

# Title

D. Zack Garza

November 26, 2019

## Contents

<b>1 Tuesday November 26th</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem . . . . .	1

## 1 Tuesday November 26th

Question: Let  $f \in L^1([a, b])$  and  $F(x) = \int_a^x f(y) \, dy$  – is  $F$  differentiable a.e. and  $F' = f$ ?

If  $f$  is continuous, then absolutely yes.

Otherwise, we are considering

$$\frac{f(x+h) - F(x)}{h} = \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} f(y) \, dy \rightarrow? f(x)$$

so the more general question is

$$\lim_{m(I) \rightarrow 0, x \in I} \frac{1}{m(I)} \int_I f(y) \, dy =? f(x) \text{ a.e.}$$

Note that if  $f$  is continuous, since  $[a, b]$  is compact, we have uniform continuity and  $\frac{1}{m(I)} \int_I (f(y) - f(x)) \, dy < \frac{1}{m(I)} \int_I \varepsilon$ .

### 1.1 Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem

**Theorem:** If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then

$$\lim_{m(B) \rightarrow 0, x \in B} \int \frac{1}{m(B)} \int_B f(y) \, dy = f(x) \text{ a.e.}$$

> Note: although it's not obvious at first glance, this really is a theorem about differentiation.

**Corollary (Lebesgue Density Theorem):** For any measurable set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{m(E \cap B_r(x))}{m(B_r(x))} = 1 \text{ a.e.}$$

*Proof:* Let  $f = \chi_E$  in the theorem.

Proof of theorem: We want to show

$$Df(x) := \limsup_{m(B) \rightarrow 0, x \in B} \left| \frac{1}{m(B)} \int_B (f(y) - f(x)) dy \right| \rightarrow 0$$

Note that we can replace the  $\limsup$  with  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq m(B) \leq \varepsilon, x \in B}$ , which is well defined as it is a decreasing sequence of numbers bounded below by zero.

Next we'll introduce that *Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Function*, given by

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{x \in B} \frac{1}{m(B)} \int_B |f(y)| dy$$

> Exercise: show that this is a measurable function. (Hint: it's easy to show that the appropriate preimage is open.)

**Theorem (Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Function Theorem):** Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then

$$m(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Mf(x) > \alpha\}) \leq \frac{3^n}{\alpha} \|f\|_1.$$

Idea: if you look at all balls intersecting a given ball of radius  $\alpha$ , the worst case is that the other ball doesn't intersect and is of the same radius. But then you can draw a ball of radius  $3\alpha$  and cover every such intersecting ball.

Exercise: As a corollary,  $Mf(x) < \infty$  a.e.

This is called a *weak type* estimate, compared to a strong type  $\|Mf\|_1 \leq C\|f\|_1$ . Note that by Chebyshev, a strong estimate would imply the weak one because

$$m(\{x : Mf(x) > \alpha\}) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|Mf\|_1 \not\leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \|f\|_1,$$

which is an inequality that doesn't hold (hence the theorem) because there is an  $L^1$  function for which  $Mf$  is *not*  $L^1$ .

*Proof of differentiation theorem:* The goal is to show  $Df(x) = 0$  a.e.

We will show that  $m(\{x : Df(x) > \alpha\}) = 0$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ .

Some facts:

1. If  $g$  is continuous, then  $Dg(x) = 0$  a.e. by uniform convergence.
2.  $D(f_1 + f_2)(x) \leq Df_1(x) + Df_2(x)$  by applying the triangle inequality and distributing the  $\limsup$ .
3.  $Df(x) \leq Mf(x) + |f(x)|$

Fix an  $\alpha$  and fix an  $\varepsilon$ . Choose a continuous  $g$  such that  $\|f - g\|_1 < \varepsilon$ . Writing  $f = f - g + g$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Df(x) &\leq D(f - g)(x) + Dg(x) \\ &= D(f - g)(x) + 0 \\ &\leq M(f - g)(x) + |(f - g)(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

Then  $Df(x) \geq \alpha \implies M(f - g)(x) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}$  or  $|(f - g)(x)| \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}$ . So we have  $\{x \ni Df(x) > \alpha\} \subseteq \{x \ni M(f - g)(x) > \frac{\alpha}{2}\} \cup \{x \ni |f(x) - g(x)| > \frac{\alpha}{2}\}$ . Applying measures turns this into an inequality.

But then applying the maximal function theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(\{x \ni Df(x) > \alpha\}) &\leq \frac{3^n}{\alpha/2} \|f - g\|_1 + \frac{2}{\alpha} \|f - g\|_1 \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left( \frac{2(3^n + 1)}{\alpha} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Note that somehow proving the maximal function theorem here really paved the way, and allows some generalization. Here we computed an average over a solid ball, but there is a notion of surface measure, so we can consider averaging over the surface of spheres, which can include more exotic objects like spheres in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .

*Proof of HL Maximal Function Theorem:* Let  $E_\alpha = \{x \ni Mf(x) > \alpha\}$ . If  $x \in E_\alpha$ , then it follows that there is a  $B_x$  such that  $\frac{1}{m(B_x)} \int_{B_x} |f(y)| dy > \alpha \iff m(B_x) < \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{B_x} |f(y)| dy$ .