# **Title**

D. Zack Garza

January 3, 2020

### **Contents**

1	Tues	sday November 26th
	1.1	Differentiation
	1.2	Lebesgue Differentiation and Density Theorems

## 1 Tuesday November 26th

#### 1.1 Differentiation

Question: Let  $f \in L^1([a,b])$  and  $F(x) = \int_a^x f(y) \ dy$ . Is F differentiable a.e. and F' = f?

If f is continuous, then absolutely yes.

Otherwise, we are considering

$$\frac{f(x+h) - F(x)}{h} = \frac{1}{h} \int_{x}^{x+h} f(y) \ dy \rightarrow_{?} f(x)$$

so the more general question is

$$\lim_{\substack{m(I) \rightarrow 0 \\ x \in I}} \frac{1}{m(I)} \int_I f(y) \ dy =_? f(x) \text{ a.e.}$$

Note that if f is continuous, since [a, b] is compact, we have uniform continuity and

$$\frac{1}{m(I)} \int_{I} f(y) - f(x) \ dy < \frac{1}{m(I)} \int_{I} \varepsilon \to 0.$$

### 1.2 Lebesgue Differentiation and Density Theorems

**Theorem:** If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then

$$\lim_{\substack{m(B)\to 0\\x\in B}}\int\frac{1}{m(B)}\int_Bf(y)\ dy=f(x)\ \text{a.e.}$$

Note: although it's not obvious at first glance, this really is a theorem about differentiation.

Corollary (Lebesgue Density Theorem): For any measurable set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{m(E \cap B_r(x))}{m(B_r(x))} = 1 \ a.e.$$

*Proof:* Let  $f = \chi_E$  in the theorem.

We want to show

$$Df(x) := \lim_{\substack{m(B) \to 0 \\ x \in B}} \left| \frac{1}{m(B)} \int_{B} (f(y) - f(x)) \ dy \right| \to 0$$

Note that we can replace  $\limsup \cdots$  with

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{0 \le m(B) \le \varepsilon} \cdots,$$

which is well defined as it is a decreasing sequence of numbers bounded below by zero.

Next we'll introduce that Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Function, given by

$$Mf(x) := \sup_{x \in B} \frac{1}{m(B)} \int_{B} |f(y)| \ dy$$

Exercise: show that Mf is a measurable function. (Hint: it's easy to show that the appropriate preimage is open.)

Theorem (Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Function Theorem): Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then

$$m(x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Mf(x) > \alpha) \le \frac{3^n}{\alpha} ||f||_1.$$

Idea: if you look at all balls intersecting a given ball of radius  $\alpha$ , the worst case is that the other ball doesn't intersect and is of the same radius. But then you can draw a ball of radius  $3\alpha$  and cover every such intersecting ball.

Exercise: As a corollary,  $Mf(x) < \infty$  a.e.

This is called a *weak type* estimate, compared to a strong type  $||Mf||_1 \leq C||f||_1$ . Note that by Chebyshev, a strong estimate would imply the weak one because

$$m(\left\{x \mid mf(x) > \alpha\right\}) \le \frac{1}{\alpha} \|Mf\|_1 \not\le \frac{C}{\alpha} \|f\|_1,$$

which is an inequality that doesn't hold (hence the theorem) because there is an  $L^1$  function for which Mf is not  $L^1$ .

Proof of differentiation theorem: The goal is to show Df(x) = 0 a.e.

We will show that  $m(\left\{x \mid Df(x) > \alpha\right\}) = 0$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ .

Some facts:

1. If g is continuous, then Dg(x) = 0 a.e. by uniform convergence.

2.

$$D(f_1 + f_2)(x) \le Df_1(x) + Df_2(x)$$

by applying the triangle inequality and distributing the lim sup.

3.

$$Df(x) \leq Mf(x) + |f(x)|$$

Fix an  $\alpha$  and fix an  $\varepsilon$ . Choose a continuous g such that  $||f-g||_1 < \varepsilon$ . Writing f = f - g + g, we have

$$Df(x) \le D(f - g)(x) + Dg(x)$$
  
=  $D(f - g)(x) + 0$   
 $\le M(f - g)(x) + |(f - g)(x)|$ .

Then

$$Df(x) \ge \alpha \implies M(f-g)(x) \ge \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

or

$$|(f-g)(x)| \ge \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

So we have

$$\left\{x \mid Df(x) > \alpha\right\} \subseteq \left\{x \mid M(f-g)(x) > \frac{\alpha}{2}\right\} \bigcup \left\{x \mid |f(x) - g(x)| > \frac{\alpha}{2}\right\}.$$

Applying measures turns this into an inequality.

But then applying the maximal function theorem, we have

$$\begin{split} m(\left\{x \mid Df(x) > \alpha\right\}) &\leq \frac{3^n}{\alpha/2} \|f - g\|_1 + \frac{2}{\alpha} \|f - g\|_1 \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left(\frac{2(3^n + 1)}{\alpha}\right). \end{split}$$

Note that somehow proving the maximal function theorem here really paved the way, and allows some generalization. Here we computed an average over a solid ball, but there is a notion of surface measure, so we can consider averaging over the surface of spheres, which can include more exotic objects like spheres in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .

Proof of HL Maximal Function Theorem: Let

$$E_{\alpha} := \left\{ x \mid Mf(x) > \alpha \right\}.$$

If  $x \in E_{\alpha}$ , then it follows that there is a  $B_x$  such that

$$\frac{1}{m(B_x)} \int_{B_x} |f(y)| \ dy > \alpha \iff m(B_x) < \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{B_x} |f(y)| \ dy.$$

Note that if  $E_{\alpha}$  were compact, there would only be finitely many such balls, so let  $K \subseteq E_{\alpha}$  be a compact subset. We will be done if we can show that

$$m(K) < \frac{3^n}{\alpha} ||f||_1,$$

since we can always find a compact K such that  $m(E_{\alpha} \setminus K)$  is small.

There exists a finite collection  $\{B_k\}^N$  such that each  $B_k = B_x$  for some  $x \in E_\alpha$ ,  $K \subseteq \bigcup B_k$ , and

$$m(B_k) \le \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{B_k} |f(y)| \ dy.$$

Supposing that the  $B_k$  were disjoint (which they are not!), then we would be done since

$$m(K) \le \sum_{k} m(B_k)$$

$$\le \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{k} \int_{B_k} |f(y)| \ dy$$

$$\le \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)|.$$

Lemma (The Vitali Covering Lemma): Given any collection of balls  $B_1, \dots, B_N$ , there exists a sub-collection  $A_1, \dots, A_M$  which are disjoint with

$$m(\bigcup_{k=0}^{N} B_k) \le 3^n \sum_{k=0}^{M} m(A_j).$$

Note that this follows directly from picking the largest ball first, then picking further balls that avoid everything already picked and are chosen in decreasing order of size. The  $3^n$  factor comes from the earlier fact that tripling the radius covers everything you didn't pick.

But now we can replace  $B_k$  with such a sub-collection  $A_k$  in the above set of inequalities, which proves the theorem.