

Assignment 6: The Fourier Transform

D. Zack Garza

November 1, 2019

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--------------------|----------|
| 1 | Problem 1 | 1 |
| 2 | Problem 2 | 2 |
| 2.1 | Part (a) | 2 |
| 2.2 | Part (b) | 2 |
| 2.2.1 | (i) | 2 |
| 2.2.2 | (ii) | 3 |
| 3 | Problem 3 | 3 |
| 3.1 | (a) | 3 |
| 3.1.1 | (i) | 3 |
| 3.1.2 | (ii) | 3 |
| 3.2 | (b) | 4 |
| 4 | Problem 4 | 4 |
| 4.1 | (a) | 4 |
| 4.1.1 | (i) | 4 |
| 4.1.2 | (ii) | 4 |
| 4.2 | (b) | 5 |
| 5 | Problem 5 | 6 |
| 6 | Problem 6 | 6 |

1 Problem 1

Assuming the hint, we have

$$\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}(\xi) = \lim_{\xi' \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f(x) - f(x - \xi')) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) dx$$

But as an immediate consequence, this yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|\hat{f}(\xi)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f(x) - f(x - \xi')) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \, dx \right| \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x) - f(x - \xi')| |\exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi)| \, dx \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x) - f(x - \xi')| \, dx \\
&\rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which follows from continuity in L^1 since $f(x - \xi') \rightarrow f(x)$ as $\xi' \rightarrow 0$.

It thus only remains to show that the hint holds, and that $\xi' \rightarrow 0$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$.

2 Problem 2

2.1 Part (a)

Assuming an interchange of integrals is justified, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{(f * g)}(\xi) &:= \int \int f(x - y) g(y) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \, dy \, dx \\
&= \int \int f(x - y) g(y) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \, dx \, dy \\
&= \int \int f(t) \exp(-2\pi i (x - y) \cdot \xi) g(y) \exp(-2\pi i y \cdot \xi) \, dx \, dy \\
&\quad (t = x - y, \, dt = dx) \\
&= \int \int f(t) \exp(-2\pi i t \cdot \xi) g(y) \exp(-2\pi i y \cdot \xi) \, dt \, dy \\
&= \int f(t) \exp(-2\pi i t \cdot \xi) \left(\int g(y) \exp(-2\pi i y \cdot \xi) \, dy \right) \, dt \\
&= \int f(t) \exp(-2\pi i t \cdot \xi) \hat{g}(\xi) \, dt \\
&= \hat{g}(\xi) \int f(t) \exp(-2\pi i t \cdot \xi) \, dt \\
&= \hat{g}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

It thus remains to show that this swap is justified.

2.2 Part (b)

We'll use the following lemma: if $\hat{f} = \hat{g}$, then $f = g$ almost everywhere.

2.2.1 (i)

By part 1, we have

$$\widehat{f * g} = \hat{f} \hat{g} = \hat{g} \hat{f} = \widehat{g * f},$$

and so by the lemma, $f * g = g * f$.

Similarly, we have

$$(\widehat{f * g}) * h = \widehat{f * g} \hat{h} = \hat{f} \hat{g} \hat{h} = \hat{f} \widehat{g * h} = f * (g * h).$$

2.2.2 (ii)

Suppose that there exists some $I \in L^1$ such that $f * I = f$. Then $\widehat{f * I} = \hat{f}$ by the lemma, so $\hat{f} \hat{I} = \hat{f}$ by the above result.

But this says that $\hat{f}(\xi) \hat{I}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi)$ almost everywhere, and thus $\hat{I}(\xi) = 1$ almost everywhere. Then $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \hat{I}(\xi) \neq 0$, which by Problem 1 shows that I can not be in L^1 , a contradiction.

3 Problem 3

3.1 (a)

3.1.1 (i)

Let $g(x) = f(x - y)$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}(\xi) &:= \int g(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \, dx \\ &= \int f(x - y) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \, dx \\ &= \int f(x - y) \exp(-2\pi i(x - y) \cdot \xi) \exp(-2\pi i y \cdot \xi) \, dx \\ &= \exp(-2\pi i y \cdot \xi) \int f(x - y) \exp(-2\pi i(x - y) \cdot \xi) \, dx \\ &\quad (t = x - y, dt = dx) \\ &= \exp(-2\pi i y \cdot \xi) \int f(t) \exp(-2\pi i t \cdot \xi) \, dt \\ &= \exp(-2\pi i y \cdot \xi) \hat{f}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

3.1.2 (ii)

Let $h(x) = \exp(2\pi i x \cdot y) f(x)$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}(\xi) &:= \int \exp(2\pi i x \cdot y) f(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \, dx \\ &= \int \exp(2\pi i x \cdot y - 2\pi i x \cdot \xi) f(x) \, dx \\ &= \int f(\xi - y) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot (\xi - y)) \, dx \\ &= \hat{f}(\xi - y). \end{aligned}$$

3.2 (b)

We'll use the fact that if $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on a vector space V and A is an invertible linear transformation, then for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$ we have

$$\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, A^T \mathbf{y} \rangle$$

where A^{-T} denotes the transpose of the inverse of A (or $(A^{-1})^*$ if V is complex).

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\det T|} \hat{f}(T^{-T} \xi) &= \frac{1}{|\det T|} \int f(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot T^{-T} \xi) dx \\ &\quad x \mapsto Tx, \quad dx \mapsto |\det T| dx \\ &= \frac{1}{|\det T|} \int f(Tx) \exp(-2\pi i Tx \cdot T^{-T} \xi) |\det T| dx \\ &= \int f(Tx) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) dx \\ &\quad \text{since } Tx \cdot T^{-T} \xi = T^{-1} Tx \cdot \xi = x \cdot \xi \\ &= \widehat{(f \circ T)}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

4 Problem 4

4.1 (a)

4.1.1 (i)

Let $g(x) = xf(x)$. Then if an interchange of the derivative and the integral is justified, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \hat{f}(\xi) &:= \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \int f(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) dx \\ &= \int f(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) dx \\ &= \int f(x) 2\pi i x \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) dx \\ &= 2\pi i \int x f(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) dx \\ &:= 2\pi i \hat{g}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

It thus remains to show that this interchange is justified. TODO

4.1.2 (ii)

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{h}(\xi) &:= \int \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \, dx \\
&= f(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \Big|_{x=-\infty}^{x=\infty} - \int f(x) (2\pi i \xi) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \, dx \\
&\quad \text{(integrating by parts)} \\
&= - \int f(x) (-2\pi i \xi) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \, dx \\
&\quad \text{(since } f(\infty) = f(-\infty) = 0) \\
&= 2\pi i \xi \int f(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \, dx \\
&:= 2\pi i \xi \hat{f}(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

4.2 (b)

Let $G(x) = \exp(-\pi x^2)$ and ∂_ξ be the operator that differentiates with respect to ξ .

Then

$$\partial_\xi \left(\frac{\hat{G}(\xi)}{G(\xi)} \right) = \frac{G(\xi) \partial_\xi \hat{G}(\xi) - \hat{G}(\xi) \partial_\xi G(\xi)}{G(\xi)^2},$$

and the claim is that this is zero. This happens precisely when the numerator is zero, so we'd like to show that

$$G(\xi) \partial_\xi \hat{G}(\xi) - \hat{G}(\xi) \partial_\xi G(\xi) = 0.$$

Using the following facts,

- $\partial_\xi G(\xi) = -2\pi \xi G(\xi)$ by computing directly,
- $\partial_\xi \hat{G}(\xi) = -2\pi \xi \hat{G}(\xi)$, which follows from the following computation

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\xi \hat{G}(\xi) &:= \partial_\xi \int G(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \, dx \\
&= \int G(x) \partial_\xi \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \, dx \\
&= \int G(x) (-2\pi i x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \, dx \\
&= i \int 2\pi x G(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \, dx \\
&= i \int \partial_x G(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \xi) \, dx \\
&:= i \widehat{\partial_x G(x)}(\xi) \\
&= i (2\pi i \xi \hat{G}(\xi)) \\
&= -2\pi \xi \hat{G}(\xi),
\end{aligned}$$

we can thus write

$$G(\xi)\partial_\xi\hat{G}(\xi) - \hat{G}(\xi)\partial_\xi G(\xi) = G(\xi)(-2\pi\xi\hat{G}(\xi)) - \hat{G}(\xi)(-2\pi\xi G(\xi)) = 0.$$

5 Problem 5

6 Problem 6