Predicting Mental Health Disorders Using Client Level Reporting Data and Machine Learning Models

38

40

42

44

46

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

63

65

67

69

71

72

73

Daniel Harvey

Columbia University

dyh2111@columbia.edu

2

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

24

25

27

28

29

31

32

34

35

37

Dr. Michelle F. Levine Columbia University mf141@columbia.edu

Abstract

This study explores the use of machine learning to predict mental health disorders using the 2022 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' CBHSO Mental Health Client-Level Data (MH-CLD). The dataset (N = 370,515) was filtered to focus on a representative adult population aged 18 and older who have completed high school and meet specific societal criteria. Key demographic socioeconomic features including age, gender, race, marital status, education, and employment, were utilized to predict one of five mental health disorders including anxiety. bipolar disorder. schizophrenia.

Multiple machine learning models were tested and evaluated, with recall chosen as the primary performance metric to assess the models' effectiveness in identifying cases. To address class imbalance, the dataset was upsampled using SMOTE)=. Among the tested models, a seven-layer feed-forward neural network (N parameters = 100,000) achieved an average recall rate positive of 95.8%, classification rate demonstrating the potential of machine learning in early detection and prediction of mental health disorders in the studied population.

33 1 Introduction

Mental health has become an increasingly prominent topic in everyday life, gaining attention in schools, workplaces, and the media. Discussions about mental health are now common in college orientations and on social platforms. However, many mental health disorders often go undetected or unrecognized until they reach a critical stage, thus having a tool for early detection would be crucial for improving long term quality of life. This is particularly important given the various levels of impairment and debilitation mental illnesses can cause.

It is estimated that over one in five U.S. adults live with a mental illness, accounting for 59.3 million individuals in 2022, or 23.1% of the adult population (NIMH, 2022).

Mental illnesses encompass a wide range of conditions that require clinical diagnosis, contingent on the patient recognizing the need for help and seeking a provider. In the United States, diagnoses are typically guided by two key classification systems: the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) and the International Classification of Diseases (ICD). These systems categorize mental, behavioral, and emotional disorders and cover a broad spectrum of conditions, including anxiety, depression, schizophrenia (Clark, L. A., 2017).

Despite their utility, accurately diagnosing mental illnesses remains challenging due to issues such as overlapping symptoms among disorders (comorbidity), the arbitrary thresholds defining specific conditions, and the complexity of their multifactorial etiology. While the DSM and ICD provide essential frameworks, their limitations underscore the need complementary tools to address the high prevalence and diverse presentations of mental illnesses.

77 2 Research Question

76

78 This study leverages the U.S. Department of 79 Health and Human Services' Mental Health 80 Client-Level Data (MH-CLD) to predict the 81 likelihood of developing specific mental health 82 disorders using machine learning models. At the 83 time of this study, the most recent publicly 84 available dataset available through the SAMHSA 85 website, the 2022 MH-CLD dataset containing 86 records (N=6,957,919) of clinically diagnosed 87 individuals, the study seeks to explore the 88 predictive power of demographic features such as 89 age, gender, race, location, education, marital 90 status, and employment in mental health 91 diagnosis. The goal is to determine whether 92 disorders such as trauma and stressor related 93 disorders, anxiety, bipolar, depressive, and 94 schizophrenia/psychotic can be accurately 95 classified, ultimately leading to the notion of 96 correlation to a diagnosis. Machine learning 97 models trained on this dataset provide an 98 opportunity to uncover complex patterns and 99 predictors that could aid in early detection or at 100 least grounds for a consult, especially in low 101 threshold patients who do not yet realize their 102 disorder, ultimately contributing to improved 103 quality of life and reduced long-term care 104 requirements for affected individuals. Additionally, with the rise of social 106 media—particularly driven by lifestyle, travel, and business influencers—and given that this data 108 was collected toward the end of the COVID-19 109 pandemic, we hypothesize that self-esteem and 110 self-worth-related mental health issues, such as 111 depression, would be most prevalent in the 112 general public, particularly among unemployed 113 individuals.

114 3 Related Work

115 Despite the large sample size and high-quality, 116 provider-sourced data in the SAMSHA MH-CLD, 117 this dataset remains underutilized in peer-118 reviewed scientific research. Where some studies 119 have leveraged it, our project's scope and target 120 populations differ from the present work.

121 In one study, a multi-label neural network was applied to predict mental health outcomes in three 123 categories of young adults aged 15 to 24 focusing

124 on using age, education, race, gender, marital 125 status, and their employment as predictive 126 features for predicting Anxiety and Depression 127 (Verma and Supekar, 2024). Their model performed well, achieving an average accuracy of 129 93%. However, we noted that accuracy was the 130 only evaluation metric used. In the unfiltered dataset, depressive disorders accounted for 26.6% of cases, while anxiety made up 19.8%. Given this 133 class imbalance, achieving a high accuracy score 134 could be misleading. For example, in the case of anxiety, a model that classified every case as 136 negative would still achieve 80.2% accuracy. This 137 reliance on accuracy alone risks obscuring the model's performance in identifying the true target 139 disorders.

Another study explored the use of neural networks and logistic regression to examine the co-occurrence of substance abuse with anxiety and depressive disorders in adults (Ware et al., 144 2024). This study found that approximately 30% of the dataset's population exhibited co-occurrence, with key predictive factors including the region where treatment was received, age, education, gender, race, ethnicity, and the presence of anxiety and depressive disorders. While this study utilized the same dataset, it focused on the interplay between mental health and substance use—a population explicitly excluded from the present study.

A study examining the prevalence of mental health disorders in older adults 50+ found 156 this age group had higher odds of developing 157 depressive disorders compared to younger age 158 groups (Choi 2022). Utilizing logistic regression, 159 researchers identified gender, race/ethnicity, 160 census region, and alcohol/substance use disorder 161 as significant predictive features. Additionally, 162 their study found that this older population specifically aged 50-64 and 65+ had higher odds 164 of depressive disorders in outpatient-only settings and were more likely to be diagnosed with 166 schizophrenia or other psychotic disorders across 167 outpatient-only, combined outpatient inpatient, and inpatient-only service settings.

A study published in 2023 investigated the relationship between trauma and stressor related disorders and substance abuse vs gender (Ware 2023). By utilizing a logistic regression model together with the 2013 - 2019 MH-CLD datasets, they found that men were more likely to

175 have substance use together with serious mental 176 illnesses.

177 Lastly, a study examining a diverse array of 178 studies utilizing several data sources, including 179 social media interactions, genetic profiles, 180 electroencephalogram (EEG) data, and electronic 181 health records, discussed the growing body of 182 work utilizing machine learning in the mental 183 health realm (Su, C et al 2020). With 57 out of 184 2261 studies meeting the inclusion criteria, the 185 authors discussed the promising work being 186 produced but also the pitfalls of these complex, 187 more difficult to explain models.

188 In this study, we focus on a refined population group: adults aged 18 and older who meet stricter 190 criteria emphasizing societal factors such as 191 education level, stable housing, and the absence of 192 substance abuse issues. This approach aims to 193 model the general workforce-eligible population. 194 Additionally, by implementing neural networks granular class-level 195 with metrics 196 concentrating on a distinct population segment 197 not addressed in previous MH-CLD-based 198 research, this study provides a novel contribution 199 to predictive mental health analysis.

Dataset

217

201 The dataset used in this study originates from the 202 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' 203 Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services 204 Administration (SAMHSA), which oversees 205 public behavioral health. Public and private 206 mental health care providers receiving public 207 funding report client level data on individuals 208 receiving mental health treatment services during 209 a state-defined 12-month reporting period. This 210 data is provided processed, cleaned, and 211 anonymized by SAMHSA for public release, such 212 that the dataset includes only non-personally 213 identifiable information, such as up to three 214 clinically diagnosed mental health conditions, 215 patient demographics, and data for new, current, 216 and discharged patients.

It encompasses data from all reporting 218 states as well as the Commonwealth of the 219 Northern Mariana Islands, the Republic of Palau, 220 Puerto Rico, and the District of Columbia. For 221 2022, locales such as American Samoa, the 222 Federated States of Micronesia, Guam, Maine, the 223 Marshall Islands, and the U.S. Virgin Islands did 224 not provide sufficient data to be included in this 225 edition, but have contributed to past editions.

Each record represents an individual 227 under care, with 40 features encoded numerically 228 using a feature map. To focus on the risks of 229 mental health disorders within the typical adult, 230 work-able population, an a priori inclusion criteria was developed to include individuals who are 18 232 years or older, have completed high school or 233 equivalent, reside in private housing, and do not 234 have a reported substance abuse problem. The 235 filtering criteria were defined in Table 1.

236 5 **Tech Stack**

237 After filtering, the final dataset comprised 238 370,817 samples spanning 10 features. The large 239 volume of data was processed using Google 240 Cloud's BigQuery, a SQL-based 241 warehousing platform, while Python 3.10 and 242 Colab Enterprise using either an NVIDIA T4 or 243 A100 GPU were employed for data exploration, 244 modeling, and prediction.

Data analysis revealed significant class 246 imbalances among the 12 mental health disorders. 247 The most prevalent conditions were depressive 248 disorders (33.4%), bipolar disorder (18.1%), and 249 schizophrenia/psychotic disorders (15.1%). In 250 contrast, the least represented disorders—conduct 251 disorder, delirium/dementia, and oppositional 252 defiant disorder—each accounted for less than 253 0.1% of cases. Due to these extreme imbalances. 254 the original plan to predict the most likely mental 255 disorder among all 12 classes was reconsidered. 256 This study instead focuses on the five most disorders: depressive, 257 represented 258 schizophrenia/psychotic, anxiety, and trauma- and 259 stressor-related disorders.

Metrics 261 6

262 Given the medical nature of the research question 263 and the recognition that accuracy is not an 264 appropriate metric due to the imbalanced data, the 265 priority was to minimize false negatives, as missed diagnoses would have greater implications as we posed this study as an early detection tool. Consequently, recall was chosen as the primary evaluation metric. The neural network demonstrated the greatest potential for improving recall and was selected for further optimization.

7 Baseline Model

273 To ensure compatibility with various machine 274 learning models during selection, the features were transformed into one-hot encoded vectors. The dataset was split into development, test, and validation sets using a 60/30/10 ratio, with 278 stratification to preserve even class distribution 279 across the splits. For initial model exploration, a smaller stratified subset (N = 74,103) was created, maintaining the original feature distribution. This subset was further divided into 283 classification tasks for each mental illness, 284 addressing class imbalance issues and enabling 285 more reliable predictions during the initial model 286 selection phase.

The depressive disorders subset, having the highest number of cases (N = 74,910), was selected to evaluate potential models. A logistic regression model with L1 regularization was implemented as a baseline for each of the five disorders, providing initial performance benchmarks. Additionally, several unoptimized models, including decision trees, support vector machines (SVMs) implemented with Scikit-learn, and a fully connected neural network built with TensorFlow/Keras were all tested for model consideration.

99 8 Final Model

The neural network offers several advantages.
According to the Universal Approximation
Theorem (Hornik et al 1989), neural networks
have the ability to model any distribution or
mathematical function. Additionally, when new
data becomes available, they can continue training
or be retrained. As historical datasets from 2012
were available, plans to incorporate this data to
make assess whether it could improve predictive
performance, as neural networks generally benefit
from more data.

To avoid the inconvenience of building, 312 tuning, and managing five separate models for 313 each diagnosis, five one-hot encoded features 314 were added to represent the type of diagnosis. This

Model	Recall	F1-	Accuracy
		Score	
Logistic	0.60	0.49	0.58
Regression			
LR -	0.60	0.49	0.58
Elastic			
LR	0.60	0.49	0.58
Newton-			
Colesky			
Decision	0.57	0.47	0.57
Tree			
Random	0.55	0.47	0.58
Forest			
Neural	0.63	0.49	0.55
Network			

Table 2: Exploratory Model Results for Depressive Disorder

315 allows a single model to classify and switch 316 modes depending on the diagnosis being 317 considered.

The model consists of a 7-layer feedforward fully connected neural network that
employs dropout regularization. The model was
tuned across several hyperparameters to find the
optimal configuration based on the validation
the validation across set. The model's output is binary, utilizing a
learning rate of 0.012, binary cross-entropy loss,
and a final binary sigmoid output.

The final model was trained on the entire 327 dataset, but its performance remained suboptimal 328 due to the class imbalance. To address this, a 329 synthetic resampling technique called Borderline-330 SMOTE was applied only to the training data. 331 SMOTE (Synthetic Minority Over-sampling 332 Technique) is a method that generates synthetic 333 samples for the minority class to balance the 334 dataset by modeling its distribution to match that 335 of the majority class. With SMOTE, the new 336 dataset (N=1816418) was created and used for 337 training. Imbalance techniques should be applied 338 only to the training data when training a model, while the original, unaltered data should be used 340 for validation and testing to accurately assess the 341 model's generalization to future data.

The model was trained for 25 epochs with a batch size of 128, optimizing recall on the validation set.

ature	Description		
Age	Limited to individuals 18+ to exclude developmental differences in younger patients (0–11 years), which may affect symptom reporting and self-expression.		
Education	Included only high school graduates or above to reflect a typical work- eligible population, excluding individuals who may have faced educational barriers.		
Race	Records missing race information were excluded, leaving approximately 6 million records. Including race enhances predictive accuracy for population trends.		
Gender	Invalid or missing gender data were excluded, retaining about 6.9 million records without compromising data integrity.		
State	Non-U.S. jurisdictions (853 records) were excluded to focus on the general U.S. population.		
Marital Status	While marital status data were missing for 40% of records, the remaining data offer valuable insights into demographic trends.		
Employment Status	Excluded records with 'Unknown' employment status (61% of data) to focus on employment's correlation with mental health.		
Housing	Retained only records for individuals living in private residences, aligning with the study's focus on typical societal contributors.		
Number of Diagnosed Disorders	Retained all records as these provide direct insights into mental health burdens.		
Substance Abuse	Excluded individuals with reported substance abuse problems to reduce confounding factors.		

Table 1: Filtering Criteria of Dataset

346 9 Results

The model demonstrated an average recall score of 0.75, F1-score of 0.42 across all disorders. These findings suggest that neural networks hold promise for predicting mental health conditions using broad feature sets.

352 10 Future Work

353 Given the promising initial results, future work could expand the scope and utility of the model in several ways. Incorporating top-3 predictions based on the highest probabilities could align more closely with the dataset, where up to three conditions are often reported. Feature expansion, such as integrating additional data sources like electronic health records, vital signs, and

361 laboratory results, could further enhance the
362 model's predictive accuracy by capturing clinical
363 and physiological markers. Additionally, while
364 socioeconomic and demographic data offer
365 valuable insights, their predictive power may be
366 limited without more granular details. Collecting
367 patient-level data, including lifestyle habits, social
368 factors, and psychological assessments, could
369 significantly improve the model's ability to
370 identify and predict mental health conditions

371 11 Limitations

This study relied exclusively on data provided by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). While this dataset is extensive, it represents only individuals who received funding for mental health services

through this organization. As such, the data may reflect the broader population, particularly individuals receiving care from private providers who may have different socioeconomic and demographic profiles. This mental health disorders and limit the model's generalizability.

Additionally, the dataset inherently excludes individuals who are unaware of their mental health conditions or those who lack access to, or choose not to seek, professional help. This omission highlights the potential for bias in the results, as the data does not capture the full spectrum of mental health conditions in the general population.

Finally, it is important to acknowledge that the machine learning tools used in this study are intended solely for educational and research purposes. They are exploratory in nature and not designed for diagnostic use. Accurate diagnosis of mental health conditions should always be performed by licensed professionals.

400 Ethics Statement

The dataset used in this study was provided and approved by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). It was fully anonymized and prepared in accordance with guidelines to ensure the protection of personally identifiable information (PII), making to trivial trivial to the suitable for public consumption.

This paper was not reviewed or approved by the Columbia ethics board. The analysis and interpretations presented are solely the responsibility of the authors and were conducted independently within the scope of public data usage.

414 Acknowledgments

The author extends their gratitude to Professor Levine for her invaluable guidance throughout the course Empirical Methods of Data Science. Special thanks are also due to TA Aimee Oh for her support and to fellow classmates for their collaboration, peer-review, and encouragement.

421 References

Clark, L. A., Cuthbert, B., Lewis-Fernández, R.,
 Narrow, W. E., & Reed, G. M. (2017). Three
 Approaches to Understanding and Classifying
 Mental Disorder: ICD-11, DSM-5, and the

National Institute of Mental Health's Research
Domain Criteria (RDoC). *Psychological Science
in the Public Interest*, 18(2), 72-145.
https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100617727266

Choi, N. G., DiNitto, D. M., & Marti, C. N. (2022).
Public mental health service use among U.S. adults
age 50+ compared to younger age groups. *Social
Work in Health Care*, 61(9–10), 499–515.
https://doi.org/10.1080/00981389.2022.2154886

430

436

446

452

463

470

Edward, V., & Moreira, V. (2023). Mental Health in
 Racial Minorities. *Digital Commons Kennesaw*.
 Available at:
 https://digitalcommons.kennesaw.edu

Hornik, K., Stinchcombe, M., & White, H. (1989).
Multilayer feedforward networks are universal approximators. *Neural Networks*, 2(5), 359-366.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0893-6080(89)90020-8

Nsiah, E. O. (2023). A Comparison of Variant
 Methods in Random Forest with Multicollinearity
 Data for Classification Prediction Modeling.
 ProQuest Dissertations & Theses. Available at:
 https://search.proquest.com

453 Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services 454 Administration (SAMHSA). (2024). Mental 455 Health Client-Level Data (MH-CLD). Available at: 456 https://www.samhsa.gov/data/data-we-collect/mh-457 cld/datafiles

Su, C., Xu, Z., Pathak, J., et al. (2020). Deep learning
in mental health outcome research: a scoping
review. *Translational Psychiatry*, 10, 116.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-020-0780-3

Sung, M., Rees, V. W., Lee, H., & Jalali, M. S. (2024).
Assessment of Epidemiological Data and
Surveillance in Korea Substance Use Research:
Insights and Future Directions. *Journal of
Preventive Medicine*. Available at:
https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Verma, A., & Supekar, K. (2024). Novel Neural
 Network Models for Predicting Mental Health
 Outcomes in the US Youth Population. *Journal of
 Student Research*. Available at: https://jsr.org

```
476 Ware, O. D., Lee, K. A., Lombardi, B., & Buccino, D.
     L. (2024). Artificial Neural Network Analysis
     Examining Substance Use Problems Co-Occurring
478
     with Anxiety and Depressive Disorders Among
479
     Adults Receiving Mental Health Treatment.
480
     *Journal
                 of
                       Dual
                               Diagnosis*,
                                               1-12.
481
     https://doi.org/10.1080/15504263.2024.2357623
482
```

Ware, O. D., Lee, K. A., Lombardi, B., & Buccino, D.
 L. (2024). Artificial Neural Network Analysis
 Examining Substance Use Problems Co-Occurring
 with Anxiety and Depressive Disorders Among
 Adults Receiving Mental Health Treatment.
 Journal of Dual Diagnosis, Taylor & Francis.

490

498

Ware, O. D., Strickland, J. C., Smith, K. E., Blakey,
S. M., & Dunn, K. E. (2023). Factors Associated
with High-Risk Substance Use in Persons
Receiving Psychiatric Treatment for a Primary
Trauma- and Stressor-Related Disorder Diagnosis.
Journal of Dual Diagnosis, 19(4), 199–208.
https://doi.org/10.1080/15504263.2023.2260340

Ware, O. D., Zerden, L. D., Duron, J. F., & Xu, Y.
 (2024). Prevalence of Co-Occurring Conditions
 Among Youths Receiving Treatment with Primary
 Anxiety, ADHD, or Depressive Disorder
 Diagnoses. *Frontiers in Child and Adolescent
 Psychiatry*. Available at: https://frontiersin.org