Qual Problems

D. Zack Garza

November 30, 2019

Contents

	Problem 1	1
	1.1 Part 1	1
	1.2 Part 2	1
	1.3 Part 3	1
2	Problem 2	2
	Problem 3	4
	3.1 Part 1	4
	3.2 Part 2	4
	3.3 Part 3	ŗ

1 Problem 1

1.1 Part 1

Definition: An element $r \in R$ is irreducible if whenever r = st, then either s or t is a unit.

Definition: Two elements $r, s \in R$ are associates if $r = \ell s$ for some unit ℓ .

A ring R is a unique factorization domain iff for every $r \in R$, there exists a set $\{p_i \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$ such that $r = u \prod_{i=1}^n p_i$ where u is a unit and each p_i is irreducible.

Moreover, this factorization is unique in the sense that if $r = w \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i$ for some w a unit and q_i irreducible elements, then each q_i is an associate of some p_i .

1.2 Part 2

A ring R is a principal ideal domain iff whenever $I \subseteq R$ is an ideal of R, there is a single element $r_i \in R$ such that $I = (r_i)$.

1.3 Part 3

An example of a UFD that is not a PID is given by R = k[x, y] for k a field.

That R is a UFD follows from the fact that if k is a field, then k has no prime elements since every non-zero element is a unit. So the factorization condition holds vacuously for k, and k is a UFD. But then we can use the following result:

Theorem: If R is a UFD, then R[x] is a UFD.

Since k is a UFD, the theorem implies that k[x] is a UFD, from which it follows that k[x][y] = k[x, y] is also a UFD.

To see that R is not a PID, consider the ideal I = (x, y), and suppose I = (g) for some single $g \in k[x, y]$.

Note that $I \neq R$, since I contains no degree zero polynomials. Moreover, since $(x) \subset I = (g)$ (and similarly for y), we have $g \mid x$ and $g \mid y$, which forces deg g = 0.

So in fact $g \in k$ and thus g is invertible, but then $(g) = g^{-1}(g) = (1) = k$, so this forces $I = k \le k[x,y]$. However, $x \notin k$ (nor y), which is a contradiction.

2 Problem 2

Lemma: Let A be a linear operator, $m_A(x)$ its minimal polynomial, and $p_A(x)$ its characteristic polynomial. Then A has distinct eigenvalues $\iff m_A(x) = \chi_A(x)$.

Proof: > Note that We always have $m_A(x) \mid \chi_A(x)$, since $\chi_A(A) = 0$ by Cayley-Hamilton and $m_A(x)$ is the *minimal* polynomial for which this holds. We also have $\chi_A(\lambda_i) = 0$ for all eigenvalues λ_i by construction.

Using the fact that every eigenvalue is a always root of both $m_A(x)$ and $\chi_A(x)$ (potentially with differing multiplicities), we can write

$$m_A(x) = \prod_i (x - \lambda_i)^{p_i}$$
$$\chi_A(x) = \prod_i (x - \lambda_i)^{q_i}$$

where every $p_i \leq q_i$.

 \implies : If A has n distinct eigenvalues, then $\chi_A(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \lambda_i)$ in $\overline{k}[x]$.

Take an invariant factor decomposition of A with factors $f_1 \mid f_2 \mid \cdots \mid f_n$; then $f_n(x) = m_A(x)$. Importantly, the multiplicity of each factor occurring is exactly 1.

If λ_i is an eigenvalue of A, then λ_i is a root of some f_j . But since each f_j divides $f_n = m_A$, $m_A(\lambda_i) = 0$ for all λ_i , So every eigenvalue is a root of $m_A(x)$, and thus $\prod_{i=1}^n (x - \lambda_i) \mid m_A(x)$.

But then we have both $m_A(x) \mid \chi_A(x)$ and $\chi_A(x) \mid m_A(x)$, so these polynomials are equal.

Lemma If A is a linear operator and we write

$$V = \frac{k[x]}{(f_1)} \oplus \frac{k[x]}{(f_2)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{k[x]}{(f_n)}$$

as an invariant factor decomposition of V as a k[x] module (defined by $p(x) \curvearrowright \mathbf{v} := p(A)(\mathbf{v})$), with $f_1 \mid f_2 \mid \cdots$, then we always have

- $m_A(x) = f_n(x)$, i.e. the minimal polynomial is the invariant factor of largest degree,
- $p_A(x) = \prod_{i=j}^n f_j(x)$, i.e. the characteristic polynomial is the product of all of the invariant factors.

 \Leftarrow : Suppose A has distinct eigenvalues, then by Lemma 1 we have

$$f_n(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n f_j(x),$$

which can only happen if $f_1(x) = f_2(x) = \cdots = f_{n-1}(x) = 1$, in which case there is only one nontrivial invariant factor and we have

$$V \cong \frac{k[x]}{(f_n)}, \quad \text{Ann}(V) = (f_n)$$

which exhibits V as a cyclic k[x]-module and thus we have $V = k[x]\mathbf{v}$ for some $\mathbf{v} \in V$.

We can now note that if $\deg f_n = \dim V = m$, we have

$$k[x]/(f_n) = \operatorname{span}_{k[x]} \left\{ 1, x, \dots, x^{m-1} \right\} \iff V \cong k[x]\mathbf{v} = \operatorname{span}_{k[x]} \left\{ 1\mathbf{v}, x\mathbf{v}, \dots x^{m-1}\mathbf{v} \right\},$$

But then noting that the $k[x] \curvearrowright V$ by $w \mapsto xw$, so $k[T] \curvearrowright V$ by $w \mapsto Tw$.

 \Longrightarrow :

Suppose

$$V = \operatorname{span}_k \left\{ \mathbf{v}, A\mathbf{v}, A^2\mathbf{v}, \cdots A^{n-1}\mathbf{v} \right\} := \operatorname{span}_k \mathcal{B}$$

where $\dim_k V = n$.

Then A^n **v** is necessarily a linear combination of these basis elements, and in particular, there are coefficients c_i (not all zero) such that

$$A^n \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i A^i \mathbf{v}.$$

The consider computing the matrix of A in \mathcal{B} by considering the images of all basis elements under A.

Letting
$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \mathbf{w}_i \coloneqq A^i \mathbf{v} \mid 0 \le i \le n-1 \right\}$$
, we have
$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_0 &\coloneqq \mathbf{v} \mapsto A \mathbf{v} \coloneqq \mathbf{w}_1 \\ \mathbf{w}_1 &\coloneqq A \mathbf{v} \mapsto A^2 \mathbf{v} \coloneqq \mathbf{w}_2 \\ \mathbf{w}_2 &\coloneqq A^2 \mathbf{v} \mapsto A^3 \mathbf{v} \coloneqq \mathbf{w}_3 \\ &\vdots &\vdots \\ \mathbf{w}_{n-2} &\coloneqq A^{n-2} \mathbf{v} \mapsto A^{n-1} \mathbf{v} \coloneqq \mathbf{w}_{n-1} \\ \mathbf{w}_{n-1} &\coloneqq A^{n-1} \mathbf{v} \mapsto A^n \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i A^i \mathbf{v}_i \coloneqq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i \mathbf{w}_i. \end{aligned}$$

This means that with respect to the basis \mathcal{B} , A has the following matrix representation:

$$[A]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & c_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & c_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & c_2 \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & c_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

But this is the companion matrix for $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i x^i$, which always satisfy the property that p(x) equals both the characteristic and the minimal polynomial of A.

Thus by lemma 1, the matrix $[A]_{\mathcal{B}}$ has distinct eigenvalues.

3 Problem 3

3.1 Part 1

Let $\mathbf{v} = [0, 1, 0]^t$, We compute

$$M\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ y & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1(0) + 0(1) + x(0) \\ 0(0) + 1(1) + 0(0) \\ y(0) + 0(1) + 1(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which shows that \mathbf{v} is an eigenvector of M with eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$.

3.2 Part 2

Noting that the rank is the dimension of the column space, we find that

- $rank(M) \ge 1$, since it is not the zero matrix,
- rank $(M) \ge 2$, since neither $[1,0,y]^t$ or $[x,0,1]^t$ can be in the span of $[0,1,0]^t$, and
- $\operatorname{rank}(M) = 3 \iff \det(M) \neq 0$.

So we compute

$$\det_{M}(x,y) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ y & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1(1-0) - 0(1-xy) + x(-y) = 1 - xy,$$

and so $\det_M(x,y) = 0 \iff xy = 1$. Thus

$$rank(M) = \begin{cases} 3 & xy = 1 \\ 2 & else. \end{cases}$$

3.3 Part 3

Since M is diagonalizable $\iff M$ is full rank, which in this case means $\operatorname{rank}(M) = 3$, we have

$$S = \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \;\middle|\; M \text{ is diagonalizable } \right\} = \left\{ \left(x,\frac{1}{x}\right) \;\middle|\; x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2.$$