Homework 6

D. Zack Garza

October 24, 2019

Contents

1	Hon	nework Problems
	1.1	Problem 1
	1.2	Problem 2
	1.3	Problem 3
		1.3.1 Part 1
		1.3.2 Part 2
	1.4	Problem 4
	1.5	Problem 5
	1.6	Problem 6
		1.6.1 Part 2
		1.6.2 Part 3
2	Qua	al Problems
	2.1	Problem 1
		2.1.1 Part 1
		2.1.2 Part 2
		2.1.3 Part 3
	2.2	Problem 2
	2.3	Problem 3
		2.3.1 Part 1
		2.3.2 Part 2

1 Homework Problems

1.1 Problem 1

 Todo

1.2 Problem 2

We can note that since f has 4 roots, the Galois group G of its splitting field will be a subgroup of S_4 . Moreover, G must be a transitive subgroup of S_4 , i.e. the action of G on the roots of f should be transitive. This reduces the possibilities to $G \cong S^4$, A^4 , D^4 , \mathbb{Z}_4 , \mathbb{Z}_2^2 .

Since f has exactly 2 real roots and thus a pair of roots that are complex conjugates, the automorphism given by complex conjugation is an element of G. But this corresponds to a 2-cycle $\tau = (ab)$, and we can then make the following conclusions:

- Not A_4 : A_4 contains only even cycles, and τ is odd.
- Not Z_4 : This subgroup is generated by a single 4-cycle σ , which up to conjugacy is (1234), and σ^n is not a 2-cycle for any n.
- Not \mathbb{Z}_2^2 : In order to be transitive, this subgroup must be $\{e, (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$, which does not contain τ .

The only remaining possibilities are S^4 and D^4 . \square

1.3 Problem 3

1.3.1 Part 1

To see that $\phi(n)$ is even for all n > 2, we can take a prime factorization of n and write

$$\phi(n) = \phi\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} p_i^{k_i}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \phi(p_i^{k_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} p^{k_i - 1} (p - 1) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} p^{k_i - 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (p - 1)$$

where each $k_i \ge 1 \implies k_i - 1 \ge 0$. But every prime power is odd, and a product of odd numbers is odd, so the first product is odd. It is also true that p-1 is even for every prime p, and the second term is a product of even terms and thus even. So $\phi(n)$ is the product of an even and an odd number, which is always even.

1.3.2 Part 2

Suppose $\phi(n) = 2$. Take a prime factorization of n, so we have

$$2 = \phi(n) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \phi(p_i^{k_i})$$

Since the only factors of 2 are 1 and 2, we must have $\phi(p_i^{k_i}) = 2$ for exactly one i, and the rest must be equal to 1.

Consider the term that equals 2. We have $\phi(p_i^{k_i}) = p^{k_i-1}(p-1) = 2$, so we must have either

- Case 1: p-1=2 and $p^{k_i-1}=1$, so p=3 and $k_i=1$. So $3\mid n$, but 3^{ℓ} does not divide n for any $\ell>1$.
- Case 2: $p^{k_i-1}=2$ and (p-1)=1, so p=2 and $k_i=2$. Thus 2^2 divides n but 2^ℓ does not for any $\ell>2$.

In either case, it remains to check are whether the other factors where $\phi(p_j^{k_j}) = 1$ can contribute any other distinct divisors to n. We can note that $\phi(p_j^{k_j})$ iff $p^{k_j-1}(p-1) = 1$, so this forces p=2 and $k_j=1$. So n may or may not contain a single factor of 2, but by uniqueness of prime factorization, this can only happen in case 1. Note that this also forces $2 \mid n$ but 2^2 does not divide n.

In summary, we've found that $\phi(n) = 2$ implies that

3 | n, 9 does not divide n, and
2 | n, 4 does not divide n
2 does not divide n
2² | n, 2³ does not divide n.

This reduces the possibilities to the finite set $n \in \{6, 3, 4\}$, and $\phi(6) = \phi(3) = \phi(4) = 2$.

1.4 Problem 4

Note that since $\zeta(\zeta + \zeta^{-1}) = \zeta^2 + 1$, we have the relation $\zeta^2 - (\zeta + \zeta^{-1})\zeta + 1 = 0$. But then

$$f(x) = x^2 - (\zeta + \zeta^{-1})x + 1$$

is a polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$ for which $f(\zeta) = 0$. Thus $g = \min(\zeta, \mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1}))$ divides f, but since $\deg f = 2$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$ is totally real, $\zeta \notin \mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$. This means that g can not be linear and must have degree at least 2, but the above argument shows that g has degree at $most\ 2$, so it must be 2. Letting $m = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1}) : \mathbb{Q}]$, we have

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta):\mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta):\mathbb{Q}(\zeta+\zeta^{-1})][\mathbb{Q}(\zeta+\zeta^{-1}):\mathbb{Q}]$$

 $\implies \phi(n) = 2m,$

and so $m = \phi(n)/2$ as desired.

1.5 Problem 5

Suppose $F = K[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ where $\alpha_1^{n_1} \in K$ for some n_1 and β or each i we have $\alpha_i^{n_i} \in K[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}]$ for some powers n_i . We want to show that $F = E[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m]$ where each β_i satisfy a similar condition.

Let $A = \{\alpha_i \ni \alpha_i \notin E\}$, then it is since $E \hookrightarrow F$, adjoining all elements of A to E will yield exactly F. Using the order of α_i given by the definition of F as a radical extension, let β_1 be the $\alpha_i \in A$ with the smallest index i. Then by assumption, there is some m_1 such that $\beta^{m_1} \in K[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1} \subset F]$, so we can construct $F_1 := E[\beta_1]$ which will be a radical extension.

Inductively letting $A_2 = A \setminus \{\beta_1\}$ and repeating this process to construct L_2 will yield radical extensions at every step, and since A is finite, there is some n such that $L_n = L$. But then L is a radical extension over E as desired.

1.6 Problem 6

1.6.1 Part 2

The normal closure L of K is defined as the smallest extension of K such that if α is a root of any irreducible polynomial in K[x] and $\alpha \in L$, then all of its conjugates are in L as well. But this means any such polynomial splits in L. In particular, if $u \in L$, then f splits in L, and so L contains the splitting field F.

1.6.2 Part 3

2 Qual Problems

2.1 Problem 1

2.1.1 Part 1

If L/K is a finite field extension which is both separable and a splitting field of some polynomial in K[x], then [L:K] = |Gal|L/K.

2.1.2 Part 2

The extension $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{43})$ is the splitting field of the cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_{43}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} 2x^i$, which is degree $\phi(43) = 42$ since 43 is prime.

Moreover, the Galois group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{43}^{\times} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{42}$.

2.1.3 Part 3

Since proper subfields will correspond to intermediate extensions which will correspond to subgroups of the Galois group, this problem is reduced to counting the number of distinct subgroups of \mathbb{Z}_{42} . This is a cyclic group, so there is exactly one subgroup of order d for each d dividing 42. Since 42 = 2 * 3 * 7, we have

- A subgroup of order 2, corresponding to a field extension of degree 21,
- A subgroup of order 3, corresponding to a field extension of degree 14,
- A subgroup of order 6, corresponding to a field extension of degree 7,
- A subgroup of order 7, corresponding to a field extension of degree 6,
- A subgroup of order 14, corresponding to a field extension of degree 3,
- A subgroup of order 21, corresponding to a field extension of degree 2.

2.2 Problem 2

2.3 Problem 3

2.3.1 Part 1

False: take $K \leq L \leq M$ as $\mathbb{Q} \leq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) \leq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta_3)$. Then M is the splitting field of $x_3 - 2$, and in characteristic zero is thus Galois. But L is not the splitting field of any irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, so it is *not* Galois.

2.3.2 Part 2