# **Assignment 6: The Fourier Transform**

# D. Zack Garza

# November 1, 2019

# Contents

| 1 | Problem 1   | 1           |
|---|---|-------------|
| 2 | Problem 2         2.1 Part (a)          2.2 Part (b)          2.2.1 (i)          2.2.2 (ii) | 3           |
| 3 | Problem 3         3.1 (a)   | 3<br>4      |
| 4 | Problem 4   | 4<br>4<br>5 |
| 5 | Problem 5         5.1 (a)   | 7<br>7<br>7 |
| 6 | Problem 6   | 8           |

# 1 Problem 1

Assuming the hint, we have

$$\lim_{|\xi| \to \infty} \hat{f}(\xi) = \lim_{\xi' \to 0} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f(\xi)) - f(\xi) - f(\xi) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) dx$$

But as an immediate consequence, this yields

$$\left| \hat{f}(\xi) \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f(x) - f(x - \xi')) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \, dx \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x) - f(x - \xi')| |\exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi)| \, dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x) - f(x - \xi')| \, dx$$

$$\to 0,$$

which follows from continuity in  $L^1$  since  $f(x - \xi') \to f(x)$  as  $\xi' \to 0$ . It thus only remains to show that the hint holds, and that  $\xi' \to 0$  as  $\xi \to \infty$ .

### 2 Problem 2

# 2.1 Part (a)

Assuming an interchange of integrals is justified, we have

$$\widehat{(}f * g)(\xi) \coloneqq \int \int f(x - y)g(y) \exp(-2\pi x \cdot \xi) \ dy \ dx$$

$$=? \int \int f(x - y)g(y) \exp(-2\pi x \cdot \xi) \ dx \ dy$$

$$= \int \int f(t) \exp(-2\pi i(x - y) \cdot \xi)g(y) \exp(-2\pi iy \cdot \xi) \ dx \ dy$$

$$(t = x - y, \ dt = \ dx)$$

$$= \int \int f(t) \exp(-2\pi it \cdot \xi)g(y) \exp(-2\pi iy \cdot \xi) \ dt \ dy$$

$$= \int f(t) \exp(-2\pi it \cdot \xi) \left(\int g(y) \exp(-2\pi iy \cdot \xi) \ dy\right) \ dt$$

$$= \int f(t) \exp(-2\pi it \cdot \xi) \widehat{g}(\xi) \ dt$$

$$= \widehat{g}(\xi) \int f(t) \exp(-2\pi it \cdot \xi) \ dt$$

$$= \widehat{g}(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

It thus remains to show that this swap is justified.

# 2.2 Part (b)

We'll use the following lemma: if  $\hat{f} = \hat{g}$ , then f = g almost everywhere.

# 2.2.1 (i)

By part 1, we have

$$\widehat{f * g} = \widehat{f}\widehat{g} = \widehat{g}\widehat{f} = \widehat{g * f},$$

and so by the lemma, f \* g = g \* f.

Similarly, we have

$$\widehat{(f * g) * h} = \widehat{f * g} \ \hat{h} = \hat{f} \ \hat{g} \ \hat{h} = \hat{f} \ \widehat{g * h} = f * (g * h).$$

#### 2.2.2 (ii)

Suppose that there exists some  $I \in L^1$  such that f \* I = f. Then  $\widehat{f * I} = \widehat{f}$  by the lemma, so  $\widehat{f} \widehat{I} = \widehat{f}$  by the above result.

But this says that  $\hat{f}(\xi)\hat{I}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi)$  almost everywhere, and thus  $\hat{I}(\xi) = 1$  almost everywhere. Then  $\lim_{|\xi| \to \infty} \hat{I}(\xi) \neq 0$ , which by Problem 1 shows that I can not be in  $L^1$ , a contradiction.

# 3 Problem 3

#### 3.1 (a)

#### 3.1.1 (i)

Let g(x) = f(x - y). We then have

$$\begin{split} \hat{g}(\xi) &\coloneqq \int g(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \ dx \\ &= \int f(x-y) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \ dx \\ &= \int f(x-y) \exp(-2\pi i (x-y) \cdot \xi) \exp(-2\pi i y \cdot \xi) \ dx \\ &= \exp(-2\pi i y \cdot \xi) \int f(x-y) \exp(-2\pi i (x-y) \cdot \xi) \ dx \\ &= \exp(-2\pi i y \cdot \xi) \int f(t) \exp(-2\pi i t \cdot \xi) \ dt \\ &= \exp(-2\pi i y \cdot \xi) \hat{f}(\xi). \end{split}$$

# 3.1.2 (ii)

Let  $h(x) = \exp(2\pi ix \ cdoty) f(x)$ . We then have

$$\begin{split} \hat{h}(\xi) &\coloneqq \int \exp(2\pi i x \cdot y) f(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \ dx \\ &= \int \exp(2\pi i x \cdot y - 2\pi i x \cdot \xi) f(x) \ dx \\ &= \int f(\xi - y) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot (\xi - y)) \ dx \\ &= \hat{f}(\xi - y). \end{split}$$

# 3.2 (b)

We'll use the fact that if  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an inner product on a vector space V and A is an invertible linear transformation, then for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$  we have

$$\langle A\mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle = \left\langle \mathbf{x}, \ A^T\mathbf{y} \right\rangle$$

where  $A^{-T}$  denotes the transpose of the inverse of A (or  $(A^{-1})^*$  if V is complex).

We then have

$$\frac{1}{|\det T|} \hat{f}(T^{-T}\xi) = \frac{1}{|\det T|} \int f(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot T^{-T}\xi) \, dx$$

$$x \mapsto Tx, \, dx \mapsto |\det T| \, dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\det T|} \int f(Tx) \exp(-2\pi i Tx \cdot T^{-T}\xi) |\det T| \, dx$$

$$= \int f(Tx) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \, dx$$

$$\text{since } Tx \cdot T^{-T}\xi = T^{-1}Tx \cdot \xi = x \cdot \xi$$

$$= \widehat{(f \circ T)}(\xi).$$

# 4 Problem 4

#### 4.1 (a)

### 4.1.1 (i)

Let g(x) = xf(x). Then if an interchange of the derivative and the integral is justified, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \hat{f}(\xi) := \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \int f(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \ dx$$

$$= ? \int f(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \ dx$$

$$= \int f(x) 2\pi i x \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \ dx$$

$$= 2\pi i \int x f(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \ dx$$

$$= 2\pi i \hat{g}(\xi).$$

It thus remains to show that this interchange is justified. TODO

#### 4.1.2 (ii)

We have

$$\hat{h}(\xi) \coloneqq \int \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \ dx$$

$$= f(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \Big|_{x=-\infty}^{x=\infty} - \int f(x)(2\pi i \xi) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \ dx$$
(integrating by parts)
$$= -\int f(x)(-2\pi i \xi) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \ dx$$
(since  $f(\infty) = f(-\infty) = 0$ )
$$= 2\pi i \xi \int f(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \ dx$$

$$\coloneqq 2\pi i \xi \hat{f}(\xi).$$

### 4.2 (b)

Let  $G(x) = \exp(-\pi x^2)$  and  $\partial_{\xi}$  be the operator that differentiates with respect to  $\xi$ . Then

$$\partial_\xi \left( \frac{\hat{G}(\xi)}{G(\xi)} \right) = \frac{G(\xi) \partial_\xi \hat{G}(\xi) - \hat{G}(\xi) \partial_\xi G(\xi)}{G(\xi)^2},$$

and the claim is that this is zero. This happens precisely when the numerator is zero, so we'd like to show that

$$G(\xi)\partial_{\xi}\hat{G}(\xi) - \hat{G}(\xi)\partial_{\xi}G(\xi) = 0.$$

Using the following facts,

- $\partial_{\xi}G(\xi) = -2\pi\xi G(\xi)$  by computing directly,
- $\partial_{\xi}\hat{G}(\xi) = -2\pi\xi\hat{G}(\xi)$ , which follows from the following computation

$$\partial_{\xi} \hat{G}(\xi) := \partial_{\xi} \int G(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \ dx$$

$$= \int G(x) \partial_{\xi} \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \ dx$$

$$= \int G(x) (-2\pi i x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \ dx$$

$$= i \int 2\pi x G(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \ dx$$

$$= i \int \partial_{x} G(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \ dx$$

$$= i \int \partial_{x} G(x) (\xi)$$

$$= i (2\pi i \xi \hat{G}(\xi))$$

$$= -2\pi \xi \hat{G}(\xi),$$

we can thus write

$$G(\xi)\partial_{\xi}\hat{G}(\xi) - \hat{G}(\xi)\partial_{\xi}G(\xi) = G(\xi)(-2\pi\xi\hat{G}(\xi)) - \hat{G}(\xi)(-2\pi\xi G(\xi)),$$

which is patently zero.

It follows that  $\frac{\hat{G}(\xi)}{G(\xi)} = c_0$  for some constant  $c_0$ , from which it follows that  $\hat{G}(\xi) = c_0 G(\xi)$ .

Using the fact that G(0) = 1 by direct evaluation and  $\hat{G}(0) = \int G(x) dx = 1$ , we can conclude that  $c_0 = 1$  and thus  $\hat{G}(\xi) = G(\xi)$ .

### 5 Problem 5

### 5.1 (a)

By a direct computation. we have

$$\begin{split} \hat{D}(\xi) &\coloneqq \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} 1e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \; dx \\ &= \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos(-2\pi x \cdot \xi) + i \sin(-2\pi x \cdot \xi) \; dx \\ &= \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos(-2\pi x \cdot \xi) \; dx \\ &\quad \text{(since sin is odd and the domain is symmetric about 0)} \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos(-2\pi x \cdot \xi) \; dx \\ &\quad \text{(since cos is even and the domain is symmetric about 0)} \\ &= 2 \left( \frac{1}{2\pi \xi} \sin(-2\pi x \cdot \xi) \Big|_{x=0}^{x=\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\sin(\pi \xi)}{\pi \xi}. \end{split}$$

# 5.2 (b)

#### 5.2.1 (i)

Since F(x) = D(x) \* D(x), we have  $\hat{F}(\xi) = (\hat{D}(\xi))^2$  by question 2a, and so  $\hat{F}(\xi) = \left(\frac{\sin(\pi \xi)}{\pi \xi}\right)^2$ .

#### 5.2.2 (ii)

Letting  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the Fourier transform operator, we have  $\mathcal{F}^2(h)(\xi) = h(-\xi)$  for any  $h \in L^1$ . In particular, if f is an even function, then  $f(\xi) = -f(\xi)$  and  $\mathcal{F}^2(f) = f$ .

In this case, letting F be the box function, F can be seen to be even from its definition. Since  $f := \mathcal{F}(F)$  by part (i), we have

$$\hat{f} := \mathcal{F}(f) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(F)) = \mathcal{F}^2(F) = F,$$

which says that  $\hat{f}(x) = F(x)$ , the original box function.

### 5.3 (c)

By a direct computation of the integral in question, we have

$$\begin{split} I(x) &:= \int e^{-2\pi |\xi|} e^{2\pi i x \xi} \ d\xi \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-2\pi (-\xi)} e^{-2\pi i x \xi} \ d\xi + \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{2\pi \xi} e^{2\pi i x \xi} \ d\xi \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi \xi} e^{-2\pi i x \xi} \ d\xi + \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{2\pi \xi} e^{2\pi i x \xi} \ d\xi \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi \xi} e^{-2\pi i x \xi} \ d\xi + \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{2\pi \xi} e^{2\pi i x \xi} \ d\xi \\ &= \sup_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi \xi} e^{-2\pi i x \xi} + e^{2\pi \xi} e^{2\pi i x \xi} \ d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-u} e^{-ixu} + e^{-u} e^{ixu} \ du \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-u(1+ix)} + e^{-u(1-ix)} \ du \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{-e^{-u(1+ix)}}{1+ix} \Big|_{u=0}^{u=\infty} + \frac{-e^{-u(1-ix)}}{1+ix} \Big|_{u=0}^{u=\infty} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{1+ix} + \frac{1}{1-ix} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{2}{1+x^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1+x^2}, \end{split}$$

so 
$$P(x) = I(x)$$
.

Then, by the Fourier inversion formula, we have

$$I(x) = P(x) = \int \hat{P}(\xi)e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx$$

$$\implies \int e^{-2\pi |\xi|} e^{2\pi i x \xi} = \int \hat{P}(\xi)e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx$$

$$\implies e^{-2\pi |\xi|} = \hat{P}(\xi).$$

# 6 Problem 6