

$$\begin{aligned}
m / n &:= \begin{cases} m n & \text{if } m :: \alpha \rightarrow \beta, n :: \alpha \\ \lambda k. m (\lambda f. n (\lambda x. k (f / x))) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} & \eta x &:= \lambda g. \{ \langle x, g \rangle \} \\
m \setminus n &:= \begin{cases} n m & \text{if } n :: \alpha \rightarrow \beta, m :: \alpha \\ \lambda k. m (\lambda f. n (\lambda x. k (f \setminus x))) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} & m \star f &:= \lambda g. \bigcup \{ f x g' \mid \langle x, g' \rangle \in m g \} \\
& & m^\perp &:= m (\lambda x s. \{ \langle x, s \rangle \mid x \neq F \}) \\
& & x^\uparrow &:= \lambda k. \eta x \star k
\end{aligned}$$

the_u := $\lambda c k g. |G_u| = 1. G$,

• **the_u** is just **a_u** plus a uniqueness presupposition

where $G = \bigcup \{ k x g' \mid \langle T, g' \rangle \in c x g^{u \mapsto x} \}$

• The presupposition restricts what the *set* of outputs is allowed to look like: they need to all agree on the value of u

circle := circ

square := sq

in := in

• That means its uniqueness effect is *delayed* until some program containing it is evaluated, (i.e., until its continuation is delimited). This sort of delayed global test on the set of outputs is very much like a postsupposition (Brasoveanu 2012, Henderson 2014), but here it is regulated by continuations, rather than logical subscripts (Charlow 2014)

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket \text{the square} \rrbracket &\rightsquigarrow \left(\frac{\text{the}_v (\lambda y. \llbracket \square \rrbracket)}{y} \mid \frac{\llbracket \square \rrbracket}{\text{square}} \right)^{\downarrow \downarrow \star} \rightsquigarrow \left(\text{the}_v (\lambda y g. \{ \langle \text{sq } y, g \rangle \}) \right)^{\downarrow \star} \\
&\rightsquigarrow \left(\frac{\lambda g. \bigcup \{ \llbracket \square \rrbracket g^{v \mapsto y} \mid \text{sq } y \}}{y} \right)^{\downarrow \star} \rightsquigarrow \frac{\lambda g: |G_v| = 1. \bigcup \{ \llbracket \square \rrbracket g^{v \mapsto y} \mid \text{sq } y \}}{y}
\end{aligned}$$

• Note that resetting $\llbracket \text{the square} \rrbracket$ in the last reduction step here has no effect on its semantic shape, because it's essentially $\llbracket \text{a square} \rrbracket$

• But it does fix the presupposition; For any input g , $G = \{ \langle y, g^{v \mapsto y} \rangle \mid \text{sq } y \}$, and the presup will require all those g 's to map v to the same square (which is only possible if there's exactly one square available to assign v to in the first place)

$\llbracket \text{the circle in the square} \rrbracket$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{\text{the}_u (\lambda x. \llbracket \square \rrbracket)}{x} \mid \left(\frac{\llbracket \square \rrbracket}{\text{circle}} \mid \frac{\llbracket \square \rrbracket}{\text{in}} \mid \left(\frac{\text{the}_v (\lambda y. \llbracket \square \rrbracket)}{y} \mid \frac{\llbracket \square \rrbracket}{\text{square}} \right)^{\downarrow \downarrow \star} \right) \right)^{\downarrow \downarrow \star} \\
&\left(\frac{\text{the}_u (\lambda x. \llbracket \square \rrbracket)}{x} \mid \left(\frac{\llbracket \square \rrbracket}{\text{circle}} \mid \frac{\llbracket \square \rrbracket}{\text{in}} \mid \frac{\lambda g: |G_v| = 1. \bigcup \{ \llbracket \square \rrbracket g^{v \mapsto y} \mid \text{sq } y \}}{y} \right) \right)^{\downarrow \downarrow \star} \\
&\left(\frac{\text{the}_u (\lambda x g: |G_v| = 1. \bigcup \{ \llbracket \square \rrbracket g^{v \mapsto y} \mid \text{sq } y \})}{\text{circ } x \wedge \text{in } y x} \right)^{\downarrow \downarrow \star} \\
&\left(\frac{\lambda g. \bigcup \left\{ \llbracket \square \rrbracket g^{u \mapsto x} \mid \text{sq } y, \text{circ } x, \text{in } y x \right\}}{x} \right)^{\downarrow \star} \\
&\frac{\lambda g: |G'_u| = |G_v| = 1. \bigcup \left\{ \llbracket \square \rrbracket g^{u \mapsto x} \mid \text{sq } y, \text{circ } x, \text{in } y x \right\}}{x}
\end{aligned}$$

Absolute Reading

⋮

• Once again, resetting the outer DP just fixes its presupposition. This time all the outputs need to agree on v , which is only possible if there's exactly one circle in the square that all the outputs now assign to u

[[the circle in the square]]

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\frac{\mathbf{the}_u(\lambda x. [])}{x} \left| \left(\frac{[]}{\mathbf{circle}} \left| \frac{[]}{\mathbf{in}} \left| \left(\frac{\mathbf{the}_v(\lambda y. [])}{y} \left| \frac{[]}{\mathbf{square}} \right) \right) \right) \right) \right) \right)^{\downarrow\downarrow\star} \\
 & \left(\frac{\mathbf{the}_u(\lambda x. [])}{x} \left| \left(\frac{[]}{\mathbf{circle}} \left| \frac{[]}{\mathbf{in}} \left| \frac{\lambda g. \cup \{[] g^{v \mapsto y} \mid \text{sq } y\}}{y} \right) \right) \right) \right)^{\downarrow\downarrow\star} \\
 & \left(\frac{\mathbf{the}_u(\lambda x g. \cup \{[] g^{v \mapsto y} \mid \text{sq } y\})}{\text{circ } x \wedge \text{in } y \ x} \right)^{\downarrow\downarrow\star} \\
 & \left(\frac{\lambda g. \cup \left\{ [] g^{u \mapsto x} \left| \text{sq } y, \text{ circ } x, \text{ in } y \ x \right. \right\}}{x} \right)^{\downarrow\star} \\
 & \frac{\lambda g: |G_u| = |G_v| = 1. \cup \left\{ [] g^{u \mapsto x} \left| \text{sq } y, \text{ circ } x, \text{ in } y \ x \right. \right\}}{x}
 \end{aligned}$$

Relative Reading (cf. Haddock, Champollion and Saurland)

- The only difference here is that we do not reset the inner DP, which staves off its presupposition until more information is accumulated in its scope
- But now when the outer DP is reset, it sets the presuppositions of *both* definites
- For any input g , $G = \left\{ \left\langle x, g^{u \mapsto x} \right\rangle \left| \text{sq } x, \text{ circ } y, \text{ in } y \ x \right. \right\}$ is the set of outputs that map u onto a circle in some square that it maps to v .
- So requiring that there be exactly one such v is tantamount to requiring that there be exactly one square *that has a circle in it* and exactly one circle *in that square*. In other words, there should be exactly one pair $\langle x, y \rangle$ in $\text{circ} \times \text{sq}$ such that *in* $y \ x$.

$$\mathbf{M}_u^f := \lambda G. \left\{ \langle \cdot, g \rangle \in G \mid \neg \exists \langle \cdot, g' \rangle \in G. g' u > g u \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{M}_u^f = \lambda G. \left\{ \langle \alpha, g \rangle \mid \langle \langle \alpha, \cdot \rangle, g \rangle \in G, \text{ truthy } \alpha, \neg \exists \langle \langle \cdot, \beta \rangle, g' \rangle \in G. \bigvee \beta \wedge f(g\ u)(g'\ u) \right\}$$

This is some stuff

$$\mathbf{larger} = \lambda x y. \text{size } x < \text{size } y$$

$$\mathbf{est}_u = \lambda f. \mathbf{M}_u^f$$

$$\mathbf{largest}_u = \mathbf{est}_u \mathbf{larger} = \mathbf{M}_u^{sz} = \lambda G. \left\{ \langle \mathsf{T}, g \rangle \mid \langle \mathsf{T}, g \rangle \in G \wedge \neg \exists \langle \mathsf{T}, g' \rangle \in G. \text{size}(g\ u) < \text{size}(g'\ u) \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{the}_u = \lambda Mckg. |G'_u| = 1. G', \text{ where } G' = \mathcal{M} \bigcup \left\{ k\ x\ g' \mid x \in \mathcal{D}_e, \langle \mathsf{T}, g' \rangle \in c\ x\ g^{u \mapsto x} \right\}$$

$$\frac{\frac{j^{\mathbf{F}} \star (\lambda j. [])}{j^{\triangleright} \star (\lambda x. [])}}{x} \left| \frac{\frac{[]}{\text{drew}}}{y} \right| \frac{\lambda g. \mathbf{M}_u \cup \{[]\ g^{u \mapsto y} \mid \text{sq } y\}}{y}$$

stuff

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}\alpha &:= \sigma \rightarrow \{\alpha * \sigma\} * \{\alpha * \sigma\} \\
\eta x &:= \lambda g. \left\langle \{\langle x, g \rangle\}, \{\langle x, g \rangle\} \right\rangle \\
m \star f &:= \lambda g. \left\langle \bigcup \{(f \ x \ g')_1 \mid \langle x, g' \rangle \in (m \ g)_1\}, \bigcup \{(f \ x \ g')_2 \mid \langle x, g' \rangle \in (m \ g)_2\} \right\rangle \\
\textbf{the} &:= \lambda \mathcal{M}ckg. |G_u| = 1. G_u, \text{ where } G = \mathcal{M} \bigcup \{k \ y \ g' \mid \langle \top, g' \rangle \in c \ y \ g^{u \mapsto y}\} \\
\textbf{only} &:= \lambda G. \text{true } G. \{\langle \top, g \rangle \in G \mid \neg \exists g'. \langle \top, g' \rangle \in G \wedge g' u \sqsupset g u\}
\end{aligned}$$
