

Q: review on graphs

Let  $G$  be a connected graph whose degree sequence consists of all 2s (every vertex has degree 2). Argue that  $G$  has at least one cycle.

1st proof

*Proof.* Contradiction. Assume  $G$  is acyclic. Then  $G$  is a tree because it is connected and acyclic, so it has  $n - 1$  edges. By the handshaking theorem,  $\sum_{i=1}^n i = 2|E| \Rightarrow 2n = 2(n - 1)$ . This contradiction shows  $G$  has at least one cycle.  $\square$

2nd proof

*Proof.* Direct. Construct a largest length acyclic path in  $G$ ,  $v_1 v_2 \dots v_l$ . Consider  $v_1$ .  $\text{Deg}(v_1) = 2$ , so  $v_1$  is connected to another vertex  $u$ .

Case 1  $u$  is not in the path  $p$ . Then  $p' = uv_1 \dots v_l$  is acyclic. This contradicts the maximality of  $p$ . This case does not happen

Case 2  $u$  is in the path  $p$ . In which case  $uv_1, v_2 \dots u$  is a cycle.  $\square$

Lecture 12 Graph matching and Graph coloring.

A set of edges is independent if they do not share any vertices (they don't touch)

A matching in a graph is a maximal set of independent edges

Q: When, in a bipartite graph, does there exist a matching that covers all of the left vertices?

Hall's matching theorem

There exists a matching on a bipartite graph with  $V = (L, R)$  that covers  $L \iff$  the "matching" or "marriage" condition:  $\forall x \subseteq L : |\mathcal{N}(x)| \geq |x|$  is satisfied. Here,  $\mathcal{N}(x) = \{u \in R : (u, v) \in E \text{ for some } v \in x\}$

Q: Why is there no matching that covers  $L$  if the matching condition is violated?

violation of the matching condition  $\Rightarrow \exists x \subseteq L : |\mathcal{N}(x)| < |x|$ . If  $|\mathcal{N}(x)| < |x|$  then there cannot be a set of independent edges that covers  $x$ , hence there is no set of independent edges that covers  $G$

Proof of Hall's theorem

*Proof.* We just showed that existence of a covering matching  $\Rightarrow$  marriage condition is satisfied (by contrapositive).

Marriage condition is satisfied  $\Rightarrow$  exists a covering matching.

By induction on the number of left vertices  $n$ .

Base case  $n = 1$ . The marriage condition says the left node has at least one neighbor. Thus this vertex can be covered with a matching.

Induction

Assume for  $n - 1$  marriage condition implies a matching exists.

Given a graph with  $n$  left vertices, consider the two possible cases.

Case 1  $\forall x \subseteq L : |\mathcal{N}(x)| \geq |x| + 1$

Pick an arbitrary vertex  $a \in L$  and  $b \in \mathcal{N}(\{a\})$

Consider  $S \subseteq L - \{a\}$ . Consider  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(S) = \{u : (u, v) \in E \text{ for some } v \in S \text{ and } v \neq b\}$

Note  $|\overline{\mathcal{N}}(S)| \geq |\mathcal{N}(S)| - 1$

So for every  $S \subseteq L - \{a\}$ ,  $|\overline{\mathcal{N}}(S)| \geq |\mathcal{N}(S)| - 1 \geq |S| + 1 - 1 = |S|$  so the residual graph satisfies the matching condition.

This graph has  $n - 1$  left vertices, so by the inductive hypothesis we can match all of its left vertices. This matching together with  $(a, b)$  gives a matching for all  $n$  left vertices of our original graph.

Case 2  $\exists x \subseteq L : |\mathcal{N}(x)| = |x|$ . See book for proof.  $\square$

Ex Claim: if  $\min(\text{left degrees}) \geq \max(\text{right degrees})$  in a bipartite graph, then a matching covering of the left vertices exists.  
 Equivalently:  $\min(\text{left degrees}) \geq \max(\text{right degrees})$  implies  $\forall S \subseteq L : |\mathcal{N}(S)| \geq |S|$   
 Given an  $S$ , the number of edges from  $S$  to the  $R$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= |S| * (\text{average degree of vertices in } S) \\
 &= |\mathcal{N}(S)| * (\text{average degree of vertices in } \mathcal{N}(S)) \\
 |S| * (\text{average degrees of vertices in } S) &\geq |S| * \min(\text{left degrees}) \\
 |\mathcal{N}(S)| * (\text{average degrees of vertices in } \mathcal{N}(S)) &\leq |\mathcal{N}(S)| * \max(\text{right degrees}) \\
 |S| * \min(\text{left degrees}) &\leq |\mathcal{N}(S)| * \max(\text{right degrees}) \\
 \frac{\min(\text{left degrees})}{\max(\text{right degrees})} &\leq \frac{|\mathcal{N}(S)|}{|S|} \\
 \Rightarrow |S| &\leq |\mathcal{N}(S)|
 \end{aligned}$$

### Graph coloring

Graph coloring: a  $k$ -coloring of a graph  $G$  is an assignment of colors  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  to the vertices of  $G$  so that no two adjacent vertices have the same color.

Example applications (conflict graphs)

Ex Radio frequency assignment. Radio stations cannot share a frequency with any stations that they overlap

Ex Scheduling course exams. Conflict if there is a student in two classes with exam at the same time

Greedy algorithm for coloring a graph

1. Colors  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
2. Order the vertices in the graph  $v_1, \dots, v_n$
3. Assume vertices  $v_1, v_i$  have been colored. Color  $v_{i+1}$  with the smallest color so that it does not conflict with any previously colored vertex

Using the greedy coloring algorithm,  $\text{color}(v_i) \leq S_i + 1$

$\Rightarrow$

$\mathcal{X}(G) \leq \max_{i=1, \dots, n} S_i + 1$  where  $\mathcal{X}(G) = \min\{k : G \text{ is } k\text{-colorable}\}$