# **Problem Set One**

### D. Zack Garza

January 26, 2020

### **Contents**

1	Humphreys 1.1   1.1 a	
2	Humphreys 1.3*	1
1	Humphreys 1.1	
1.	1 a	
If	$M \in \mathcal{O}$ and $[\lambda] = \lambda + \Lambda_r$ is any coset of $\mathfrak{h}^{\vee}/\Lambda_r$ , let $M^{[\lambda]}$ be the sum of weight spaces $M_{\mu}$ :	for

which  $\mu \in [\lambda]$ . **Proposition:**  $M^{[\lambda]}$  is a  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule of M

Proof:

Proposition: M is the direct sum of finitely many submodules of the form  $M^{[\lambda]}$ .

*Proof:* 

#### 1.2 b

**Proposition:** The weights of an indecomposable module  $M \in \mathcal{O}$  lie in a single coset of  $\mathfrak{h}^{\vee}/\Lambda_r$ .

## 2 Humphreys 1.3\*

**Proposition:** For any  $M \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $M(\lambda)$  satisfies the following property:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(M(\lambda),M) = \operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbb{C}_{\lambda},M\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda},\operatorname{Res}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}M\right).$$

Proof:

Noting that

- $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda} = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda},$   $\mathfrak{g}$ -morphisms can always be lifted to  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -morphisms,
- Res $_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}}M$  is an identification of the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module M has a  $\mathfrak{b}$  module by restricting the action of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , consider the following two maps:

$$F: \hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, M) \to \hom_{\mathfrak{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, M)$$
$$\phi \mapsto (v \mapsto \phi(1 \otimes v)),$$

and

$$G: \hom_{\mathfrak{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, M) \to \hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, M)$$
$$\psi \mapsto (g \otimes v \mapsto g \cdot \psi(v)).$$