Problem Set One

D. Zack Garza

January 26, 2020

Contents

1	Humphreys 1.1 1.1 a	
2	Humphreys 1.3*	1
1	Humphreys 1.1	
1.	1 a	
If	$M \in \mathcal{O}$ and $[\lambda] = \lambda + \Lambda_r$ is any coset of $\mathfrak{h}^{\vee}/\Lambda_r$, let $M^{[\lambda]}$ be the sum of weight spaces M_{μ} :	for

which $\mu \in [\lambda]$. **Proposition:** $M^{[\lambda]}$ is a $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule of M

Proof:

Proposition: M is the direct sum of finitely many submodules of the form $M^{[\lambda]}$.

Proof:

1.2 b

Proposition: The weights of an indecomposable module $M \in \mathcal{O}$ lie in a single coset of $\mathfrak{h}^{\vee}/\Lambda_r$.

2 Humphreys 1.3*

Proposition: For any $M \in \mathcal{O}$, $M(\lambda)$ satisfies the following property:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(M(\lambda),M) = \operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbb{C}_{\lambda},M\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda},\operatorname{Res}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}M\right).$$

Proof:

Noting that

- Ind^g_b C_λ = U(g) ⊗_{U(b)} C_λ,
 Res^g_b M is an identification of the g-module M has a b- module by restricting the action of g, consider the following two maps:

$$F: \hom_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, M) \to \hom_{U(\mathfrak{b})}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, M)$$
$$\phi \mapsto (F\phi : z \mapsto \phi(1 \otimes z)),$$

and

$$G: \hom_{U(\mathfrak{b})}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, M) \to \hom_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, M)$$
$$\psi \mapsto (G\psi : g \otimes v \mapsto g \cdot \psi(v)).$$

It suffices to show that these maps are well-defined and mutually inverse.

To see that F is well-defined, let $\phi: U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C_{\lambda} \to M$ be fixed; we will show that the set map $F\phi: \mathbb{C}_{\lambda} \to M$ is $U(\mathfrak{b})$ -linear. Let $b \in U(\mathfrak{b})$, then

```
b \curvearrowright F\phi(v) := b \curvearrowright (z \mapsto \phi(1 \otimes z))(v)
                     := b \curvearrowright \phi(1 \otimes v)
                     =\phi(b\curvearrowright (1\otimes v))\quad \text{since }\phi \text{ is }U(\mathfrak{g})\text{-linear and }b\in U(\mathfrak{g})
                     =\phi((b \cap 1) \otimes v) by the definition/construction of M(\lambda) as a U(\mathfrak{g})-module.
                     =\phi(1\otimes(b\curvearrowright v)) since \mathbb{C}_{\lambda} is a \mathfrak{b}-module and the tensor is over U(\mathfrak{b})
                     := (z \mapsto \phi(1 \otimes z))(b \curvearrowright v)
                      := F\phi(b \curvearrowright v).
```