# Consumption-based CAPM and GMM

#### **Table of Contents**

Introduction	
Loading and structuring data	
Moment conditions	
Object function	
Long-run covariance matrix (S) and gradient (D)	
GMM estimation using only a constant as instrument	
GMM estimation using additional instrument(s)	

### Introduction

This script analyses the asset pricing ability of the conventional Consumption-based CAPM (CCAPM) on a specific set of test assets. Specifically, we ask whether the CCAPM can explain the cross-sectional variation in excess returns among decile-sorted, value-weighted momentum portfolios. Those returns will be analysed more in detail in Week 7, and you will see their construction threre.

The CCAPM reads in its SDF representation, using excess returns,

$$E_t \left[ \delta \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{-\rho} (r_{it+1} - r_{ft+1}) \right) \right] = 0$$

for all i = 1, ..., n assets. Nota that  $R_{it} = 1 + r_{it}$  is the gross return such that excess gross returns imply  $R_{it} - R_{ft} = 1 + r_{it} - (1 + r_{ft}) = r_{it} - r_{ft}$ . Its unconditional implications are summarized as

$$E\left[\left(\delta\left(\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t}\right)^{-\rho}(r_{\mathrm{it+1}}-r_{\mathrm{ft+1}})\right)\otimes Z_t\right]=0,$$

where  $Z_t$  is a vector of instruments, including a constant as the first element. We will here consider two applications. One that uses no instruments in addition to the constant and another that uses four instruments in addition to the constant. We will use lagged consumption-growth as instruments for illustrative purposes. This choise is also common in the literature, though also dividend-price ratios, size and value spreads, and other variables have been used.

Data on stock returns and the risk-free rate is obtained from Kenneth French's data library, and we use in this example quarterly data. Data on consumption is the real nondurables plus services personal expenditure per capita series taken from St. Louis Federal Reserve database.

Since consumption inherently is a flow variable, and there is no point-in-time information as for returns, we need an assumption on the timing of actual consumption. The beginning of-period (BOP) timing convention assumes that consumption during period t takes place at the beginning of period t, while the end-of-period (EOP) timing convention assumes that it takes place at the end of period t. Most existing cross-sectional studies have adopted the EOP timing convention, although there are no definite theoretical reasons for choosing the

EOP convention over the BOP convention. When we use BOP, we match  $\frac{c_t}{c_{t-1}}$  with  $r_{\text{it}+1}$ , whereas EOP matches

 $\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t}$  with  $r_{it+1}$ . We employ EOP below, which is most often adopted in the literature.

## Loading and structuring data

We begin by loading pre-processed data on raw returns, the risk-free rate and  $\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t}$ . The file contains data from 1950 to 2018. Note that returns and the risk-free rate are expressed in percentages when downloaded (i.e. multiplied by 100).

```
% Housekeeping
clear;
clc;
% Loading CRSP data
load('momentumConsDataQ.mat');
% Define data variables and get dimensions
rf = table2array(momentumConsData(:,12));
retMom = table2array(momentumConsData(:,2:11));
c = table2array(momentumConsData(:,1));
[nObs,nAss] = size(retMom);
```

### **Moment conditions**

Now we set uo the (unconditional) moment conditions implied by the CCAPM. We will construct a new function that contains the moment conditions. It is called fMoments\_CCAPM().

```
% The function has two outputs: The sample average of moments and their time series
% Purely to see it workings, let us specify some input variable
ret = retMom;
z = ones(nObs,1);
param = [0.95 5];
cons = c;
% Apply the function
[gT,GT] = fMoments_CCAPM(param,ret,rf,cons,z);
% Show gT
gT
```

## **Object function**

-0.0340

The object function we now want to minimize is given by

0.0083

0.0072

0.0066

0.0066

0.0058

0.0060

0.0054 · · ·

```
Q_T = g_T(\theta)' A_T g_T(\theta)
```

for some weighting matrix  $A_T$ . The function fGMM\_obj() computes  $Q_T$ .

```
% To see its workings, apply the function with identity matrix as weighting AT = eye(size(gT,2)); QT = fGMM_obj(param,ret,rf,cons,z,AT)
```

QT = 0.0015

## Long-run covariance matrix (S) and gradient (D)

Now we set up the functions for computing the long-run covariance matrix (S) of the sample moments, the gradient (D) for computing standard errors, and the optimal weighting matrix in the second-stage GMM. They are called fLongRunHAC() and fGradient().

## GMM estimation using only a constant as instrument

We are now ready to conduct our GMM estimation. This can be done as first stage GMM (using the identity matrix as weighting matrix), second stage (using, subsequently, the inverse of the long-run covariance matrix), and iterated GMM (continuing updating the estimates and the long-run covariance matrix). Estimation is done with the function fGMM(). Recall that the GMM estimator is defined as

$$\widehat{\theta} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} g_T(\theta) A_T g_T(\theta).$$

There exists a variety of numerical optimizers in Matlab. We will use fmincon() here, but one might also use fminsearch(), fminunc(), or another, and one may add a pertubation of starting values to appropriately search for global optima, using e.g. multistart() or globalsearch().

```
% Set starting values of parameters
delta0 = 0.95;
rho0 = 5;
param0 = [delta0,rho0];
flagAndrews = 1;
nLags = 4; % irrelevant when flagAndrews == 1
% Specify instruments
z = ones(n0bs,1);
% Specify nIter that determines whether we use first, second or iterated GMM
nIter = 6;
```

```
% Apply fGMM() to obtain GMM estimates and output
          = fGMM(param0,ret,rf,cons,z,flagAndrews,nLags,nIter);
Parameters after optimization stage:1
param = 1 \times 2
    0.6996
             91.4125
Parameters after optimization stage:2
param = 1 \times 2
   0.8179
             64.7944
Parameters after optimization stage:3
param = 1 \times 2
    0.8335 59.5574
Parameters after optimization stage:4
param = 1 \times 2
    0.8355
             58.5818
Parameters after optimization stage:5
param = 1 \times 2
    0.8352
             58.5826
Parameters after optimization stage:6
param = 1 \times 2
    0.8352
             58.5826
% Have a look at the output
res.theta
ans = 1 \times 2
    0.8352
             58.5826
res.stdErr
ans = 1 \times 2
    0.1122
             33.6986
res.tStat
ans = 1 \times 2
    7.4459
              1.7384
res.J
ans = 7.1764
res.Jpval
```

ans = 0.7087

Try to experiment with choice of iterations in the GMM estimator to understand its impact on the standard errors.

No matter the number of iterations, we observe that the parameter estimates are  $\hat{\theta}=(\hat{\delta},\hat{\rho})'$  such that the value of the subjective discount factor is to the low side, yet the estimate of the relative risk aversion parameter is very high (much in excess of the reasonable range of (0,10]). This is, yet another, sign of the equity premium puzzle...

## **GMM** estimation using additional instrument(s)

We now consider the case where we use first, second, third, and fourth, lag of consumption growth as instruments, in addition to the constant. We keep everything else constant and there is, therefore, no need

```
for changing any other inputs to the function other than z.
 % Specify instruments
           = [0;cons(1:end-1)]; % we set first element equal to zero for convienece
 z1
           = [zeros(2,1);cons(1:end-2)]; % we set first two elements equal to zero for co
 z2
           = [zeros(3,1);cons(1:end-3)]; % ...
 z3
 z4
           = [zeros(4,1);cons(1:end-4)]; % ...
           = [ones(n0bs,1) z1 z2 z3 z4]; % collect
 Z
 % Specify nIter that determines whether we use first, second or iterated
 % GMM
 nIter
           = 125;
 % Apply fGMM() to obtain GMM estimates and output
           = fGMM(param0,ret,rf,cons,z,flagAndrews,nLags,nIter);
 Parameters after optimization stage:1
 param = 1 \times 2
     0.7018
              90.6482
 Parameters after optimization stage:2
 param = 1 \times 2
     0.7223
              98.5390
 Parameters after optimization stage:3
 param = 1 \times 2
     0.7390 101.2647
 Parameters after optimization stage:4
 param = 1 \times 2
     0.7500 101.3769
 Parameters after optimization stage:5
 param = 1 \times 2
     0.7577 100.3381
 Parameters after optimization stage:6
 param = 1 \times 2
              98.8964
     0.7635
 Parameters after optimization stage:7
 param = 1 \times 2
     0.7682
              97.3862
 Parameters after optimization stage:8
 param = 1 \times 2
     0.7721
              95.9444
 Parameters after optimization stage:9
 param = 1 \times 2
     0.7756
              94.6157
 Parameters after optimization stage:10
 param = 1 \times 2
     0.7786
              93.4114
 Parameters after optimization stage:11
 param = 1 \times 2
     0.7813
              92.3252
 Parameters after optimization stage:12
 param = 1 \times 2
```

0.7837

0.7859

 $param = 1 \times 2$ 

 $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.7874

91.3475 Parameters after optimization stage:13

90.4649

89.9157

Parameters after optimization stage:14

Parameters after optimization stage:15  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.7888 89.3868 Parameters after optimization stage:16  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.7901 88.8886 Parameters after optimization stage:17  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.7912 88.4243 Parameters after optimization stage:18  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.7922 87.9953 Parameters after optimization stage:19  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.7932 87.5987 Parameters after optimization stage:20  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.7941 87.2319 Parameters after optimization stage:21  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.7949 86.8961  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

Parameters after optimization stage:22

0.7956 86.5850

Parameters after optimization stage:23  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

0.7963 86.2961

Parameters after optimization stage:24  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

0.7970 86.0302

Parameters after optimization stage:25  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

> 0.7976 85.7854

Parameters after optimization stage:26  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

> 85.5595 0.7981

Parameters after optimization stage:27  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

> 0.7986 85.3497

Parameters after optimization stage:28  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

> 0.7991 85.1565

Parameters after optimization stage:29  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

> 0.7995 84.9767

Parameters after optimization stage:30  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

> 0.7999 84.8102

Parameters after optimization stage:31  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

0.8003 84.6567

Parameters after optimization stage:32  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

> 0.8006 84.5155

Parameters after optimization stage:33  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

84.3845 0.8009

Parameters after optimization stage:34  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

> 0.8012 84.2620

Parameters after optimization stage:35  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

0.8015 84.1474

Parameters after optimization stage:36 param = 1×2

0.8017 84.0440

Parameters after optimization stage:37 param = 1×2

0.8019 83.9472

Parameters after optimization stage:38 param = 1×2

0.8022 83.8564

Parameters after optimization stage:39 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8024 83.7736

Parameters after optimization stage:40 param = 1×2

0.8025 83.6961

Parameters after optimization stage:41 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8027 83.6255

Parameters after optimization stage:42 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8029 83.5581

Parameters after optimization stage:43 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8030 83.4927

Parameters after optimization stage:44 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8032 83.4356

Parameters after optimization stage:45 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8033 83.3829

Parameters after optimization stage:46 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8034 83.3330

Parameters after optimization stage:47 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8035 83.2831

Parameters after optimization stage:48 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8036 83.2419

Parameters after optimization stage:49 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8037 83.2029

Parameters after optimization stage:50 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8038 83.1660

Parameters after optimization stage:51 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8039 83.1333

Parameters after optimization stage:52 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8040 83.1014

Parameters after optimization stage:53 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8040 83.0723

Parameters after optimization stage:54 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8041 83.0456

Parameters after optimization stage:55 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8042 83.0187

Parameters after optimization stage:56 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8042 82.9971

Parameters after optimization stage:57

 $param = 1 \times 2$ 

0.8043 82.9749

Parameters after optimization stage:58 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8043 82.9547

Parameters after optimization stage:59 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8044 82.9351

Parameters after optimization stage:60 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8044 82.9176

Parameters after optimization stage:61 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8044 82.9006

Parameters after optimization stage:62 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8045 82.9006

Parameters after optimization stage:63 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8045 82.8837

Parameters after optimization stage:64 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8045 82.8703

Parameters after optimization stage:65 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8046 82.8550

Parameters after optimization stage:66 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8046 82.8438

Parameters after optimization stage:67 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8046 82.8351

Parameters after optimization stage:68 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8046 82.8260

Parameters after optimization stage:69 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8046 82.8260

Parameters after optimization stage:70 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8046 82.8260

Parameters after optimization stage:71 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8047 82.8127

Parameters after optimization stage:72 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8047 82.8029

Parameters after optimization stage:73 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8047 82.7933

Parameters after optimization stage:74 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8047 82.7875

Parameters after optimization stage:75 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8047 82.7875

Parameters after optimization stage:76 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8047 82.7796

Parameters after optimization stage:77 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7716

Parameters after optimization stage:78 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7711

Parameters after optimization stage:79 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7677

Parameters after optimization stage:80 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7677

Parameters after optimization stage:81 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7599

Parameters after optimization stage:82 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7554

Parameters after optimization stage:83 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7554

Parameters after optimization stage:84 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7533

Parameters after optimization stage:85 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7527

Parameters after optimization stage:86 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7483

Parameters after optimization stage:87 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7483

Parameters after optimization stage:88 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7443

Parameters after optimization stage:89 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7398

Parameters after optimization stage:90 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7386

Parameters after optimization stage:91 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7386

Parameters after optimization stage:92 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7373

Parameters after optimization stage:93 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7373

Parameters after optimization stage:94 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7373

Parameters after optimization stage:95 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7373

Parameters after optimization stage:96 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7373

Parameters after optimization stage:97 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7373

Parameters after optimization stage:98 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7373

Parameters after optimization stage:99 param =  $1 \times 2$ 

0.8048 82.7324

Parameters after optimization stage: 100  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7312 Parameters after optimization stage:101  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7311 Parameters after optimization stage:102  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7310 Parameters after optimization stage:103  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7310 Parameters after optimization stage:104  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7310 Parameters after optimization stage:105  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7289 Parameters after optimization stage:106  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7289 Parameters after optimization stage:107  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7289 Parameters after optimization stage:108  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7289 Parameters after optimization stage: 109  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7289 Parameters after optimization stage:110  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7274 Parameters after optimization stage:111  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7263 Parameters after optimization stage:112  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7241 Parameters after optimization stage:113  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7241 Parameters after optimization stage:114  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7241 Parameters after optimization stage:115  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7218 Parameters after optimization stage:116  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7208 Parameters after optimization stage:117  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7208 Parameters after optimization stage:118  $param = 1 \times 2$ 0.8049 82.7208 Parameters after optimization stage:119  $param = 1 \times 2$ 

0.8049

 $param = 1 \times 2$ 

82.7187

82.7185

Parameters after optimization stage: 120

Parameters after optimization stage:121

```
param = 1 \times 2
    0.8049 82.7185
Parameters after optimization stage:122
param = 1 \times 2
    0.8049 82.7185
Parameters after optimization stage:123
param = 1 \times 2
    0.8049 82.7184
Parameters after optimization stage:124
param = 1 \times 2
    0.8049 82.7184
Parameters after optimization stage:125
param = 1 \times 2
    0.8049
             82.7184
% Have a look at the output
res.theta
ans = 1 \times 2
    0.8049
             82.7184
res.stdErr
ans = 1 \times 2
               3.7839
    0.0120
res.tStat
ans = 1 \times 2
              21.8604
   67.1675
res.J
ans = 79.8524
res.Jpval
```

We now reject the CCAPM based on the test of over-identifying restrictions, suggesting that there is information in lagged consumption grwoth that informs about future pricing errors of the model.

ans = 0.0127