

For this assignment, I developed a Python 2.7 implementation of the Rijndael encryption scheme (AES). The entire implementation and all unit tests and AES vector verification is contained within the single file *aes.py*. In its current implementation, all unit tests are ran to ensure each component of the encryption scheme produces the correct output. This is important as a single misplaced byte will result in either a weakened encrypted ciphertext or in the inability to retrieve the plaintext afterwards.

The final test “*Cipher*” actually tests the complete implementation by feeding various key/plaintext pairs through the application and comparing them to established, expected ciphertexts as provided in the AES Algorithm Validation Suite documentation.<sup>1</sup> If the *Cipher* test passes, it signifies that the encryption implementation is creating the correct ciphertext given the key/plaintext pair. The test vector inputs and outputs have been attached to this report.

Also attached to this report is the output from the *pylint* static code analysis tool when ran against *aes.py*. The majority of the warnings throughout are merely stylistic as I opted to follow the code style of the official AES pseudocode<sup>2</sup> rather than the established Python coding style. However, such warnings as produced by static code analysis tools can be helpful in discovering possible side-channel attacks against a particular implementation of an encryption scheme such as memory leaks, timing attacks, and other attack vectors.

---

1 <http://csrc.nist.gov/groups/STM/cavp/documents/aes/AESAVS.pdf>

2 <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips197/fips-197.pdf>

## AES NIST Validation Vectors and Implementation Ciphertext

**key** =  
[0x00, 0x01, 0x02, 0x03, 0x04, 0x05, 0x06, 0x07, 0x08, 0x09, 0x0a, 0x0b, 0x0c, 0x0d, 0x0e, 0x0f,  
0x10, 0x11, 0x12, 0x13, 0x14, 0x15, 0x16, 0x17, 0x18, 0x19, 0x1a, 0x1b, 0x1c, 0x1d, 0x1e, 0x1f]  
**plaintext** =  
[0x00, 0x11, 0x22, 0x33, 0x44, 0x55, 0x66, 0x77, 0x88, 0x99, 0xaa, 0xbb, 0xcc, 0xdd, 0xee, 0xff]  
**ciphertext** =  
[0x8e, 0xa2, 0xb7, 0xca, 0x51, 0x67, 0x45, 0xbf, 0xea, 0xfc, 0x49, 0x90, 0x4b, 0x49, 0x60, 0x89]

**key** =  
[0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00,  
0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00]  
**plaintext** =  
[0x01, 0x47, 0x30, 0xf8, 0x0a, 0xc6, 0x25, 0xfe, 0x84, 0xf0, 0x26, 0xc6, 0x0b, 0xfd, 0x54, 0x7d]  
**ciphertext** =  
[0x5c, 0x9d, 0x84, 0x4e, 0xd4, 0x6f, 0x98, 0x85, 0x08, 0x5e, 0x5d, 0x6a, 0x4f, 0x94, 0xc7, 0xd7]

**key** = same as above  
**plaintext** =  
[0x0b, 0x24, 0xaf, 0x36, 0x19, 0x3c, 0xe4, 0x66, 0x5f, 0x28, 0x25, 0xd7, 0xb4, 0x74, 0x9c, 0x98]  
**ciphertext** =  
[0xa9, 0xff, 0x75, 0xbd, 0x7c, 0xf6, 0x61, 0x3d, 0x37, 0x31, 0xc7, 0x7c, 0x3b, 0x6d, 0x0c, 0x04]

**key** = same as above  
**plaintext** =  
[0x76, 0x1c, 0x1f, 0xe4, 0x1a, 0x18, 0xac, 0xf2, 0x0d, 0x24, 0x16, 0x50, 0x61, 0x1d, 0x90, 0xf1]  
**ciphertext**=  
[0x62, 0x3a, 0x52, 0xfc, 0xea, 0x5d, 0x44, 0x3e, 0x48, 0xd9, 0x18, 0x1a, 0xb3, 0x2c, 0x74, 0x21]

**key** = same as above  
**plaintext** =  
[0x8a, 0x56, 0x07, 0x69, 0xd6, 0x05, 0x86, 0x8a, 0xd8, 0x0d, 0x81, 0x9b, 0xdb, 0xa0, 0x37, 0x71]  
**ciphertext**=  
[0x38, 0xf2, 0xc7, 0xae, 0x10, 0x61, 0x24, 0x15, 0xd2, 0x7c, 0xa1, 0x90, 0xd2, 0x7d, 0xa8, 0xb4]

**key** = same as above  
**plaintext** =  
[0x91, 0xfb, 0xef, 0x2d, 0x15, 0xa9, 0x78, 0x16, 0x06, 0x0b, 0xee, 0x1f, 0xea, 0xa4, 0x9a, 0xfe]  
**ciphertext**=  
[0x1b, 0xc7, 0x04, 0xf1, 0xbc, 0xe1, 0x35, 0xce, 0xb8, 0x10, 0x34, 0x1b, 0x21, 0x6d, 0x7a, 0xbe]

## Report

=====

238 statements analysed.

## Statistics by type

-----

type	number	old number	difference	%documented	%badname
module	1	1	=	0.00	0.00
class	0	0	=	0	0
method	0	0	=	0	0
function	23	23	=	0.00	100.00

## Messages by category

-----

type	number	previous	difference
convention	255	255	=
refactor	2	2	=
warning	3	3	=
error	0	0	=

## Messages

-----

message id	occurrences
bad-whitespace	142
invalid-name	41
line-too-long	26
missing-docstring	24
multiple-statements	18
superfluous-parens	4
unused-variable	2
too-many-statements	1

too-many-branches	1	
redefined-builtin	1	

## Global evaluation

Your code has been rated at -0.92/10 (previous run: -0.92/10, +0.00)

## Duplication

	now	previous	difference
nb duplicated lines	0	0	=
percent duplicated lines	0.000	0.000	=

## Raw metrics

type	number	%	previous	difference
code	283	97.25	283	=
docstring	0	0.00	0	=
comment	5	1.72	5	=
empty	3	1.03	3	=