## Title

### **Contents**

1	Wed	Inesday, October 28	2
		Review of Last Time	
	1.2	Description of $T^{\mu}_{\lambda}(H^{i}(w \cdot \lambda))$	2

# 1 Wednesday, October 28

#### 1.1 Review of Last Time

Suppose we have two weights in the same facet, i.e. they're in the same stabilizer under the action of the affine Weyl group:




Figure 1: Weights in the same facet

We had a theorem: if  $\lambda, \mu$  are in the same facet, then  $\mathcal{B}_{\lambda} \cong \mathcal{B}_{\mu}$  is an equivalence of categories, where the map is via the translation functors.

## 1.2 Description of $T^\mu_\lambda \Big( H^i(w \cdot \lambda) \Big)$

We can write

$$T_{\lambda}^{\mu}\Big(H^{i}(w \cdot \lambda)\Big) = \operatorname{pr}_{\mu}\Big(L(\nu_{1}) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{\lambda}\Big(H^{i}(w \cdot \lambda)\Big)\Big)$$
$$= \operatorname{pr}_{\mu}\Big(L(\nu_{1}) \otimes H^{i}(w \cdot \lambda)\Big)$$
$$= \operatorname{pr}_{\mu}\Big(L(\nu_{1}) \otimes R^{i} \operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{G} w \cdot \lambda\Big)$$
$$= \operatorname{pr}_{\mu}\Big(R^{i} \operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{G} (L(\nu_{1}) \otimes w \cdot \lambda)\Big).$$

Take a composition series by B-modules of  $L(\nu_1) \otimes w \cdot \lambda$ , say

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \cdots \subset M_r = L(\nu_1) \otimes w \cdot \lambda.$$

where  $M_j/M_{j-1} \cong \lambda + j + w \cdot \lambda$  and  $\lambda_j < \lambda_{j'} \implies j < j'$ , i.e. we can order them in a decreasing way.

Consider the SES

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{j-1} \longrightarrow M_j \longrightarrow M_j/M_{j-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

where applying  $\mathrm{pr}_{\mu}(\,\cdot\,)$  induces the LES

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{\mu} M_{j-1} \longrightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{\mu} M_{j} \longrightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{\mu} (M_{j}/M_{j-1}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

We know that

$$\operatorname{pr}_{\mu} H^{i}(\lambda_{j} + w \cdot \lambda) = \begin{cases} H^{i}(\lambda_{j} + w \cdot \lambda) & \lambda + j + w \cdot \lambda \in W_{p} \cdot \mu \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases},$$

i.e. this projection is the identity for weights linked to  $\mu$  and zero otherwise. We also have

$$\operatorname{pr}_{\mu}H^{i}(M_{r}) = T^{\mu}_{\lambda}H^{i}(w \cdot \lambda).$$

Theorem 1.2.1(?). Let  $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and F be a facet with  $\lambda \in F$ . If  $\mu \in \overline{F}$ , then we have

$$T^{\mu}_{\lambda}(H^{i}(w \cdot \lambda)) = H^{i}(w \cdot \mu) \qquad \forall w \in W_{p}.$$




Figure 2: Image

### Example 1.2.1 (?).

Here consider  $H_0(\lambda) \xrightarrow{T_{\lambda}^{\mu}} H_0(\mu) = 0$ , since  $\mu$  is outside of the dominant region (in orange.) We also have  $H^0(w \cdot \lambda) \to H^0(w \cdot \mu) \neq 0$ , since this falls *into* the dominant region.

Proof(?).

Let  $\lambda \in F$  and  $\mu \in \overline{F}$ . Then  $\operatorname{Stab}_{W_p}(\lambda) \subseteq \operatorname{Stab}_{W_p}(\mu)$ . By a previous technical lemma, we had a formula for computing  $\operatorname{ch} T_{\lambda}^{\mu}V$ , which involved considering

$$w_1 \in \frac{\operatorname{Stab}_{W_p}(\lambda)}{\operatorname{Stab}_{W_p}(\lambda) \cap \operatorname{Stab}_{W_p}(\mu)}.$$

In this case, we get  $w_1 = id$ , since the top and bottom are equal.

By that lemma, there exists a unique  $\ell$  such that  $w \cdot \lambda + \lambda_{\ell} \in W_p \cdot \mu$ , where  $\lambda_{\ell}$  is a weight of  $L(\nu_1)$ . From the LES, we have

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{\mu} M_{j-1} \longrightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{\mu} M_{j} \longrightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{\mu} (M_{j}/M_{j-1}) = \lambda_{j} + w \cdot \lambda \longrightarrow \cdots$$

where the last term will only be nonzero in restricted cases. We can thus conclude that

$$\operatorname{pr}_{\mu}(H^{i}(M_{j})) = \begin{cases} 0 & j < \ell \\ H^{i}(w \cdot \mu) & j \ge \ell. \end{cases}$$

Setting j = r, we have

$$T^{\mu}_{\lambda}\Big(H^{i}(w\cdot\lambda)\Big) = \operatorname{pr}_{\mu}H^{j}(M_{r}) = H^{i}(w\cdot\mu).$$

Suppose  $\lambda \in \overline{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\mu \in C_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . What happens when you translate  $\lambda$  (green) off of a wall?  $T^{\mu}_{\lambda}(H^0(w \cdot \lambda))$  has a filtration with factors  $H^0(w_1 \cdot \mu)$  and  $H^0(w_2 \cdot \mu)$  (shown in green).




Figure 3: Image

If  $w\lambda$  is a vertex with  $\mu \in C_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , then  $T^{\mu}_{\lambda}(H^0(w \cdot \lambda))$  can have six factors:




Figure 4: Weight where the translation has six factors

Proposition 1.2.1(?).