

# Introduction to Game Theory

## General Principles

Dante Yasui

2024

# Outline

- Introductions
- What is EC327?
  - Syllabus
  - Schedule & Assignments

# Introductions

# Welcome to the Class!

## About Me:

- **Preferred Name:** Dante
- **Preferred Pronouns:** he, him
- 4th year Econ Phd student
- Davis, CA -> Portland, OR -> Eugene, OR

# Introduce Yourself

## IntroQuiz

- Your preferred name, pronouns; to help me get to know you
- Why did you choose this class?
- Song recommendation for [class playlist](#)

# What is EC327?

# Syllabus Document

- Most up to date version on github
- I will also upload to Canvas
- print, sign, and upload as your first assignment

# Class Expectations



# Prerequisites

- **Courses:** EC201 *or* EC202
- **Knowledge:** This class will require you to use some simple algebra and graphing skills. If you felt uncomfortable with the level of math in EC201, I recommend that you review how to solve simple systems of equations, inequalities, and fractions.
  - There are great free resources out there like [Khan Academy](#), but always feel free to reach out for help or other suggestions.

# Student Expectations

- **Come to class**

- Pay attention to lectures, take notes, review topics at home
- Participate in group work & activities
- **Ask questions!**

- **Read the textbook**

- **Communicate respectfully**

- With your classmates
- With me & grader

- **Have academic integrity**<sup>1</sup>

- Verify that all submitted work is your own
- Provide sources for *all* information that you found outside this class

# Instructor Expectations

- **Provide course material**

- Slides will be updated on github
- I will also try to post them on Canvas, but not as regularly
- Assignments posted on Canvas w/ due dates, submission entry

- **Face-to-face Interaction**

- **Classes**

- Ask me to clarify if something doesn't make sense
- Ask me to slow down if I'm going too fast

- **Office Hours**

- Use them!!

# Admin Stuff

# Problem Sets

You will practice what we learn in class on independently.

Each homework assignment will include a few problems that will be similar to what you will see on exams.

- Learning something new takes practice, so these are designed to help you keep up with the concepts
- You will be graded not only on whether you got a certain answer, but also more importantly on *how you communicate your understanding*
  - See Canvas rubric for more info

# Class Activities

- Sometimes I will ask you to play the types of games we discuss in lectures
  - Record the strategies you chose and the outcomes
  - Reflect on how you played the game
  - Relate it to lecture concepts

# Exams

You will demonstrate how well you understand concepts individually on a **midterm** and a **final** exam

- **Midterm**

- sometime around week 5 (Oct. 28th or 30th)
- Will test you on definitions, solution concepts, and apply them to critical thinking problems

- **Final**

- finals week of December 9 (check duckweb for specific date)
- Covers everything we learned in the quarter, with more focus on concepts from the 2nd half

# Grading

<b>Problem Sets</b>	30%
<b>In-class Activities</b>	10%
<b>Midterm</b>	30%
<b>Final</b>	30%



# Policies

- **No make-up exams!**
  - if you absolutely cannot attend midterm, you can add its weight to final exam
- Problem set keys posted automatically after deadline
  - -> no late submissions accepted

# Campus Resources

- **Accessible Education Center**
  - [aec.uoregon.edu](http://aec.uoregon.edu)
- **Support for victims of assault, harassment, stalking**
  - [safe.uoregon.edu](http://safe.uoregon.edu)
  - 24/7 hotline: (+1) 541-346-SAFE (7244)
- **Health and Wellbeing**
  - University Counseling Services
  - Basic Needs Program
- **Religious Observance Accommodations**
  - Provost website

# Course Pages

<https://canvas.uoregon.edu/courses/251353> - submit your assignments - check deadlines

[github.com/dyasui/EC327](https://github.com/dyasui/EC327) - Find up-to-date versions of slides/assignments - *let me know if links are broken*

# What is Game Theory?

# Motivation

What is the goal of **Game Theory**?

To understand social behavior

Why do economists study Game Theory?

We are *social* scientists

To make models, predictions, hypotheses, etc. on which to base our research

# Motivation

Why should **you** study game theory?

Practice your strategic decision-making in a safe environment

Develop your intuition for social interactions in a systematic way

Feed your curiosity for economics, social science, or philosophical questions!

# What is theory?

What is the point of *theory*?

**Simplify complex systems** - understanding one part at a time is easier than trying to

**Generate *falsifiable* hypotheses** - good econometrics is informed by good theory

# Theory in a data-driven world?

“The theory of economics does not furnish a body of settled conclusions immediately applicable to policy. It is a method rather than a doctrine, an apparatus of the mind, a technique of thinking which helps its possessor to draw correct conclusions.” — Keynes



# What are the limits to theory?

- Our models will never be perfect representations of reality
- But we should know how well they *approximate* the parts of the world we want to understand
- Theory is very useful for generating *falsifiable hypotheses*, which we can then use to guide experimental or statistical tests
- As we play games in class, we will observe the data and compare to our theoretical predictions

# What is a *game* of strategy?

Game theory: It's not all fun and games!

# Examples of *strategic games*:

Where in the goal should you kick a penalty shot?





# Examples of *strategic games*:

Where in the goal should you kick a penalty shot?

- Left, Right, Center? Up or Down?
- Is there one best place to kick?
- What if you always choose top right corner?
- Besides soccer;
  - Which offensive play in football?
  - Where to serve in a tennis court?

# Examples of *strategic games*:

If 100% of your grade in this class is decided by a *curve*, how much should you study?

- If you can all agree to take a chill quarter and not study, you could all get A's
- But what if there's at least one try-hard?
- Now how much should you study, even if you already promised you wouldn't?
- Do you think everyone else will keep their promise?

# Examples of *strategic games*:

Should you give an engagement ring to the person you want to marry?

- Why buy an expensive trinket when you could save the money for a house, etc?
- Does it matter if your recently engaged friends gave (or received) engagement rings?
- Does your paycheck vs. how much your fiancée makes matter?

# Examples of *strategic games*:

In economics, why do we say there is a ***law of one price***?

Imagine I set up a competing Starbucks franchise in the EMU

- If they sell PSL for \$6.50, what happens if I sell them for \$6.49?
- What if I sell for \$6.51?

This has been your EC201 review!

# Examples of *strategic games*:

Should the US try to defeat its rivals through **global thermonuclear war**?

- Why did the US and USSR amass massive nuclear stockpiles?
- Why has a nuclear weapon never been used in anger since Nagasaki?
- Are anti-ballistic missiles destabilizing to international relations?



'GAMES' REFERS TO MODELS, SIMULATIONS AND GAMES  
WHICH HAVE TACTICAL AND STRATEGIC APPLICATIONS.

### List Games

FALKEN'S MAZE  
BLACK JACK  
GIN RUMMY  
HEARTS  
BRIDGE  
CHECKERS  
CHESS  
POKER  
FIGHTER COMBAT  
GUERRILLA ENGAGEMENT  
DESERT WARFARE  
AIR-TO-GROUND ACTIONS  
THEATERWIDE TACTICAL WARFARE  
THEATERWIDE BIOTOXIC AND CHEMICAL WARFARE  
  
GLOBAL THERMONUCLEAR WAR



# Examples of *strategic games*:

What do these examples have in common?

# What is a Game? Definitions

# What do all these questions have in common?

- They all involve people making choices which depend on the choices of others
- In other words, they are questions of **strategy**:

**Strategic interdependence** is present in a social situation when what is best for someone *depends* on what someone else does.<sup>1</sup>

# Strategic Choice vs. other types of choices

- Economics is the study of **constrained choice**; in EC311, we introduce the *utility maximization problem* as the workhorse model
  - These types of problems usually only involve one agent; the consumer, who is only constrained by their budget
- We use the term **strategic games** to distinguish from these single-agent optimization problems

A **game** is a type of problem featuring multiple agents, called **players**,

- in which their optimal choice **depends on the optimal choices of other players**.

# A definition of a game <sup>1</sup>

A **Game** consists of:

- a collection of decision-makers, called **players**;
- the set of **information** available to each player;
- the **strategies** available to each player in each information state;
- a mapping from the intersection strategies of all players to outcomes;
- **preferences** of the individual players over all possible outcomes

# Who are the players?

# What defines a player?

- **Preferences:**

- In economics, we say people have *unlimited* wants and *limited* needs
- Therefore, we have to think about how people *prioritize* what they want more

- **Beliefs:**



# What defines a player?

- **Preferences:**
- **Beliefs:**
  - My beliefs about the world define how I act
  - If I am exposed to new *information* it can potentially change my beliefs, and therefore change my actions
  - Information and beliefs of players will define later game theory topics

# Preferences

**Preferences** describe the subjective ranking that we put on different alternatives.

For example, on the set of Eugene boba stores, my preference ranking goes like this;

1. Tea 4 - major chain, good quality and selection
2. Day & Night - they have mango sago, cute location
3. Bobahead - no more campus location 🙄
4. No boba
5. Rabbit Hole - no hate, just not for me

# Rational Preferences

The **rational model** of choice is the foundation of all economics.

Its assumptions are that:

- People have **complete preferences**
  - for *every* pair of options, you can either tell me which you prefer or that you are *indifferent*
  - for any pair of deserts,  $\{ \text{🍦}, \text{🍩} \}$ , either  $(\text{🍦} > \text{🍩})$ , or  $(\text{🍩} > \text{🍦})$ , or  $(\text{🍦} \sim \text{🍩})$
- Preferences are **transitive**
  - if  $(\text{☕} > \text{🍵})$  and  $(\text{🍵} > \text{🍺})$ , then  $(\text{☕} > \text{🍺})$  ( for any  $\{ \text{☕}, \text{🍵}, \text{🍺} \} \in \text{☕}$  )

# Rationality

One key proposition of rationality is that we can represent preferences by real numbers.

A **utility function** maps a choice to a single number.

For example, let  $u(\cdot)$  be the utility function from emoji to utils

- I can have  $u(\text{🍺}) = 5$ ,  $u(\text{🌮}) = 10$ ,  $u(\text{🤸}) = 25$
- I can also have  $u(\text{🍺}, \text{🌮}) = 20$ ,  $u(\text{🍺}, \text{🌮}, \text{🤸}) = 15$

# Ordinal vs. Cardinal numbers

- **Cardinal:** amounts which can be measured in meaningful units are *cardinal numbers*
  - examples: \$9.99, 80 students, 50:50 odds
- **Ordinal:** all that matters is the *relative ranking*
  - examples: utility; what does 100 utility mean? (it's less than 200)

# Don't worry!

- For this class, you won't need to worry about utility functions (if you want to, take EC311).
- I will almost always give you specific values of utility which we will call **payoffs**.

# Payoffs

What is a player's goal in a game?

To maximize their expected **payoff**

- A payoff can represent many things which come with a specific outcome
- Whenever you see a payoff, assume that it represents everything about that outcome which an agent cares about
- For example, your payoff to giving to charity would include the emotional benefit you feel towards giving to others

# Payoffs

What is true of payoffs?

Higher numbers are more preferred

They are **Ordinal**; i.e., the relative units don't matter <sup>1</sup>

They capture *everything* in a game that a player cares about



# Payoffs

- Sometimes my enjoyment of a thing depends on the *state* of the world

socially-dependent preferences

<b>My choice</b>	<b>My friends' choice</b>	<b>My payoff</b>
Duck's game	Duck's game	3
Duck's game	Stay home	2
Stay home	Duck's game	1
Stay home	Stay home	2

- Is this an example of a **strategic** or **non-strategic** choice?

# Expected Payoffs

Often games involve some amount of *chance*; with random probabilities of each outcome happening

- We need tools for thinking about how people think about **risk**

# Expected Payoffs

In math, an **expected value** is the average value of a *random variable*, weighted by the probability of each value occurring

# Expected Payoffs

For example, suppose  $X$  is a random variable which is equal to:

- **1** with **50%** probability,
- **2** with **25%** probability,
- **3** with **25%** probability

What is the *expected value* of  $X$ ? ( $E(X)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= .5(1) + .25(2) + .25(3) \\ &= .5 + .5 + .75 = 2.75 \end{aligned}$$

# Expected Payoffs

An **Expected Payoff** is just the *expected value* of possible payoffs

An average of the payoffs associated with every possible outcome, weighted by the corresponding probability of each outcome happening

# Expected Payoffs

Consider the following choice:

## Option A:

I flip two coins; - if both land **heads**, you win \$100 - otherwise you get \$0

## Option B:

You just get \$25 for sure

# Expected Payoffs

- If you choose **Option A**, we call you **risk averse**
- If you choose **Option B**, you are **risk loving**
- If you are *indifferent* between the two options, you would be **risk neutral**

All of these difference preferences can be incorporated in the *rational* model with the right *utility function*

# Beliefs

Our theories will have to make assumptions about what players **know**.

All of our games will at least assume that **all players know all of the rules**.



# Beliefs

We will assume that all players know<sup>1</sup>:

- Who else is playing,
- all of the strategies each player could *potentially* choose,
- everyone's payoffs for all possible combinations of strategies by all players,
- and that everyone else is maximizing their payoffs

# Taxonomy of Games

# General Categorical Terms

- **Sequential** or **Simultaneous**?
- **Zero-sum** or not
- **Perfect** or **Imperfect** information?
  - is info **symmetric** or **asymmetric**?
- **One-shot** or **Repeated**?
- '**Noncooperative**' or '**Cooperative**'?

# Sequential vs. Simultaneous

## **Sequential** Games

- players make their moves one after the other
- **Chapter** 3
- **Visual tool:** *game trees*
- **Solution Method:** *backwards induction*

## **Simultaneous** Games

- players make their moves at the same time
- **Chapter** 4 & 5
- **Visual tool:** *payoff tables*
- **Solution Method:** *best responses, deletion of dominated strats*

# Sequential Games

In **sequential** games, you have to think about how what you do **now** will affect what your opponent will do in the **future**.

This hierarchy of thinking will make these types of games a little easier for us to think through, so they will be our first category of games.

- We'll see that sometimes **moving first** can be advantageous
- While other times it's best to **wait and see** what someone else does

# Simultaneous Games

In **simultaneous** games, you have to figure out what to do **now** while also thinking about what your opponent is thinking you will do **now**.

This circular thinking can get confusing, so we'll try to develop some new methods for organizing these games in chapter 4.

# Conflicting vs. Common Interests?

Many games you are familiar with have distinct *winners* and *losers*. But in other types of games, it's possible for everyone to come out ahead.

# Zero-sum (or constant-sum) Games

When the *total payoffs of all players sum to 0*<sup>1</sup>

- i.e, one player's gain is another player's loss
- Examples: NCAA Football Championship, Scramble for Africa (technically *constant-sum*)

...

Not all games are fixed or zero sum;

- Examples: Hiring a tutor (mutual gains), International Trade, etc.



# Are strategic interactions Repeated?

A **one-shot** game is played only once by the same set of players.

- If you've never played against someone before, you can't form any **beliefs** about them
- Secrecy or surprise are potentially good strategies in these games

A game is called **repeated** if the same players play it with each other many times.

- If you know your opponent, then your **reputation** becomes valuable if you want to cooperate

# Full or Equal Information

How much does each player know when they decide?

- **Perfect Information:** when players know all previous moves and external circumstances
  - players may have ***imperfect info***; uncertainty about the state of the world, previous' moves, or other player's 'type'
- **Asymmetric Info:** when one player has access to information that other players do not
  - Examples: hand in poker, used car salesmen
  - Topics: Signaling, Screening

# Can agreements be Enforced?

- Self-interest and common good can often conflict.
- In these situations, players need to form agreements in order to achieve cooperative outcomes.

But can people actually be held to those agreements?

For example:

- Paris Climate accords; who polices nation-state's carbon reductions?
- Public goods; why do we need the Internal Revenue Service?

# Can agreements be Enforced?

Two broad categories of the field are **cooperative** game theory and **noncooperative** game theory<sup>1</sup>

**Cooperative** games are those in which agreements are enforceable

In **Noncooperative** games, no-one can be forced not to act in their own self-interest

# Equilibrium

In each of these different categories of games, we will want to make predictions as to how rational agents will behave

- Our methods of *solving* each type of game will be to look for different types of **equilibria**

## Equilibrium

Where every player's strategy is a **best-response** to the other player(s)

# Equilibrium

Why study equilibria?

- They are **stable**: if nobody has anything better to do than what they're doing now then why change?
- We can adapt our models to all types of equilibria
  - Market or non-market
  - Dynamic or static

# Equilibrium

A few different types of equilibria in this class:

- **Nash equilibrium**
  - **Subgame perfect** NE
  - **Mixed strategy** NE
  - **Bayes-Nash** equilibrium

# Tentative Schedule

Week	Chapter(s)	Topic
1	1, 2	Intro & General Principles
2	3	Sequential Move Games
3	4	Simultaneous Move Games
4	6	Combining Sequential and Simultaneous Moves
5	6	Review & <b>Midterm</b>
6	5	Simultaneous Games: Continuous Strategies, Discussion, and Evidence
7	7	Mixed Strategies
8	8	Strategic Moves
9	9	Uncertainty and Information
10	10	Repeated Games