Critique of **group 74** presentation - **CV-Classification-Flower**

Critiques by **group 5.**

* Comment:

Flowers Classification is a very interesting and meaningful task, your talk covers almost parts of ML process, like Dataset, Model and Test result. And you use four networks to get the better one, which seems you’ve done a lot of work on this topic.

* Improvements:
  1. There’re same slides in your PowerPoint.
  2. Could you improve your VGG performance on this dataset, like over 90%?
  3. Why choose VGG16 and VGG19 rather than VGG11 and VGG13?
  4. Why use the transforms mentioned in codes? Are these transformations the best way to achieve data augmentation?
  5. The result on residual network seem quite fluctuated, do you need to train more epochs to make them converge?
  6. Why don’t you compare VGG and Residual Network?