

Stronger forms of induction

- "Leaping" induction (multiple base cases)
- Strong induction

Contents

1	Recap	1
2	Leaping Induction	1
3	Strong Induction	1
4	Examples	2
4.1	$\forall n \geq 1 : \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} \leq 2\sqrt{n}$	2
4.2	Proving claims by strengthening our inductive hypothesis	2
4.3	Tiling Dyadic Floor with Triominoes	3
4.4	Leaping Induction	3
4.5	Strong Induction	4

1 Recap

Given $P(n)$, goal is to show $P(n) \rightarrow \forall n \geq b, P(b) \rightarrow P(b+1) \rightarrow P(b+2) \rightarrow \dots$ via principle of induction.
 $P(b)$ is the base case, $P(b) \rightarrow P(b+1)$ justified by induction step.

Template for Inductive Proofs

- We prove by induction $P(n), n \geq b$
- Base case: Show that $P(b)$ is true
- Inductive step: (to prove $P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1), \forall n \geq b$)
 We state our method of proof
 Use the fact that $P(n)$ holds to get that $P(n+1)$ holds
- Conclude by the principle of induction, that $P(n), \forall n \geq b$

2 Leaping Induction

$P(1) \rightarrow P(3), P(2) \rightarrow P(4), \dots$

Multiple base cases ($P(1)$ and $P(2)$ above)

$P(n) \rightarrow P(n+2)$ induction step above

3 Strong Induction

$P(1) \rightarrow P(2), (P(1) \wedge P(2)) \rightarrow P(3), \dots$

$P(1)$ is the base case

$P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge \dots \wedge P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$ inductive step.

4 Examples

Outline

- Example of weak induction using a lemma
- Weak induction with a strengthened hypothesis
 - $n^2 < 2^n$ when $n \geq 4$
 - tiling dyadic floors with triominoes
- Two more flavors of induction
 - Leaping: $n^3 < 2^n$ for $n \geq 10$
 - Strong induction:
 - * Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic
 - * Nim game

4.1 $\forall n \geq 1 : \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} \leq 2\sqrt{n}$

$P(n) : \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} \leq 2\sqrt{n}$

Base case $P(1) : 1 \leq 2$ true

Inductive step show $P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$

Assume $P(n)$, so $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} \leq 2\sqrt{n}$ and show $P(n+1) : \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} \leq 2\sqrt{n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} \leq 2\sqrt{n+1}$

Is the underlined section true? Show $P(n+1) : \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} \leq 2\sqrt{n+1}$. I can use the assumption that $P(n)$ holds to conclude that $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} \leq 2\sqrt{n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}}$.

Lemma: If $n \geq 1$ then $2\sqrt{n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} \leq 2\sqrt{n+1}$.

Proof. Direct proof. $2\sqrt{n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} \leq 2\sqrt{n+1} \iff 2\sqrt{n(n+1)} + 1 \leq 2(n+1) \iff 2\sqrt{n(n+1)} \leq 2n+1 \iff 4n(n+1) \leq (2n+1)^2 \iff 4n^2 + 4n \leq 4n^2 + 4n + 1$ True \square

4.2 Proving claims by strengthening our inductive hypothesis

Ex: $n^2 \leq 2^n$ for $n \geq 4$

Base case $P(4) : 16 \leq 16$ True

Inductive step $P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$ via direct proof

Assume $P(n)$, namely $n^2 \leq 2^n$. We want to show $P(n+1) : (n+1)^2 \leq 2^{n+1}$

Calculate: $(n+1)^2 = n^2 + 2n + 1 \leq 2^n + 2n + 1$. Want to prove that is $\leq 2^{n+1} = 2^n + 2^n$ so true if $2n + 1 \leq 2^n$

We wanted to prove $P(n) : n^2 \leq 2^n$ for $n \geq 4$

We see it's useful to prove the stronger claim

$Q(n)$:

(i) $n^2 \leq 2^n$

(ii) $2n + 1 \leq 2^n$

for $n \geq 4$

Proof. We prove by induction that $Q(n), \forall n \geq 4$.

Base step $Q(4) : (i) 4^2 \leq 2^4$ True, $(ii) 2 * 4 + 1 \leq 2^4$ True

Inductive step We use direct proof (for both parts of $Q(n+1)$)

Assume $Q(n) : (i) n^2 \leq 2^n$ $(ii) 2n + 1 \leq 2^n$. We need to show $Q(n+1) : (i) (n+1)^2 \leq 2^{n+1}$ $(ii) 2(n+1) + 1 \leq 2^{n+1}$

(i)
 $(n+1)^2 = n^2 + (2n+1) \leq 2^n + (2n+1)$ Using $Q(n)$ part (i) $\leq 2^n + 2^n$ using $Q(n)$ part (ii) $= 2^{n+1}$

(ii)
 $2(n+1) + 1 = (2n+1) + 2 \leq 2^n + 2$ using $Q(n)$ part (ii) $\leq 2^n + 2^n$ because $2 < 2^n$ when $n \geq 4 = 2^{n+1}$ □

4.3 Tiling Dyadic Floor with Triominoes

Given floor with $2^n \times 2^n$ tiles, remove 1 of the 4 center tiles.

Question: Can you tile the floor L-shaped with triominoes (3-block shape similar looking to tetris)

Answer: yes

when $n=1$, yes. Use an L such that the bend is opposite the missing tile.

General Case

$P(n)$: can tile a $2^n \times 2^n$ floor with a missing center square using triominoes

Base case $P(1)$ true (above)

Inductive step $P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$?

Adding an initial triomino making a 2×2 square with the missing tile effectively removes a tile from corner of each quadrant.

- reduces to the question: can we tile a $2^n \times 2^n$ floor with a missing corner square using triominoes?

So, strengthen our inductive hypothesis:

$Q(n) : (i)$ we can tile with a missing center square
 (ii) we can tile with a missing corner square

Proof that $Q(n) \rightarrow Q(n+1)$

(i) can tile a $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$ with a missing center square

- use one triomino to reduce to tiling 4 floors of $2^n \times 2^n$ with missing corner squares
- by $Q(n)$ part (ii) we can tile each of these 4 quadrants using triominoes
- Hence we get a tiling for our $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$ floor

(ii) can tile a $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$ with a missing corner square

- use one triomino to reduce to tiling 4 floors with missing corner squares
- ...

4.4 Leaping Induction

Ex: $n^3 < 2^n$ for $n \geq 10$

Can be accomplished by strengthening inductive hypothesis to

$Q(n) : (i) n^3 < 2^n$
 $(ii) 6n^2 + 1 \leq 2^n$ for $n \geq 10$
 $(iii) 2n + 1 \leq n^2$

Easier way: observe that $(n + 2)^3 = n^3 + 6n^2 + 12n + 8$. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}n > 10 &\Rightarrow n > 6 \\n^2 &> 12 \\n^3 &> 8\end{aligned}$$

$= 4n^3 < 4 * 2^n$ by inductive hypothesis $n^3 < 2^n = 2^{n+2}$

So we showed that if $P(n)$, then $P(n + 2)$ when $n \geq 10$. Need to prove $P(10)$ and $P(11)$ to get $\forall n \geq 10$

Two base cases:

- $P(10) : 1000 < 1024$ True
- $P(11) : 1331 < 2048$ True

4.5 Strong Induction

$P(1) \wedge \dots \wedge P(n) \rightarrow P(n + 1)$ is the inductive step.

Ex: Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Suppose $n \geq 2$. Then

- (i) n can be written as a product of factors each of which is prime
- (ii) This representation is unique up to ordering

$$2020 = 2 * 1010 = 2 * 2 * 505 = 2 * 2 * 5 * 101$$

$$2021 = 43 * 47$$

$P(n) \rightarrow P(n + 1)$ looks untrue/unclear

Proof. Base Case $P(2)$: 2 has a unique prime factorization. True

Inductive Step $P(1) \wedge \dots \wedge P(n) \rightarrow P(n + 1)$

Direct proof. Cases:

- (i) $n + 1$ is prime. Clearly $P(n + 1)$
- (ii) $n + 1$ is composite, that is $n + 1 = k * l$ where $k < n + 1, l < n + 1$ are in \mathbb{N} . Each of k and l have unique prime factorizations because $P(k) \wedge P(l)$ is true by the inductive hypothesis. Implies $n + 1$ has a unique prime factorization

□