# **Title**

## D. Zack Garza

November 26, 2019

## **Contents**

1	Wednesday November 20	1
	1.1 Wevl's Character Formula (24.2-3)	1

## 1 Wednesday November 20

Last time:

$$\mathbb{Z}\Lambda \iff \{\mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \sim \}$$

$$e(\mu) \mapsto e_{\mu}$$

$$e(\lambda)e(\mu) = e(\lambda + \mu) \mapsto f \star g(\lambda) = \sum_{a+b=\lambda} f(a)g(b)$$

and  $\operatorname{ch} L(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} \dim L(\lambda)_{\mu} e(\mu)$ .

We have the Kostant function  $p(\lambda) = \#\{(k_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \mid -\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^{+}} k_{\alpha}\alpha\}$  and the Weyl function  $q = e_{\rho} \star \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^{+}} (1 - e_{-\alpha}) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^{+}} (e_{\alpha/2} - e_{-\alpha/2})$ .

Lemma:  $p \star e_{\lambda} = \operatorname{ch} M(\lambda)$ , so  $q \star \operatorname{ch} M(\lambda) = e_{\lambda+\rho}$  and  $q \star p = e_{\rho}$ .

## 1.1 Weyl's Character Formula (24.2-3)

Definition: The dot action of W is given by  $w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$ , i.e. a reflection for hyperplanes passing through  $-\rho$ .

E.g. for type A2, where W(0) = 0, we have:

Type A2

And for the dot action, we have

Image

where  $W \cdot 0 = 0$  and  $s(\alpha_1) = -\alpha_1$ .

**Theorem (Harish-Chandra):** If  $L(\mu)$  is a composition factor of  $M(\lambda)$ , then  $\mu \in W \cdot \lambda$  for  $\mu \leq \lambda$ .

Proof: Postponed.

ch are characters,  $L(\lambda)$  is a Verma module.

Remark: if we sum over  $\mu \leq \lambda$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{ch} M(\lambda) &= \sum_{\mu \in W \cdot \lambda} a_{\lambda \mu} \operatorname{ch} L(\mu) \\ \operatorname{ch} L(\lambda) &= \sum_{\mu \in W \cdot \lambda} b_{\lambda \mu} \operatorname{ch} M(\mu) \\ &= \sum_{W \cdot \lambda \in \Lambda} c_{\lambda W} \operatorname{ch} M(w \cdot \lambda). \end{split}$$

Theorem (Weyl's Character Formula): If  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ , then

$$\operatorname{ch} L(\lambda) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e(w \cdot \lambda)}{\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e(w \cdot 0)}$$

Proof:

We have  $\operatorname{ch} L(\lambda) = \sum_{w} c_{\lambda w} \operatorname{ch} M(w \cdot \lambda)$ , and so by the lemma,

$$q * \operatorname{ch} L(\lambda) = \sum c_{\lambda w} q * \operatorname{ch} M(W(\lambda + \rho) - \rho) = \sum_{w} c_{\lambda w} e_{W(\lambda + p)}$$

Thus for all  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ , we have

$$s_{\alpha}(q \star \operatorname{ch} L(\lambda)) = \sum_{w} c_{\lambda, s_{\alpha} w} e_{w(\lambda + \rho)}$$

On the other hand, by part (c) of the lemma, we have

$$(s_{\alpha} \star q) \star \operatorname{ch} L(\lambda) = -q \star \operatorname{ch} L(\lambda)$$

which implies that  $c_{\lambda,s_{\alpha}w} = -c_{\lambda,w}$  by comparing term-by-term, and thus  $c_{\lambda,w} = (-1)^{\ell(w)}$  because  $c_{\lambda e} = 1$ .

In particular,  $q = q \star e(0) = q \star \text{ch} L(0) = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e_{w(\rho)}$ , and thus

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{ch} L(\lambda) &= \frac{\sum_{w} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e_{w(\lambda+p)}}{\sum_{w} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e_{w(p)}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{w} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e(w \cdot \lambda)}{\sum_{w} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e(w \cdot 0)}. \end{split}$$

Example: For type A1, we have  $W = \Sigma_2 = \{1, s\}$ . Take  $\lambda = 3$  under

$$\Lambda \equiv \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\alpha_1 \to 2$$

$$w_1 = \rho \to 1,$$

from which we obtain

$$chL(3) = \frac{e(\mathbf{1} \cdot 3) - e(s \cdot 3)}{e(\mathbf{1} \cdot 0) - e(s \cdot 0)}$$

$$= \frac{e(3) - e(-5)}{e(0) - e(-2)}$$

$$= e(3) + e(1) + e(-1) + e(-3) \quad \text{by long division.}$$

### Corollary (Kostant's Dimension Formula):

If  $\mu \leq \lambda \in \Lambda^+$ , then

$$\dim L(\lambda)_{\mu} = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} P(w \cdot \lambda - \mu).$$

Proof:  $p \star e_{\mu}(w \cdot \lambda) = \sum_{a+b=w \cdot \lambda} p(a)e_{\mu}(h) = p(w \cdot \lambda - \mu)$ , since this is the only term that survives. Then  $p(w \cdot \lambda - \mu)$  is the coefficient for  $e(\mu)$  in  $\operatorname{ch} M(w \cdot \lambda) = \dim M(\lambda)_{\mu}$ . Thus  $\dim L(\lambda)_{\mu} = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \dim M(w \cdot \lambda)_{\mu}$ .

#### Corollary (Weyl's Dimension Formula):

If  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ , then

$$\dim L(\lambda) = \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (\lambda + \rho, \alpha^{\vee})}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (\rho, \alpha^{\vee})}$$

Proof (sketch):

Define an operator  $\partial = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \partial_a$ , where  $\partial_a : e(\mu) \mapsto (u, \alpha^{\vee}) e(\mu)$ . Then  $\partial$  is well-defined since  $\partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} = \partial_{\beta} \partial_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta$ , and (exercise)  $\partial$  is a derivation.

Define an evaluation homomorphism  $\nu: \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu} e(\mu) \mapsto \prod_{\mu} c_{\mu}$ . Note that  $\nu(\operatorname{ch} L(\lambda)) = \dim L(\lambda)$ , and  $\nu(q) = 0$  because  $\nu(e_{\alpha_i - 1}) = 0$ .

Claim:

$$\nu(\partial(q\star \mathrm{ch}L(\mu-\rho))) = |w| \prod_{\alpha\in\Phi^+} (\mu,\alpha^\vee)$$

This is relatively straightforward once you know that you have a derivation and a homomorphism. With this claim, we have

$$\nu(\partial(q \star \operatorname{ch}L(\lambda))) = \nu(\partial q)\nu(\operatorname{ch}L(\lambda)) + \nu(q)\nu(\partial \operatorname{ch}L(\lambda))$$

where we can identify a number of terms, and then taking ratios yields Weyl's dimension formula.