For this project, I implemented 4 extensions:

- 1. Implemented *eval_l* without stores (Figure 19.2 of textbook). Edited miniml.ml to print the output from all three evaluators on one user input along with the abstract syntax of that input.
- 2. Eliminated redundant code within evaluators (Design).
- 3. Added floating point arithmetic functionality through updates of expr.ml, evaluators, miniml_lex.mll, and miniml_parse.mly.
- 4. Added string concatenation functionality through updates of expr.ml, evaluators, miniml_lex.mll, and miniml_parse.mly.

To illustrate those extensions, I'll share screen grabs from my implemented interpreter (items 1, 3, and 4) and screen grabs of code (item 2). Below are those images and accompanying description.

- 1. See item 3.
- 2. To eliminate redundant code I created an ADT, eval_type

I then added this ADT as an optional arg to eval_s. Within eval_s, eval_type is used to determine which evaluator is used on expressions found in match constructs whose effect is the same between all three evaluators.

The effect is that $eval_d$ and $eval_l$ have redundant code eliminated through an appropriate call to $eval_s$.

```
and eval_d (exp_d : expr) (env_d : Env.env) : Env.value =

match exp_d with

| Let (x, def, body) => (
match eval_d def env_d with
| Env.val v0 => eval_d body (Env.extend env_d x (ref (Env.Val v0)))
| -> rise (Evalerror "et: dynamic env. semantics don't use Closures"))
| App (e1, e2) => (
match eval_d de env_d with
| Env.Val (Fun (x, body)) => eval_d body (Env.extend env_d x (ref (eval_d e2 env_d)))
| -> rise (Evalerror "app: first expression must be a function"))
| Var x -> Env.lookup env_d x |
```

```
| The total (Exp_c: Exp_c: Provided = match exp_l with |
| Let (x, def, body) -> |
| Let v0 = eval_l def env_l in |
| val_l body (Env.extend env_l x (ref vD)) |
| Letrec (x, def, body) -> |
| Let v0 = ref (Env. Val Unassigned) in |
| Let v0 = ref (Env. Val Unassigned) in |
| Let v0 = ref (Env. Val Unassigned) in |
| Let v0 = ref (Env. Val Unassigned) in |
| Let v0 = ref (Env. Val Unassigned) in |
| Let v0 = ref (Env. Val Unassigned) in |
| Let v0 = ref (Env. Val Unassigned) in |
| Let v0 = ref (Env. Val Unassigned) in |
| Let v0 = ref (Env. Val Unassigned) in |
| Let v0 = reval_l def env_x; eval_l body env_x |
| App (el, e2) -> ( match eval_l el env_l with |
| Env. Closure (Fun (x, body), env_L) , v0 -> |
| eval_l body (Env.extend env_l x (ref v0) |
| -> raise (EvalError "App: first expression must be a function") |
| Var x -> Env.lookup env_l x |
| Fun _-> Env.close exp_l env_l |
| -> raise - eval type:Fval l exp l env l ;
```

3. Below I show showcase some functionality for floating points along with where the lexical and dynamic evaluators differ:

```
<= let rec f = fun x -> if x = 0. then 1. else x *. f (x -. 1.) in f 4.;
--> Letrec("f", Fun("x", Conditional(Binop(Equals, Var "x", Float 0.), Float 1., Binop(F_Times, Var "x", App(Var "f", Binop(F_Minus, Var "x", Float 1.))))), App(Var "f", Float 4.))
s=> 24.
1=> 24.
<= let x = 21. in let f = fun y -> x *. y in let x = 2. in f x ;;
--> Let("x", Float 21., Let("f", Fun("y", Binop(F_Times, Var "x", Var "y")), Let("x", Float 2., App(Var "f", Var "x"))))
s=> 42.
d=> 4.
1=> 42.
<= [</pre>
```

Lastly, here I show how my edits to miniml_ml effect what is printed. Notice how the *value* returned by evaluating a *Fun* includes the expected *Closure* characteristic of lexical evaluation:

```
<== fun x -> x ;;

--> Fun("x", Var "x")

s==> fun x -> x

d==> fun x -> x

l==> [{} ⊢ fun x -> x]

<== [
```

4. Below I show a few ways in which you could say hello to the world:

```
<== let x = "!" in "Hello world" ^ x ;;
--> Let("x", Str "!", Binop(Concat, Str "Hello world", Var "x"))
s==> Hello world!
l==> Hello world!
c== (fun x -> "Hello world" ^ x) "!" ;;
--> App(Fun("x", Binop(Concat, Str "Hello world", Var "x")), Str "!")
s==> Hello world!
d==> Hello world!
l==> Hello world!
c== [
```

As a side note, I also added division functionality for both integers and floating points as well as a greater than binary operator. These seem less significant than the rest so I leave them here for completeness only.

```
<== 2. /. 3. ;;
--> Binop(F_DividedBy, Float 2., Float 3.)

s==> 0.6666666666667

l==> 0.666666666667

<== 2 / 3 ;;
--> Binop(DividedBy, Num 2, Num 3)

s==> 0

d==> 0

l==> 0

c== 2 > 3 ;;
--> Binop(GreaterThan, Num 2, Num 3)

s==> false

d==> false

d==> false

<== [
```

This project was a blast. My only regret is not spending more time on it in the beginning. You all are wonderful and I appreciate your abundance of patience and help this semester.