# **Problem Set 8**

# D. Zack Garza

November 27, 2019

# **Contents**

| 1 | Problem 1     | 1 |
|---|---------------|---|
|   | 1.1 Part a    | 1 |
|   | 1.2 Part b    | 2 |
|   | 1.3 Part c    | 2 |
| 2 | Problem 2     | 3 |
|   | 2.1 Part a    | 3 |
|   | 2.1.1 Part i  | 3 |
|   | 2.1.2 Part ii | 4 |
|   | 2.2 Part b    | 4 |
| 3 | Problem 3     | 4 |
| 4 | Problem 4     | 4 |
| 5 | Problem 5     | 4 |
| 6 | Problem 6     | 4 |

# 1 Problem 1

## 1.1 Part a

It follows from the definition that  $||f||_{\infty} = 0 \iff f = 0$  almost everywhere, and if  $||f||_{\infty}$  is the best upper bound for f almost everywhere, then  $||cf||_{\infty}$  is the best upper bound for cf almost everywhere.

So it remains to show the triangle inequality. Suppose that  $|f(x)| \leq ||f||_{\infty}$  a.e. and  $|g(x)| \leq ||g||_{\infty}$  a.e., then by the triangle inequality for the  $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{R}}$  we have

$$|(f+g)(x)| \le |f(x)| + |g(x)|$$
 a.e.  
  $\le ||f||_{\infty} + ||g||_{\infty}$  a.e.,

which means that  $\|f+g\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{\infty} + \|g\|_{\infty}$  as desired.

#### 1.2 Part b

 $\Longrightarrow$ : Suppose  $||f_n - f||_{\infty} \to 0$ , then for every  $\varepsilon$ ,  $N_{\varepsilon}$  can be chosen large enough such that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$  a.e., which precisely means that there exist sets  $E_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $x \in E_{\varepsilon} \Longrightarrow |f_n(x) - f(x)|$  and  $m(E_{\varepsilon}^c) = 0$ .

But then taking the sequence  $\varepsilon_n := \frac{1}{n} \to 0$ , we have  $f_n \rightrightarrows f$  uniformly on  $E := \bigcap_n E_n$  by definition, and  $E^c = \bigcup_n E_n^c$  is still a null set.

 $\Leftarrow$ : Suppose  $f_n \rightrightarrows f$  uniformly on some set E and  $m(E^c) = 0$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon$ , we can choose N large enough such that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$  on E; but then  $\varepsilon$  is an upper bound for  $f_n - f$  almost everywhere, so  $||f_n - f||_{\infty} < \varepsilon \to 0$ .

## 1.3 Part c

To see that simple functions are dense in  $L^{\infty}(X)$ , we can use the fact that  $f \in L^{\infty}(X) \iff$  there exists a g such that f = g a.e. and g is bounded.

Then there is a sequence  $s_n$  of simple functions such that  $||s_n - g||_{\infty} \to 0$ , which follows from a proof in Folland:

*Proof.* (a) For 
$$n = 0, 1, 2, ...$$
 and  $0 \le k \le 2^{2n} - 1$ , let 
$$E_n^k = f^{-1}((k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n}]) \quad \text{and} \quad F_n = f^{-1}((2^n, \infty]),$$

and define

$$\phi_n = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{2^n}-1} k 2^{-n} \chi_{E_n^k} + 2^n \chi_{F_n}.$$

(This formula is messy in print but easily understood graphically; see Figure 2.1.) It is easily checked that  $\phi_n \leq \phi_{n+1}$  for all n, and  $0 \leq f - \phi_n \leq 2^{-n}$  on the set where  $f \leq 2^n$ . The result therefore follows.



However,  $C_c^0(X)$  is dense  $L^{\infty}(X) \iff$  every  $f \in L^{\infty}(X)$  can be approximated by a sequence  $\{g_k\} \subset C_c^0(X)$  in the sense that  $\|f - g_n\|_{\infty} \to 0$ . To see why this can *not* be the case, let f(x) = 1, so  $\|f\|_{\infty} = 1$  and let  $g_n \to f$  be an arbitrary sequence of  $C_c^0$  functions converging to f pointwise.

Since every  $g_n$  has compact support, say  $\sup(g_n) := E_n$ , then  $g_n|_{E_n^c} \equiv 0$  and  $m(E_n^c) > 0$ . In particular, this means that  $||f - g_n||_{\infty} = 1$  for every n, so  $g_n$  can not converge to f in the infinity norm.

## 2 Problem 2

## 2.1 Part a

#### 2.1.1 Part i

**Lemma:**  $||1||_p = m(X)^{1/p}$ 

This follows from  $||1||_p^p = \int_X |1|^p = \int_X 1 = m(X)$  and taking pth roots.

By Holder with p = q = 2, we can now write

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_1 &= \|1 \cdot f\|_1 \le \|1\|_2 \|f\|_2 = m(X)^{1/2} \|f\|_2 \\ \Longrightarrow \|f\|_1 \le m(X)^{1/2} \|f\|_2. \end{split}$$

Letting  $M := ||f||_{\infty}$ , We also have

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_2^2 &= \int_X |f|^2 \le \int_X |M|^2 = M^2 \int_X 1 = M^2 m(X) \\ \Longrightarrow \|f\|_2 \le m(X)^{1/2} \|f\|_\infty \\ \Longrightarrow m(X)^{1/2} \|f\|_2 \le m(X) \|f\|_\infty, \end{split}$$

and combining these yields

$$\|f\|_1 \leq m(X)^{1/2} \|f\|_2 \leq m(X) \|f\|_\infty,$$

from which it immediately follows that if  $m(X) < \infty$ , we have  $L^{\infty}(X) \subseteq L^{2}(X) \subseteq L^{1}(X)$ .

To see that the inclusion is strict in general, we'll use the fact that  $\sum_k k^{-2} < \infty$  and  $\sum_k k^{-1} = \infty$ :  $\exists f \in L^1(X) \setminus L^2(X)$ :

Let  $E_k = X \cap B(t_k)$  where  $B(t_k)$  is a ball centered at the origin (with radius depending on a parameter),  $A_k = E_k \setminus E_{k-1}$  to be disjoint annuli where  $t_k$  is chosen for each k such that  $m(A_k) = 1$ .

(Note: since 
$$m(X) < \infty, X \subseteq \bigcup A_k$$
.)

Then define  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $f(x) = \sum_k s(k) \chi_{A_k}$ , so we have

$$||f||_1 = \int_X |f| = \sum_k \int_{A_k} s(k) = \sum_k s(k) \int_{A_k} 1 = \sum_k s(k)$$

$$||f||_2 = \sum_k s(k)^2.$$

Now setting  $s(k) = \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{1/2}$  yields  $\|f\|_1 < \infty$  but  $\|f\|_2 = \infty$ , so  $f \not\in L^2(X)$ .

$$\exists f \in L^2(X) \setminus L^\infty(X):$$

Let X=(0,1] and  $f(x)=x^{-1/4}$ . Then  $\|f\|_2=\int_0^1\frac{1}{x^{1/4}}<\infty$  by the p-test, but f is unbounded near 0. In particular, for any upper bound M, we have  $m(\{x\ni f(x)>M\})>0$ , so  $\|f\|_\infty=\infty$  and  $f\not\in L^\infty(X)$ .

#### 2.1.2 Part ii

 $\exists f \in L^2(X) \setminus L^1(X) \text{ when } m(X) = \infty$ :

Take  $X = [1, \infty)$  and let  $f(x) = x^{-1}$ . Then  $||f||_2 < \infty$  but  $||f||_1 = \infty$  by the *p*-test.

 $\exists f \in L^{\infty}(X) \setminus L^{2}(X) \text{ when } m(X) = \infty$ :

Take  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and f(x) = 1. Then  $||f||_{\infty} = 1 < \infty$  but  $||f||_{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1 = \infty$ .

$$L^2(X) \subseteq L^1(X) \implies m(X) < \infty$$
:

Let  $f = \chi_X$ , by assumption we can find a constant M such that  $\|\chi_X\|_2 \leq M\|\chi_X\|_1$ .

Then pick a sequence of sets  $E_k \nearrow X$  such that  $m(E_k) < \infty$  for all  $k, \chi_{E_k} \nearrow \chi_X$ , and thus  $\|\chi_{E_k}\|_p \le M \|\chi_E\|_p$ . By the lemma,  $\|\chi_{E_k}\|_p = m(E)^{1/p}$ , so we have

$$\|\chi_{E_k}\|_2 \le M \|\chi_{E_k}\|_1 \implies \frac{\|\chi_{E_k}\|_2}{\|\chi_{E_k}\|_1} \le M$$

$$\implies \frac{m(E_k)^{1/2}}{m(E_k)} \le M$$

$$\implies m(E_k)^{-1/2} \le M$$

$$\implies m(E_k) \le M^2 < \infty.$$

and by continuity of measure, we have  $\lim_K m(E_k) = m(X) \le M^2 < \infty$ .

- 2.2 Part b
- 3 Problem 3
- 4 Problem 4
- 5 Problem 5
- 6 Problem 6