

# Problem Set 5

D. Zack Garza

October 21, 2019

## Contents

<b>1 Problem 1</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Problem 2 . . . . .	2

## 1 Problem 1

We first make the following definitions:

$$S := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} = \sup \left\{ \sum_{(j,k) \in B} a_{jk} \mid B \subset \mathbb{N}^2, |B| < \infty \right\}$$

$$T := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj} = \sup \left\{ \sum_{(j,k) \in C} a_{kj} \mid C \subset \mathbb{N}^2, |C| < \infty \right\}.$$

We will show that  $S = T$  by showing that  $S \leq T$  and  $T \leq S$ .

Let  $B \subset \mathbb{N}^2$  be finite, so  $B \subseteq [0, I] \times [0, J] \subset \mathbb{N}^2$ .

Now letting  $R > \max(I, J)$ , we can define  $C = [0, R]^2$ , which satisfies  $B \subseteq C \subset \mathbb{N}^2$  and  $|C| < \infty$ .

Moreover, since  $a_{jk} \geq 0$  for all pairs  $(j, k)$ , we have the following inequality:

$$\sum_{(j,k) \in B} a_{jk} < \sum_{(k,j) \in C} a_{jk} \leq \sum_{(k,j) \in C} a_{kj} \leq T,$$

since  $T$  is a supremum over *all* such sets  $C$ , and the terms of any finite sum can be rearranged.

But since this holds for every  $B$ , we this inequality also holds for the supremum of the smaller term by order-limit laws, and so

$$S := \sup_B \sum_{(k,j) \in B} a_{jk} \leq T.$$

An identical argument shows that  $T \leq S$ , yielding the desired equality.  $\square$

## 1.1 Problem 2

We want to show the following equality:

$$\int_0^1 g(x) \, dx = \int_0^1 f(x) \, dx.$$

To that end, we can rewrite this using the integral definition of  $g(x)$ :

$$\int_0^1 \int_x^1 \frac{f(t)}{t} \, dt \, dx = \int_0^1 f(x) \, dx$$

Note that if we can switch the order of integration, we would have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_x^1 \frac{f(t)}{t} \, dt \, dx &= \int_0^1 \int_0^t \frac{f(t)}{t} \, dx \, dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{f(t)}{t} \int_0^t dx \, dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{f(t)}{t} (t - 0) \, dt \\ &= \int_0^1 f(t) \, dt, \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to show, and so we are simply left with the task of showing that this switch of integrals is justified.

To this end, define

$$\begin{aligned} F : \mathbb{R}^2 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (x, t) &\mapsto \frac{\chi_A(x, t) \hat{f}(x, t)}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

where  $A = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 \leq x \leq t \leq 1\}$  and  $\hat{f}(x, t) := f(t)$ .