

* Newtonian Mechanics Review

- Describing motion of "particles" or "point masses"
- Particle \Rightarrow configuration is completely described by position \vec{r} "abstract vector"
- In "coordinate-free" form:

$$\vec{F} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(m \frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} (m\vec{v}) = \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt}$$

mass velocity momentum

- Assuming constant mass:

$$\vec{F} = \frac{d}{dt} (m\vec{v}) = m \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = m\vec{a}$$

acceleration

- Note that we can't actually do any computation until we choose coordinates

- 2D Cartesian Coordinates

$$\vec{r} = r_x \hat{e}_x + r_y \hat{e}_y$$

scalar components

unit basis vectors


$$= \begin{bmatrix} r_x \\ r_y \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \hat{e}_x \\ \hat{e}_y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{v} = \frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} = \dot{r}_x \hat{e}_x + r_x \overset{\text{TO}}{\hat{e}_x} + \dot{r}_y \hat{e}_y + r_y \overset{\text{gc}}{\hat{e}_y}$$
$$= \dot{r}_x \hat{e}_x + \dot{r}_y \hat{e}_y$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{a} = \ddot{r}_x \hat{e}_x + \ddot{r}_y \hat{e}_y$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_x \\ F_y \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x \\ \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y \end{bmatrix} = m \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{r}_x \\ \ddot{r}_y \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x \\ \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \boxed{\begin{bmatrix} F_x \\ F_y \end{bmatrix} = m \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{r}_x \\ \ddot{r}_y \end{bmatrix}}$$

- 2D Polar Coordinates



$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_r = \cos(\theta) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x + \sin(\theta) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta = -\sin(\theta) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x + \cos(\theta) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y$$

$$\vec{r} = r \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{v} = \frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} = \dot{r} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r + r \dot{\hat{\mathbf{e}}}_r \quad \text{not zero!}$$

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{e}}_r}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} (\cos(\theta) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x + \sin(\theta) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y) =$$

$$-\sin(\theta) \dot{\theta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x + \cos(\theta) \dot{\theta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y = \dot{\theta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta$$


$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \vec{a} &= \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = \ddot{r} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r + \dot{r} \dot{\hat{\mathbf{e}}}_r + r \ddot{\theta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta + \dot{r} \dot{\theta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta + r \ddot{\theta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta \\ &= \ddot{r} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r + 2\dot{r}\dot{\theta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta + r \ddot{\theta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta - r \dot{\theta}^2 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\begin{bmatrix} F_r \\ F_\theta \end{bmatrix} = m \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{r} - r \dot{\theta}^2 \\ r \ddot{\theta} + 2\dot{r}\dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix}}$$

* Take-Away: The Newtonian formulation is not coordinate invariant!

- Becomes super impractical for complex systems

* Example : Pendulum



- System has 2 DOF and 1 constraint:

$$\|\vec{r}(t)\| = l$$

$$\vec{F} = \vec{T} + m\vec{g} = m\vec{v}$$

- Polar Coordinates :

$$F_r = m(\vec{v}^2 - r\dot{\theta}^2)$$

$$F_\theta = m(r\ddot{\theta} + 2\vec{v}\dot{\theta}) \\ = m l \ddot{\theta}$$

$$\vec{T} = -T\hat{e}_r$$

$$\vec{g} = -g\hat{e}_y = -g[\cos(\theta)\hat{e}_r + \sin(\theta)\hat{e}_\theta]$$

$$\Rightarrow F_\theta = -mg \sin(\theta) = ml\ddot{\theta}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ddot{\theta} = \frac{r}{l} \sin(\theta)$$

* Important Take-Aways :

- Constraint was non-trivial in Cartesian coordinates but simple in Polar coordinates
- We could ignore the r-direction dynamics and only care about θ .
- We also never had to explicitly calculate T (cable tension / constraint force)
- This system has 1 DOF. If we can use just 1 coordinate, we call that "minimal" or "joint" coordinates.
- Most current robotics simulators use minimal/joint coordinates. Not always necessarily the best option.
- The opposite approach (full cartesian coordinates + explicit constraints) is often called "marksmanship coordinates".