

# Problem Set 7

D. Zack Garza

October 27, 2019

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Problem 1</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Case 1: $p = q$ . . . . .	2
1.2	Case 2: $p > q$ . . . . .	2
1.3	Case 3: $q > p$ . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Problem 2</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Problem 3</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Problem 4</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Problem 5</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Problem 6</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Problem 7</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Problem 8</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Problem 9</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Problem 10</b>	<b>6</b>

## 1 Problem 1

Note that if either  $p = 1$  or  $q = 1$ ,  $G$  is a  $p$ -group, which is a nontrivial center that is always normal. So assume  $p \neq 1$  and  $q \neq 1$ .

We want to show that  $G$  has a non-trivial normal subgroup. Noting that  $\#G = p^2q$ , we will proceed by showing that either  $n_p$  or  $n_q$  must be 1.

We immediately note that

$$\begin{array}{ll} n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p} & n_q \equiv 1 \pmod{q} \\ n_p \mid q & n_q \mid p^2, \end{array}$$

which forces

$$n_p \in \{1, q\}, \quad n_1 \in \{1, p, p^2\}.$$

If either  $n_p = 1$  or  $n_q = 1$ , we are done, so suppose  $n_p \neq 1$  and  $n_1 \neq 1$ . This forces  $n_p = q$ , and we proceed by cases:

### 1.1 Case 1: $p = q$ .

Then  $\#G = p^3$  and  $G$  is a  $p$ -group. But every  $p$ -group has a non-trivial center  $Z(G) \leq G$ , and the center is always a normal subgroup.

### 1.2 Case 2: $p > q$ .

Here, since  $n_p \mid q$ , we must have  $n_p < q$ . But if  $n_p < q < p$  and  $n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , then  $n_p = 1$ .

### 1.3 Case 3: $q > p$ .

Since  $n_p \neq 1$  by assumption, we must have  $n_p = q$ . Now consider sub-cases for  $n_q$ :

- $n_q = p$ : If  $n_q = p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$  and  $p < q$ , this forces  $p = 1$ .
- $n_q = p^2$ : We will reach a contradiction by showing that this forces

$$\left| P := \bigcup_{S_p \in \text{Syl}(p, G)} S_p \setminus \{e\} \right| + \left| Q := \bigcup_{S_q \in \text{Syl}(q, G)} S_q \setminus \{e\} \right| + |\{e\}| > |G|.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |P| + |Q| + |\{e\}| &= n_p(q-1) + n_q(p^2-1) + 1 \\ &= p^2(q-1) + q(p^2-1) + 1 \\ &= p^2(q-1) + 1(p^2-1) + (q-1)(p^2-1) + 1 \quad (\text{since } q > 1) \\ &= (p^2q - p^2) + (p^2-1) + (q-1)(p^2-1) + 1 \\ &= p^2q + (q-1)(p^2-1) \\ &\geq p^2q + (2-1)(2^2-1) \quad (\text{since } p, q \geq 2) \\ &= p^2q + 3 \\ &> p^2q = |G|, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.  $\square$

## 2 Problem 2

We'll use the fact that  $H \trianglelefteq N(H)$  for any subgroup  $H$  (following directly from the closure axioms for a subgroup), and thus

$$P \trianglelefteq N(P) \quad \text{and} \quad N(P) \trianglelefteq N^2(P).$$

Since it is then clear that  $N(P) \subseteq N^2(P)$ , it remains to show that  $N^2(P) \subseteq N(P)$ .

So if we let  $x \in N^2(P)$ , so  $x$  normalizes  $N(P)$ , we need to show that  $x$  normalizes  $P$  as well, i.e.  $xPx^{-1} = P$ .

However, supposing that  $|G| = p^k m$  where  $(p, m) = 1$ , we have

$$P \leq N(P) \leq G \implies p^k \mid |N(P)| \mid p^k m,$$

so in fact  $P \in \text{Syl}(p, N(P))$  since it is a maximal  $p$ -subgroup.

Then  $P' := xPx^{-1} \in \text{Syl}(p, N(P))$  as well, since all conjugates of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups are also Sylow  $p$ -subgroups.

But since  $P \trianglelefteq N(P)$ , there is only *one* Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $N(P)$ , namely  $P$ . This forces  $P = P'$ , i.e.  $P = xPx^{-1}$ , which says that  $x \in N(P)$  as desired.  $\square$

## 3 Problem 3

By definition,  $G$  is simple iff it has no non-trivial subgroups, so we will show that if  $|G| = 148$  then it must contain a normal subgroup.

Noting that  $248 = p^2 q$  where  $p = 2, q = 37$ , we find that (for example)  $n_2 \mid 37$  but  $n_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ; but the only odd divisor of 37 is 1, forcing  $n_2 = 1$ . So  $G$  has a normal Sylow 2-subgroup and we are done.

## 4 Problem 4

Let  $\tau := (t_1, t_2)$  denote the transposition and  $\sigma = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p)$  denote the  $p$ -cycle, and let  $S = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$ . We would like to show that  $S = S_p$ , and since  $S \subseteq S_p$  is clear, we just need to show that  $S_p \subseteq S$ .

We first note that because  $p$  is prime,  $\sigma^k$  is a  $p$ -cycle for every  $1 \leq k \leq p$ , and  $\langle \sigma \rangle = \langle \sigma^k \rangle$  for any such  $k$ .

Then note that  $t_1 = s_i$  for some  $i$  and  $t_2 = s_j$  for some  $j$ , so we can take  $k = j - i$  to get a cycle  $\sigma^k$  that sends  $t_1$  to  $t_2$ . So without loss of generality, we can replace  $\sigma$  with

$$\sigma = (t_1, t_2, \dots)$$

But now, we can relabel all of the elements of  $S_p$  simultaneously (i.e. replace  $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$  with another subgroup in the same conjugacy class) in such a way that  $t_1$  becomes 1 and  $t_2$  becomes 2. We can

then assume wlog that

$$\tau = (1, 2), \quad \sigma = (1, 2, \dots, p)$$

We can then get all adjacent transpositions: noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma &= (2, 3) \\ \sigma^{-2}\tau\sigma^2 &= (3, 4) \\ &\dots \\ \sigma^{-k}\tau\sigma^k &= (k+1 \bmod p, k+2 \bmod p) \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq p, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that for any  $\gamma \in S_p$ , we have  $\gamma\tau\gamma = (\gamma(1), \gamma(2))$ .

But this also gives us all transpositions of the form  $(1, j)$  for each  $2 \leq j \leq p$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (2, 3)^{-1}(1, 2)(2, 3) &= (1, 3) \\ (3, 4)^{-1}(1, 3)(3, 4) &= (1, 4) \\ &\dots \\ (j-1, j)^{-1}(1, j-1)(j-1, j) &= (1, j) \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $J := \langle \{(1, j) \mid 2 \leq j \leq p\} \rangle \subseteq S$ .

But now if  $\gamma = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k) \in S_p$  is an arbitrary cycle, we can write

$$\gamma = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k) = (1, g_1)(1, g_2), \dots, (1, g_k),$$

so  $\gamma \in J$ . Then writing any arbitrary permutation as a product of disjoint cycles, we find that  $S_p \subseteq J \subseteq S$ , and so  $S_p \subseteq S$  as desired.  $\square$

## 5 Problem 5

Since  $G$  is a  $p$ -group, it has a nontrivial center. Since  $p$  is prime and  $Z(G)$  is a subgroup, this forces  $\#Z(G) \in \{p, p^2\}$ , where  $p^3$  is ruled out because this would make  $G$  abelian.

Supposing that  $\#Z(G) = p^2$ , we would have  $[G : Z(G)] = p$ , and since  $Z(G) \trianglelefteq G$ , we can take the quotient and  $\#(G/Z(G)) = p$ . But this means  $G/Z(G)$  is cyclic, which implies that  $G$  is abelian, a contradiction.

So we must have  $\#Z(G) = p$ , and  $\#(G/Z(G)) = p^2$ .

But any group of  $p^2$  is abelian, and we can characterize  $G' := [G, G]$  in the following way:

$G' \leq G$  is the unique subgroup of  $G$  such that if  $N \trianglelefteq G$  and  $G/N$  is abelian, then  $N \leq G'$ .

We can thus conclude that  $G' \leq Z(G)$ . It can not be the case that  $G' = \{e\}$ , since this would make  $G$  abelian. This forces  $G' = Z(G)$  as desired.  $\square$

## 6 Problem 6

Writing  $f(x) = x^3 - 3x - 3 = \sum a_i x_i \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , we can conclude that  $f$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by Eisenstein with the prime  $p = 3$ , since  $p \mid a_0 = -3, a_1 = 3, a_2 = 0$ , but  $p^2 \nmid a_3 = 1$ .

We can check that  $f(0) < 0$  and  $f(10) > 0$ , so  $f$  has at least one real root. By the 1st derivative test, we can find that  $f$  is increasing on  $(-\infty, -1)$  and less than zero, decreasing on  $(-1, 1)$  and less than zero, and increasing on  $(1, \infty)$ , where it attains its root. This root has multiplicity one, since  $\gcd(f, f') = 1$ , which means that  $f$  has *exactly* one real root  $r_0$ , and thus a complex conjugate pair of roots  $r_1, \bar{r}_1$  as well.

This means that complex conjugation is a nontrivial element  $\tau$  of the Galois group  $G \leq S_3$ , and thus  $G$  contains a 2-cycle.

The Galois group must be a transitive subgroup of  $S_3$ , which restricts the possibilities to  $S_3, A_3$ .

Since  $A_3$  only contains 3-cycles, this possibility is ruled out. Thus the Galois group must be  $S_3$ .  $\square$

## 7 Problem 7

## 8 Problem 8

?

## 9 Problem 9

Let  $x = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1}) : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

Noting that

$$\zeta(\zeta + \zeta^{-1}) = \zeta^2 + 1,$$

if we let

$$f(x) = x^2 - (\zeta + \zeta^{-1})x + 1 \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})[x],$$

then  $f(\zeta) = 0$ .

Since  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  is a proper extension over this field, so if  $d := [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})]$  then  $d > 1$ . The fact that  $\zeta$  is a root of  $f$  shows that  $d \leq 2$ , so  $d = 2$ . We also know that  $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}] = \phi(n)$ .

We thus have

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})][\mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1}) : \mathbb{Q}] \implies \phi(n) = 2x,$$

and so  $x = \frac{\phi(n)}{2}$  as desired.  $\square$

## 10 Problem 10