

# Econ 327: Game Theory

## Homework #6

University of Oregon

Due: Nov. 19<sup>th</sup>

Question:	Q1	Q2	Q3	Total
Points:	12	12	16	40
Score:				

### For homework assignments:

- Complete *all* questions and parts.
- You will be graded on not only the content of your work but on how clearly you present your ideas. Make sure that your handwriting is legible. Please use extra pages if you run out of space but make sure that all parts of a question are in the correct order when you submit.
- You may choose to work with others, but everyone must submit to Canvas individually.  
Please include the names of everyone who you worked with below your own name.

Name \_\_\_\_\_

<p><b>Note:</b> All Questions are adapted from problems in Dixit, Skeath and Reiley, <i>Games of Strategy</i>, Fourth Edition.</p>
--

**Q1.** Consider a subsistence economy where each farmer has their own plot of land. The yield of each plot of land depends on the local weather conditions. With 50% probability, there are good growing conditions and a plot yields 100 bushels of yams. On the other hand, there is a 50% chance that growing conditions are bad, in which case the plot only yields 36 bushels.

Suppose that all farmers are risk-averse, and they each have a utility function  $u(x) = \sqrt{x}$  for any  $x$  number of bushels they consume.

- (a) [4 points] Solve for one farmer's expected utility for one harvest year. How many bushels would they have to receive for certain to receive the same amount of utility?
- (b) [4 points] Now suppose that there are two farmers who own separate plots where the probability of good or bad growing conditions on each farmer's plot are completely independent of each other. Suppose that they can agree to the following deal. Regardless of their respective luck, they will always pool their harvests and then split them equally. What are the probabilities of each of the four possible luck combinations? Solve for the expected utility to either farmer under this deal.
- (c) [4 points] Would it make sense for a farmer to take this deal? How many bushels of their harvest would a farmer be willing to pay for an insurance policy that guarantees the pooled harvests? Explain in your own words.

- Q2.** A local charity has been given a grant to serve free meals to the homeless in its community, but it is worried that its program might be exploited by nearby college students, who are always on the lookout for a free meal. Both a homeless person and a college student receive a payoff of 10 for a free meal. The cost of standing in line for the meal is  $t^2/250$  for a homeless person and  $t^2/160$  for a college student, where  $t$  is the amount of time in line measured in minutes. Assume that the staff of the charity cannot observe the true type of those coming for free meals. Also assume that the payoff of not eating a meal (and not waiting) is always zero.
- (a) [4 points] What is the minimum wait time that will keep college students away?
  - (b) [4 points] What is the maximum wait time until homeless people also leave the line?
  - (c) [4 points] After a while, the charity finds that it can successfully identify and turn away college students half of the time. College students who are turned away receive no free meal, still have to wait in line, and, further incur a cost of 5 for their time and embarrassment. Will the partial identification of college students reduce or increase the answer in part (a)? Explain.

**Q3.** Consider a game between a union and the company that employs the union membership. The union can threaten to strike (or not) to get the company to meet its wage and benefits demands. When faced with a threatened strike, the company can choose to concede to the demands of the union or to defy its threat of a strike. The union, however, does not know the company's profit position when it decides whether to make its threat; it does not know whether the company is sufficiently profitable to meet its demands—and the company's assertions in this matter cannot be believed. Nature determines whether the company is profitable; the probability that the firm is unprofitable is  $p$ .

The payoff structure is as follows: (i) When the union makes no threat, the union gets a payoff of 0 (regardless of the profitability of the company). The company gets a payoff of 100 if it is profitable but a payoff of 10 if it is unprofitable. A passive union leaves more profit for the company if there is any profit to be made. (ii) When the union threatens to strike and the company concedes, the union gets 50 (regardless of the profitability of the company) and the company gets 50 if it is profitable but -40 if it is not. (iii) When the union threatens to strike and the company defies the union's threat, the union must strike and gets -100 (regardless of the profitability of the company). The company gets -100 if it is profitable and -10 if it is not. Defiance is very costly for a profitable company but not so costly for an unprofitable one.

- (a) [4 points] What are the best responses of the profitable and unprofitable company types when the union uses the pure threat to strike unless the company concedes to the union's demands?
- (b) [4 points] Suppose that the union sets up a situation in which there is some risk, with probability  $q < 1$ , that it will strike after the company defies its threat. This risk may arise from the union leadership's imperfect ability to keep the membership in line. Draw an extensive form game tree.
- (c) [4 points] Solve for a condition on  $q$  such that a profitable firm will concede to the union's demands.
- (d) [4 points] Solve for a condition in terms of  $p$  and  $q$  such that the union is willing to threaten a strike when they believe that the profitable firm will concede and the unprofitable firm will defy.