Title

D. Zack Garza

Table of Contents

Contents

1 | Lecture 10

Remark 1.0.1: What we've been calling a *torsor* (a sheaf with a group action plus conditions) is called by some sources a **pseudotorsor** (e.g. the Stacks Project), and what we've been calling a *locally trivial torsor* is referred to as a *torsor* instead.

Recall that statement of **??**.

Proof (of Hilbert 90).
Let $\tau = X_{\text{zar}}, X_{\text{ét}}, X_{\text{fppf}}$, then the data of a GL_n-torsor split by a τ-cover $U \to X$ is the same as descent data for a vector bundle relative to $U_{/X}$. This descent data comes from the following:

$$\begin{tikzcd} U \times_X U \arrow[d, shift right, "\pi_1"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "\pi_2"] \\ U \arrow[d] \\ X \end{tikzcd}$$

That U trivializes our torsor means that $\pi^* T = \pi^* G$ as a G-torsor, where G acts on itself by left-multiplication. We have two different ways of pulling back, and identifications with G in both, yielding

$$\begin{tikzcd} \pi_1^* \pi^* T \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d] & \pi_2^* \pi^* T \arrow[d] \\ \pi_1^* \pi^* G \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \pi_2^* \pi^* G \end{tikzcd}$$

Both of the bottom objects are isomorphic to $G|_{U \times U}$.

Claim: The top horizontal map is descent data for T, and the bottom horizontal map is an automorphism of a G-torsor and thus is a section to G.

■