

Problem Set 7

D. Zack Garza

November 12, 2019

Contents

1	Problem 1	1
1.1	Part a	1
1.2	Part b	2
2	Problem 2	3
2.1	Part a:	3
2.2	Part b:	3

1 Problem 1

1.1 Part a

We want to show that $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ is complete, so let $\{x_n\} \subseteq \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ be a Cauchy sequence, so $\|x^j - x^k\|_{\ell^2} \rightarrow 0$. We want to produce some $\mathbf{x} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n$ such that $x \in \ell^2$.

To this end, for each fixed index i , define

$$\mathbf{x}_i := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_i^n.$$

This is well-defined since $\|x^j - x^k\|_{\ell^2} = \sum_i |x_i^j - x_i^k|^2 \rightarrow 0$, and since this is a sum of positive real numbers that approaches zero, each term must approach zero. But then for a fixed i , the sequence $|x_i^j - x_i^k|^2$ is a Cauchy sequence of real numbers which necessarily converges in \mathbb{R} .

We also have $\|\mathbf{x} - x^j\|_{\ell^2} \rightarrow 0$ since

$$\|\mathbf{x} - x^j\|_{\ell^2} = \left\| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^k - x^j \right\|_{\ell^2} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x^k - x^j\|_{\ell^2} \rightarrow 0$$

where the limit can be passed through the norm because the map $t \mapsto \|t\|_{\ell^2}$ is continuous. So $x^j \rightarrow \mathbf{x}$ in ℓ^2 as well.

It remains to show that $\mathbf{x} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, i.e. that $\sum_i |\mathbf{x}_i|^2 < \infty$. To this end, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\ell^2} &= \|\mathbf{x} - x^j + x^j\|_{\ell^2} \\
&\leq \|\mathbf{x} - x^j\|_{\ell^2} + \|x^j\|_{\ell^2} \\
&\rightarrow M < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\|\mathbf{x}_i - x^j\|_{\ell^2} \rightarrow 0$ and the second sum is finite because $x^j \in \ell^2 \iff \|x^j\|_{\ell^2} := M < \infty$. \square

1.2 Part b

Let H be a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and induced norm $\|\cdot\|$.

Lemma: For any complex number z , we have

$$\Im(z) = \Re(-iz),$$

and as a corollary, we have

$$\Re(\langle x, iy \rangle) = \Re(-i\langle x, y \rangle) = \Im(\langle x, y \rangle).$$

We can compute the following:

$$\|x + y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + 2 \Re(\langle x, y \rangle)$$

$$\|x - y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 - 2 \Re(\langle x, y \rangle)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x + iy\|^2 &= \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + 2 \Re(\langle x, iy \rangle) \\
&= \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + \Im(\langle x, y \rangle)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x - iy\|^2 &= \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 - 2 \Re(\langle x, iy \rangle) \\
&= \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + \Im(\langle x, y \rangle)
\end{aligned}$$

and summing these all

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 + i\|x + iy\|^2 - i\|x - iy\|^2 &= 4 \Re(\langle x, y \rangle) + 4i \Im(\langle x, y \rangle) \\
&= 4\langle x, y \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

To conclude that a linear map U is an isometry iff U is unitary, if we assume U is unitary then we can write

$$\|x\|^2 := \langle x, x \rangle = \langle Ux, Ux \rangle := \|Ux\|^2.$$

Assuming now that U is an isometry, by the polarization identity we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle Ux, Uy \rangle &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\|Ux + Uy\|^2 + \|Ux - Uy\|^2 + i\|Ux + Uy\|^2 - i\|Ux - Uy\|^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left(\|U(x + y)\|^2 + \|U(x - y)\|^2 + i\|U(x + y)\|^2 - i\|U(x - y)\|^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left(\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 + i\|x + y\|^2 - i\|x - y\|^2 \right) \\
&= \langle x, y \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

□

2 Problem 2

Lemma: The map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

Proof:

Let $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle| &= |\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x, y_n \rangle + \langle x, y_n \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle| \\
&= |\langle x_n - x, y_n \rangle + \langle x, y_n - y \rangle| \\
&\leq \|x_n - x\| \|y_n\| + \|x\| \|y_n - y\| \\
&\rightarrow 0 \cdot M + C \cdot 0 < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\|y_n\| \rightarrow M$ since $y_n \rightarrow y$ implies that $\|y_n\|$ is bounded.

2.1 Part a:

Using the lemma, letting $\{e_n\}$ be a sequence in E^\perp , so $y \in E \implies \langle e_n, y \rangle = 0$. Since H is complete, $e_n \rightarrow e \in H$; we can show that $e \in E^\perp$ by letting $y \in E$ be arbitrary and computing

$$\langle e, y \rangle = \left\langle \lim_n e_n, y \right\rangle = \lim_n \langle e_n, y \rangle = \lim_n 0 = 0,$$

so $e \in E^\perp$.

2.2 Part b:

Let $S := \text{span}_H(E)$; then the smallest closed subspace containing E is \overline{S} , the closure of S . We will proceed by showing that $E^{\perp\perp} = \overline{S}$.

$\overline{S} \subseteq E^{\perp\perp}$:

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in S , so $x_n \rightarrow x \in \overline{S}$.

First, each x_n is in $E^{\perp\perp}$ by definition, since if we write $x_n = \sum a_i e_i$ where $e_i \in E$, we have

$$y \in E^\perp \implies \langle x_n, y \rangle = \left\langle \sum_i a_i e_i, y \right\rangle = \sum_i a_i \langle e_i, y \rangle = 0 \implies x_n \in (E^\perp)^\perp.$$