# **Title**

## D. Zack Garza

# Friday 20<sup>th</sup> March, 2020

## **Contents**

1 Fri	Frid	iday February 21st		
	1.1	Singularities	1	
	1.2	Singularities at Infinity	2	

# 1 Friday February 21st

### 1.1 Singularities

Recall that there are three types of singularities:

- Removable
- Poles
- Essential

Recall that a function g is holomorphic at  $z_0$  iff

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} (z - z_0)g(z) = 0$$

#### Theorem 1.1(3.2).

An isolated singularity  $z_0$  of f is a pole  $\iff \lim_{z \longrightarrow z_0} f(z) = \infty$ .

#### Theorem 1.2(3.3, Casorati-Weierstrass).

If f is holomorphic in  $D_r(z_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$  and has an essential singularity  $z_0$ , then there exists a radius r such that  $f(D_r(\{z_0\}) \setminus \{z_0\})$  is dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

#### Proof.

Proceed by contradiction. Suppose there exists a  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  and a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$D_{\delta}(w) \bigcap f(D_r(\{z_0\}) \setminus \{z_0\}) = \emptyset.$$

If 
$$z \in D_r(w) \setminus z_0$$
, then  $|f(z) - w| > \delta$ . Define  $g(z) = \frac{1}{f(z) - w}$  on  $D_r(z_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$ ; then  $|g(z)| < \frac{1}{\delta}$ .

Note that this implies that g(z) is holomorphic on  $D_r(z_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$ . g(z) being holomorphic here follows from f being holomorphic here.

Then g(z) has a removable singularity at  $z = z_0$  by theorem 3.1.

If  $g(z_0) \neq 0$ , then f(z) - w is holmorphic at  $z_0$ , contradicting the fact that  $z_0$  is an essential singularity.

If instead  $g(z_0) = 0$ , then  $z_0$  is a pole, again a contradiction.

Note: revisit why this is a contradiction.

# 1.2 Singularities at Infinity

The point  $z = \infty$  can be one of three types of singularities:

- 1. Removable  $\iff f(z) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} c_k \frac{1}{z^k}$ .
  - I.e. only one positive exponent.
- 2. Pole  $\iff f(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{n} c_k z^k$ 
  - I.e. there are finitely many positive exponents.
- 3. Essential  $\iff f(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ 
  - There are infinitely many positive exponents.

#### **Definition 1.2.1** (Meromorphic).

A function f is **meromorphic** on  $\Omega$  iff there exists a sequence  $\{z_i\} \subset \Omega$  with no limit point in  $\Omega$  such that

- 1. f is holomorphic on  $\Omega \setminus \{z_i\}$ , and
- 2. f has poles at each  $z_i$ .

## Theorem 1.3(3.4, Meromorphic Functions are Rational).

f is meromorphic on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  iff f is a rational function.

Proof.

 $\implies$ : By part 1 of the definition above, the point z=0 is either a pole or a removable singularity of the function  $F(z)=f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$ . By part 2, F has finitely many poles  $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^N$ . So for each k, write

$$f(z) = f_k(z) + g_k(z)$$

where  $f_k$  is the principal part and  $g_k$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $z_k$ . Then  $f_k(z)$  is a

polynomial in  $\left(\frac{1}{z-z_k}\right)$ , say of degree  $m_k$ . But then

$$F(z) := f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \tilde{f}_{\infty}(z) + \tilde{g}_{\infty}(z)$$

where  $\tilde{f}_{\infty}(z)$  is a polynomial in z, and  $\tilde{g}_{\infty}(z)$  is holomorphic near zero.

 $\iff$ : asdsda