

Title

D. Zack Garza

January 10, 2020

Contents

1 Wednesday January 8

1

1 Wednesday January 8

Course text: <http://math.uga.edu/~pete/integral2015.pdf>

Summary: The study of commutative rings, ideals, and modules over them.

The chapters we'll cover:

- 1 (Intro),
- 2 (Modules, partial),
- 3 (Ideals, CRT),
- 7 (Localization),
- 8 (Noetherian Rings),
- 11 (Nullstellensatz),
- 12 (Hilbert-Jacobson rings),
- 13 (Spectrum),
- 14 (Integral extensions),
- 17 (Valuation rings),
- 18 (Normalization),
- 19 (Picard groups),
- 20 (Dedekind domains),
- 22 (1-dim Noetherian domains)

In number theory, arises in the study of \mathbb{Z}_k , the ring of integers over a number field k , along with *localizations* and *orders* (both preserve the fraction field?).

In algebraic geometry, consider $R = k[t_1, \dots, t_n]/I$ where k is a field and I is an ideal.

Some preliminary results:

1. In \mathbb{Z}_k , ideals factor uniquely into primes (i.e. it is a Dedekind domain).
2. \mathbb{Z}_k has an integral basis (i.e. as a \mathbb{Z} -modules, $\mathbb{Z}_k \cong \mathbb{Z}^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}$).

3. The Nullstellensatz: there is a bijective correspondence

$$\{\text{Irreducible Zariski closed subsets of } \mathbb{C}^n\} \iff \{\text{Prime ideals in } \mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n]\}.$$

4. Noether normalization (a structure theorem for rings of the form R above).

All of these results concern particularly “nice” rings, e.g. $\mathbb{Z}_k, \mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$. These rings are

- Domains
- Noetherian
- Finitely generated over other rings
- Finite Krull dimension (supremum of length of chains of prime ideals)
 - In particular, $\dim \mathbb{Z}_k = 1$ since nonzero prime ideals are maximal in a Dedekind domain
- Regular (nonsingularity condition, can be interpreted in scheme-theoretic language)

Note: schemes will have “local charts” given by commutative rings, analogous to building a manifold from Euclidean n -space. General philosophy (Grothendieck): Every commutative ring is the ring of functions on some space, so we should study the category of commutative rings as a whole (i.e. let the rings be arbitrary). This does not hold for non-commutative rings! I.e. we can’t necessarily associate a geometric space to every non-commutative ring. A common interesting example: $k[G]$, the group ring of an arbitrary group. Good references: Lam, ‘Lectures on Modules and Rings’.

Example: Let X be a topological space and $C(X)$ be the continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. This is a ring under pointwise addition/multiplication. (This generally holds for the hom set into any commutative ring.)

Example: Take $X = [0, 1]$ and $C(X)$ as a ring.

Exercise:

1. Show that $C(X)$ is not a domain. > Hint: find two nonzero functions whose product is identically zero, e.g. bump functions. > Note that they are not analytic/holomorphic.
2. Show that it is not noetherian (i.e. there is an ideal that is *not* finitely generated).
3. Fix a point $x \in [0, 1]$ and show that the ideal $\mathfrak{m}_x = \{f \mid f(x) = 0\}$ is maximal.
4. Are all maximal ideals of this form? > Hint: See textbook chapter 5, or Gilman and Jerison ‘Rings of Continuous Functions’.

Theorem of Swan: A theorem about topological vector bundles over $C([0, 1])$, see textbook. There is a categorical equivalence between vector bundles on a compact space and f.g. projective modules over this ring. (So commutative algebra has something to say about other branches of Mathematics!)

Definition: A topological space is called *boolean* (or a *Stone space*) iff it is compact, hausdorff, and totally disconnected.

Example: A projective variety over p -adics with \mathbb{Q}_p points plugged in.

Definition: A ring is boolean if every element is idempotent, i.e. $x \in R \implies x^2 = x$.

Exercise: If R is a boolean domain, then it is isomorphic to the field with 2 elements.

Lemma: There is a categorical equivalence between Boolean spaces, Boolean rings, and so-called “Boolean algebras”.