# **Problem Set 8**

# D. Zack Garza

November 27, 2019

# **Contents**

1	Problem 1	1
	1.1 Part a	
2	Problem 2         2.1       Part a	
	2.1.2 Part ii	
3	Problem 3	5
4	Problem 4         4.1 Part a	
5	Problem 5	7
6	Problem 6	7

# 1 Problem 1

#### 1.1 Part a

It follows from the definition that  $||f||_{\infty} = 0 \iff f = 0$  almost everywhere, and if  $||f||_{\infty}$  is the best upper bound for f almost everywhere, then  $||cf||_{\infty}$  is the best upper bound for cf almost everywhere.

So it remains to show the triangle inequality. Suppose that  $|f(x)| \le ||f||_{\infty}$  a.e. and  $|g(x)| \le ||g||_{\infty}$  a.e., then by the triangle inequality for the  $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{R}}$  we have

$$|(f+g)(x)| \le |f(x)| + |g(x)|$$
 a.e.  
  $\le ||f||_{\infty} + ||g||_{\infty}$  a.e.,

which means that  $||f + g||_{\infty} \le ||f||_{\infty} + ||g||_{\infty}$  as desired.

#### 1.2 Part b

 $\Longrightarrow$ : Suppose  $||f_n - f||_{\infty} \to 0$ , then for every  $\varepsilon$ ,  $N_{\varepsilon}$  can be chosen large enough such that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$  a.e., which precisely means that there exist sets  $E_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $x \in E_{\varepsilon} \Longrightarrow |f_n(x) - f(x)|$  and  $m(E_{\varepsilon}^c) = 0$ .

But then taking the sequence  $\varepsilon_n := \frac{1}{n} \to 0$ , we have  $f_n \rightrightarrows f$  uniformly on  $E := \bigcap_n E_n$  by definition, and  $E^c = \bigcup_n E_n^c$  is still a null set.

 $\Leftarrow$ : Suppose  $f_n \rightrightarrows f$  uniformly on some set E and  $m(E^c) = 0$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon$ , we can choose N large enough such that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$  on E; but then  $\varepsilon$  is an upper bound for  $f_n - f$  almost everywhere, so  $||f_n - f||_{\infty} < \varepsilon \to 0$ .

#### 1.3 Part c

To see that simple functions are dense in  $L^{\infty}(X)$ , we can use the fact that  $f \in L^{\infty}(X) \iff$  there exists a g such that f = g a.e. and g is bounded.


Then there is a sequence  $s_n$  of simple functions such that  $||s_n - g||_{\infty} \to 0$ , which follows from a proof in Folland:


Proof. (a) For 
$$n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$$
 and  $0 \le k \le 2^{2n} - 1$ , let 
$$E_n^k = f^{-1}((k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n}]) \quad \text{and} \quad F_n = f^{-1}((2^n, \infty]),$$

and define

$$\phi_n = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{2^n}-1} k 2^{-n} \chi_{E_n^k} + 2^n \chi_{F_n}.$$

(This formula is messy in print but easily understood graphically; see Figure 2.1.) It is easily checked that  $\phi_n \leq \phi_{n+1}$  for all n, and  $0 \leq f - \phi_n \leq 2^{-n}$  on the set where  $f \leq 2^n$ . The result therefore follows.





However,  $C_c^0(X)$  is dense  $L^\infty(X) \iff$  every  $f \in L^\infty(X)$  can be approximated by a sequence  $\{g_k\} \subset C_c^0(X)$  in the sense that  $\|f - g_n\|_\infty \to 0$ . To see why this can *not* be the case, let f(x) = 1, so  $\|f\|_\infty = 1$  and let  $g_n \to f$  be an arbitrary sequence of  $C_c^0$  functions converging to f pointwise.

Since every  $g_n$  has compact support, say  $\sup(g_n) := E_n$ , then  $g_n|_{E_n^c} \equiv 0$  and  $m(E_n^c) > 0$ . In particular, this means that  $||f - g_n||_{\infty} = 1$  for every n, so  $g_n$  can not converge to f in the infinity norm.

#### 2 Problem 2

#### 2.1 Part a

#### 2.1.1 Part i

**Lemma:**  $||1||_p = m(X)^{1/p}$ 

This follows from  $||1||_p^p = \int_X |1|^p = \int_X 1 = m(X)$  and taking pth roots.

By Holder with p = q = 2, we can now write

$$||f||_1 = ||1 \cdot f||_1 \le ||1||_2 ||f||_2 = m(X)^{1/2} ||f||_2$$
  
$$\implies ||f||_1 \le m(X)^{1/2} ||f||_2.$$

Letting  $M := ||f||_{\infty}$ , We also have

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_2^2 &= \int_X |f|^2 \le \int_X |M|^2 = M^2 \int_X 1 = M^2 m(X) \\ \Longrightarrow \|f\|_2 \le m(X)^{1/2} \|f\|_\infty \\ \Longrightarrow m(X)^{1/2} \|f\|_2 \le m(X) \|f\|_\infty, \end{split}$$

and combining these yields

$$\|f\|_1 \leq m(X)^{1/2} \|f\|_2 \leq m(X) \|f\|_\infty,$$

from which it immediately follows

$$m(X)<\infty\implies L^\infty(X)\subseteq L^2(X)\subseteq L^1(X).$$

The Inclusions Are Strict:

1. 
$$\exists f \in L^1(X) \setminus L^2(X)$$
:

Let X = [0, 1] and consider  $f(x) = x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Then

$$||f||_1 = \int_0^1 x^{-\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$$
 by the *p* test,

while

$$||f||_2^2 = \int_0^1 x^{-1} \to \infty$$
 by the *p* test.

2.  $\exists f \in L^2(X) \setminus L^\infty(X)$ :

Take X = [0, 1] and  $f(x) = x^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ . Then

$$||f||_2^2 = \int_0^1 x^{-\frac{1}{4}} < \infty$$
 by the *p* test,

while  $||f||_{\infty} > M$  for any finite M, since f is unbounded in neighborhoods of 0, so  $||f||_{\infty} = \infty$ .

#### 2.1.2 Part ii

1.  $\exists f \in L^2(X) \setminus L^1(X)$  when  $m(X) = \infty$ :

Take  $X = [1, \infty)$  and let  $f(x) = x^{-1}$ , then

$$||f||_2^2 = \int_0^\infty x^{-2} < \infty \qquad \text{by the } p \text{ test,}$$
$$||f||_1 = \int_0^\infty x^{-1} \to \infty \qquad \text{by the } p \text{ test.}$$

2.  $\exists f \in L^{\infty}(X) \setminus L^{2}(X)$  when  $m(X) = \infty$ :

Take  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and f(x) = 1. then

$$||f||_{\infty} = 1$$
$$||f||_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{D}} 1 \to \infty.$$

3.  $L^2(X) \subseteq L^1(X) \implies m(X) < \infty$ :

Let  $f = \chi_X$ , by assumption we can find a constant M such that  $\|\chi_X\|_2 \leq M \|\chi_X\|_1$ .

Then pick a sequence of sets  $E_k \nearrow X$  such that  $m(E_k) < \infty$  for all  $k, \chi_{E_k} \nearrow \chi_X$ , and thus  $\|\chi_{E_k}\|_p \le M \|\chi_E\|_p$ . By the lemma,  $\|\chi_{E_k}\|_p = m(E_k)^{1/p}$ , so we have

$$\|\chi_{E_k}\|_2 \le M \|\chi_{E_k}\|_1 \implies \frac{\|\chi_{E_k}\|_2}{\|\chi_{E_k}\|_1} \le M$$

$$\implies \frac{m(E_k)^{1/2}}{m(E_k)} \le M$$

$$\implies m(E_k)^{-1/2} \le M$$

$$\implies m(E_k) \le M^2 < \infty.$$

and by continuity of measure, we have  $\lim_K m(E_k) = m(X) \le M^2 < \infty$ .  $\square$ 

#### 2.2 Part b

1.  $L_1(X) \cap L^{\infty}(X) \subset L^2(X)$ :

Let  $f \in L^1(X) \cap L^{\infty}(X)$  and  $M := ||f||_{\infty}$ , then

$$||f||_2^2 = \int_X |f|^2 = \int_X |f||f| \le \int_X M|f| = M \int |f| := ||f||_{\infty} ||f||_1 < \infty.$$
 (1)

The inclusion is strict, since we know from above that there is a function in  $L^2(X)$  that is not in  $L^{\infty}(X)$ .

Note that taking square roots in (1) immediately yields

$$||f||_{L^2(X)} \le ||f||_{L^1(X)}^{1/2} ||f||_{L^{\infty}(X)}^{1/2}.$$

2. 
$$L^{2}(X) \subset L^{1}(X) + L^{\infty}(X)$$
:

Let  $f \in L^2(X)$ . Noting that continuous functions with compact support are dense in  $L^2(X)$ , take an approximating sequence  $\{g_n\} \subseteq C_c^0(X)$  with  $\|g_n - f\|_2 \to 0$ .

The claim is that we can choose N large enough such that when we write  $f = (f - g_N) + g_N$ , we will have  $||f - g_N||_1 < \infty$  and  $||g_N||_{\infty} < \infty$ , which establishes the desired result.

To see that  $g_N \in L^{\infty}(X)$ , we can just note that since each  $g_n$  is  $C_c^0$ , they are all **bounded**, say by  $M < \infty$ , in which case  $||g_N||_{\infty} \leq M < \infty$ .

To see that  $g_N \in L^1(X)$ , we can use the fact that  $||f - g_N||_2 \le ||f||_2 + ||g_N||_2 < \infty$ , so  $f - g_N \in L^2(X)$ .

TODO

## 3 Problem 3

For notational convenience, it suffices to prove this for  $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ , where we re-index each sequence in  $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$  using a bijection  $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{N}$ .

Note: this technically reorders all sums appearing, but since we are assuming absolute convergence everywhere, this can be done. One can also just replace  $\sum_{j=n}^{m}|a_j|^p$  with  $\sum_{n\leq |j|\leq m}|a_j|^p$  in what follows.

1.  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}) \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ :

Suppose  $\sum_{j} |a|_{j} < \infty$ , then its tails go to zero, so choose N large enough so that

$$j \ge N \implies |a_j| < 1.$$

But then

$$j \ge N \implies |a_j|^2 < |a_j|,$$

and

$$\sum_{j} |a_{j}|^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} |a_{j}|^{2} + \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} |a_{j}|^{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} |a_{j}|^{2} + \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} |a_{j}|$$

$$\leq M + \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} |a_{j}|$$

$$\leq M + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{j}|$$

$$< \infty.$$

where we just note that the first portion of the sum is a finite sum of finite numbers and thus bounded.

To see that the inclusion is strict, take  $\mathbf{a} \coloneqq \left\{j^{-1}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ ; then  $\|\mathbf{a}\|_{2} < \infty$  by the *p*-test by  $\|\mathbf{a}\|_{1} = \infty$  since it yields the harmonic series.

2. 
$$\ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \subset \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$$
:

This follows from the contrapositive: if **a** is a sequence with unbounded terms, then  $\|\mathbf{a}\|_2 = \sum |a_j|^2$  can not be finite, since convergence would require that  $|a_j|^2 \to 0$  and thus  $|a_j| \to 0$ .

To see that the inclusion is strict, take  $\mathbf{a} = \{1\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ . Then  $\|\mathbf{a}\|_{\infty} = 1$ , but the corresponding sum does not converge.

3. 
$$\|\mathbf{a}\|_2 \le \|\mathbf{a}\|_1$$
:

Let  $M = \|\mathbf{a}\|_1$ , then

$$\|\mathbf{a}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \|\mathbf{a}\|_{1}^{2} \iff \frac{\|\mathbf{a}\|_{2}^{2}}{M^{2}} \leq 1 \iff \sum_{j} \left|\frac{a_{j}}{M}\right|^{2} \leq 1.$$

But then we can use the fact that

$$\left| \frac{a_j}{M} \right| \le 1 \implies \left| \frac{a_j}{M} \right|^2 \le \left| \frac{a_j}{M} \right|$$

to obtain

$$\sum_{j} \left| \frac{a_{j}}{M} \right|^{2} \leq \sum_{j} \left| \frac{a_{j}}{M} \right| = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j} |a_{j}| := 1.$$

4.  $\|\mathbf{a}\|_{\infty} \leq \|\mathbf{a}\|_{2}$ :

This follows from the fact that, we have

$$\|\mathbf{a}\|_{\infty}^2 := \left(\sup_{j} |a_j|\right)^2 = \sup_{j} |a_j|^2 \le \sum_{j} |a_j|^2 = \|\mathbf{a}\|_2^2$$

and taking square roots yields the desired inequality.

Note: the middle inequality follows from the fact that the supremum S is the least upper bound of all of the  $a_j$ , so for all j, we have  $a_j + \varepsilon > S$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . But in particular,  $a_k + a_j > a_j$  for any pair  $a_j, a_k$  where  $a_k \neq 0$ , so  $a_k + a_j > S$  and thus so is the entire sum.

## 4 Problem 4

#### 4.1 Part a

Let  $\{f_k\}$  be a Cauchy sequence, then  $\|f_k - f_j\|_u \to 0$ . Define a candidate limit by fixing x, then using the fact that  $|f_j(x) - f_k(x)| \to 0$  as a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ , which converges to some f(x).

We want to show that and  $||f_n - f||_u \to 0$  and  $f \in C([0, 1])$ .

This is immediate though, since  $f_n \to f$  uniformly by construction, and the uniform limit of continuous functions is continuous.

#### 4.2 Part b

It suffices to produce a Cauchy sequence of continuous functions  $f_k$  such that  $||f_j - f_j||_1 \to 0$  but if we define  $f(x) := \lim f_k(x)$ , we have either  $||f||_1 = \infty$  or f is not continuous.

To this end, take  $f_k(x) = x^k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ .

Then pointwise we have

$$f_k \to \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [0,1) \\ 1 & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

which has a clear discontinuity, but

$$||f_k - f_j||_1 := \int_0^1 x^k - x^j = \frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{j+1} \to 0.$$

#### 5 Problem 5

## 6 Problem 6