# EECS 490 – Lecture 22

Macros and Code Generation

1

# Announcements

- ► HW5 due Tue 12/5 at 8pm
- Project 5 due Tue 12/12 at 8pm

# Metaprogramming

- Technique of writing a computer program that operates on other computer programs
- Analogous to higher-order functions, which operate on other functions
- Compilers and program analyzers are examples of metaprograms
- Metaprogramming is also a useful technique for generating code to be included as part of a program
- Examples:
  - Macros
  - Code generators
  - Template metaprogramming

#### Macros

- A macro is a rule that translates an input sequence into some replacement output sequence
- The replacement process is called macro expansion
- Macro expansion may be implemented as a preprocessing step, prior to lexical and syntactic analysis
- Expansion may also be integrated with a later analysis step such as syntax analysis
- The most widely used macro system is the C preprocessor (CPP), integrated into C and C++

#### Example: Swap in CPP

We can write a function-like swap macro as follows:

```
#define SWAP(a, b) do {
   auto tmp = b;
   b = a;
   a = tmp;
} while (false)
```

Backslash at end of line denotes line continuation

Example:

Do/while allows macro to be used in a context that requires a single statement

```
int x = 3, y = 4;
if (x < y)
   SWAP(x, y);
cout << x << " " << y << endl;</pre>
```

Expands to:

```
do { auto tmp = y; y = x; x = tmp; } while(false);
```

# Problem with Swap

We can get unexpected results if we use a complex expression as an argument:

```
int x = 3, y = 4, z = 5;
SWAP(x < y ? x : y, z);
cout << x << " " << y << " " << z << endl;</pre>
```

This expands to (line breaks and spacing added):

```
do {
  auto tmp = z;
  z = x < y ? x : y;
  x < y ? x : y = tmp;
} while (false);

Equivalent to
  x < y ? x : (y = tmp)
  since ? : and = have
  the same precedence
  and are right associative</pre>
```

#### Parenthesization

 Parenthesizing uses of a macro argument ensures the correct associativity and precedence

```
#define SWAP(a, b) do {
    auto tmp = (b);
    (b) = (a);
    (a) = tmp;
} while (false)
```

Result of expansion:

```
do {
  auto tmp = (z);
  (z) = (x < y ? x : y);
  (x < y ? x : y) = tmp;
} while (false);</pre>
```

## The Hygiene Problem

Consider the following example:

```
int main() {
  int x = 3, tmp = 4;
  SWAP(tmp, x);
  cout << x << " " << tmp << endl;
}</pre>
```

Expansion results in (modulo spacing):

```
do { auto tmp = (x); (x) = (tmp); (tmp) = tmp; }
while (false);
```

- The argument tmp is captured by the temporary variable introduced by the expansion
- The macro is non-hygienic, since it doesn't distinguish between the scope of the macro and that of the argument

#### Scheme Macros

- Scheme macros, as defined by the R5RS spec, are hygienic
- Introduced by a define-syntax, let-syntax, or letrec-syntax form
- Example to translate let into lambda:

variable

```
(define-syntax let (syntax-rules () ((let ((name val) ...) body1 body2 ...)

Input pattern ((lambda (name ...) body1 body2 ...)

Val ...))))

Output pattern

Hygienic macro

Literals that must match in both the pattern and use

(clet ((name val) ...) body1 body2 ...)

Val ...))))
```

occurrences of

previous item)

## Swap in Scheme

The following defines a swap macro:

```
> (define x 3)
(define-syntax swap
  (syntax-rules ()
                                  > (define y 4)
    ((swap a b)
                                  > (swap x y)
     (let ((tmp b))
                                  > X
       (set!↑b a)
       (set! a tmp)))))
                                  > (define tmp 5)
 Scheme macros are hygienic,
                                  > (swap x tmp)
     so tmps do not conflict
                                  >
                                    X
                                  > tmp
```

#### Recursive Macros

- Evaluation procedure for macros:
  - 1. Evaluate first item in list as always
  - 2. If it is a macro, expand the rest of the list without evaluating it first
  - 3. Evaluate result of expansion
- This allows recursive macros:

```
(define-syntax let*
    (syntax-rules ()

    ((let* () body1 body2 ...))
    ((let* ((name1 val1) (name2 val2) ...))
    body1 body2 ...)
    (let ((name1 val1))
        (let ((name2 val2) ...))
        body1 body2 ...))
```

# CPP Object-Like Macros

- Object-like macros are simple text replacement, replacing one sequence of text for another
- Commonly used in the past to define constants

```
#define PI 3.1415926535

int main() {
  cout << "pi = " << PI << endl;
  cout << "tau = " << PI * 2 << endl;
}</pre>
```

 Much better in modern programming to use const or constexpr variables ■ We'll start again in five minutes.

## Example: Complex Numbers

Suppose we want to implement a complex number:

- We would also like to provide overloaded arithmetic operators +, -, and \*
- We would like to avoid repetition in writing these operators

## Operator Structure

Each operator has the following common structure:

We can write a macro to abstract this structure:

```
#define COMPLEX_OP(op, real_part, imag_part) \
   Complex operator op(Complex a, Complex b) { \
    return Complex{ real_part, imag_part }; \
}
```

# Using the Macro

We can then define the operations as follows:

Result of expansion (line breaks and spacing added):

# Complex/Real Operators

 We also want operations between Complex and double values

```
Complex operator <op>(<type1> a, <type2> b) {
  return <expr1> <op> <expr2>;
}
```

Operands converted to Complex

Macros:

```
#define REAL_OP(op, typeA, typeB, argA, argB) \
   Complex operator op(typeA a, typeB b) {
    return argA op argB;
   }
#define CONVERT(a) (Complex{ a, 0 })
```

## Using the Macro

#### Operations:

```
REAL_OP(+, Complex, double, a, CONVERT(b));
REAL_OP(+, double, Complex, CONVERT(a), b);
REAL_OP(-, Complex, double, a, CONVERT(b));
REAL_OP(-, double, Complex, CONVERT(a), b);
REAL_OP(*, Complex, double, a, CONVERT(b));
REAL_OP(*, double, Complex, CONVERT(a), b);
```

#### ■ Result:

```
Complex operator +(Complex a, double b) { return a + (Complex{ b, 0 }); }; Complex operator +(double a, Complex b) { return (Complex{ a, 0 }) + b; }; Complex operator -(Complex a, double b) { return a - (Complex{ b, 0 }); }; Complex operator -(double a, Complex b) { return (Complex{ a, 0 }) - b; }; Complex operator *(Complex a, double b) { return a * (Complex{ b, 0 }); }; Complex operator *(double a, Complex b) { return (Complex{ a, 0 }) * b; };
```

# Using Complex Numbers

We can now use complex numbers as follows:

```
int main() {
 Complex c1{ 3, 4 };
 Complex c2{ -1, 2 };
 double d = 0.5;
 cout << c1 + c2 << endl;
 cout << c1 - c2 << endl;
 cout << c1 * c2 << endl;
 cout << c1 + d << endl;
 cout << c1 - d << endl;
 cout << c1 * d << endl;
 cout << d + c1 << endl;
 cout << d - c1 << endl;
 cout << d * c1 << endl;
```

```
(2+6i)
(4+2i)
(-11+2i)
(3.5+4i)
(2.5+4i)
(1.5+2i)
(3.5+4i)
(-2.5+-4i)
(1.5+2i)
```

#### Functions on Complex Numbers

- Suppose we have an interactive application that asks the user what operation to perform and then dispatches to the appropriate function
- Supported operations:

```
Complex Complex_conjugate(Complex c) {
  return Complex{ c.real, -c.imag };
}

string Complex_polar(Complex c) {
  return "(" + to_string(sqrt(pow(c.real, 2) +
      pow(c.imag, 2))) + "," +
      to_string(atan(c.imag / c.real)) +
      ")";
}
```

#### Stringification and Concatenation

Common structure of dispatch:

```
if (<input> == "<action>")
  cout << Complex_<action>(<value>) << endl;</pre>
```

Macro definition:

```
#define ACTION(str, name, arg)
if (str == #name)
cout << Complex_ ## name(arg) << endl</pre>
```

Stringification operator converts to a string literal

Token pasting operator concatenates tokens

# Interactive Loop

► Loop code:

```
while (cin >> s) {
   ACTION(s, conjugate, c1);
   ACTION(s, polar, c1);
}
```

Result of expansion (line breaks and spacing added):

```
while (cin >> s) {
   if (s == "conjugate")
     cout << Complex_conjugate(c1) << endl;
   if (s == "polar")
     cout << Complex_polar(c1) << endl;
}</pre>
```

## The Macro Namespace

- Macros do not have a distinct namespace
- A macro that is defined will replace all eligible tokens
- Defining a macro pollutes the global namespace
- Common strategies
  - Choose names that are unlikely to conflict with other names, e.g. by prepending the name of the library

```
COMPLEXLIB_CONVERT COMPLEXLIB_ACTION
```

Undefine macros when they are no longer needed

```
#undef CONVERT
#undef ACTION
```

#### Code Generation

- Macros allow us to use the facilities of a language to generate code
- However, a macro system may be unavailable or otherwise unsuited for the task at hand
- We can write a code generator in a separate program, in the same language or a different one, in order to generate the required code
- This is also called automatic programming

#### Scheme c\*r Combinations

 Scheme implementations are required to provide combinations of car and cdr up to 4 levels deep

```
(cadar x) -> (car (cdr (car x)))
```

 We can write a Python program to generate definitions for these combinations, which we can then include as a library file in an interpreter

```
import itertools
for i in range(2, 5):
   for seq in itertools.product(('a', 'd'),
```

Define a combination for the sequence

```
repeat=i):
```

Create sequences of 'a' and 'd' with length i

print(defun(seq))

Base

case

# Defining a Combination

Function to construct body:

```
Call for first item
  def cadrify(seq):
                                 in sequence
       if len(seq):
           body = "(c\{0\}r \{1\})"
           return body.format(seq[0],
                                cadrify(seq[1:]))
       return
                                            Recursive
Function to construct a definition:
                                              call
  def defun(seq):
       func = "(define (c\{0\}r x) \{1\})"
       return func.format(''.join(seq),
                            cadrify(seq))
```

#### Combinations

Result of script:

```
(define (caar x) (car (car x)))
(define (cadr x) (car (cdr x)))
(define (cdar x) (cdr (car x)))
(define (cddr x) (cdr (cdr x)))
(define (caaar x) (car (car (car x))))
(define (caadr x) (car (cdr (cdr x))))
...
(define (cdddar x) (cdr (cdr (cdr (cdr x)))))
(define (cddddr x) (cdr (cdr (cdr (cdr x)))))
```