

MA281: Introduction to Linear Algebra

Dylan C. Beck

Acknowledgements

Primarily, the contents of this document were created in the Fall 2022 semester at Baker University. I would like to express my gratitude toward my students in MA281 — especially those who assisted in the enhancement of these notes with comments and suggestions, including Ben Stubblefield.

Contents

1	Matrices and Vector Spaces	7
1.1	Matrices and Matrix Addition	7
1.2	Rotation Matrices and Matrix Multiplication	10
1.3	Elementary Row and Column Operations	14
1.4	The Method of Gaussian Elimination in Linear Systems	17
1.5	Invertible Matrices	21
1.6	Vector Spaces	25
1.7	Span and Linear Independence	29
1.8	Vector Space Dimension	33
1.9	Matrix Rank	35
	References	40

Chapter 1

Matrices and Vector Spaces

Often, real-world problems require us to deal with large amounts of data and information that can be most efficiently organized by rows and columns in what we will refer to as a matrix. We will soon see that matrices possess an arithmetic that yields a highly sophisticated and useful theory.

1.1 Matrices and Matrix Addition

Unless otherwise specified, we will assume throughout this chapter that m and n are positive integers. We say that a visual representation of any collection of data arranged into m rows and n columns is an $m \times n$ **array**. Each object of an $m \times n$ array A is a **component** or **element** of A . Each component of A can be uniquely identified by specifying its row and column. Explicitly, we use the symbol a_{ij} to indicate the component of A in the i th row and j th column; often, we will refer to a_{ij} as the (i, j) th **entry** of the array A . Collectively, therefore, we may view the array A as **indexed** by its objects a_{ij} for each pair of integers $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Components of the form a_{ii} are referred to as the **diagonal** entries of A because they lie in the same row and column of A ; the collection of all diagonal entries of A is called the **main diagonal** of A . We will adopt the convention that an $m \times n$ array be written using large rectangular brackets, as in the following.

Example 1.1.1. Consider the case that Alice, Bob, Carly, and Daryl play Bridge together. If Alice and Carly belong to one team and Bob and Daryl belong to the opposing team, then we may encode this information (i.e., these teams) as the two columns of the following 2×2 array T .

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Alice} & \text{Bob} \\ \text{Carly} & \text{Daryl} \end{bmatrix}$$

Observe that $t_{11} = \text{Alice}$, $t_{12} = \text{Bob}$, $t_{21} = \text{Carly}$, and $t_{22} = \text{Daryl}$. One could also just as well swap the rows and columns to display the teams as rows by constructing the following 2×2 array T^t .

$$T^t = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Alice} & \text{Carly} \\ \text{Bob} & \text{Daryl} \end{bmatrix}$$

Our principal concern throughout this course are those $m \times n$ arrays whose consisting entirely of real numbers. Under this restriction, we may refer to an $m \times n$ array as a (real) $m \times n$ **matrix**. Generally, one can define matrices consisting of elements of any ring, but we will not.

Example 1.1.2. Each real number x may be viewed as a real 1×1 matrix $[x]$.

Example 1.1.3. Consider the scenario of Example 1.1.1. We may assign to each player a real number called a “skill value” between 0 and 100, e.g., suppose that Alice has skill value a ; Bob has skill value b ; Carly has skill value c ; and Daryl has skill value d . Under this convention, the matrices of Example 1.1.1 yield new matrices called “skill matrices”; they are given by

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } S^t = \begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

Our previous three examples dealt with **square** matrices, i.e., matrices for which the number of rows and the number of columns were the same (i.e., $m = n$); however, not all matrices are square.

Example 1.1.4. Consider the 1×5 matrix $[1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5]$ of the first five positive integers.

We refer to matrices with only one row as **row vectors**; likewise, matrices with only one column are called **column vectors**. We will return to the notion of a vector in our study of vector spaces. Often, we will also use the terminology (horizontal) **n -tuples** when discussing row vectors with n columns and (vertical) **m -tuples** when discussing column vectors with m rows.

Like we mentioned in the first paragraph of this section, an $m \times n$ matrix A is uniquely determined by the elements a_{ij} in its i th row and j th column for each pair of integers $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. For instance, the matrix of Example 1.1.4 is the unique matrix with one row whose j th column consists of the integer j for each integer $1 \leq j \leq 5$. Under this identification, we will adopt the one-line notation $A = [a_{ij}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$ for the $m \times n$ matrix A with a_{ij} in its i th row and j th column.

Example 1.1.5. Consider the 2×3 matrix whose i th row and j th column consists of the sum $i + j$. We may write this symbolically (in one-line notation) as $[i + j]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 2 \\ 1 \leq j \leq 3}}$ and explicitly as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j = 1 & j = 2 & j = 3 \\ i = 1 & \begin{bmatrix} 1+1 & 1+2 & 1+3 \end{bmatrix} & \text{or} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \\ i = 2 & \begin{bmatrix} 2+1 & 2+2 & 2+3 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

Example 1.1.6. Given any positive integers m and n , there is one and only one matrix consisting entirely of zeros: it is the $m \times n$ **zero matrix**, and it is denoted by $O_{m \times n}$. Often, if we are dealing with the case that $m = n$, then we will simply abbreviate the $n \times n$ zero matrix $O_{n \times n}$ as O_n .

Example 1.1.7. We refer to the matrix $I_{m \times n} = [\delta_{ij}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$ as the $m \times n$ **identity matrix**, where

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

is the **Kronecker delta**. Put another way, the $m \times n$ identity matrix is the unique $m \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) th entry is 1 for each pair of integers $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $i = j$ and whose other components are all zero. Explicitly, we have that

$$I_{2 \times 2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } I_{2 \times 3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Like with the zero matrix, we will simply abbreviate the $n \times n$ identity matrix $I_{n \times n}$ as I_n . Observe that the only nonzero components of I_n lie on its main diagonal, hence it is a **diagonal matrix**. Explicitly, a diagonal matrix is an $n \times n$ matrix consisting entirely of zeros off the main diagonal. Even more, by definition, I_n is the unique diagonal matrix whose nonzero entries are all one.

Example 1.1.8. Given any $m \times n$ matrix $A = [a_{ij}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$, its **matrix transpose** A^t is the $n \times m$ matrix obtained by swapping the rows and columns of A , i.e., we have that $A^t = [a_{ji}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}}$. Put another way, the (i, j) th entry of A^t is the (j, i) th entry of A , hence the i th row of A^t is precisely the i th column of A . Explicitly, for the matrix A defined in Example 1.1.5, we have that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A^t = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Observe that the first row of A becomes the first column of A^t (and likewise for the second row). Consequently, the transpose of any $1 \times n$ row vector is an $n \times 1$ column vector. We will also refer to A^t simply as the transpose of A ; the process of computing A^t is called **transposition**.

Definition 1.1.9. We say that an $m \times n$ matrix A is **symmetric** if it holds that $A^t = A$. Observe that a matrix is symmetric only if it is square, i.e., a non-square matrix is never symmetric.

Considering that matrices encode numerical data, it is not surprising to find that they induce their own arithmetic. Using one-line notation, matrix addition can be defined as follows.

Definition 1.1.10. Given any $m \times n$ matrices $A = [a_{ij}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$ and $B = [b_{ij}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$, the **matrix sum** of A and B is the $m \times n$ matrix $A + B = [a_{ij} + b_{ij}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$. Put in words, the matrix sum $A + B$ is the $m \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) th entry is the sum of the (i, j) th entries of A and B .

Caution: the matrix sum is not defined for matrices with different numbers of rows or columns.

Example 1.1.11. If A is any $m \times n$ matrix, then we have that $A + O_{m \times n} = A = O_{m \times n} + A$. Consequently, we may view $O_{m \times n}$ as the **additive identity** among all $m \times n$ matrices.

Generally, if $A = [a_{ij}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$ is a real $m \times n$ matrix, then we will typically refer to any real number c as a **scalar**, and we define the **scalar multiple** of A by the scalar c as $cA = [ca_{ij}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$. Essentially, we may view this as the sum of the matrix A with itself c times.

Example 1.1.12. Given any $m \times n$ matrix $A = [a_{ij}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$, let $-A = [-a_{ij}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$. We have that $A + (-A) = O_{m \times n} = -A + A$, and we say that $-A$ is the **additive inverse** of A .

Example 1.1.13. If $A = [a_{ij}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$ is any $m \times n$ matrix, then $A + A = [2a_{ij}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$.

Our next proposition illustrates that matrix transposition and matrix addition are compatible.

Proposition 1.1.14. Let A and B be any $m \times n$ matrices. We have that $(A + B)^t = A^t + B^t$. Put another way, the transpose of a sum of matrices is the sum of the matrix transposes.

Proof. By Definition 1.1.10, the (i, j) th entry of $A + B$ is the sum of the (i, j) th entry of A and the (i, j) th entry of B . By Example 1.1.8, the (i, j) th entry of $(A + B)^t$ is the (j, i) th entry of $A + B$, i.e., the sum of the (j, i) th entry of A and the (j, i) th entry of B . But by the same example, this is the sum of the (i, j) th entry of A^t and the (i, j) th entry of B^t . Ultimately, this shows that the (i, j) th entry of $(A + B)^t$ and the (i, j) th entry of $A^t + B^t$ are the same so that $(A + B)^t = A^t + B^t$. \square

1.2 Rotation Matrices and Matrix Multiplication

Let \mathbb{R} denote the set of real numbers. Recall that every point (x, y) in the Cartesian plane $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ can be written as $(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$ for some real number r and some angle θ . Consequently, we may specify any point in the plane by writing $x = r \cos \theta$ and $y = r \sin \theta$. Rotation of the point (x, y) through another angle ϕ yields a new point defined by $x' = r \cos(\theta + \phi)$ and $y' = r \sin(\theta + \phi)$. Using the addition formulas for sine and cosine, we find that $x' = r(\cos \theta \cos \phi - \sin \theta \sin \phi)$ and $y' = r(\sin \theta \cos \phi + \sin \phi \cos \theta)$. Our objective in this section is to provide an efficient method of rotating points in the plane through a specified angle ϕ . We achieve this as follows.

We have seen in the previous section that any matrix can be transposed and any two matrices can be added together to obtain new matrices. Even more, if the number of columns (or rows) of a matrix A equals the number of rows (or columns) of a matrix B , then A and B can be multiplied.

Definition 1.2.1. Given any $m \times n$ matrix $A = [a_{ij}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$ and any $n \times r$ matrix $B = [a_{ij}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}}$, the (left) **matrix product** of A and B is the $m \times r$ matrix AB whose (i, j) th entry is given by

$$AB_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}b_{kj} = a_{i1}b_{1j} + a_{i2}b_{2j} + \cdots + a_{in}b_{nj}.$$

Put in words, the matrix product AB is the $m \times r$ matrix whose (i, j) th entry is the sum of the products of the (i, k) th entry of A and the (k, j) th entry of B for all integers $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Crucially, the order of A and B in the matrix product matters; the (right) matrix product BA is defined analogously. Be sure to note also that the number of rows of AB is the same as the number of rows of A , and the number of columns of AB is the same as the number of columns of B .

Caution: the product is not defined for matrices with an incompatible number of rows and columns.

Example 1.2.2. Consider the following matrices.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Considering that A is a 2×3 matrix and B is a 3×2 matrix, both of the products AB and BA can be formed: AB is a 2×2 matrix, and BA is a 3×3 matrix. Explicitly, they are as follows.

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1(-1) + 2(0) + 3(-1) & 1(0) + 2(1) + 3(1) \\ 2(-1) + 3(0) + 4(-1) & 2(0) + 3(1) + 4(1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 5 \\ -6 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$BA = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1(1) + 0(2) & -1(2) + 0(3) & -1(3) + 0(4) \\ 0(1) + 1(2) & 0(2) + 1(3) & 0(3) + 1(4) \\ -1(1) + 1(2) & -1(2) + 1(3) & -1(3) + 1(4) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & -3 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Remark 1.2.3. Example 1.2.2 motivates the following definition of matrix multiplication. Consider a $1 \times n$ row vector $v = [v_{11} \ v_{12} \ \cdots \ v_{1n}]$ and an $n \times 1$ column vector

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} \\ w_{21} \\ \vdots \\ w_{n1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We define the **dot product** \cdot of the vectors v and w as the 1×1 vector

$$v \cdot w = [v_{11}w_{11} + v_{12}w_{21} + \cdots + v_{1n}w_{n1}].$$

Given any $m \times n$ matrix A and any $n \times r$ matrix B , the i th row of A may be viewed as the $1 \times n$ vector $A_i = [a_{i1} \ a_{i2} \ \cdots \ a_{in}]$ and the j th column of B may be viewed as the $n \times 1$ vector

$$B_j = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1j} \\ b_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ b_{nj} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Ultimately, under this interpretation, the matrix product AB is defined as the $m \times r$ matrix whose (i, j) th component is the dot product $A_i \cdot B_j = a_{i1}b_{1j} + a_{i2}b_{2j} + \cdots + a_{in}b_{nj} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}b_{kj}$.

We adapt the following example from the example at the bottom of page 50 of [Lan86].

Example 1.2.4. We say that an $n \times n$ matrix A is a **Markov matrix** if each component of A is a non-negative real number and the sum of each column of A is 1. For instance, the 2×2 matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9 & 0.5 \\ 0.1 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a Markov matrix. We may view this Markov matrix as representing a real-life scenario as follows.

Godspeed You! Black Emperor are playing at the Blue Note in Columbia, Missouri, and Alice and Bob are considering attending the concert. Currently, Alice is 90% certain that she will attend, so she is 10% certain that she will not attend. On the other hand, Bob is only 50% sure he will attend. Consequently, the columns of the matrix A represent Alice and Bob, respectively, and the rows represent their certainty or uncertainty that they will attend the concert, respectively.

Even more, suppose that today, Alice has the propensity a to attend the concert and Bob has the propensity b to attend, and tomorrow, Alice has the propensity $0.9a + 0.5b$ to attend the concert and Bob has the propensity $0.1a + 0.5b$ to attend. If $p = [a \ b]^t$ is the “propensity vector,” then tomorrow, the propensity that Alice and Bob will attend the concert is given by the matrix product

$$Ap = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9 & 0.5 \\ 0.1 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9a + 0.5b \\ 0.1a + 0.5b \end{bmatrix}.$$

We could continue to iterate this process to predict the propensity that Alice and Bob will attend the concert on any given day in the future; this is called a **Markov process**.

We will demonstrate now that matrix multiplication is associative and distributive.

Proposition 1.2.5. *If A is any $m \times n$ matrix, B is any $n \times r$ matrix, and C is any $r \times s$ matrix, then the matrix products $A(BC)$ and $(AB)C$ are well-defined; in fact, they are equal.*

Proof. By Definition 1.2.1, we have that BC is an $n \times s$ matrix, hence the matrix product $A(BC)$ is well-defined because the number of columns of A is equal to the number of rows of BC ; a similar argument shows that $(AB)C$ is well-defined, hence it suffices to prove that $A(BC) = (AB)C$. By the same definition, the (i, j) th entry of $A(BC)$ is the sum of the products of the (i, k) th entry of A and the (k, j) th entry of BC for all integers $1 \leq k \leq n$, and the (k, j) th entry of BC is the sum of the products of the (k, ℓ) th entry of B and the (ℓ, j) th entry of C for all integers $1 \leq \ell \leq r$. Put into symbols, the previous sentence can be expressed as the double summation identity

$$A(BC)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^r a_{ik} b_{k\ell} c_{\ell j}.$$

Considering that the order of summation of a finite sum does not matter, it follows that

$$A(BC)_{ij} = \sum_{\ell=1}^r \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{k\ell} c_{\ell j}.$$

Observe that $\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{k\ell}$ is nothing more than the (i, ℓ) th entry of AB , hence we may view the (i, j) th entry of $A(BC)$ as the sum of the products of the (i, ℓ) th entry of AB and the (ℓ, j) th entry of C for all integers $1 \leq \ell \leq r$, i.e., it is the (i, j) th entry of $(AB)C$. Ultimately, this shows that the (i, j) th entry of $A(BC)$ and the (i, j) th entry of $(AB)C$ are the same so that $A(BC) = (AB)C$. \square

Example 1.2.6. If A is any $n \times n$ matrix, then the matrix product of A with itself is denoted simply by A^2 ; it is itself an $n \times n$ matrix, hence we may form the matrix product of A^2 with A . By Proposition 1.2.5, the matrices $(A^2)A$ and $A(A^2)$ are equal; they are denoted simply by A^3 . Continuing in this manner, the k -fold product of A is $A^k = AA^{k-1} = A^{k-1}A$ for all integers $k \geq 2$.

Proposition 1.2.7. *If A is any $m \times n$ matrix and B and C are any $n \times r$ matrices, then the product $A(B + C)$ is well-defined; $A(B + C) = AB + AC$; and $A(cB) = c(AB)$ for all scalars c .*

Proof. By Definition 1.1.10, the matrix sum $B + C$ is an $n \times r$ matrix, hence the product $A(B + C)$ is well-defined because the number of columns of A is equal to the number of rows of $B + C$. By Definition 1.2.1, the (i, j) th entry of $A(B + C)$ is the sum of the products of the (i, k) th entry of A and the (k, j) th entry of $B + C$ for all integers $1 \leq k \leq n$; the latter is by Definition 1.1.10 the sum of the (k, j) th entry of B and the (k, j) th entry of C . Because addition is distributive, the (i, j) th entry of $A(B + C)$ is the sum of the products of the (i, k) th entry of A and the (k, j) th entry of B for all integers $1 \leq k \leq n$ plus the sum of the products of the (i, k) th entry of A and the (k, j) th entry of C for all integers $1 \leq k \leq n$, i.e., it is the sum of the (i, j) th entry of AB and the (i, j) th entry of AC , i.e., it is the (i, j) th entry of $AB + AC$. Because the (i, j) th entry of $A(B + C)$ and the (i, j) th entry of $AB + AC$ are the same, we conclude that $A(B + C) = AB + AC$.

We leave it as an exercise for the reader to demonstrate that $A(cB) = c(AB)$ for all scalars c ; however, we remark that the proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 1.2.5. \square

Ultimately, Proposition 1.2.7 implies that matrix multiplication is distributive, i.e., if A is any $m \times n$ matrix, B and C are any $n \times r$ matrices, and c is any scalar, then $A(cB + C) = c(AB) + AC$. Even more, like matrix addition, matrix multiplication is compatible with transposition.

Proposition 1.2.8. *If A is any $m \times n$ matrix and B is any $n \times r$ matrix, then $(AB)^t = B^t A^t$. Put another way, the transpose of a matrix product is the reverse matrix product of the transposes.*

Proof. By Example 1.1.8, the (i, j) th entry of $(AB)^t$ is the (j, i) th entry of AB . By Definition 1.2.1, the (j, i) th entry of AB is the sum of the products of the (j, k) th entry of A and the (k, i) th entry of B for all integers $1 \leq k \leq n$. Considering that scalar multiplication is commutative, this is equal to the sum of the products of the (i, k) th entry of B^t and the (k, j) th entry of A^t for all integers $1 \leq k \leq n$, i.e., it is the (i, j) th entry of $B^t A^t$. We conclude therefore that $(AB)^t = B^t A^t$. \square

We return now to the setup of the first paragraph of this section. Once again, we are considering some point (x, y) in the Cartesian plane, and we are identifying this point by its polar coordinates $x = r \cos \theta$ and $y = r \sin \theta$ for some real number r and some angle θ . Our aim is to efficiently write down the rotation of (x, y) through another angle ϕ , resulting in a new point determined by $x' = r \cos(\theta + \phi)$ and $y' = r \sin(\theta + \phi)$. By the addition formulas for sine and cosine, it follows that $x' = r(\cos \theta \cos \phi - \sin \theta \sin \phi)$ and $y' = r(\sin \theta \cos \phi + \sin \phi \cos \theta)$. Consider the matrices

$$R(\phi) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } X(r, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} r \cos \theta \\ r \sin \theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Observe that $X(r, \theta)$ is the column vector corresponding to the point (x, y) in the Cartesian plane, i.e., it encodes the same data as the point (x, y) . By Definition 1.2.1, we have that

$$R(\phi)X(r, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r \cos \theta \\ r \sin \theta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r(\cos \theta \cos \phi - \sin \theta \sin \phi) \\ r(\sin \phi \cos \theta + \sin \theta \cos \phi) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r \cos(\theta + \phi) \\ r \sin(\theta + \phi) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Considering that the last matrix in the above displayed equation is exactly equal to the column vector $X(r, \theta + \phi)$, i.e., the column vector corresponding to the point (x', y') , we conclude that the multiplication by the matrix $R(\phi)$ has the effect of rotating the point (x, y) in the Cartesian plane through the angle ϕ . Consequently, we refer to the matrix $R(\phi)$ as a **rotation matrix**.

Example 1.2.9. Consider the point $(1, 0)$ in the Cartesian plane. Observe that in polar coordinates, this point is determined by $r \cos \theta = 1$ and $r \sin \theta = 0$, hence we obtain the column vector

$$X(r, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By the previous paragraph, to rotate $X(r, \theta)$ through the angle $\phi = \pi/4$, we multiply by the matrix

$$R(\pi/4) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\pi/4) & -\sin(\pi/4) \\ \sin(\pi/4) & \cos(\pi/4) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2}/2 & -\sqrt{2}/2 \\ \sqrt{2}/2 & \sqrt{2}/2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consequently, we find that rotating the point $(1, 0)$ through the angle $\phi = \pi/4$ results in the point

$$X(r, \theta + \phi) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2}/2 & -\sqrt{2}/2 \\ \sqrt{2}/2 & \sqrt{2}/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2}/2 \\ \sqrt{2}/2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

But if we consider the fact that the point $(1, 0)$ lies on the unit circle and corresponds to the angle $\theta = 0$, then the point obtained by rotating $(1, 0)$ through the angle of $\phi = \pi/4$ must be exactly the point on the unit circle corresponding to the angle $\pi/4$, i.e., it must be $(\sqrt{2}/2, \sqrt{2}/2)$.

1.3 Elementary Row and Column Operations

We will continue to assume that m and n are positive integers. If x_1, \dots, x_n are any variables, then a (real) **linear combination** of x_1, \dots, x_n is an expression of the form $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n$ for some (real) scalars a_1, \dots, a_n . Consequently, a (real) $1 \times n$ **linear equation** is any equation of the form $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n = b$ for some (real) scalars a_1, \dots, a_n , and b . Even more, a (real) $m \times n$ **system of linear equations** consists of m linear equations in n variables; this is represented as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1,1}x_1 + \dots + a_{1,n}x_n &= b_1 \\ a_{2,1}x_1 + \dots + a_{2,n}x_n &= b_2 \\ &\vdots \\ a_{m,1}x_1 + \dots + a_{m,n}x_n &= b_m \end{aligned}$$

Example 1.3.1. On 10 June 2022, in Game Four of the 2022 NBA Finals, Steph Curry scored 43 points. Let x_1 be the number of one-pointers made; let x_2 be the number of two-pointers made; and let x_3 be the number of three-pointers made by Curry in this appearance. Observe that Curry's point total is given by the 1×3 (integer) linear equation $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 43$.

We say that the (real) scalars $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ constitute a **solution** to a (real) $m \times n$ system of linear equations if it holds that $a_{i,1}\alpha_1 + \dots + a_{i,n}\alpha_n = b_i$ for each integer $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Example 1.3.2. One can find many solutions to the matrix equation of Example 1.3.1. Explicitly, $\alpha_1 = 43$ and $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0$ or $\alpha_1 = 41$, $\alpha_2 = 1$, and $\alpha_3 = 0$ give rise to two distinct solutions.

Given more information about the game, we can reduce the number of possible solutions. For instance, Curry made seven three-pointers, hence we may substitute $x_3 = 7$ into our equation $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 43$ to find that $x_1 + 2x_2 + 21 = 43$ or $x_1 + 2x_2 = 22$. Even more, Curry made a combined fifteen free throws and two-pointers. Consequently, we have that $x_1 + x_2 = 15$. Observe that these two equations involving x_1 and x_2 induce the following 2×2 system of linear equations.

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + 2x_2 &= 22 \\ x_1 + x_2 &= 15 \end{aligned}$$

Using this information, we may uniquely determine x_1 and x_2 : we have that $x_1 = 15 - x_2$ so that $22 = x_1 + 2x_2 = (15 - x_2) + 2x_2 = 15 + x_2$; cancelling 15 from both sides gives $x_2 = 7$ and $x_1 = 8$.

Using matrices, we can more efficiently rephrase our above observations concerning $m \times n$ systems of linear equations. Explicitly, observe that a (real) $m \times n$ system of linear equations

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1,1}x_1 + \dots + a_{1,n}x_n &= b_1 \\ a_{2,1}x_1 + \dots + a_{2,n}x_n &= b_2 \\ &\vdots \\ a_{m,1}x_1 + \dots + a_{m,n}x_n &= b_m \end{aligned}$$

gives rise to a $1 \times n$ matrix $x = [x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_n]$, a $1 \times m$ matrix $b = [b_1 \ b_2 \ \dots \ b_m]$, and an $m \times n$ matrix A whose (i, j) th entry is the coefficient $a_{i,j}$ of the j th variable x_j of the i th equation

$a_{i,1}x_1 + a_{i,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{i,n}x_n = b_i$ of the $m \times n$ system of linear equations, i.e., the $m \times n$ matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ a_{2,1} & \cdots & a_{2,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m,1} & \cdots & a_{m,n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Conversely, the aforementioned matrices A , x , and b satisfy that $Ax^t = b^t$. We refer to the equation $Ax^t = b^t$ as a (real) $m \times n$ **matrix equation**. Often, the $m \times n$ matrix A and the $1 \times m$ matrix b are known while the $1 \times n$ matrix x consists of n variables. Ultimately, we obtain a one-to-one correspondence between (real) $m \times n$ systems of linear equations and $m \times n$ matrix equations.

$$\begin{array}{l} a_{1,1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{1,n}x_n = b_1 \\ a_{2,1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{2,n}x_n = b_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{m,1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{m,n}x_n = b_m \end{array} \iff Ax^t = b^t, \text{ i.e., } \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ a_{2,1} & \cdots & a_{2,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m,1} & \cdots & a_{m,n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 1.3.3. We will convert the data of Examples 1.3.1 and 1.3.2 into the language of matrix equations. Consider the matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ whose j th column is the point value of a j -pointer; the matrix $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \end{bmatrix}$ whose j th column is the number of j -pointers made by Curry; and the matrix $b = \begin{bmatrix} 43 \end{bmatrix}$ consisting of the total points made by Curry. Observe that the linear equation $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 43$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the matrix equation $Ax^t = b^t$.

We say that a $1 \times n$ (real) matrix α forms a **solution** to the matrix equation $Ax^t = b^t$ if it holds that $A\alpha^t = b^t$. Observe that this is an analog of a solution of the $m \times n$ system of linear equations.

Example 1.3.4. Rephrasing the results of 1.3.2, the matrices $\alpha_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 43 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\alpha_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 41 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ give rise to two distinct solutions of the matrix equation of Example 1.3.3. On the other hand, put into the language of matrix equations, the information that $22 = x_1 + 2x_2$ and $15 = x_1 + x_2$ can be most efficiently synthesized by viewing the coefficients of these linear equations as rows of a matrix. Explicitly, we construct a matrix A whose first row is $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, corresponding to the respective coefficients of x_1 and x_2 in the equation $22 = x_1 + 2x_2$; the second row of the matrix A is $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, corresponding to the respective coefficients of x_1 and x_2 in the equation $15 = x_1 + x_2$. Once again, the column vector x^t consists of the variables x_1 and x_2 in distinct rows, and the column vector b^t consists of the integers 22 and 15 in distinct rows. Ultimately, yields the matrix equation

$$Ax^t = b^t \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 22 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Once we have extracted an $m \times n$ matrix equation $Ax^t = b^t$ from a (real) $m \times n$ system of linear equations, our next objective is to determine the matrix analog of solving the system. Before we do this, recall the following three valid operations for working with systems of linear equations.

- (1.) We may multiply the i th equation by a nonzero (real) scalar c .
- (2.) We may add c times the i th equation to the j th equation for all integers $1 \leq i, j \leq m$.
- (3.) We may interchange the i th and j th equations for all integers $1 \leq i, j \leq m$.

Consequently, we are looking for matrix analogs of the above three operations. Considering that the coefficients of i th equation are encoded in the i th row of the matrix A and the i th row of the matrix b^t , we must henceforth work with the **augmented matrix** $[A \mid b^t]$. By definition, this is simply the matrix A with one additional column in the form of b^t . We use the bar $|$ notation to emphasize that b^t is appended to the matrix A , i.e., it is not originally a column of A . By definition of matrix multiplication, operation (1.) is analogous to left multiplication by the $m \times m$ matrix with c in row i , column i ; 1 in all other entries of the main diagonal; and 0s elsewhere.

- (1.) Multiplication of the i th row of an $m \times n$ system of linear equations by a scalar c corresponds to left multiplication of the $m \times (n+1)$ augmented matrix $[A \mid b^t]$ by the $m \times m$ matrix with c in row i , column i ; 1 in all other entries of the main diagonal; and 0s elsewhere.

Example 1.3.5. Given the matrices A and b of Example 1.3.4, we obtain the augmented matrix

$$[A \mid b^t] = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 2 & 22 \\ 1 & 1 & 15 \end{array} \right].$$

Consequently, to scale the first equation $x_1 + 2x_2 = 22$ by a factor of c , we multiply this augmented matrix by the 2×2 matrix with c in row 1, column 1; 1 in row 2, column 2; and 0s elsewhere.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} c & 2c & 22c \\ 1 & 1 & 15 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} c & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 2 & 22 \\ 1 & 1 & 15 \end{array} \right]$$

Likewise, operation (2.) is analogous to left multiplication by the $m \times m$ matrix with c in row j , column i ; 1s along the main diagonal; and 0s elsewhere. Explicitly, we obtain the following rule.

- (2.) Addition of c times the i th row of an $m \times n$ system of linear equations to the j th row corresponds to left multiplication of the $m \times (n+1)$ matrix $[A \mid b^t]$ by the $m \times m$ matrix with c in row j , column i ; 1s along the main diagonal; and 0s elsewhere.

Example 1.3.6. Consider the augmented matrix $[A \mid b^t]$ of Example 1.3.5. Observe that if we wish to subtract the first equation $x_1 + 2x_2 = 22$ from the second equation $x_1 + x_2 = 15$, then it suffices to add -1 times the first equation to the second equation. By the previous observation, this can be achieved on the level of matrices by performing the following matrix multiplication.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 2 & 22 \\ 0 & -1 & -7 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 2 & 22 \\ 1 & 1 & 15 \end{array} \right]$$

Last, operation (3.) is analogous to left multiplication by the $m \times m$ matrix with (i, j) th and (j, i) th entries of 1; 1s along the main diagonal other than in rows i and j ; and 0s elsewhere.

- (3.) Interchanging rows i and j of an $m \times n$ system of linear equations corresponds to left multiplication of the $m \times (n+1)$ matrix $[A \mid b^t]$ by the $m \times m$ matrix with 1 in row j , column i ; 1 in row i , column j ; 1s along the main diagonal other than rows i and j ; and 0s elsewhere.

Example 1.3.7. Once again, consider the augmented matrix $[A \mid b^t]$ of Example 1.3.5. We may interchange the first equation $x_1 + 2x_2 = 22$ and the second equation $x_1 + x_2 = 15$ as follows.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 1 & 15 \\ 1 & 2 & 22 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 2 & 22 \\ 1 & 1 & 15 \end{array} \right]$$

Collectively, we refer to the operations (1.), (2.), and (3.) defined above as the **elementary row operations**; the matrices defined in operations (1.), (2.), and (3.) are then called the $m \times m$ **elementary row matrices**. Explicitly, an elementary row matrix is an $m \times m$ matrix obtain by from the $m \times m$ identity matrix I_m by (1.) multiplying any row of I_m by a nonzero scalar c ; (2.) adding c times the i th row of I_m to the j th row of I_m ; or (3.) interchanging rows i and j of I_m .

Likewise, the three above operations can be defined for the columns of a matrix to obtain the **elementary column operations** and the **elementary column matrices**: we need only swap all instances of “rows” with “columns” and “left multiplication” with “right multiplication.”

1.4 The Method of Gaussian Elimination in Linear Systems

We will soon see that performing elementary row and column operations on a system of linear equations does not affect the solutions to the system, hence it does not alter the solutions of the underlying matrix equation. Even more, if we employ a sequence of elementary row and column operations to reduce a given augmented matrix to a “relatively simple” form and subsequently interpret the resulting augmented matrix “correctly,” then we can easily read off all possible solutions to the underlying system of linear equations. We illustrate this in the case of Example 1.3.6.

Example 1.4.1. Consider the augmented matrix $[A \mid b^t]$ of Example 1.3.6. Converting this back into a system of equations, the second row of the augmented matrix yields that $-x_2 = -7$, hence we conclude that $x_2 = 7$. Consequently, the first row gives that $22 = x_1 + 2x_2 = x_1 + 14$ or $x_1 = 8$. We refer to this as the method of solving a system of linear equations via **back substitution**.

Going forward, we will say that two matrices A and B are **row equivalent** if A can be reduced to B via a sequence of elementary row operations, i.e., there exist elementary row matrices E_1, \dots, E_k such that $B = E_k \cdots E_1 A$. Likewise, we make the analogous definition for **column equivalent** matrices. If A and B are either row or column equivalent, then we will write $A \sim B$.

Example 1.4.2. By Example 1.3.6 of the previous section, we have that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

are row equivalent because $B = EA$ for the elementary row matrix $E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

By Example 1.4.1, it is clearly advantageous (when possible) to perform a sequence of elementary row operations to reduce a matrix A to a matrix B in which some row has the property that all but one of its entries is nonzero. If this holds, then the row of B consisting of just one nonzero entry can be used to further reduce A to a matrix possessing more zero entries, as we illustrate next.

Example 1.4.3. Consider the row equivalent matrices A and B of Example 1.4.2. Observe that if we add twice the second row of B to the first row of B , then we obtain the matrix

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Certainly, matrices with more zero entries are easier to interpret as the collection of coefficients corresponding to some system of linear equations because the variables corresponding to the zeros of the i th row of the matrix do not appear in the i th equation of the system. Even more, the zeros of a matrix inform us about other important properties of the matrix that we will soon discuss. Consequently, we turn our attention in this section to an algorithm that we may employ to reduce a given matrix A to a row equivalent matrix consisting of as many zeros as possible.

We say that a row of an $m \times n$ matrix A is **nonzero** if it contains (at least) one nonzero entry. Using this identification, an $m \times n$ matrix A lies in **row echelon form** if and only if

- (1.) all rows of A consisting entirely of zeros lie beneath the last nonzero row of A ; and
- (2.) for any pair of consecutive nonzero rows i and $i + 1$, the first nonzero entry of row $i + 1$ lies in some column strictly to the right of the column in which the first nonzero entry of row i lies.

Given a matrix A that lies in row echelon form, we distinguish the first nonzero entry of a nonzero row of A as a **pivot**. We have already encountered instances of matrices in row echelon form: the matrices B of Example 1.4.2 and C of Example 1.4.3 lie in row echelon form; however, the matrix A of Example 1.4.2 does not lie in row echelon form because the first nonzero entry of the second row of A lies directly below the first nonzero entry of the first row of A . Even more, the pivots of the aforementioned matrix B (and C) are 1 in the first row and -1 in the second row. Crucially, the following theorem assures us that it is always possible to reduce any matrix to row echelon form.

Theorem 1.4.4. *Every real matrix is row equivalent to a real matrix in row echelon form.*

Proof. Consider a real $m \times n$ matrix A . Begin by relocating all rows of A consisting entirely of zeros to the bottom of the matrix; interchanging rows corresponds to multiplying on the left by an elementary row matrix, hence the resulting matrix is row equivalent to A . We may disregard all columns of A consisting entirely of zeros because the columns of A do not bear on the row echelon form of A , hence we may assume that the first column of A is nonzero; then, find the first nonzero row of A for which the entry in first column of A is nonzero. By interchanging this row with the first row of A , we may ultimately assume that our $m \times n$ matrix A has the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

in which the lowermost rows could consist of zeros and a_{11} is nonzero by assumption. Every nonzero real number has a multiplicative inverse, hence we may subtract $a_{i1}a_{11}^{-1}$ times the first row from the i th row; this corresponds to left multiplication by an elementary row matrix and yields that

$$A \sim \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & b_{m2} & \cdots & b_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

for some real numbers b_{22}, \dots, b_{mn} . Employing this process with the $(m-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{m2} & \cdots & b_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

and subsequently continuing in this manner, we will eventually reduce A to row echelon form. \square

We say moreover that a matrix lies in **reduced row echelon form** if and only if

- (1.) it lies in row echelon form;
- (2.) its pivots are all 1; and
- (3.) if the j th column contains a pivot, then all of its non-pivot entries are zero. Put another way, the only nonzero entry of any column containing a pivot is the pivot itself.

Corollary 1.4.5. *Every real matrix is row equivalent to a real matrix in reduced row echelon form.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.4.4, every real matrix A is row equivalent to a real matrix B in row echelon form. By multiplying each nonzero row of B by the multiplicative inverse of its pivot, we obtain a row equivalent matrix C whose pivots are all 1. Last, we must ensure that the only nonzero entry of any column containing a pivot is the pivot itself. Observe that if c_{ij} is nonzero and the j th column of C contains a pivot in row k , then we may add $-c_{ij}$ times the k th row of C to the i th row of C to obtain 0 in the i th row and j th column of C . Continuing in this manner yields the result. \square

Essentially, the proofs of Theorem 1.4.4 and Corollary 1.4.5 outline the method of **Gaussian elimination** in systems of linear equations; for completeness, we summarize the results below.

Algorithm 1.4.6 (Gaussian Elimination). Let A be a nonzero real $m \times n$ matrix. Use the following steps to reduce the matrix A to a row equivalent matrix B that lies in reduced row echelon form.

- (1.) Begin by relocating all rows of A consisting entirely of zeros to the bottom of the matrix. We may perform this operation because row interchange yields a row equivalent matrix.
- (2.) Find the first nonzero row i of the matrix obtained in the previous step for which the entry a_{i1} in first column is nonzero; if this is not the first row, then interchange the first and i th rows of this matrix so that a_{i1} lies in the first row and column of the resulting matrix.
- (3.) Multiply the first row of the resulting matrix by the multiplicative inverse a_{i1}^{-1} of the nonzero real number a_{i1} to obtain an entry of 1 in the first row and first column. We may perform this operation because multiplying a row by a nonzero scalar yields a row equivalent matrix.
- (4.) If r_j is the component of the j th row and first column of the matrix obtained in step (3.), then add $-r_j$ times the first row of this matrix to the j th row of this matrix for each integer $1 \leq j \leq m$. We may perform this operation because adding a scalar multiple of a row to another row yields a row equivalent matrix. Observe that the only nonzero entry in the first column of the resulting matrix is the pivot of 1 in the first row and first column.

- (5.) Repeat steps (2.), (3.), (4.) for the matrix obtained from the resulting matrix of step (4.) by ignoring the first row and first column; if possible, a pivot of 1 is obtained in the second row of this matrix, and all entries of the matrix below this pivot are zero.
- (6.) Repeat step (5.) until the row echelon form of A is obtained and all pivots are 1.
- (7.) Eliminate any nonzero entry a_{ij} in row i above the pivot 1 in row k by adding $-a_{ij}$ times the k th row of the matrix of step (6.) to the i th row of the matrix.
- (8.) Repeat step (7.) until the matrix lies in reduced row echelon form.

We refer to the matrix obtained from this process as the **reduced row echelon form** $\text{RREF}(A)$.

One of the best ways to understand the method of **Gaussian Elimination** is to practice using it.

Example 1.4.7. Let us convert the following matrix to reduced row echelon form.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 & 7 \\ -1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Considering that each of the rows of A is nonzero, we may immediately proceed to the second step of the Gaussian Elimination algorithm. Observe that the first nonzero row of A for which the entry in the first column is nonzero is simply the first row of A , so we may proceed to the third step of the algorithm. Explicitly, we multiply the first row of A by $\frac{1}{2}$ (i.e., the multiplicative inverse of 2) to obtain an entry of 1 in the first row and first column of A . We illustrate this as follows.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 & 7 \\ -1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\frac{1}{2}R_1 \mapsto R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ -1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

We may subsequently reduce all first column entries beneath the first row of the resulting matrix.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ -1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\sim]{R_2 + R_1 \mapsto R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{13}{2} \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\sim]{R_3 - 2R_1 \mapsto R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{13}{2} \\ 0 & 4 & \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

We have therefore created a pivot of 1 in the first row and first column, so we proceed to do the same for the second row and second column. Explicitly, we multiply the second row of the above matrix by $-\frac{2}{3}$ (i.e., the multiplicative inverse of $-\frac{3}{2}$) to obtain the following row equivalent matrix.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{13}{2} \\ 0 & 4 & \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\sim]{-\frac{2}{3}R_2 \mapsto R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{13}{3} \\ 0 & 4 & \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

We may then create a pivot of 1 in the second row and second column of this matrix by adding -4 times the second row to the third row, reducing the entry in the third row and second column to 0.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{13}{3} \\ 0 & 4 & \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\sim]{R_3 - 4R_2 \mapsto R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{13}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{95}{6} \end{bmatrix}$$

Last, we obtain a pivot of 1 in the third row and third column by multiplying by the multiplicative inverse $\frac{6}{95}$ of $\frac{95}{6}$. Ultimately, we obtain the row echelon form of A for which all pivots are 1.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{13}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{95}{6} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\frac{6}{95}R_3 \mapsto R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{13}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We proceed to the seventh and eighth steps of the **Gaussian Elimination** algorithm. Because there is a pivot in the second row, we eliminate first the nonzero non-pivot entries in the second column.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{13}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\sim]{R_1 + \frac{3}{2}R_2 \mapsto R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{13}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Once this is accomplished, we put the matrix in reduced row echelon form as follows.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{13}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\sim]{R_1 + 3R_3 \mapsto R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{13}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\sim]{R_2 + \frac{13}{3}R_3 \mapsto R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Ultimately, the method of Gaussian Elimination illustrates that our original matrix A is in fact row equivalent to the 3×3 identity matrix. We will see in the next section that row equivalence to the $n \times n$ identity matrix is a very important and special property of a square matrix.

1.5 Invertible Matrices

We will assume throughout this section that n is a positive integer. Given any $n \times n$ matrix A , we say that an $n \times n$ matrix L is a **left inverse** of A if it holds that $LA = I_n$, where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Likewise, we say that an $n \times n$ matrix R is a **right inverse** of A if it holds that $AR = I_n$. We will establish immediately that every left inverse of A is also a right inverse and vice-versa, hence we may dispense of the distinct notions of left and right inverses of matrices and simply say that an $n \times n$ matrix B is a (two-sided) **inverse** of an $n \times n$ matrix A if it holds that $AB = I_n = BA$. Our next proposition shows that a two-sided inverse of a matrix A is unique.

Proposition 1.5.1. *Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Every left inverse of A is also a right inverse of A and vice-versa. Even more, if A admits a (two-sided) inverse, then it is unique.*

Proof. Consider any $n \times n$ matrices L and R such that $LA = I_n = AR$. By Proposition 1.2.5, we have that $L = LI_n = L(AR) = (LA)R = I_nR = R$. Consequently, L is a two-sided inverse of A . Even more, if L' is any two-sided inverse of A , then it is a right inverse of A so that $L' = L$. \square

Consequently, if an $n \times n$ matrix A admits a (two-sided) inverse, then it is unique, and we may denote it by A^{-1} . We will also say in this case that A is **invertible** (or **non-singular**). Certainly, the zero matrix does not possess an inverse, hence some (and in fact many) matrices are not invertible. We demonstrate next how matrix inverses behave in relation to other matrix operations.

Proposition 1.5.2. *Let A be any $n \times n$ matrix. If A^{-1} exists, then $(A^t)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^t$. Put another way, if A is invertible, then A^t is invertible, and its inverse is the transpose of A^{-1} .*

Proof. By Proposition 1.2.8, it follows that $(A^{-1})^t A^t = (AA^{-1})^t = I_n^t = I_n$, and we conclude that $(A^t)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^t$ by the uniqueness of the inverse of a matrix guaranteed by Proposition 1.5.1. \square

Proposition 1.5.3. *Let A_1, \dots, A_k be any invertible $n \times n$ matrices. We have that*

$$(A_1 \cdots A_k)^{-1} = A_k^{-1} \cdots A_1^{-1}.$$

Put another way, the inverse of a product of invertible matrices is the reverse product of the inverses.

Proof. By Proposition 1.5.1, it suffices to verify that $(A_k^{-1} \cdots A_1^{-1})(A_1 \cdots A_k) = I_n$. Considering that $A_i^{-1}A_i = I_n$ for all integers $1 \leq i \leq k$, we may replace every instance of $A_i^{-1}A_i$ with I_n ; then, using the fact that $I_n B = B$ for any $n \times r$ matrix B , the result eventually follows. \square

Using the method of **Gaussian Elimination**, we can determine if an $n \times n$ matrix A admits an inverse, and we may subsequently compute A^{-1} in this way, as well. Before we demonstrate this, we remind the reader that two matrices are row equivalent if and only if there exist some elementary row matrices whose product (on the left) of one matrix gives the other. Elementary row matrices are the $n \times n$ matrices obtained from the $n \times n$ identity matrix by performing one of the following.

- (1.) We may multiply any row of I_n by a nonzero scalar c .
- (2.) We may add c times the i th row of I_n to the j th row of I_n .
- (3.) We may interchange any pair of rows i and j of I_n .

We refer to the above operations as the elementary row operations.

Proposition 1.5.4. *Every elementary row matrix is invertible.*

Proof. Let E be an $n \times n$ elementary row matrix. Consider the following three cases.

- (1.) If E is obtained from I_n by multiplying the i th row of I_n by a nonzero scalar c , then E^{-1} is the $n \times n$ matrix obtained from I_n by multiplying the i th row of I_n by the nonzero scalar c^{-1} .
- (2.) If E is obtained from I_n by adding c times the i th row of I_n to the j th row of I_n , then E^{-1} is obtained from I_n by adding $-c$ times the i th row of I_n to the j th row of I_n .
- (3.) If E is obtained from I_n by interchanging rows i and j of I_n , then E is its own inverse. \square

Corollary 1.5.5. *If A and B are row equivalent, then A is invertible if and only if B is invertible.*

Proof. By definition, the $n \times n$ matrix A is row equivalent to the $n \times n$ matrix B if and only if there exist $n \times n$ elementary row matrices E_1, \dots, E_k such that $B = E_k \cdots E_1 A$. Observe that if B is invertible, then A is invertible because $(B^{-1}E_k \cdots E_1)A = I_n$. Conversely, if A is invertible, then B is invertible by Propositions 1.5.3 and 1.5.4 because $I_n = B(E_k \cdots E_1 A)^{-1} = BA^{-1}E_1^{-1} \cdots E_k^{-1}$. \square

By Corollary 1.4.5, every $n \times n$ matrix A is row equivalent to its reduced row echelon form $\text{RREF}(A)$. Consequently, by the previous corollary, it follows that A is invertible if and only if $\text{RREF}(A)$ is invertible. Particularly, if $\text{RREF}(A)$ admits any rows consisting entirely of zeros, then it is not invertible (because the last row of $\text{RREF}(A)B$ is zero for all $n \times r$ matrices B), hence A cannot be invertible. Conversely, we will demonstrate that if all rows $\text{RREF}(A)$ are nonzero, then it is invertible, hence A is invertible. Before this, we mention that an **upper-triangular matrix** is an $n \times n$ matrix with the property that if $i < j$, then the (i, j) th component of the matrix is zero. Put another way, all entries below the main diagonal of an upper-triangular matrix are zero.

Theorem 1.5.6. *Every upper-triangular matrix with nonzero diagonal elements is invertible.*

Proof. By definition, every $n \times n$ upper-triangular matrix U can be written as follows.

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

By hypothesis that a_{ii} is nonzero for each integer $1 \leq i \leq n$, we may multiply the i th row of the above matrix by a_{ii}^{-1} to obtain an upper-triangular matrix whose pivots are all 1. Consequently, we assume from the beginning that this is the case, i.e., we may consider the following case.

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

By Corollary 1.5.5, it suffices to demonstrate that U is row equivalent to the invertible $n \times n$ identity matrix I_n . We achieve this by furnishing some elementary row operations that reduces U to I_n . Observe that if we add $-a_{in}$ times the last row of U to the i th row of U , then we obtain a 0 in the (i, n) th component of the resulting matrix. Continuing in this way, we may reduce the n th column of U to zero except in the bottom right-hand corner. Considering that adding any scalar multiple of a row of U to another row of U is a row equivalence, we conclude that U is row equivalent to this matrix. Continuing in this way for each column of U from right to left, we obtain I_n . \square

Corollary 1.5.7. *An $n \times n$ matrix is invertible if and only if it is row equivalent to the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Even more, we may obtain the unique inverse matrix by performing **Gaussian Elimination**.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.5.6 and the paragraph that precedes it, an $n \times n$ matrix A is invertible if and only if the upper-triangular matrix $\text{RREF}(A)$ is invertible if and only if $\text{RREF}(A) = I_n$. Consequently, there exist some elementary row operations E_1, \dots, E_k such that $E_k \cdots E_1 A = I_n$, from which we conclude that the unique inverse of A is given by $A^{-1} = E_k \cdots E_1$. \square

Corollary 1.5.8. *Every invertible $n \times n$ matrix is a product of elementary row matrices.*

Proof. By the proof of Corollary 1.5.7, every invertible $n \times n$ matrix A admits some elementary row matrices E_1, \dots, E_k such that $E_k \cdots E_1 A = I_n$. By multiplying both sides on the left by $E_1^{-1} \cdots E_k^{-1}$, we obtain that $A = E_1^{-1} \cdots E_k^{-1}$. By the proof of Proposition 1.5.4, each of the matrices $E_1^{-1}, \dots, E_k^{-1}$ is itself an elementary row matrix, hence A is the product of elementary row matrices. \square

Example 1.5.9. Let us illustrate the method of **Gaussian Elimination** to determine a numerical criterion under which an arbitrary real 2×2 matrix is invertible. Consider any 2×2 matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

such that a, b, c , and d are real numbers. Observe that if $a = 0$ and $c = 0$, then A is not invertible because the first row of the matrix BA will be zero for all real $m \times 2$ matrices B . Consequently, we may assume that a is nonzero. By multiplying the first row of A by a^{-1} , we obtain the following.

$$A \xrightarrow{a^{-1}R_1 \mapsto R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

Equivalently, the displayed matrix above is E_1A for the elementary row matrix

$$E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We may subsequently create a pivot in the first row and first column of E_1A by adding $-c$ times the first row of E_1A to the second row of E_1A . Explicitly, we obtain the following.

$$E_1A \xrightarrow{R_2 - cR_1 \mapsto R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}b \\ 0 & d - a^{-1}bc \end{bmatrix}$$

Equivalently, the displayed matrix above is E_2E_1A for the elementary row matrix

$$E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -c & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Observe that if $d - a^{-1}bc = 0$, then the last row of E_2E_1A is zero, hence it is not invertible so that A is not invertible. Consequently, we must have that $d - a^{-1}bc$ is nonzero, i.e., we must have that $ad - bc$ is nonzero. Continuing onward, because $d - a^{-1}bc$ is nonzero, it possesses a multiplicative inverse $(d - a^{-1}bc)^{-1}$. By multiplying the last row of E_2E_1A by $(d - a^{-1}bc)^{-1}$, obtain the following.

$$E_2E_1A \xrightarrow{(d - a^{-1}bc)^{-1}R_2 \mapsto R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Equivalently, the displayed matrix above is $E_3E_2E_1A$ for the elementary row matrix

$$E_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & (d - a^{-1}bc)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Last, by adding $-(d - a^{-1}bc)^{-1}$ times the second row of A to the first row of A , we obtain a pivot in the second row and second column. Explicitly, if we multiply $E_3E_2E_1A$ on the left by

$$E_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a^{-1}b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

then we obtain that $E_4E_3E_2E_1A = I_n$ so that $A^{-1} = E_4E_3E_2E_1$. Explicitly, we obtain the following.

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a^{-1}b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & (d - a^{-1}bc)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -c & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

Consequently, our original matrix A is invertible if and only if $ad - bc$ is nonzero.

Example 1.5.10. We will compute one more example to demonstrate the method of **Gaussian Elimination**, but in this case, we will keep track of the elementary row operations in a different (and simpler) manner than in Example 1.5.9. Observe that if A is an $n \times n$ matrix, then we may construct the augmented matrix $[A \mid I_n]$ by adjoining the $n \times n$ identity matrix I_n on the right-hand side of A . If A is invertible, then by performing elementary row operations to this augmented matrix, we may reduce A to I_n and simultaneously convert I_n to A^{-1} . Explicitly, we will obtain $[I_n \mid A^{-1}]$.

Consider the following 3×3 matrix A and the resulting augmented matrix $[A \mid I_3]$.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } [A \mid I_3] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

We will carry out the Gaussian Elimination as follows, listing each elementary row operation.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow[\substack{R_3 - R_1 \mapsto R_3 \\ R_2 - R_1 \mapsto R_2}]{R_2 - R_1 \mapsto R_2} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\xrightarrow[\substack{R_2 - R_3 \mapsto R_2 \\ R_1 - R_3 \mapsto R_1}]{R_1 - R_3 \mapsto R_1} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\xrightarrow{R_1 - R_2 \mapsto R_1} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

By the first paragraph above, we conclude ultimately that the inverse of A is given by

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

1.6 Vector Spaces

Going forward, we will refer to a collection of like objects (such as real $m \times n$ matrices) as a **set**; the objects of a set are called **elements** or **members**. We use the symbol \in to denote set membership, i.e., we have that $s \in S$ if and only if s is an element of the set S . Explicitly, the collection of real $m \times n$ matrices is a set $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$; we have that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ if and only if A is a real $m \times n$ matrix.

Back in Example 1.1.4, we defined a (row) vector simply as a $1 \times n$ matrix. Our objective throughout this section is to demonstrate that the vector terminology can be applied much more broadly than simply in the scope of matrices. We begin by making the following definition.

Definition 1.6.1. We say that a pair $(V, +)$ is a (real) **vector space** if the following hold.

- (1.) We have that $u + v \in V$ for any pair of elements $u, v \in V$.

- (2.) We have that $(u + v) + w = u + (v + w)$ for any elements $u, v, w \in V$.
- (3.) We have that $u + v = v + u$ for any pair of elements $u, v \in V$.
- (4.) We have an element $O \in V$ such that $v + O = v$ for all elements $v \in V$.
- (5.) Given any element $v \in V$, there exists an element $-v \in V$ such that $v + (-v) = O$.
- (6.) We have that αv is an element of V for all (real) scalars α and elements $v \in V$.
- (7.) We have that $1v = v$ for each element $v \in V$.
- (8.) We have that $\alpha(\beta v) = (\alpha\beta)v$ for all (real) scalars α and β and elements $v \in V$.
- (9.) We have that $\alpha(u + v) = \alpha u + \alpha v$ for all (real) scalars α and elements $u, v \in V$.
- (10.) We have that $(\alpha + \beta)u = \alpha u + \beta u$ for all (real) scalars α and β and each element $u \in V$.

We refer to the elements $v \in V$ as (real) **vectors** in this case.

Combined, the first five properties of Definition 1.6.1 demonstrate that any vector space V constitutes an **abelian group** with respect to the addition defined on its elements. Groups form a central object of study in modern algebra, but we will not concern ourselves with their study here.

Our next example illustrates that the collection of real $m \times n$ matrices forms a real vector space.

Example 1.6.2. Consider any positive integers m and n . We denote by $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ the collection of all real $m \times n$ matrices. Observe that the following properties hold, hence $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a real vector space.

- (1.) By definition, for any pair of $m \times n$ matrices A and B , the matrix sum $A + B$ is the real $m \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) th entry is the sum of the (i, j) th entries of A and B .
- (2.) By definition, matrix addition is associative because addition of real numbers is associative.
- (3.) Likewise, matrix addition is commutative because addition of real numbers is commutative.
- (4.) By Example 1.1.6, the $m \times n$ zero matrix $O_{m \times n}$ is the unique real $m \times n$ matrix with the property that $A + O_{m \times n} = A$ for all real $m \times n$ matrices A .
- (5.) By Example 1.1.12, for every real $m \times n$ matrix A , there exists a unique real $m \times n$ matrix $-A$ such that $A + (-A) = O_{m \times n}$ for the $m \times n$ zero matrix $O_{m \times n}$. Explicitly, $-A$ is the $m \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) th entry is the (i, j) th entry of A with the opposite sign.
- (6.) By the paragraph preceding Example 1.1.12, if A is a real $m \times n$ matrix, then we have that cA is the real $m \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) th entry is c times the (i, j) th entry of A .
- (7.) Likewise, if A is a real $m \times n$ matrix, then we have that $1A = A$.
- (8.) Even more, if A is a real $m \times n$ matrix, then $c(dA) = (cd)A$ for all real numbers c and d .
- (9.) By definition of matrix addition and the paragraph preceding Example 1.1.12, we have that $c(A + B) = cA + cB$ for all real numbers c and all real $m \times n$ matrices A and B .

(10.) Last, by the paragraph preceding Example 1.1.12, we have that $(c + d)A = cA + dA$ for all real numbers c and d and all real $m \times n$ matrices A .

Example 1.6.3. Consider the collection $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f' \text{ is continuous}\}$ of real functions with continuous first derivative. We may define addition so that $f + g$ is the function satisfying $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ for all real numbers x , and we may define scalar multiplication in the obvious way. Observe that the following hold, hence $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a real vector space.

- (1.) Given any functions f and g of $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$, the function $(f + g)' = f' + g'$ is continuous.
- (2.) By definition, function addition is associative because addition of real numbers is associative.
- (3.) Likewise, function addition is commutative because addition of real numbers is commutative.
- (4.) Consider the function $O : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $O(x) = 0$ for all real numbers x . Certainly, its derivative is continuous, and it satisfies that $O + f = f$ for all functions
- (5.) Given any function f of $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$, we may define the function $-f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the obvious way. Observe that $(-f)' = -f'$ is continuous and $f + (-f) = O$.
- (6.) Given any function f of $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$ and any real number α , it holds that $(\alpha f)' = \alpha f'$ is continuous.
- (7.) Given any function f of $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$, we have that $1f = f$.
- (8.) Given any function f of $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$, we have that $\alpha(\beta f) = (\alpha\beta)f$ for all real numbers α and β .
- (9.) Given any functions f and g of $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$, we have that $\alpha(f + g) = \alpha f + \alpha g$ for all real numbers α because it holds that $\alpha(f + g)(x) = \alpha[f(x) + g(x)] = \alpha f(x) + \alpha g(x) = (\alpha f + \alpha g)(x)$.
- (10.) Given any function f of $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$, we have $(\alpha + \beta)f = \alpha f + \beta f$ for all real numbers α and β .

Given any vector O of a vector space V satisfying property (4.) of Definition 1.6.1, we say that O is a **zero vector**. Below, we demonstrate that a vector space V has one and only one zero vector.

Proposition 1.6.4. *Let $(V, +)$ be a vector space. Let O be a zero vector of V .*

- (1.) *If u is any vector of V satisfying that $u + v = v$ for every vector v of V , then it must hold that $u = O$. Consequently, the zero vector of a vector space is unique.*
- (2.) *We have that $0v = O$ for all vectors v of V .*

Proof. (1.) Observe that if u is any vector of V with the property that $u + v = v$ for every vector v of V , then it holds $u + O = u$ by definition of a zero vector O . Conversely, we have that $u + O = O$ by assumption. We conclude therefore that $u = u + O = O$ so that $u = O$.

(2.) Given any vector v of V , we obtain a vector $0v$ of V satisfying that $0v = (0 + 0)v = 0v + 0v$. Consequently, by properties (2.) and (5.) of Definition 1.6.1, there exists a vector $-0v$ of V such that $0v = 0v + O = 0v + [0v + (-0v)] = (0v + 0v) + (-0v) = 0v + (-0v) = O$. \square

Generally, throughout all of mathematics, one of the primary means of classifying an object is to study its subobjects. Given any vector space V , we say that a subset W of V is a **vector subspace** of V (or simply a subspace of V) if the ten properties of Definition 1.6.1 hold for W with respect to the addition and scalar multiplication of V . We provide next a short criterion for subspaces.

Proposition 1.6.5 (Three-Step Subspace Test). *Let W be any subset of a vector space $(V, +)$. We have that $(W, +)$ is a vector subspace of V if and only if the following three properties hold.*

- (1.) *We have that O is an element of W .*
- (2.) *We have that $v + w$ is an element of W for any pair elements $v, w \in W$.*
- (3.) *We have that αw is an element of W for all scalars α and elements $w \in W$.*

Proof. Certainly, if W is a vector subspace of V , then by Definition 1.6.1, it satisfies the second and third properties listed above. Even more, we may consider the zero vector O_W of W . Considering that W is a subset of V , we may view O_W as an element of V so that $O_W + O_W = O_W = O_W + O$. Cancelling O_W from both sides of this identity yields that $O_W = O$, as desired.

Conversely, we will demonstrate that if W is any subset of a vector space V that satisfies the three properties listed above, then it must satisfy all ten properties of Definition 1.6.1. Considering that W is a subset of V , it satisfies properties (2.), (3.), and (7.) through (10.); it satisfies properties (1.), (4.), and (6.) by assumption; hence it suffices to prove that it satisfies property (5.). By the third property above, we have that $-w$ is an element of W for all elements w of W ; then, by the second property above, we have that $w + (-w)$ is an element of W that satisfies $w + (-w) = O$. \square

Example 1.6.6. Consider the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ of real $m \times n$ matrices. Consider the subset $W = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \mid \text{the first row of } A \text{ is zero}\}$. Observe that the $m \times n$ zero matrix $O_{m \times n}$ lies in W ; the sum of any matrices A and B of W lies in W ; and the scalar multiple cA lies in W for all real numbers c . By the **Three-Step Subspace Test**, we have that W is a real vector subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$.

Example 1.6.7. Consider the real vector space $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$ of functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that f' is continuous. Consider the set $W = \{f \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}) \mid f(0) = 0\}$. Clearly, the zero function $O : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ lies in W ; the sum of any functions f and g of W lies in W ; and the scalar multiple αf lies in W for all real numbers α , hence W is a real vector subspace of $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$ by the Three-Step Subspace Test.

Example 1.6.8. Consider the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ of real $n \times n$ matrices. Consider the subset $W = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid A \text{ is symmetric}\}$. Observe that the $n \times n$ zero matrix $O_{n \times n}$ lies in W ; the sum of any matrices A and B of W lies in W because $(A + B)^t = A^t + B^t$ by Proposition 1.1.14; and the scalar multiple cA lies in W for all real numbers c by [Lan86, Exercise 6] on page 47. Consequently, we conclude that W is a real vector subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ by the Three-Step Subspace Test.

Example 1.6.9. Consider the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ of real $n \times n$ matrices. Consider the subset $W = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid A \text{ is invertible}\}$. Observe that the $n \times n$ zero matrix $O_{n \times n}$ is not invertible, hence it does not lie in W . By the Three-Step Subspace Test, we conclude that W is not a vector subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Even more, the subset $W' = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid A \text{ is not invertible}\}$ does not constitute a vector subspace of V : all though the $n \times n$ zero matrix $O_{n \times n}$ lies in W' , this set does not satisfy the first property of Definition 1.6.1 because the $n \times n$ identity matrix is the sum of non-invertible matrices.

Using the Three-Step Subspace Test, we furnish an even shorter characterization of a subspace.

Proposition 1.6.10 (Two-Step Subspace Test). *Let W be any nonempty subset of a vector space V . We have that W is a vector subspace of V if and only if the following two properties hold.*

- (1.) *We have that $v + w$ is an element of W for any pair of elements $v, w \in W$.*
- (2.) *We have that αw is an element of W for all scalars α and elements $w \in W$.*

Proof. By the **Three-Step Subspace Test**, if W is a vector subspace of V , then these conditions hold. Conversely, if the second condition above holds, then it follows that $-w$ is an element of W for all elements w of W . Likewise, if the first condition holds, then by assumption that W is nonempty, we have that $0 = w + (-w)$ is an element of W ; we are done by the Three-Step Subspace Test. \square

We will distinguish in our next proposition two very important vector subspaces.

Proposition 1.6.11. *Let V be a vector space with vector subspaces U and W .*

- (1.) *Let $U + W$ denote the collection of all vectors $u + w$ such that u is a vector of U and w is a vector of W . We have that $U + W$ is a vector subspace of V that contains both U and W .*
- (2.) *Let $U \cap W$ denote the collection of all vectors v such that v is a vector of both U and W . We have that $U \cap W$ is a vector subspace of V contained in both U and W .*

Proof. Use the **Two-Step Subspace Test**. We leave this as an exercise for the reader. \square

1.7 Span and Linear Independence

We will assume throughout this section that V is a (real) vector space. Given any vectors v_1, \dots, v_n of V , a **linear combination** of v_1, \dots, v_n is any vector of the form $\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n$ for some (real) scalars $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. We refer to the collection of linear combinations of the vectors v_1, \dots, v_n as the **span** of the vectors v_1, \dots, v_n , and we write $\text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ to denote this set. Explicitly, an element of $\text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is of the form $\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n$ for some (real) scalars $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. We will say that V is **generated** by the vectors v_1, \dots, v_n if it holds that $V = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$.

Example 1.7.1. Given any positive integer n , consider the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$ of real row vectors of length n . By [Lan86, Exercise 11] on page 47, every element of $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$ can be written as $x_1 E_1 + \dots + x_n E_n$ for some real numbers x_1, \dots, x_n , where E_i is the $1 \times n$ row vector consisting of 1 in the i th column and zeros elsewhere. Consequently, it follows that $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times n} = \text{span}\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$.

Example 1.7.2. Given any positive integer n , consider the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ of real 2×2 matrices. Let E_{ij} denote the 2×2 matrix whose (i, j) th component is 1 and whose other components are zero. Observe that every real 2×2 matrix can be written as a linear combination

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} = aE_{11} + bE_{12} + cE_{21} + dE_{22}$$

for any real numbers a, b, c , and d . Consequently, it follows that $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} = \text{span}\{E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{21}, E_{22}\}$.

Example 1.7.3. Given any positive integer n , consider the collection $P_n(x)$ of real polynomials of degree at most n in indeterminate x . By Example 1.6.3, it follows that $P_n(x)$ is a nonempty subset of the real vector space $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$ of real functions whose first derivative is continuous. By the Two-Step Subspace Test, we conclude that $P_n(x)$ is a real vector space: indeed, the sum of two real polynomials of degree at most n is a real polynomial of degree at most n , and a real scalar multiple of any real polynomial of degree at most n is a real polynomial of degree at most n . Observe that every real polynomial $f(x)$ of degree at most n can be written as $f(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$ for some real numbers a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n , hence we conclude that $P_n(x) = \text{span}\{1, x, \dots, x^n\}$.

We say that a collection of vectors v_1, \dots, v_n are **linearly independent** whenever it holds that $\alpha_1 v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n v_n = O$ implies that $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_n = 0$, i.e., the only linear combination of v_1, \dots, v_n that is the zero vector is the linear combination of all zeros. Conversely, if there exist scalars $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ not all of which are zero such that $\alpha_1 v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n v_n = 0$, then we say that v_1, \dots, v_n are **linearly dependent**. Observe that in this case, there exists a nonzero scalar α_i such that $\alpha_i v_i = -\alpha_1 v_1 - \cdots - \alpha_n v_n$ and $v_i = -\alpha_1 \alpha_i^{-1} v_1 - \cdots - \alpha_n \alpha_i^{-1} v_n$, i.e., the vector v_i can be written as a linear combination of the vectors v_1, \dots, v_n excluding v_i . Consequently, any collection of vectors including the zero vector is linearly dependent, and we restrict our attention to nonzero vectors.

Example 1.7.4. Consider the real $1 \times n$ matrices E_i consisting of 1 in the i th column and zeros elsewhere. By [Lan86, Exercise 11] on page 47, we have that E_1, \dots, E_n are linearly independent.

Example 1.7.5. Consider the real 2×2 matrices E_{ij} whose (i, j) th component is 1 and whose other components are zero. Observe that if a, b, c , and d are real numbers such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = aE_{11} + bE_{12} + cE_{21} + dE_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix},$$

then $a = b = c = d = 0$. Consequently, it follows that $E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{21}, E_{22}$ are linearly independent.

Example 1.7.6. Consider the real polynomials $1, x, \dots, x^n$ of degree at most n . Observe that if there exist real numbers a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n such that $a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0 = 0$, then all of the derivatives of this polynomial are zero. Particularly, the n th derivative of this polynomial is $n(n-1) \cdots 2a_n = 0$. Cancelling $n(n-1) \cdots 2$ from both sides, we find that $a_n = 0$. Likewise, the $(n-1)$ th derivative of this polynomial is $(n-1)(n-2) \cdots 2a_{n-1}$ so that $a_{n-1} = 0$. Continuing backwards, we conclude that $a_0 = a_1 = \cdots = a_n = 0$. Ultimately, it follows that $1, x, \dots, x^n$ are linearly independent.

Example 1.7.7. Often, we will deal with (large) collections of vectors for which it is not obvious to detect linear independence. Explicitly, consider the vectors $v = (1, 1)$ and $w = (-3, 2)$ of the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times 2}$. By definition, the vectors v and w are linearly independent if and only if $\alpha v + \beta w = O$ implies that $\alpha = \beta = 0$. Expanding this equation by adding the corresponding columns of the vectors v and w (i.e., computing the matrix sum), we find that $(\alpha, \alpha) + (-3\beta, 2\beta) = (0, 0)$ or $(\alpha - 3\beta, \alpha + 2\beta) = (0, 0)$. Observe that this equation can be viewed as the following matrix equation.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Explicitly, the matrix on the left-hand side is the matrix whose columns are the vectors v and w ; the scalars α and β are placed in a column vector and multiplied on the right of the matrix created

from the given vectors; and the zero vector O is written as a column vector equal to this matrix product. Consequently, if the matrix whose columns are v and w is row equivalent to the $n \times n$ identity matrix I_n , then it will follow that $\alpha = \beta = 0$, i.e., v and w are linearly independent. By the method of **Gaussian Elimination**, we obtain the unique reduced row echelon form as follows.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - R_1 \mapsto R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{5}R_2 \mapsto R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 + 3R_2 \mapsto R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We conclude therefore that $v = (1, 1)$ and $w = (-3, 2)$ are linearly independent.

Our previous example gives rise to the following general method for determining all linearly independent vectors among a collection v_1, \dots, v_n of real $1 \times m$ row vectors.

Algorithm 1.7.8. Let m and n be positive integers. Consider any real $1 \times m$ row vectors v_1, \dots, v_n . Use the following steps to determine the linearly independent vectors among v_1, \dots, v_n .

- (1.) Construct the real $m \times n$ matrix A whose j th column is the $m \times 1$ column vector v_j^t .
- (2.) Use the method of **Gaussian Elimination** to convert A to its reduced row echelon form.
- (3.) Every column of A that contains a pivot corresponds to a $1 \times m$ row vector that is linearly independent from all other vectors. Every column that does not possess a pivot corresponds to a $1 \times m$ row vector that can be written as a nonzero linear combination of some vectors.

Proof. Either there is a pivot in the j th column of the unique reduced row echelon form $\text{RREF}(A)$ of the $m \times n$ matrix A , or there is not. By definition of the reduced row echelon form, if the j th column of $\text{RREF}(A)$ contains a pivot, then this column must be the real $m \times 1$ matrix E_i^t with 1 in row i and zeros elsewhere for some integer $1 \leq i \leq j$; otherwise, for each integer $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that the (i, j) th component of $\text{RREF}(A)$ is nonzero, there exists an integer $1 \leq k \leq j$ such that the (i, k) th component of $\text{RREF}(A)$ is a pivot of 1. Consequently, the j th column of $\text{RREF}(A)$ can be written as a nonzero linear combination of these column vectors, hence v_j is linearly dependent. \square

Example 1.7.9. We will use Algorithm 1.7.8 to determine the linearly independent vectors among the real 1×3 row vectors $v_1 = (1, 1, 1)$, $v_2 = (-1, 1, 1)$, $v_3 = (-1, -1, 1)$, and $v_4 = (0, 0, 6)$. We must construct the 3×4 matrix whose j th column is v_j^t ; then, we must subsequently convert this matrix into its unique reduced row echelon form. We illustrate this process this as follows.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{(1.)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{(2.)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{(3.)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{(4.)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (1.) We employed the elementary row operations $R_2 - R_1 \mapsto R_2$ and $R_3 - R_1 \mapsto R_3$.
- (2.) We employed the elementary row operation $\frac{1}{2}R_2 \mapsto R_2$.
- (3.) We employed the elementary row operations $R_1 + R_2 \mapsto R_1$ and $R_3 - 2R_2 \mapsto R_3$.
- (4.) We employed the elementary row operations $\frac{1}{2}R_3 \mapsto R_3$ and $R_1 + R_3 \mapsto R_1$.

Consequently, the vectors v_1 , v_2 , and v_3 are linearly independent and $v_4 = 3v_1 + 0v_2 + 3v_3$.

We say that the vectors v_1, \dots, v_n constitute a **basis** for the vector space V if and only if

- (1.) $V = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, i.e., V is spanned by v_1, \dots, v_n and
- (2.) v_1, \dots, v_n are linearly independent, i.e., $\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n = 0$ if and only if $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$.

Example 1.7.10. Examples 1.7.1 and 1.7.4 demonstrate that the real $1 \times n$ matrices E_i consisting of 1 in the i th column and zeros elsewhere form a basis for the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$.

Example 1.7.11. Examples 1.7.2 and 1.7.5 demonstrate that the real $m \times n$ matrices E_{ij} consisting of 1 in the (i, j) th component and zeros elsewhere form a basis for the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$.

Example 1.7.12. Examples 1.7.3 and 1.7.6 demonstrate that the polynomials $1, x, \dots, x^n$ of degree at most n form a basis for the real vector space $P_n(x)$ of real polynomials of degree at most n .

Given any basis v_1, \dots, v_n of a vector space V , by definition, every vector of V can be written as a linear combination of the vectors v_1, \dots, v_n . Explicitly, for every vector $v \in V$, there exist scalars $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that $v = \alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n$. We refer to the scalars $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ as the **coordinates** of v with respect to the **ordered basis** (v_1, \dots, v_n) . Often, we will write the coordinates of a vector with respect to an ordered basis as the ordered n -tuple $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Conventionally, this is due to the fact that every point (x_1, \dots, x_n) in real n -space can be written as $x_1 E_1 + \dots + x_n E_n$; we have already seen in Example 1.7.10 that E_1, \dots, E_n form a basis for real n -space, so this terminology is merely a generalization of the familiar language from vector calculus and geometry. We demonstrate next that the coordinates of any vector with respect to an ordered basis are unique.

Proposition 1.7.13. *Let v_1, \dots, v_n be linearly independent vectors that lie in some vector space V . If $\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n = \beta_1 v_1 + \dots + \beta_n v_n$, then we must have that $\alpha_1 = \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n = \beta_n$. Consequently, the coordinates of every vector in the span of v_1, \dots, v_n are unique (up to arrangement).*

Proof. Observe that if $\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n = \beta_1 v_1 + \dots + \beta_n v_n$, then subtracting $\beta_1 v_1 + \dots + \beta_n v_n$ from both sides and combining like terms gives $(\alpha_1 - \beta_1)v_1 + \dots + (\alpha_n - \beta_n)v_n = 0$. By assumption that v_1, \dots, v_n are linearly independent, we conclude that $\alpha_i - \beta_i = 0$ for each integer $1 \leq i \leq n$. \square

Example 1.7.14. Consider the real 1×2 vectors $v = (1, 1)$ and $w = (-3, 2)$ of Example 1.7.7. We have already demonstrated that these vectors are linearly independent, hence in order to conclude that they form a basis for the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times 2}$, it suffices to prove that they span $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times 2}$. We will achieve this by finding the coordinates α and β of any vector (a, b) with respect to v and w . By definition, we seek real numbers α and β that form a solution to the following matrix equation.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 1.7.7 exhibits elementary row operations to convert the matrix on the left to reduced row echelon form; to find α and β , we carry out these operations on the following augmented matrix.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & -3 & a \\ 1 & 2 & b \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{R_2 - R_1 \rightarrow R_2} \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & -3 & a \\ 0 & 5 & b - a \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{5} R_2 \rightarrow R_2} \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & -3 & a \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{5}(b - a) \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{R_1 + 3R_2 \rightarrow R_1} \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{5}(2a + 3b) \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{5}(b - a) \end{array} \right]$$

Consequently, we find that $(a, b) = \frac{1}{5}(2a + 3b)(1, 1) + \frac{1}{5}(b - a)(-3, 2)$ for all real numbers a and b .

1.8 Vector Space Dimension

Our first objective in this section is to demonstrate that if some vectors v_1, \dots, v_n form a basis for the vector space V , then the non-negative integer n is unique. We refer to this number as the (vector space) **dimension** of V , and we write in this case that $\dim(V) = n$. Essentially, this fact follows as a corollary of the proposition that states that if some nonzero vectors v_1, \dots, v_n span the vector space V , then any collection of linearly independent vectors consists of no more than n vectors.

Proposition 1.8.1. *Let V be a vector space that is spanned by some nonzero vectors v_1, \dots, v_n . Given any integer $m > n$, every collection of nonzero vectors $w_1, \dots, w_m \in V$ is linearly dependent.*

Proof. By hypothesis that V is spanned by v_1, \dots, v_n , for every collection of nonzero vectors $w_1, \dots, w_m \in V$, there exist scalars $\alpha_{11}, \dots, \alpha_{1n}, \dots, \alpha_{m1}, \dots, \alpha_{mn}$ such that the following hold.

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= \alpha_{11}v_1 + \dots + \alpha_{1n}v_n \\ &\vdots \\ w_m &= \alpha_{m1}v_1 + \dots + \alpha_{mn}v_n \end{aligned}$$

Consider the $m \times n$ matrix A whose (i, j) th component is α_{ij} . We note that A is a nonzero matrix because at least one of the scalars α_{ij} is nonzero. By hypothesis that $m > n$, the reduced row echelon form for A will have (at least) one zero row at the bottom (because it is impossible for a pivot to exist in row m). Consequently, there exist scalars β_1, \dots, β_m such that $\beta_1 w_1 + \dots + \beta_m w_m = 0$. \square

Corollary 1.8.2. *Let V be a vector space. If the vectors v_1, \dots, v_n and the vectors w_1, \dots, w_m form bases for V , then we must have that $m = n$. Consequently, the dimension of V is well-defined.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.8.1, we must have that $m \leq n$ because V is spanned by v_1, \dots, v_n and w_1, \dots, w_m are linearly independent. Conversely, we must have that $n \leq m$ because V is spanned by w_1, \dots, w_m and v_1, \dots, v_n are linearly independent. We conclude that $m = n$, as desired. \square

Example 1.8.3. By Example 1.7.10, the real $1 \times n$ matrices E_i consisting of 1 in the i th column and zeros elsewhere form a basis for the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$, hence we have that $\dim(\mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}) = n$.

Example 1.8.4. By Example 1.7.11, the real $m \times n$ matrices E_{ij} consisting of 1 in the (i, j) th component and zeros elsewhere form a basis for the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ so that $\dim(\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}) = mn$.

Example 1.8.5. By Example 1.7.12, the polynomials $1, x, \dots, x^n$ of degree at most n form a basis for the real vector space $P_n(x)$ of real polynomials of degree at most n , i.e., $\dim(P_n(x)) = n + 1$.

We have therefore demonstrated that for any vector space V that admits a basis v_1, \dots, v_n , the non-negative integer n is unique; it is called the vector space dimension of V , and it is denoted by $\dim(V)$. Observe that if V is the **zero vector space** (i.e., the vector space consisting only of the zero vector), then $\dim(V) = 0$ because there are no linearly independent vectors in V ; otherwise, we will soon demonstrate that the dimension of a nonzero vector space is always positive. Before this, we must understand the following fundamental properties of vector space dimension.

Proposition 1.8.6. *If V is a vector space that is spanned by some vectors v_1, \dots, v_n , then the dimension of V is the largest positive integer m not exceeding n for which some vectors v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_m} are linearly independent. Put another way, every collection of generators of V induces a basis of V .*

Proof. Consider the largest positive integer m not exceeding n for which some vectors v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_m} are linearly independent. We may assume these vectors are simply v_1, \dots, v_m ; if they are not, then we may rearrange the subscripts. By Corollary 1.8.2, it suffices to demonstrate that v_1, \dots, v_m span V . Observe that for each integer $m+1 \leq k \leq n$, we have that v_1, \dots, v_m, v_k are linearly dependent by definition of m . Consequently, there exist scalars $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \alpha_k$ not all of which are zero such that $\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_m v_m + \alpha_k v_k = O$. Observe that if $\alpha_k = 0$, then $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_m = 0$ by assumption that v_1, \dots, v_m are linearly independent, so it must be the case that α_k is nonzero. Particularly, we may solve for v_k to find that $v_k = -\alpha_1 \alpha_k^{-1} v_1 - \dots - \alpha_m \alpha_k^{-1} v_m$. Considering that $m+1 \leq k \leq n$ is an arbitrary integer, it follows that v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n lie in the span of v_1, \dots, v_m . By hypothesis that V is spanned by the vectors v_1, \dots, v_n , for every vector $v \in V$, there exist scalars $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that $v = \alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n$. Each of the vectors v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n can be replaced by a linear combination of the vectors v_1, \dots, v_m , hence every vector of V can be written as a linear combination of v_1, \dots, v_m . \square

Proposition 1.8.7. *If V is a vector space that admits linearly independent vectors v_1, \dots, v_n such that v_1, \dots, v_n, v are linearly dependent for all vectors $v \in V$, then v_1, \dots, v_n is a basis for V . Put another way, the dimension of V is the largest number of linearly independent vectors of V .*

Proof. By definition of a basis, it suffices to demonstrate that v_1, \dots, v_n span V . Given any vector $v \in V$, there exist scalars $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha$ not all of which are zero such that $\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n + \alpha v = O$ by hypothesis that v_1, \dots, v_n, v are linearly dependent. On the other hand, the linear independence of v_1, \dots, v_n implies that if $\alpha = 0$, then $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$. Consequently, we must have that α is nonzero so that $v = \alpha_1 \alpha^{-1} v_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \alpha^{-1} v_n$. We conclude that $V = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. \square

Corollary 1.8.8. *Let V be a vector space of finite dimension n . If v_1, \dots, v_m are linearly independent vectors in V , then there exist nonzero vectors $v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n \in V$ such that v_1, \dots, v_n form a basis for V . Put another way, every linearly independent subset of V can be extended to a basis of V .*

Proof. Begin with a collection of linearly independent vectors v_1, \dots, v_m . By Proposition 1.8.7, if v_1, \dots, v_m, v are linearly dependent for all vectors $v \in V$, then v_1, \dots, v_m constitute a basis for V ; otherwise, there exists a nonzero vector $v_{m+1} \in V$ such that v_1, \dots, v_{m+1} are linearly independent. Continuing in this manner yields nonzero vectors $v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n \in V$ such that v_1, \dots, v_n are linearly independent and v_1, \dots, v_n, v are linearly dependent for all vectors $v \in V$ by Proposition 1.8.1. Consequently, it follows from Proposition 1.8.7 that v_1, \dots, v_n form a basis for V , as desired. \square

Corollary 1.8.9. *Let V be a vector space of finite dimension. Let W be a vector subspace of V . We have that $0 \leq \dim(W) \leq \dim(V)$. Equality holds if and only if $W = \{O\}$ or $W = V$, respectively.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.8.7, we have that $\dim(W) = 0$ if and only if W admits no linearly independent vectors if and only if W admits no nonzero vectors if and only if $W = \{O\}$. Consequently, it suffices to establish that $\dim(W) \leq \dim(V)$ for every nonzero subspace W of V . Begin with any nonzero vector $w_1 \in W$. By Proposition 1.8.7, if w_1 and w are linearly dependent for every vector $w \in W$, then w_1 forms a basis for W ; otherwise, there exists a nonzero vector $w_2 \in W$ such that w_1 and w_2 are linearly independent. Continuing in this manner yields nonzero vectors $w_2, \dots, w_m \in W$ such that w_1, \dots, w_m are linearly independent and w_1, \dots, w_m, w are linearly dependent for all vectors $w \in W$. Explicitly, by viewing the vectors w_1, \dots, w_m, w as elements of V , we may appeal to Proposition 1.8.1 because V has finite dimension. Consequently, we conclude by Proposition 1.8.7

that the linearly independent vectors w_1, \dots, w_m form a basis for W and $\dim(W) = m$. Even more, we must have that $m \leq \dim(V)$ by Proposition 1.8.1. Last, if $\dim(W) = \dim(V) = n$, then a basis for W must be a basis for V . Explicitly, if there were a basis w_1, \dots, w_n of W that were not a basis for V , then there would exist a vector $v \in V$ that is not a linear combination of w_1, \dots, w_n , i.e., the vectors w_1, \dots, w_n, v would be linearly independent. But this contradicts Proposition 1.8.7. \square

Considering that the preceding four statements are so important, we outline them below. Going forward, we will say that a vector space is **finite-dimensional** if and only if it has finite dimension.

Theorem 1.8.10. *Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space.*

- 1.) *Every collection of vectors that span V can be refined to a basis for V .*
- 2.) *Every collection of linearly independent vectors of V can be extended to a basis for V .*
- 3.) *Every collection of $\dim(V)$ vectors that span V forms a basis for V .*
- 4.) *Every collection of $\dim(V)$ linearly independent vectors of V forms a basis for V .*
- 5.) *Every vector subspace W of V admits a basis.*
- 6.) *Every vector subspace W of V satisfies that $0 \leq \dim(W) \leq \dim(V)$. Even more, we have that $\dim(W) = 0$ if and only if $W = \{O\}$ and $\dim(W) = \dim(V)$ if and only if $W = V$.*

Before we conclude this section, we exhibit an example of an infinite-dimensional vector space.

Example 1.8.11. Consider the collection $\mathbb{R}[x]$ of real polynomials in indeterminate x . We claim that $\mathbb{R}[x]$ is an infinite-dimensional real vector space. By Example 1.6.3 and the **Two-Step Subspace Test**, it follows that $\mathbb{R}[x]$ is a real vector space because addition and scalar multiplication of real polynomials in x yields a real polynomial in x . We claim that the set $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$ of all non-negative integer powers of x forms a basis for $\mathbb{R}[x]$. By Example 1.7.6, the polynomials $1, x, \dots, x^n$ are linearly independent for each integer $n \geq 0$, hence $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$ is a linearly independent collection of vectors; it spans $\mathbb{R}[x]$ because every real polynomial in indeterminate x can be written as $a_n x^n + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$ for some integer $n \geq 0$. Consequently, the dimension of $\mathbb{R}[x]$ is infinite.

1.9 Matrix Rank

Consider any $m \times n$ matrix A . Each column of A can be viewed as a $m \times 1$ column vector, hence it is natural to investigate the span of the column vectors that comprise A . Explicitly, suppose that A_1, \dots, A_n are the $m \times 1$ column vectors such that A_j corresponds to the j th column of A . By definition, the span of these column vectors is the collection of all possible linear combinations of the vectors A_1, \dots, A_n , i.e., we have that $\text{span}\{A_1, \dots, A_n\} = \{c_1 A_1 + \dots + c_n A_n \mid c_1, \dots, c_n \text{ are scalars}\}$. We will refer to the vector space $\text{span}\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ as the **column space** of A ; the dimension of $\text{span}\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ is commonly known as the **column rank** of A . Crucially, we note that the column space of A is nothing but the collection of all $m \times 1$ vectors of the form Ac^t , where c is any $1 \times n$ vector of the form (c_1, \dots, c_n) . Explicitly, we have that $Ac^t = c_1 A_1 + \dots + c_n A_n$.

Example 1.9.1. Observe that the columns of the real 3×3 identity matrix I_3 are simply the real 3×1 vectors E_1^t , E_2^t , and E_3^t such that $E_1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $E_2 = (0, 1, 0)$, and $E_3 = (0, 0, 1)$. Consequently, the column space of I_3 is $\text{span}\{E_1^t, E_2^t, E_3^t\} = \{\alpha_1 E_1^t + \alpha_2 E_2^t + \alpha_3 E_3^t \mid \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{R}\} = \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 1}$ by Example 1.7.1. Considering that $\dim(\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 1}) = 3$ by Example 1.8.4, the column rank of I_3 is 3.

Example 1.9.2. Consider the real 3×4 matrix of Example 1.7.9 and its reduced row echelon form.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \text{RREF}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Previously, we illustrated that the column vectors $(1, 1, 1)^t$, $(-1, 1, 1)^t$, and $(-1, -1, 1)^t$ are linearly independent. Considering that $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 1}$ has dimension three by Example 1.8.4, we conclude by Proposition 1.8.7 that these vectors form a basis for $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 1}$, hence they form a basis for the column space of A . Consequently, the column rank of A is three. Likewise, the column rank of $\text{RREF}(A)$ is three by the same rationale because the vectors $(1, 0, 0)^t$, $(0, 1, 0)^t$, and $(0, 0, 1)^t$ are linearly independent.

Example 1.9.3. Consider the following real 2×2 matrix.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

By definition, the column space of A is $\text{span}\{(1, 1)^t, (0, 0)^t\} = \{\alpha(1, 1)^t + \beta(0, 0)^t \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Considering that $\beta(0, 0)^t = (0, 0)^t$ the column space of A is simply $\text{span}\{(1, 1)^t\} = \{(\alpha, \alpha)^t \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$; it has dimension one, so the column rank of A is one. Observe that the reduced row echelon form

$$\text{RREF}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for A has column space $\text{span}\{(1, 0)^t\} = \{(\alpha, 0)^t \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$, hence its column rank is also one.

We demonstrate next that this phenomenon is no coincidence: in fact, the column rank of a matrix is always equal to the column rank of its unique reduced row echelon form.

Proposition 1.9.4. *Every matrix has column rank equal to the column rank of its unique reduced row echelon form. Put another way, elementary row operations do not affect column rank.*

Proof. Consider an $m \times n$ matrix A with unique reduced row echelon form R . Let A_1, \dots, A_n and R_1, \dots, R_n denote the columns of A and R , respectively. By definition of the reduced row echelon form of A , there exists an invertible $m \times m$ matrix E such that $R = EA$. Consequently, it follows by matrix multiplication that $R_j = EA_j$ for each integer $1 \leq j \leq n$. Observe that if there exist scalars c_1, \dots, c_n such that $c_1 R_1 + \dots + c_n R_n = O$, then multiplying both sides of this vector equation on the left by E yields that $c_1 A_1 + \dots + c_n A_n = O$. Conversely, if there exist scalars d_1, \dots, d_n such that $d_1 A_1 + \dots + d_n A_n = O$, then multiplying both sides of this vector equation on the left by E^{-1} yields that $d_1 R_1 + \dots + d_n R_n = O$. We conclude therefore that the columns A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_k} of A are linearly independent if and only if the columns R_{i_1}, \dots, R_{i_k} are linearly independent. By Proposition 1.8.7 and the definition of column rank, we conclude that the column ranks of A and R are equal. \square

We may also consider the rows a_1, \dots, a_m of an $m \times n$ matrix A , i.e., the $1 \times n$ row vectors a_i corresponding to the i th row of A . We define the **row rank** of A to be the dimension of the **row space** of A , i.e., the vector space $\text{span}\{a_1, \dots, a_m\} = \{c_1 a_1 + \dots + c_m a_m \mid c_1, \dots, c_m \text{ are scalars}\}$.

Example 1.9.5. Like before, the rows of the real 3×3 identity matrix I_3 are the real 3×1 vectors E_1 , E_2 , and E_3 such that $E_1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $E_2 = (0, 1, 0)$, and $E_3 = (0, 0, 1)$; these vectors are linearly independent, and they span the three-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times 3}$, so the row space of I_3 is $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times 3}$.

Example 1.9.6. Consider the real 3×4 matrix of Example 1.9.1 and its reduced row echelon form.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \text{RREF}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider the row vectors $a_1 = (1, -1, -1, 0)$, $a_2 = (1, 1, -1, 0)$, and $a_3 = (1, 1, 1, 6)$. Certainly, the vector a_3 is linearly independent of the vectors a_1 and a_2 because it has a nonzero entry in its fourth column, and the fourth column of a_1 and a_2 is zero. Likewise, the vectors a_1 and a_2 are linearly independent: indeed, if we take any scalars c_1 and c_2 such that $c_1 a_1 + c_2 a_2 = O$, then it follows that $(c_1, -c_1, -c_1, 0) + (c_2, c_2, -c_2, 0) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ so that $c_1 + c_2 = 0$ and $-c_1 + c_2 = 0$. By adding the first equation to the second, we find that $2c_2 = 0$ or $c_2 = 0$, from which it follows that $c_1 = 0$. Ultimately, we conclude that the row rank of A is three, and the row space of A is

$$\text{span}\{a_1, a_2, a_3\} = \{(c_1 + c_2 + c_3, -c_1 + c_2 + c_3, -c_1 - c_2 + c_3, 6c_3) \mid c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Likewise, the row rank of $\text{RREF}(A)$ is three because the vectors $r_1 = (1, 0, 0, 3)$, $r_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0)$, and $r_3 = (0, 0, 1, 3)$ are linearly independent: indeed, we have that $c_1 r_1 + c_2 r_2 + c_3 r_3 = O$ if and only if $(c_1, c_2, c_3, 3c_1 + 3c_3) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ if and only if $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$. Last, the row space of $\text{RREF}(A)$ is

$$\text{span}\{r_1, r_2, r_3\} = \{(c_1, c_2, c_3, 3c_1 + 3c_3) \mid c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Example 1.9.7. Consider the following real 2×2 matrix of Example 1.9.3.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Observe that the row space of A is $\text{span}\{(1, 0), (1, 0)\} = \text{span}\{(1, 0)\} = \{\alpha(1, 0) \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$; this is also the row space for the unique reduced row echelon form of A below.

$$\text{RREF}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Consequently, A and $\text{RREF}(A)$ have the same row space, and their row ranks are equal to one.

Like before, the previous examples are illustrative of a more general observation that the row space of any matrix is equal to the row space of its unique reduced row echelon form.

Proposition 1.9.8. *Every matrix has row space equal to the row space of its unique reduced row echelon form. Consequently, the row rank of a matrix is equal to the row rank of its reduced row echelon form. Put another way, elementary row operations do not affect row space or row rank.*

Proof. Consider an $m \times n$ matrix A with unique reduced row echelon form R . Let a_1, \dots, a_m and r_1, \dots, r_m denote the rows of A and R , respectively. Certainly, it does not affect the row space of A to interchange two rows of A because this amounts to relabelling the indices of some row vectors a_i and a_j , and the indices of the vectors in the span by definition do not matter. Likewise, taking a nonzero scalar multiple c of any row a_i of A does not affect the span of a_1, \dots, a_m because any vector $c_1a_1 + \dots + c_ma_m$ in the span of a_1, \dots, a_m is now given by $c_1a_1 + \dots + (c_1c^{-1})ca_i + \dots + c_ma_m$. Last, replacing any row a_j of A by the linear combination $ca_i + a_j$ for any scalar c and any integer $1 \leq i \leq m$ does not affect the span of a_1, \dots, a_m because any vector $c_1a_1 + \dots + c_ma_m$ in the span of a_1, \dots, a_m can be achieved as $c_1a_1 + \dots + (c_i - c_jc)a_i + \dots + c_j(ca_i + a_j) + \dots + c_ma_m$. Consequently, every vector in the span of a_1, \dots, a_m lies in the span of r_1, \dots, r_m . Conversely, every row of R is a linear combination of some rows of A , hence every vector in the span of r_1, \dots, r_m lies in the span of a_1, \dots, a_m . We conclude therefore that $\text{span}\{a_1, \dots, a_m\} = \text{span}\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$, i.e., the row spaces of A and R are equal. Clearly, now, the row rank of A and the row rank of R are equal. \square

Corollary 1.9.9. *Elementary column operations do not affect column rank.*

Proposition 1.9.10. *Elementary column operations do not affect row rank.*

Proof. By definition of the matrix transpose, elementary column operations on a matrix are equivalent to elementary row operations on the matrix transpose. By Proposition 1.9.4, elementary row operations on the matrix transpose do not affect the column rank of the matrix transpose, so elementary column operations on the matrix do not affect the row rank of the matrix. \square

Proposition 1.9.11. *Every matrix can be reduced via a sequence of elementary row and column operations to a matrix containing the $r \times r$ identity matrix in the top left-hand corner and whose other rows and columns are all zero, where the non-negative integer r is equal to the row rank of the matrix. Even more, the row rank and the column rank of any matrix are equal.*

Proof. Consider an $m \times n$ matrix A with unique reduced row echelon form R . Observe that if A is the zero matrix, then its row rank and column rank are both zero, and the proposition is vacuously true. Consequently, we may assume that R is nonzero. By definition of the reduced row echelon form of a matrix, the nonzero rows of R are linearly independent; they span the row space of R , hence the number of nonzero rows of R is the row rank of R . By Proposition 1.9.8, the row rank of R is equal to the row rank of A , hence there are precisely r nonzero rows of R , where r is the row rank of A . Each of the r nonzero rows of R possesses a pivot of 1 in some column, and all other entries of any column containing a pivot are zero. By successively interchanging the columns of R , we obtain a matrix with the $r \times r$ identity matrix in the top left-hand corner and zeros in all subsequent rows. By construction of R , there exists a sequence of elementary row operations that reduce A to R , so in conjunction with the aforementioned column interchanges, we obtain a sequence of elementary row and column operations that reduces A to a matrix containing the $r \times r$ identity matrix in the top-left hand corner and whose subsequent rows are all zero. Considering that adding a scalar multiple of one column to another column is an elementary column operation, we can reduce any nonzero columns strictly to the right of column r to zero. Explicitly, if a is the (i, j) th component of the matrix and $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $r + 1 \leq j \leq n$, then $C_j - aC_i \mapsto C_j$ yields a 0 in the (i, j) th component of the resulting matrix. Each of these is an elementary column

operation, so after a sequence of elementary column operations, we obtain the desired matrix of the proposition statement. Last, neither elementary row operations nor elementary column operations affect column rank by Propositions 1.9.4 and 1.9.9, hence the column rank of A is equal to the column rank of this matrix, which equals the row rank of the matrix, i.e., the row rank of A . \square

Consequently, by Proposition 1.9.11, the row rank and column rank of any matrix coincide; their common value is referred to simply as the **rank** of A . Even more, the previous proposition is constructive in the sense that it gives a simple recipe to find the rank of a matrix.

Corollary 1.9.12. *The rank of a matrix is equal to the number of pivots of its row echelon form.*

Example 1.9.13. Consider the following real 3×3 matrix.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

By Corollary 1.9.12, in order to find the rank of A , it suffices to find the row echelon form for A . We accomplish this by performing elementary row operations on A as follows.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\widetilde{R_2 - 2R_1 \mapsto R_2}]{R_3 - R_1 \mapsto R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We have obtained pivots in rows one and two. Consequently, it follows that the rank of A is two.

References

- [DF04] D.S. Dummit and R.M. Foote. *Abstract Algebra*. 3rd ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2004.
- [FB95] J.B. Fraleigh and R.A. Beauregard. *Linear Algebra*. 3rd ed. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1995.
- [HK71] K. Hoffman and R. Kunze. *Linear Algebra*. 2nd ed. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1971.
- [Lan86] S. Lang. *Introduction to Linear Algebra*. 2nd ed. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 1986.
- [Lan87] S. Lang. *Introduction to Linear Algebra*. 3rd ed. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 1987.
- [Moo68] J.T. Moore. *Elements of Linear Algebra and Matrix Theory*. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1968.
- [Str06] G. Strang. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*. 4th ed. Cengage Learning, 2006.