

Demystifying the Computer Science Ph.D. Admission in the US

ThanhVu (Vu) Nguyen, George Mason University

June 7, 2023

Abstract

Having been involved in PhD admissions for many years and after numerous interaction with students, I've realized that *international* students, especially Vietnamese, lack a clear understanding of the Computer Science PhD admission process at US universities. This confusion not only discourages students from applying but also creates the perception that getting admitted is difficult compared to CS PhD programs in other countries.

So I want to share my opinions and advice for those who are interested in applying for a **PhD in Computer Science in the US**. While I wrote this document for Vietnamese students interested in CS, it should be applicable to students in various countries interested in STEM. Moreover, while many examples given are for GMU, which is 33rd on CSRankings (see §6.1), the writing should be generalize to most other R1¹ universities (though *very* top schools might be very extreme, e.g., see the admission process at [CMU](#)).

I wish you the best of luck. And if you follow these advice, you will at least have a good chance at GMU (see [why you want to study at GMU](#)). Happy hunting!

If you have suggestions or comments, feel free to create a [GitHub issue](#) for discussion.

1 Should You Apply?

First, I want to emphasize that PhD students in Computer Science *do not* need to worry about funding, especially at R1 universities in the US. If you are admitted, you will almost certainly receive *full funding* to support your study, including tuition, health insurance, and 9-month stipend. Moreover, depending on the university, you may even receive additional benefits like summer salary. Note that funding is typically more available for PhD students than Masters and especially undergraduate studies, which typically have no funding at all. §5 provides more details on funding.

Second, I believe that applying to a good US university *should not* be any harder than at schools in other countries. If you think you have a chance at schools in other countries, e.g., South Korea, Singapore, Germany, UK, Japan and Australia, then you will surely have a chance in the US as well.

Vu: One of the reasons I create this post is that several of my colleagues are interested in recruiting Vietnamese students and were surprised when seeing very few applications in Vietnam (compared to other countries). In general the number of PhD applications from Vietnam to US universities is very low and more would be very welcomed.

¹An [R1 institution](#) in the US refers to a research-intensive university with a high level of research activity across various disciplines.

2 How is Your Application Evaluated?

After you submit your PhD application (usually in December), it will be first screened for general requirements, e.g., did you submit your transcripts and standard scores? did your reference writers submit their letters? Then your application will be reviewed by a **PhD admission committee** consisting of faculty members in CS. Each application is assigned to about *three* faculty members, who will evaluate your profile and try to reach a consensus about your case. Note that while in most cases the assigned reviewers will be the main ones deciding your application, every faculty will have access to your application and can give inputs on your profile.

In many cases, the admission committee will involve junior faculty (e.g., assistant professors) in the department. While this requires a significant time investment, it provides junior faculty the opportunities to recruit students. The chair of the committee will be a senior professor, but they will not review individual applications and instead assign them to committee members. The chair will look at various factors such as research interests or mentioning faculty names, and assign the applications to appropriate faculty.

Vu: We usually decide that a candidate is either (i) admit with funding (TA or RA) or (ii) rejected. In other words, in most cases, we either admit you with full funding, or we don't. In some rare cases, we may admit you with no funding because you have funding on your own (e.g., you are supported by your government or have external grants). Also, we justify our decision with a short summary about your application, where we list strengths (e.g., well-known undergrad school) and weaknesses (e.g., weak LORs).

Hakan: At GMU, for full consideration, students should make sure to submit **ALL** required documents by the application deadline (December 1st), and should never assume that some required documents (such as official TOEFL scores or official diplomas/transcripts) will be waived by the admissions office. If something is listed and not marked as "optional", it is mandatory and they should plan for submitting all those.

Why we do not waive application fee? This is typically a requirement of the university. Individual departments/programs do not have the flexibility to waive the application fee, even if they want to.

In my opinion, requiring applicants to pay the fee helps ensure their seriousness, as it filters out non-serious candidates. Also, if the application process were free for everyone, we would receive an overwhelming number of applications to review.

3 Application

The primary focus of the admissions committee is to **evaluate your background and interest in research** since a PhD in Computer Science is a research degree. To assess your research capability, we consider the following key indicators, listed in order of importance.

3.1 Research Ability

The most effective evidence of research ability is having **published papers in reputable international conferences or journals**. Having published good papers is a sign that the applicant was involved in research.

It's important to aim for the top conferences in your field, which you can find on places such as CSRankings [?], designed specifically to help CS PhD applicants. Local conferences and non-English journals or conferences do not carry as much weight since their quality is often unknown to US faculty.

However, I understand that many international students do not have the opportunities to publish in top places, so general IEEE/ACM/USENIX confs/journals would suffice. But be sure to talk about it in your [statement](#).

Vu: Vietnamese students often mention Scopus Q1, which consists of diverse journals from IEEE, Elsevier, and several other publishers unfamiliar to me. Honestly, I don't know/recognize the majority of journals listed in Scopus Q1. So this might be something to be mindful of, as **CS** faculty might not be too familiar with Scopus or journals listed in there, so devote sometime in your statement to discuss the significance of your papers.

Craig: GMU and many other universities allow you to upload your published papers and other writing samples. In many cases, even if the papers were not published at top places, we can still determine their quality by simply skimming over the paper.

Additionally, **work experiences at renowned research laboratories**, such as Microsoft Research, can significantly strengthen your application. Unfortunately, many good international research places, e.g., VinAI in Vietnam, remain relatively unknown to most universities in the US. So you should explicitly say something about them in your statement.

Finally, **participating internationally recognized competitions** can also demonstrate your research potential. For example, participating in Math Olympiads if you want to do theory or winning ACM programming contests if you want to “build” stuff, e.g., software analysis.

3.2 Letters of Recommendation (LORs)

Most CS PhD applications will require at least **two LORs**. Having a letter from an internationally recognized researcher can greatly strengthen your application. However, obtaining such letters can be challenging for international students, who might not have much interactions with such experts. So it is acceptable to have a letter from professors that know you well enough to talk about *your specific research experience and capabilities*.

Many students have letters written by the applicants themselves and signed by their professors. These have little value (we can easily recognize them) and will consider them weakness. Similarly, many professors write very generic letters for students (a common example is that the students didn't do any research or make any impression for the professor to write about). These letters are also not useful and considered weak.

Many students get letters from someone from company where they did internship or are working at. It is OK as long as it is a research-based personalized letter (once again, we are talking about PhD applications, not MS).

Vu: It's better to have a good personalized letter about your own research ability from someone who is less well-known than a generic/weak letter from a well-known person.

Vietnamese students sometimes go through great length just to get letters from well-known senior professors in their school, but the letters are very generic and weak and therefore carry little value, in fact, red flags. Moreover, a top professor in Vietnamese might not be well-known to US faculty (see more details in §3.4). So save the trouble and just get letters from *any* professors who knows you well and can write a good letter about your research ability.

3.3 Research Statement

While you might not be able to get good LORs or change [where your go to school](#), you have control of your statement! So write it well because we do take it seriously. A well-written LOR also shows that you can communicate, which is very important in research, and that you can effectively teach and communicate with students, which is important for TA funding (see §5).

There are many guides on writing research statement, e.g., [?], so I will not talk too much about it. In short, discuss about your research vision and convince us that you can achieve it through your experience, e.g., published papers, or if you work on some projects by yourself, talk about it.

Finally, this is something very easy to do, but is missed by many applicants: **customize the statement** for the school you're applying to, e.g., why do you apply here? talk about a couple of professors who you're interested in working with (in many cases your application will be forwarded to them for evaluation). Be careful not to send wrong statement to wrong school or mixing facts (e.g., talking about school X but mentioned about working with profs. at school Y; and definitely do not talk about George Washington when applying to George Mason). I have seen such statements more time that I should.

Vu: I always read the research statement first and then LORs. If I am persuaded by then, I would skim over other factors and advocate for admission (unless I see red flags in other parts). If I am not convinced, then I will likely recommend rejection (unless I see something standout in other parts).

3.4 Your School

Graduating from top universities *that we recognize* helps. However, if committee members do not know much about schools in your country, they will likely treat your school as "*unknown foreign*", which can be a minus point (if your school is well-known, then it is "*top foreign*", which is definitely a plus).

So what can you do about this? several things such as asking your CS dept to put itself on CSRankings (it's the easiest way to get CS people to know about the school), explaining about your school in your statement (and asking your LOR writer to do that too), and of course, if you're Vietnamese, considering a CS PhD program that has [Vietnamese professors](#).

Vu: Sometime PhD admission committee will share a document such as [this one](#), which lists the top schools in several countries. I have looked at Vietnamese applications (whether they are assigned to me or not) and provide inputs to the reviewers of those applications, e.g., X is the top tech school in Vietnam and so it should be *top* instead of *unknown foreign*, which makes a huge difference.

3.5 Grades/GREs

Having good grades is important, but, in general, unless your school is well-known, having top grades/ranks usually will not help. This is simply because we cannot evaluate them.

This can be an issue for students in many top international universities where the competition is so high that very good students can still have low ranking (and be overlooked by Admission committee). So what to do with this? well, same as [before](#), e.g., put a note about this in your statement and ask your LoR writers to talk about it.

Note that while having good grades at unknown school might not help, having very bad grades will be **red flag** (unless your LORs or statements give proper explanation). This is especially true if you have bad grades in relevant, e.g., CS and Math, courses.

GRE Most CS programs in the US *no longer require GREs*, so you don't need to take them. However, they might be useful for international students from programs we are not familiar with.

English Test Unless you're from certain countries (e.g., English speaking ones), you will need to take standardized English test. Just do well enough to pass minimum requirement set by the university, which nowadays has many options for you to choose from.

Vu: The minimum for GMU (being above this might not mean much, but below is a **red flag**).

- GPA: ≥ 3.0 in your undergrad (but as mentioned, we also consider the rank/prestige of your school)
- GRE: not required, though it can help boost your profile
- English requirement tests (one of the below)
 - TOEF: 88 pts in total AND ≥ 20 points in each subsection OR
 - IELTS: ≥ 6.5 OR
 - DuoLingo Graduate English: ≥ 120 OR
 - Pearson Test of Academic English: ≥ 67

3.6 CV/Resume

This should be a summary of the accomplishments of the applicant. It should allow the reviewers to quickly scan to identify standout achievements (e.g., Publications, Programming Competition Awards, Teaching Experience).

3.7 Interview

Sometime a faculty wants to interview an applicant to make a decision. Typically this means they lean toward admitting you (if we don't like your application, we will not bother doing the interview).

An interview lasts about 15–30 mins, and one implicit thing you will be evaluated on is whether you can communicate effectively (i.e., speak/understand English). You will also get chance to ask questions about the university so think of something to ask (just the same as you interview at a company).

Vu: At GMU, we are encouraged to interview candidates. For very strong candidates, the interview is actually to recruit them. In some rare cases a faculty interviews a candidate that they see potentials and want argue for admission, i.e., without the interview, that candidate is definitely rejected. In any case, getting interview means you have a very good chance of being admitted.

4 Getting Admitted and Choosing the Right School

Around March you should hear back from most PhD programs that you applied (if not, send email and ask). You will have to make your decision by around April 15. If you have offers, congratulations! Now you're at a different game because the schools that admit you will now try to get you to accept them!

Most schools will have an **Open House**, which is a great resource to learn about the school, department, faculty, research, living, etc. During the Open House, you get a chance to talk to individual faculty and current students. Take notes of faculty who make you excited, count those that are taking in new students (if they meet you, likely they are considering new students!). Talk to students about their advisors, the dept, the area, funding situation etc. Ask about anything you want to determine that they deserve *you*.

In short, if you can come to the Open House, do come. But if you're international student outside of the US, then likely you cannot come. So see if you can attend it virtually and ask to meet with individual faculty if you can.

Vu: GMU has Virtual Open House, e.g., <https://cs-gmu.github.io/cs-phd-voh-s23/>, which I've co-organized in the last two years. We invite all admitted PhD students to the VOH through Zoom to learn about the CS program, the department, GMU, and the DC area in general. Students also get opportunities to chat with professors and current students.

5 Funding

As mentioned, if you're admitted to a *good* CS PhD program, you should not have to worry about funding! In the US, the common types of funding for PhD are *graduate teaching assistant* (GTA or TA), *graduate research assistant* (GRA or RA), and *Fellowship*. RA is the type of funding paid by a prof. for you to do their research. TA is paid by the department for you to help with teaching. Finally, fellowship is an independent funding that can come the school, a company, or organization. Tab. 1 summarizes the differences.

5.1 Graduating Assistantship (TA/RA)

The most common type of funding is **graduate assistanship**, which is either TA or RA. Both TA and RA come with tuition waiving (you don't have to pay tuition), health insurance (this takes care of your insurance, which is a must have in the US), and most importantly, your stipend (i.e., your salary). Some universities also pay insurance for spouse/children (or give very good discount).

Several about stipend. First, the amount of stipend depends on the university, which in turns depend on various factors such as location (e.g., a stipend in Washington DC is likely higher than in Lincoln, Nebraska due to higher living cost). Second, a school year is (typically) 9-month in

Tab. 1: Different types of PhD funding

	TA	RA	Fellowship
From For Tuition/Ins./Stipend Cover Summer?	School Teaching Assistant Yes No	Profs. Research Yes Maybe	School/External Research Yes Yes
Pros Cons	Research Freedom TA, Uncertain	Get to do research Research restriction, Uncertain	Research Freedom Competitive, limited

the US, so stipend is for 9 months (so divide by 9 for each month). Third, like for most source of income in the US, you will have to pay tax on your stipend. Finally, private universities might pay more for stipend.

Vu: TA and RA at GMU have similar benefits in tuition waiving and insurance. For stipend, depending on the college and department, a 9-month graduate assistant stipend is set. TA and RA will usually be that amount (TA will definitely be that, RA might fluctuate a bit depending on the stage of the student and the prof.).

5.1.1 Teaching Assistant (TA)

TA is common in the beginning when you haven't found your advisor who would pay you RA. As a TA, you help professors with their classes (e.g., grading or teaching labs/recitation). Your TAship is paid through the department, i.e., they hire you to help teach. During a semester, a TA might work with several courses and professors (not necessary their advisor). TA funding typically is not available during the summer, which has no school.

How to get TA? Unless you have other funding such as RA or Fellowships, TA is typically a default thing. When you apply to be a full-time student, you also state that you need financial assistant. It is common that the PhD committee will either admit you and give you GTA, or reject you; i.e., we do not admit a student without supporting them.

At GMU CS, students admitted with TA have 4 years of GTA guaranteed and also receive stipend for the **first** summer.

Even if you have other funding and do not need TA, you still should do TA at least once. This allows you to see what teaching is like, which is especially helpful for research career where you often have to give talks and tell people about your work. Note that GMU sometimes has classes that a more senior student can teach. In that case, you will be paid as a lecturer, which is higher than GTA. This is a good opportunity for students to get teaching experience and also get paid more.

5.2 Research Assistant (RA)

RA is provided through a professor through their own funding so you can work on their project. You do not need to teach as an RA, so you can focus on your research. Depending on the

professor, RA may be available during the summer.

How to get RA? When a professor recruits you, they will likely give you RA right away (e.g., when you apply). A common scenario is that you first get admitted with TA, and then after a year or two find an advisor to support you with RA.

Vu: If you're lucky and got recruited by a prof. who would give you RA right away, it's very likely you will get admitted. For example, if a prof., even if not in PhD admission committee, wants to work with and funds you, the PhD admission committee will respect that decision and admit your application (unless your application has many red flags).

5.3 Fellowship/Scholarship

Fellowship is another type of funding in which the student applies for (e.g., from school, industries, government). Fellowships are typically competitive and generous, and gives pretty much all benefits tuition/insurance that a TA/RA has. Moreover, it often gives higher stipend (including summer) and opens doors for job opportunities (e.g., internship). For example, a student with a Microsoft fellowship will likely get an internship at Microsoft.

In general, fellowship is prestigious, and you will stand out if you get one. Every PhD student has pubs, but only superstars have NSF grad or Microsoft fellowship. In fact, these are so prestigious that even if you didn't get it but make it to the final round, school will still mention you on their website and you still should put it on your CV.

How to get Fellowship? You apply for them. The US government has many fellowships but these would likely require US citizenship or residency. However, tech companies including Google, Microsoft, Facebook, IBM have fellowships that international students can apply for.

Prestigious fellowships typically require a clear and good research plan, so it is a good idea to wait until at least your second year to have research experience and even publication before applying. Remember, you're competing with the top Ph.D. students at top universities worldwide.

At GMU, Ph.D. applicants are automatically eligible for a Presidential Fellowship. It is at least as good as GTA but the most important thing is that as a fellowship it is truly free money (i.e., you are not depending on any prof. or TA duties). PhD admission committee members nominate applicants for this fellowship and the committee will vote and give the fellowship to the top 2.

6 Miscs and FAQs

1. Is an MS degree required for admission to PhD?

No. In fact, student with BS can get MS degree "along the way" to PhD. However, MS can help if it gives research experience or is from a more well-known school than your undergrad institution.

2. Can I apply to PhD to CS if my undergrad was in Math, Biology, Finance, etc?

Yes, as long as you can demonstrate you are ready for CS PhD research through research experiences, LoRs, statements, etc as mentioned.

3. What can you do to increase your admission chance?

Show something that makes you **stand out**, e.g., are you a female or a minority in CS (research for this on Google)? Do you participate in outreach activities that help increase diversity and inclusion in CS? All of these are important in CS in the US.

Also, even if you do not have formal research experience, you can talk about your personal project. If it is used by many people, have lots of stars in Github, etc, it would certainly be worth talking about. If you write technical, research-like blogs, that would also help.

4. How do I address a professor Firstname Lastname?

If you don't know that professor (e.g., first email contact), then use **Prof. Lastname** or **Dr. Lastname**. I've seen many international students write **Prof.** or **Dr. First-name Lastname**. Writing like that makes it like you copy and paste the names, so no need to do so, just Prof. or Dr. Lastname.

Also do not use Mr. or Mrs., or just write Firstname. Maybe it is OK with others but I find it a bit disrespectful. As you know that prof. better and depends on their preference, you can call them by their Firstname.

Vu: I've been called Dr. Vu and I find it a bit amusing but am totally fine with it.

6.1 Current 2023 Rankings of CS PhD programs in the U.S

Below is the 2023 Rankings from [CSRankings.org](https://csrankings.org), a ranking system that is based on top CS conferences, as of April 2023.

- Carnegie Mellon University
- Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
- Univ. of California - San Diego
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology
- Stanford University
- University of Michigan
- University of Washington
- Cornell University
- Univ. of California - Berkeley
- Georgia Institute of Technology
- Northeastern University
- University of Maryland - College Park
- University of Wisconsin - Madison

- Purdue University closed chart
- University of Texas at Austin
- Columbia University
- University of Pennsylvania
- New York University
- Princeton University
- University of Massachusetts Amherst
- Univ. of California - Los Angeles
- University of Chicago
- Stony Brook University
- Univ. of California - Santa Barbara
- Rutgers University
- Univ. of California - Irvine
- University of Southern California
- Duke University
- Univ. of California - Riverside
- Pennsylvania State University
- Northwestern University
- Ohio State University
- George Mason University
- Harvard University
- Brown University
- University of Utah
- Texas A&M University
- Univ. of California - Santa Cruz
- Yale University
- Boston University
- University at Buffalo
- University of Colorado Boulder

- North Carolina State University
- Rice University
- University of Illinois at Chicago
- University of North Carolina
- University of Virginia
- Arizona State University
- University of Minnesota
- Virginia Tech
- Oregon State University
- Univ. of California - Davis

7 Acknowledgement

Many faculty and students have contributed to this document. Craig Yu (GMU) and Hakan Aydin (GMU) contributed to the early version of this document. Other GMU faculty also have provided valuable feedbacks. Thanks to all of them.