the associated Poisson distribution and the Normal approximation are very close. ■

- d) **Show that $\theta \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{u})$ defines a conjugate family of prior distributions for θ , and that the posterior mean for $\theta \mid \bar{x}$ is a weighted average of \bar{x} and the prior mean μ , with more weight on \bar{x} as n increases.**

Solution. Note that \bar{X} is a sufficient statistic for X_1, \dots, X_n which are i.i.d Poisson. Consequently, conditioning on the sufficient statistic \bar{X} is equivalent to conditioning on X_1, \dots, X_n . We know that

$$f_{\theta|X_1, \dots, X_n} = f_{\theta} L(X_1, \dots, X_n | \theta)$$

where

$$f_{\theta} = \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha}{\mu}\right)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{\mu}\theta}$$

and

$$L(X_1, \dots, X_n | \theta) = \prod \frac{\theta^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\theta} = e^{-n\theta} \frac{\theta^{\sum x_i}}{\prod x_i!}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\theta|X_1, \dots, X_n} &= f_{\theta} L(X_1, \dots, X_n | \theta) \\ &= \left(\frac{\left(\frac{\alpha}{\mu}\right)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{\mu}\theta} \right) \left(e^{-n\theta} \frac{\theta^{\sum x_i}}{\prod x_i!} \right) \\ &\propto \theta^{\alpha-1+\sum x_i} e^{-\theta\left(\frac{\alpha}{\mu}+n\right)} \end{aligned}$$

which is the kernel of a Gamma $\left(\alpha + \sum x_i, \frac{\alpha}{\mu} + n\right)$ random variable.

Thus, we know that $f_{\theta|X_1, \dots, X_n} = f_{\theta|\bar{X}} \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\alpha + \sum x_i, \frac{\alpha}{\mu} + n\right)$. Since the prior and posterior distributions are in the same family (Gamma) of distributions, we know that θ defines a conjugate family of prior distributions for θ , as desired.

Finally, note that

$$\mathbb{E}[\theta | \bar{X}] = \frac{\alpha + \sum x_i}{\frac{\alpha}{\mu} + n}.$$

Manipulating this expression, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\theta | \bar{X}] &= \frac{\alpha + \sum x_i}{\frac{\alpha}{\mu} + n} \\ &= \frac{\alpha + n\bar{x}}{\frac{\alpha}{\mu} + n} \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{\frac{\alpha}{\mu} + n} + \bar{x} \left(\frac{n}{\frac{\alpha}{\mu} + n} \right) \\ &= \mu \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + n\mu} \right) + \bar{x} \left(\frac{n\mu}{\alpha + n\mu} \right), \end{aligned}$$

so the posterior mean for $\theta | \bar{x}$ is a weighted average of \bar{x} and the prior mean μ . Finally, we see that if n increases, the weight on the second term (corresponding to \bar{x}) increases, as desired. ■