

# Computations of the dynamics through an electron gun in DYNAC V6.0

E. TANKE and S. VALERO

03-Jul-2010

## 1. Introduction:

One focuses on a numerical step-by-step method based on the 5 points Bode's method [2]. One considers steps of size  $h$  in the azimuthally direction  $z$  and one divides the length  $h$  in 4 elements of equivalent lengths. This gives way to the azimuthally positions  $z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$  such that:

$$z_1 - z_0 = h/4, z_2 - z_0 = h/2, z_3 - z_0 = 3h/4, z_4 - z_0 = h \quad (1)$$

One assumes that in the region of interest the electric field is not affected by the distance from the axis of the gun (paraxial approximation). In addition, the electric field  $E(z)$  does not depend on time, i.e.  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = 0$ .

## 2. Computation of the dynamics in the longitudinal direction.

When crossing the step of size  $h$ , the energy  $W = m_0 c^2 \gamma$  is changed by the amount  $\Delta \gamma$ :

$$\Delta \gamma = \frac{q}{m_0 c^2} \int_{z_1}^{z_4} E_z dz \quad (2)$$

Rewriting eq.2 with the 5 points Bode's rule, one obtains:

$$\Delta \gamma = \frac{q}{m_0 c^2} \frac{h}{90} [7E(z_0) + 32E(z_1) + 12E(z_2) + 32E(z_3) + 7E(z_4)] \quad (3)$$

Let  $\gamma_0$  be the relativistic  $\gamma$  at the entrance of the step of length  $h$ . At the end of this step one will have:

$$\gamma_4 = \gamma_0 + \Delta \gamma \quad (4)$$

The time  $t$  is changed by the amount  $\Delta t$  such that [1]:

$$\Delta t = \frac{q}{m_0 c^3} \int_{z_0}^{z_4} \frac{E(z)}{\beta^3 \gamma^3} (z - z_0) dz \quad (5)$$

Provided that the step size  $h$  has been taken sufficiently small, at the end of the step one can write:

$$t_4 = t_0 + \Delta t + \frac{h}{\beta_0 c} \quad (6)$$

The quantities  $\beta_0$  and  $t_0$  represent the velocity and the time at the entrance of the step.

Rewriting eq.5 with the 5 points Bode's rule, one obtains:

$$\Delta t = \frac{q}{m_0 c^3} \frac{h^2}{90} \left[ \frac{8}{\beta_1^3 \gamma_1^3} E(z_1) + \frac{6}{\beta_2^3 \gamma_2^3} E(z_2) + \frac{24}{\beta_3^3 \gamma_3^3} E(z_3) + \frac{7}{\beta_4^3 \gamma_4^3} E(z_4) \right] \quad (7)$$

Eq.7 requires knowing the values  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_3$  at positions  $z_1, z_2, z_3$ , respectively. For this, the step size  $h$  being assumed small all over, one considers the series expansion of  $\gamma_4$  with respect to  $\gamma_0$ :

$$\gamma_4 = \gamma_0 + h \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial z} \right)_{z_0} + \frac{h^2}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \gamma}{\partial z^2} \right)_{z_0} \quad (8)$$

With:

$$\left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial z} \right)_{z_0} = \frac{q}{m_0 c^2} E(z_0) \quad (9)$$

Since  $\gamma_4 = \gamma_0 + \Delta \gamma$ , from eq.8 one obtains:

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2 \gamma}{\partial z^2} \right)_{z_0} = \frac{2\Delta \gamma}{h^2} - 2 \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial z} \right)_{z_0} \frac{1}{h} \quad (10)$$

Hence, eq.9 and eq.10 allow computing  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_3$  in the form:

$$\gamma_1 = \gamma_0 + \frac{h}{4} \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial z} \right)_{z_0} + \frac{h^2}{32} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \gamma}{\partial z^2} \right)_{z_0} \quad (11)$$

$$\gamma_2 = \gamma_0 + \frac{h}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial z} \right)_{z_0} + \frac{h^2}{8} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \gamma}{\partial z^2} \right)_{z_0} \quad (12)$$

$$\gamma_3 = \gamma_0 + \frac{3h}{4} \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial z} \right)_{z_0} + \frac{9h^2}{32} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \gamma}{\partial z^2} \right)_{z_0} \quad (13)$$

### 3. Computation of the dynamics in the transverse directions.

The transverse motion can be derived from an integration of the equation of the type:

$$\frac{d(mv_r)}{dt} = q(E_r - v_z B_\theta)$$

After integration over the step size  $h$ , the transverse momentum is changed by the amount  $\Delta(mv_r)$  and the variation in slope  $r'$  becomes:

$$\Delta r' = \frac{\Delta(mv_r)}{mv_z} - \frac{mv_r}{mv_z} \Delta(mv_z) + \dots$$

The extra-terms are due to the fact that  $r$  and  $r'$  are not canonically conjugate, the conjugate of  $r$  is  $mv_r$ . As a consequence, computations are complicated and developing second order corrections to improve the accuracy of the transverse motion is hardly possible. This problem can be resolved by using the following Picht transformations:

$$R = r\sqrt{\beta\gamma}, \quad R' = dR/dz \quad (14)$$

The quantities  $R, R'$  are referred to as the 'reduced coordinates'. The advantage of Picht transformations result from the fact that  $R, R'$  are canonically conjugate.

In terms of Cartesian coordinates  $r \equiv (x, y)$  and  $r' \equiv (x', y')$ , the 'reduced coordinates'  $R \equiv (X, Y)$  and  $R' \equiv (X', Y')$  are given by:

$$R = r(\gamma^2 - 1)^{0.25}, \quad R' = r'(\gamma^2 - 1)^{0.25} + 0.5R\gamma(\gamma^2 - 1)^{-1} \quad (15)$$

Since one has  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = 0$ , the transverse motion is controlled by the following relation (see eq.10, ref.[1] p. 218):

$$\frac{d^2 R}{dz^2} + R(z) G(\gamma) E^2(z) = 0 \quad (16)$$

With:

$$G(\gamma) = \left( \frac{q}{2m_0 c^2} \right)^2 \frac{\gamma^2 + 2}{(\gamma^2 - 1)^2} \quad (17)$$

The coordinate  $R(z)$  can be expanded on the general form as:

$$R(z) = a + b(z - z_0) + c(z - z_0)^2 + \dots, \text{ with } z_0 \leq z \leq z_4$$

The step size  $h$  being taken sufficiently small, this development may be limited as:

$$R(z) = R_0 + R'_0(z - z_0) \quad (18)$$

in which  $R_0$ ,  $R'_0$  represent the 'reduced coordinates' at the entrance of the step.

From eq.16 and eq.18, the slope  $R'$  is changed by the amount  $\Delta R'$  such that:

$$\Delta R' = \int_{z_0}^{z_4} \frac{d^2 R}{du^2} du = - \int_{z_0}^{z_4} G(\gamma) E^2(z) (R_0 + R'_0(z - z_0)) dz \quad (19)$$

One separates the two integrals in eq.19. For the first one, one obtains with the 5 points Bode's rule:

$$\int_{z_0}^{z_4} G(\gamma) E^2(z) dz = \frac{h}{90} [7G(\gamma_0)E^2(z_0) + 32G(\gamma_1)E^2(z_1) + 12G(\gamma_2)E^2(z_2) + 32G(\gamma_3)E^2(z_3) + 7G(\gamma_4)E^2(z_4)] \quad (20)$$

For the second one, one will have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{z_0}^{z_4} G(\gamma) E^2(z) (z - z_0) dz &= \\ &= \frac{h^2}{90} [8G(\gamma_1)E^2(z_1) + 6G(\gamma_2)E^2(z_2) + 24G(\gamma_3)E^2(z_3) + 7G(\gamma_4)E^2(z_4)] \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

At the exit of the step  $h$  the slope  $R'_4$  is given by:

$$R'_4 = R'_0 + \Delta R' \quad (22)$$

Now let  $\Delta R$  be the jump of the reduced coordinate  $R$  over the step  $h$ ; at the end of this step one has:

$$R_4 = R_0 + \Delta R + hR'_0 \quad (23)$$

with:

$$\Delta R = \int_{z_0}^{z_4} \Delta R'(z) dz \quad (24)$$

From eq.19, one can rewrite eq.24 as:

$$\Delta R = -R'_1 \int_{z_0}^{z_4} dz \int_{z_0}^z G(\gamma) E^2(u) du - R'_1 \int_{z_0}^{z_4} dz \int_{z_0}^z G(\gamma) E^2(u) (u - z_0) du \quad (25)$$

Consider in this relation the first integral:

$$I = \int_{z_0}^{z_4} dz \int_{z_0}^z G(\gamma) E^2(u) du \quad (26)$$

The variable  $z$  varies between  $z_0$  and  $z_4$  and the variable  $u$  varies between  $z_0$  and  $z$ . One changes the order of integration between  $u$  and  $z$  (in this case, the variable  $u$  varies between  $z_0$  and  $z_4$  and the variable  $z$  varies between  $u$  and  $z_4$ ). It follows that one can rewrite eq.26 on the form:

$$I = \int_{z_0}^{z_4} G(\gamma) E^2(u) du \int_u^{z_4} dz = \int_{z_0}^{z_4} G(\gamma) E^2(u) (z_4 - u) du \quad (27)$$

The 5 points Bode's rule gives way to:

$$I = \frac{h^2}{90} [7G(\gamma_0)E^2(z_0) + 24G(\gamma_1)E^2(z_1) + 6G(\gamma_2)E^2(z_2) + 8G(\gamma_3)E^2(z_3)] \quad (28)$$

Consider the second integral in the right hand side of eq.25:

$$J = \int_{z_0}^{z_4} dz \int_{z_0}^z G(\gamma) E^2(u) (u - z_0) du \quad (29)$$

Changing the order of integration between  $u$  and  $z$ , it can be rewritten as:

$$J = \int_{z_0}^{z_4} G(\gamma) E^2(u) (u - z_0) du \int_{z_0}^u dz = \int_{z_0}^{z_4} G(\gamma) E^2(u) (u - z_0)^2 du \quad (30)$$

The 5 points Bode's rule allows writing:

$$\int_{z_0}^{z_4} G(\gamma) E^2(z - z_0)^2 dz = \frac{h^3}{90} [2G(\gamma_1)E^2(z_1) + 3G(\gamma_2)E^2(z_2) + 18G(\gamma_3)E^2(z_3) + 7G(\gamma_4)E^2(z_4)] \quad (31)$$

Eq.28 and eq.31 permit computing  $\Delta R$  and, thus,  $R_4$  from eq.23. Given  $R_4, R_4'$ , it is a simple matter to return to the Cartesian coordinates  $r_4, r_4'$  from eq.15.

#### REFERENCES:

1. P.Lapostolle, E. Tanke and S.Valero: *A New Method in Beam Dynamics Computations for Electrons and Ions in Complex Accelerating Element*, Particle Accelerators, 1994, vol. 44., pp. 215-255
2. M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun: *Handbook of Mathematical functions*, Dover Publications, Inc., N.Y.