

# Exploratory Study: Alternative Control Strategies

Sriram Bharadwaj  
MT2024114

December 15, 2025

## 1 Overview and Motivation

While the primary project methodology focuses on Nishad Bagade’s experiments, I conducted an extensive parallel study to evaluate the limitations of different control signal modalities. This section documents my progression through three distinct control paradigms:

1. **Structural Control:** Attempting to disentangle geometry from texture in vehicle re-spraying.
2. **Discrete Semantic Control:** Using bounding box layouts to guide composition.
3. **Continuous Color Control:** Using abstract spatial maps (“Mosaic”) to guide style and atmosphere.

This comparative study highlights critical challenges I encountered in ControlNet training, specifically regarding “Concept Bleeding” and “Spatial-Semantic Mismatch.”

## 2 Study I: Structural Disentanglement (Vehicle Respray)

My initial objective was to develop a *Virtual Vehicle Respray* system capable of modifying vehicle paint while preserving chassis geometry using Canny Edge ControlNet.

### 2.1 Engineering Stabilization: The Manual Loop

Initial attempts using high-level abstractions (HuggingFace `accelerate`) caused persistent “Mixed Dtype” crashes due to conflicts between AdamW (Float32) and model weights (Float16). I transitioned to a manual training loop using raw PyTorch with `GradScaler`:

- **Frozen Components:** VAE, U-Net, and Text Encoder cast to Float16.
- **Trainable Components:** ControlNet maintained in Float32 for gradient stability.

This architecture enabled stable training on consumer GPUs (Tesla T4) without OOM errors.

### 2.2 The “Ghost Car” Phenomenon & Structural Forcing

Early inference yielded a “Generic Sedan” failure mode, where the base model’s prior overpowered the control signal (e.g., a Lamborghini input became a generic white Mercedes). To counter this, I introduced **Structural Forcing** via prompt dropout:

$$P(\text{prompt} = "") = \lambda_{\text{structure}} \approx 0.7 \tag{1}$$

By removing text conditioning during training, I forced the model to rely solely on edge features to resolve the latent image. This resulted in the “Ghost Car”—a gray, geometrically perfect reconstruction of the input, confirming structural locking.

### 2.3 Failure Analysis: The “Gray Labeling” Trap

In the final validation, Red Ferraris were consistently reconstructed as Silver sedans. Root cause analysis traced this to the data preprocessing: my K-Means color extractor misclassified metallic paints (high specularity) as “Gray.” The model effectively learned the mapping **Image: Red Ferrari**  $\rightarrow$  **Text: “Gray Car”**, reinforcing the dataset bias. I mitigated this during inference using a “Force-Feeding” strategy with high guidance scales ( $> 8.5$ ) and prompt weighting.

## 3 Study II: Discrete Layout Control (Semantic Bounding Boxes)

Following the structural experiments, I investigated **Discrete Semantic Control**. I implemented a ControlNet conditioned on a canvas of colored bounding boxes corresponding to COCO object categories, aiming to control scene composition explicitly.

### 3.1 Methodology Refinements

To improve data quality, I engineered several enhancements to the layout generation pipeline:

- **The Painter’s Algorithm:** I sorted annotations by area, drawing the smallest objects last to prevent occlusion by larger bounding boxes.
- **Golden Angle Coloring:** I replaced random RGB assignment with deterministic Golden Angle hue generation to maximize visual separability between classes.
- **Instance Borders:** Black separation borders were added to prevent the merging of adjacent instances (e.g., two people becoming one blob).

### 3.2 Failure Analysis: Semantic Bleeding

Despite these improvements, the Layout model revealed a critical theoretical limitation: **Concept Bleeding**.

- **The Problem:** When a small object box (e.g., “Cat”) was placed inside a larger box (e.g., “Person”), the model frequently merged the concepts. Instead of a person holding a cat, the model generated a person wearing a cat-patterned shirt.
- **Prior Dominance:** The Stable Diffusion prior often overruled the spatial layout. If a “Frisbee” box was placed near a “Person,” the model would often hallucinate a “Dog” nearby, regardless of the layout, due to strong dataset correlations.

## 4 Study III: Continuous Color Guidance (The Mosaic Approach)

To resolve the issues of Concept Bleeding found in discrete layouts, I pivoted to **Continuous Color Control**. I hypothesized that abstract color maps could guide composition without triggering semantic conflicts.

### 4.1 Attempt A: Global Palette Conditioning (Failure)

Initially, I conditioned the model on horizontal color strips representing the global palette.

- **The Sepia Trap:** The model exhibited severe mode collapse, converging to a muddy, vintage aesthetic.
- **Root Cause:** This was a **Spatial-Semantic Mismatch**. ControlNet is a spatially-aligned architecture (pixel-to-pixel). Feeding it abstract, non-spatial strips forced the model to learn an impossible mapping, causing it to revert to the average mean color of the dataset (brown/sepia).

## 4.2 Attempt B: Spatial Color Map (Success)

I corrected the spatial mismatch by developing the “Mosaic” signal: downscaling ground truth images to a grid (e.g.,  $32 \times 32$ ) and upscaling them back. This preserved spatial correspondence while abstracting detail.

- **Hardware Optimization:** To train on the WikiArt dataset with limited VRAM (15GB), I utilized **Gradient Accumulation** (simulating batch size 4) and **Gradient Checkpointing** on the ControlNet module.
- **Results:** Unlike the Layout approach, the Mosaic signal is *class-agnostic*. A red block in the lower left could become a red sofa, a fire, or a sunset depending entirely on the prompt. This successfully eliminated semantic bleeding and allowed for robust “Composition Transfer” (e.g., applying the lighting composition of a street scene to a living room generation).

## 5 Comparative Conclusion

This study demonstrates a clear trade-off between discrete and continuous control signals.

1. **Discrete Controls (Layouts)** suffer from semantic conflict; when the control signal (Box: Cat) conflicts with the base model’s prior (Context: Person), the model tends to merge features destructively.
2. **Continuous Controls (Mosaic/Canny)** provide superior fidelity because they decouple semantics from structure. The Mosaic approach proved most effective for artistic workflows, as it constrains *where* things are (via color distribution) without enforcing *what* they are, leaving the semantic interpretation to the text prompt.