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Today's topic is Japan should raise the pension age to 70 years old. We have two points. The first point is money crisis. The second point is more [buck?] for elderly people.

I will explain the first point Japan face money crisis now. We believe that if Japan raised the pension age to 70 years old it leads to solve the problem of money crisis of Japan. Now Japan borrow much money from foreign countries and this [year?] Japan has a lot of problem to solve, except the pension. POI. No thank you. Such as [inaudible] and the care for [upgrades?]. Japan has a lot of elderly people, so people on 60 are still young. They work in society after they retired. Most important program for Japan isn't to raise the pension now. Therefore, Japan should raise the pension age to 70 years old. [inaudible]? [inaudible] [inaudible]. Japan [inaudible] borrow money from foreign countries. They just borrow from the people in Japan [inaudible]. What do you think about this [then?]? [inaudible] nation. You mean Japan nation don't borrow? No. Japanese government borrow money from Japanese people. So Japanese government doesn't borrow money from foreign countries. How do you think about this? Actually, I thought that Japan borrowed it from other countries. So I'm sorry. But if it's true that Japan faces money crisis because of other reasons because of this Japan has a lot of problems. [inaudible]. Thank you.

[inaudible]. Hello, everyone. We believe that Japan should not rise the pensions age to 17 years old. And let me rebut first to government [inaudible], right? They said [inaudible] and they can't work so much. Hang on. Oh, yeah. And our policies-- my team policies is the policy-- this policy is to rise the pension to 70 years old it can't, it really can't solve the problem of aging society in Japan. Japan government should solve the problems of [inaudible] the problems for saving all of Japan's citizens. It is important [problem?] in Japanese government.

And let me explain our point. We have two points. The first point is the [inaudible] and the old people are more increasing. And the second point is more this other one pension. I will explain the first point that old people are more increasing, increasing. First, [inaudible] Japan government expect half of Japan population will be old people. So Japan population is-- and now Japan policy-- Japan population is 100 years. And so it is that Japanese government should pay the pensions to old people who [inaudible] Japanese populations. I think that it [inaudible] so and I think that it is not solve to rise the pensions to rise the pensions is can't problems of aging society in Japan. Because elderly people increase more and more and the Japanese government so-- sorry [inaudible] and more and more. In fact, once Japanese government rise the pensions to fix [inaudible] years age and a 60 years old. And the government said that it solves the problems of aging societies in Japan. But nowadays the government can't solve it's problems. [inaudible] Japanese government [inaudible]. So I think that so the government-- so I think-- and so I say again, the policy to rise the pensions to 70 years old it can't, it really can't solve the problems of aging society in Japan. Japanese government and-- Japanese government saving to the problems of aging societies and they are for saving the all Japanese citizens. It is important for a lot in Japanese government. Thank you.

Hello, everyone. Japan should raise the pension age to 70 years old. Let me rebut opposition's first point. They said old people are increasing and Japan's government help aging society. However, it is not important. I think a lot of aging people, yeah. There are a lot of aging people so they should work for Japan societies. Therefore, their first point is that [inaudible].

Let me explain our second point. More work for elderly people. We believe that this point should encourage elderly people work longer. Elderly lifespan is going up and elderly citizens who are enough health to work [activities?] is increasing. So many 70 years old people can earn money necessary for life. So this policy isn't necessary. I think we should use [inaudible] pension for other necessary policies such as [inaudible].

Hello, everyone. We believe that Japan should not rise pension age to 70 years old. Let me rebut government's first point. They said it can solve money crisis. However, elderly people can't work so much because they don't have enough power to work. Also, [inaudible] many immigrant start work in Japan, start working in Japan. They may be able to solve many crisis. Therefore, Japan should not raise pension age to 70 years old.

As for government's second point, they said they can work longer. However, please imagine elderly people work looks very terrible and painful. They can't work so much. It is because more problem-- it cause more problem. For example, their health. Therefore, Japan should not rise the pension age to 70 years old.

Next let me reconstruct opposition's first point. They said elderly people cannot work longer. However, elderly people have been increasing. that motion can't-- the motion can't solve problem of aging society. It is too temporary. Therefore, Japan should not rise pension age to 70 years old.

Then, let me explain our second point. It is more disadvantageous. We believe that all most elderly people retired their jobs when they are 70 years old. If government carry this motion out, it means this deserts elderly people. How do they spend their time without enough money to live? Also, elderly people account for almost voting [inaudible]. So they can't agree this motion because this motion has much disadvantage for them. Therefore, Japan should not rise pension age to 70 years old. Thank you.

Hello, everyone. We believe that Japan should rise the-- shouldn't raise the pension age to 70 years. Let me summarize today's debate. The most important point is the policy really can't solve the problems of aging society in Japan. And it will become the burden for elderly people. On this point, their idea is Japan can solve money crisis and elderly people can work more. However, our argument is superior. It is because suddenly this policy seems to be able solve the problems of [inaudible] Japan's aging society. But it's absolutely not. This problem is really serious. Japan can't solve them by just raising the pension age to 70 years. And Japan should think about other policy, more basically. For example, the policy to increase the children and accepting more immigrants. Then this change of the policy like raise the pension age to 70 years old, will make just confusing of Japanese society and [inaudible] them government people. Tehy will be just confused. And that will be just nuisance. And agencies [inaudible] system will be just in trouble and Japanese money crisis can't be solved. You said they can work more. However, it doesn't the raising the pension age to 70 years doesn't mean the raising of the age they can work. So this is [inaudible] when they can work just 60 years old. Therefore, so, for example, if when you become the 60, you should retire the job. And-- [yes, please?]. I know they are systems of [inaudible] that they work. But what do you think about this system? It is not related to rasiing th pension age to 70 years. It doesn't matter. Therefore, we believe the Japan should raise the pension age to 70 years old. Thank you.

Hello, everyone. We believe that Japan should rise the pension age to 70 years old. First, let me [rebut?] opposition's second point. They said a lot of elderly people is not a good motion. However, it is not important. It [inaudible]. For example, elderly people can work-- anybody working. For example, shall begin under--. So-- POI. Sure. It is [inaudible] but not work. What do you think? They can [inaudible] elderly life. Therefore, elderly people can work.

Then I will summarize today's debate. The most [inaudible] is poor people helping. On this point, their idea is poor people in crisis is healthy to [inaudible] money in the [operation?]. However, our argument is superior because poor people is healthy [by voter?] not in the policy in society. For example, [inaudible] social worker. And [inaudible]. Our next important thing is to increase employment. Therefore, Japan should rise the pension age to 70 years old. Thank you.