# **Full Notes**

### D. Zack Garza

January 15, 2020

### **Contents**

1	Appendix	6
3	Wednesday January 15th 3.1 Topology and Algebra of $\mathbb C$	4
2	Monday January 13th	2
L	Friday January 10	1

### 1 Friday January 10

Recall that  $\mathbb{C}$  is a field, where  $z=x+iy \implies \overline{z}=x-iy$ , and if  $z\neq 0$  then  $z^{-1}=\overline{z}/|z|^2$ . Lemma (Triangle Inequality:  $|z+w|\leq |z|+|w|$ 

Proof:

$$(|z| + |w|)^2 - |z + w|^2 = 2(|z\overline{w}| - \Re z\overline{w}) \ge 0.$$

Lemma (Reverse Triangle Inequality):  $||z| - |w|| \le |z - w|$ .

Proof:

$$|z| = |z - w + w| \le |z - w| + |w| \implies |w| - |z| \le |z - w| = |w - z|.$$

Claim:  $(\mathbb{C}, |\cdot|)$  is a normed space.

Definition:  $\lim z_n = z \iff |z_n - z| \to 0 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Definition: A disc is defined as  $D_r(z_0) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - z_0| < r\}$ , and a subset is open iff it contains a disc. By convention,  $D_r$  denotes a disc about  $z_0 = 0$ .

Definition:  $\sum_{k} z_k$  converges iff  $S_N := \sum_{|k| < N} z_k$  converges.

Note that  $z_n \to z$  and  $z_n = x_n + iy_n$ , if  $|z_n - z| = \sqrt{(x_n - x)^2 - (y_n - y)^2} < \varepsilon$  then  $|x - x_n|, |y - y_n| < \varepsilon$ .

Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete iff every Cauchy sequence converges iff every bounded monotone sequence has a limit

Note: This is useful precisely when you don't know the limiting term.

Note that  $\sum_{k} z_k$  thus converges if  $\left| \sum_{k=m}^{n} z_k \right| < \varepsilon$  for m, n large enough, so sums converges iff they have small tails.

Definition:  $\sum z_k$  converges absolutely iff  $\tilde{S} \sum |z_k|$  converges.

Note that the partial sums  $\sum_{k=1}^{N} |z_k|$  are monotone, so  $\tilde{S}_N$  converges iff the partial sums are bounded above.

Definition: A sum of the form  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z_k$  is a power series.

Examples

$$\sum x^{k} = \frac{1}{1-x}$$
$$\sum (-x^{2})^{k} = \frac{1}{1+x^{2}}.$$

Note that both of these have a radius of convergence equal to 1, since the first has a pole at x = 1 and the second as a pole at x = i.

## 2 Monday January 13th

Recall that  $\sum z_k$  converges iff  $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k$  converges.

**Lemma:** Absolute convergence implies convergence.

The most interesting series:  $f(z) = \sum a_k z^k$ , i.e. power series.

**Divergence lemma:** If  $\sum z_k$  converges, then  $\lim z_k = 0$ .

Corollary: If  $\sum z_k$  converges,  $\{z_k\}$  is uniformly bounded by a constant C > 0, i.e.  $|z_k| < C$  for all k.

**Proposition:** If  $\sum a_k z_k$  converges at some point  $z_0$ , then it converges for all  $|z| < |z|_0$ .

The inequality is necessarily strict. For example,  $\sum \frac{z^{n-1}}{n}$  converges at z=-1 (alternating harmonic series) but not at z=1 (harmonic series).

Proof: Suppose  $\sum a_k z_1^k$  converges. The terms are uniformly bounded, so  $\left|a_k z_1^k\right| \leq C$  for all k. Then we have  $\left|a_k\right| \leq C/|z_1|^k$ , so if  $|z| < |z_1|$ , we have  $\left|a_k z^k\right| \leq |z|^k \frac{C}{|z_1|^k} = C(|z|/|z_1|)^k$ . So if  $|z| < |z_1|$ , the parenthesized quantity is less than 1, and the original series is bounded by a geometric series. Letting  $r = |z|/|z_1|$ , we have

$$\sum \left| a_k z^k \right| \le \sum c r^k = \frac{c}{1 - r},$$

and so we have absolute convergence.

Exercise (future problem set): Show that  $\sum \frac{1}{k} z^{k-1}$  converges for all |z| = 1 except for z = 1. (Use summation by parts.)

Definition The radius of convergence is the real number R such that  $f(z) = \sum a_k z^k$  converges precisely for |z| < R and diverges for |z| > R. We denote a disc of radius R centered at zero by  $D_R$ . If  $R = \infty$ , then f is said to be *entire*.

**Proposition:** Suppose that  $\sum a_k z^k$  converges for all |z| < R. Then  $f(z) = \sum a_k z^k$  is continuous on  $D_R$ , i.e. using the sequential definition of continuity,  $\lim_{z \to z_0} f(z) = f(z_0)$  for all  $z_0 \in D_R$ .

Recall that  $S_n(z) \to S(z)$  uniformly on  $\Omega$  iff  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n > M \Longrightarrow |S_n(z) - S(z)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ 

Note that arbitrary limits of continuous functions may not be continuous. Counterexample:  $f_n(x) = x^n$  on [0,1]; then  $f_n \to \delta(1)$ . Note that it uniformly converges on  $[0,1-\varepsilon]$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Exercise: Show that the uniform limit of continuous functions is continuous.

Hint: Use the triangle inequality.

Proof of proposition: Write  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k z^k + \sum_{N+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k := S_N(z) + R_N(z)$ . Note that if |z| < R, then there exists a T such that |z| < T < R where f(z) converges uniformly on  $D_T$ .

#### Check!

We need to show that  $|R_N(z)|$  is uniformly small for |z| < s < T. Note that  $\sum a_k z^k$  converges on  $D_T$ , so we can find a C such that  $\left|a_k z^k\right| \le C$  for all k. Then  $|a_k| \le C/T^k$  for all k, and so

$$\left| \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \right| \le \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |a_k| |z|^k$$

$$\le \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} (c/T^k) s^k$$

$$= c \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |s/T|^k$$

$$= c \frac{r^{N+!}}{1-r} = C\varepsilon_n \to 0,$$

which follows because 0 < r = s/T < 1.

So  $S_N(z) \to f(z)$  uniformly on |z| < s and  $S_N(z)$  are all continuous, so f(z) is continuous.

There are two ways to compute the radius of convergence:

• Root test:  $\lim_{k} |a_k|^{1/k} = L \implies R = \frac{1}{L}$ .

• Ratio test:  $\lim_{k} |a_{k+1}/a_k| = L \implies R = \frac{1}{L}$ .

As long as these series converge, we can compute derivatives and integrals term-by-term, and they have the same radius of convergence.

### 3 Wednesday January 15th

See references: Taylor's Complex Analysis, Stein, Barry Simon (5 volume set), Hormander (technically a PDEs book, but mostly analysis)

Good Paper: Hormander 1955

We'll mostly be working from Simon Vol. 2A, most problems from from Stein's Complex.

### 3.1 Topology and Algebra of $\mathbb C$

To do analysis, we'll need the following notions:

- 1. Continuity of a complex-valued function  $f: \Omega \to \Omega$
- 2. Complex-differentiability: For  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  open and  $z_0 \in \Omega$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $D_{\varepsilon} = \{z \mid |z z_0| < \varepsilon\} \subset \Omega$ , and f is **holomorphic** (complex-differentiable) at  $z_0$  iff

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} (f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0))$$

exists; if so we denote it by  $f'(z_0)$ .

Example: f(z) = z is holomorphic, since f(z+h) - f(z) = z + h - z = h, so  $f'(z_0) = \frac{h}{h} = 1$  for all  $z_0$ .

Example: Given  $f(z) = \overline{z}$ , we have  $f(z+h) - f(z) = \overline{h}$ , so the ratio is  $\frac{\overline{h}}{h}$  and the limit doesn't exist (?).

We say f is holomorphic on an open set  $\Omega$  iff it is holomorphic at every point, and is holomorphic on a closed set C iff there exists an open  $\Omega \supset C$  such that f is holomorphic on  $\Omega$ .

If f is holomorphic, writing  $h = h_1 + ih_2$ , then the following two limits exist and are equal:

$$\lim_{h_1 \to 0} \frac{f(x_0 + iy_0 + h_1) - f(x_0 + iy_0)}{h_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0)$$

$$\lim_{h_2 \to 0} \frac{f(x_0 + iy_0 + ih_2) - f(x_0 + iy_0)}{ih_2} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0)$$

$$\implies \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}.$$

So if we write f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y), we have

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \Big|_{(x_0, y_0)} = \frac{1}{i} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \Big|_{(x_0, y_0)},$$

and equating real and imaginary parts yields the Cauchy-Riemann equations:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = -i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$$

$$\iff \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}.$$

The usual rules of derivatives apply:

$$1. \ (\sum f)' = \sum f'$$

Proof: Direct.

2.  $(\prod f)' = \text{product rule}$ 

Proof: Consider (f(z+h)g(z+h)-f(z)g(z))/h and use continuity of g at z.

3. Quotient rule

Proof: Nice trick, write 
$$q = \frac{f}{g}$$
 so  $qg = f$ , then  $f' = q'g + qg'$  and  $q' = \frac{f'}{g} - \frac{fg'}{g^2}$ .

4. Chain rule

Proof: Use the fact that if f'(g(z)) = a, then

$$f(z+h) - f(z) = ah + r(z,h), \quad |r(z,h)| = o(|h|) \to 0.$$

Write b = g'(z), then

$$f(g(z+h)) = f(g(z) + bh + r_1) = f(g(z)) + f'(g(z))bh + r_2$$

by considering error terms, and so

$$\frac{1}{h}(f(g(z+h)) - f(g(z))) \to f'(g(z))g'(z)$$

### 4 Appendix

Collection of facts used on problem sets

Standard forms of conic sections:

- Circle:  $x^2 + y^2 = r^2$  Ellipse:  $\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^2 = 1$
- Hyperbola:  $\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^2 \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^2 = 1$ 
  - Rectangular Hyperbola:  $xy = \frac{c^2}{2}$ .
- Parabola:  $-4ax + y^2 = 0$ .

Mnemonic: Write  $f(x,y) = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2 + \cdots$ , then consider the discriminant  $\Delta = B^2 - 4AC$ :

- $\Delta < 0 \iff \text{ellipse}$ 
  - $-\Delta < 0$  and  $A = C, B = 0 \iff$  circle
- $\Delta = 0 \iff \text{parabola}$
- $\Delta > 0 \iff$  hyperbola

#### Completing the square:

$$x^{2} - bx = (x - s)^{2} - s^{2}$$
 where  $s = \frac{b}{2}$   
 $x^{2} + bx = (x + s)^{2} - s^{2}$  where  $s = \frac{b}{2}$ .

Properties of complex numbers

- $\Re(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z + \overline{z})$  and  $\Im(z) = \frac{1}{2i}(z \overline{z})$ .  $z\overline{z} = |z|^2$