

Problem Set One

D. Zack Garza

January 26, 2020

Contents

1	Humphreys 1.1	1
1.1	a	1
1.2	b	1
2	Humphreys 1.3*	1

1 Humphreys 1.1

1.1 a

If $M \in \mathcal{O}$ and $[\lambda] = \lambda + \Lambda_r$ is any coset of $\mathfrak{h}^\vee / \Lambda_r$, let $M^{[\lambda]}$ be the sum of weight spaces M_μ for which $\mu \in [\lambda]$.

Proposition: $M^{[\lambda]}$ is a $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule of M

Proof:

Proposition: M is the direct sum of finitely many submodules of the form $M^{[\lambda]}$.

Proof:

1.2 b

Proposition: The weights of an indecomposable module $M \in \mathcal{O}$ lie in a single coset of $\mathfrak{h}^\vee / \Lambda_r$.

2 Humphreys 1.3*

Proposition: For any $M \in \mathcal{O}$, $M(\lambda)$ satisfies the following property:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(M(\lambda), M) = \mathrm{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{C}_\lambda, M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}(\mathbb{C}_\lambda, \mathrm{Res}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}} M).$$

Proof:

Noting that

- $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda} = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}$,
- $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}} M$ is an identification of the \mathfrak{g} -module M as a \mathfrak{b} -module by restricting the action of \mathfrak{g} ,

consider the following two maps:

$$\begin{aligned} F : \text{hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, M) &\rightarrow \text{hom}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, M) \\ \phi &\mapsto (F\phi : z \mapsto \phi(1 \otimes z)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G : \text{hom}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, M) &\rightarrow \text{hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, M) \\ \psi &\mapsto (G\psi : g \otimes v \mapsto g \cdot \psi(v)). \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that these maps are well-defined and mutually inverse.

To see that F is well-defined, let $\phi : U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\lambda} \rightarrow M$ be fixed; we will show that the set map $F\phi : \mathbb{C}_{\lambda} \rightarrow M$ is $U(\mathfrak{b})$ -linear. Let $b \in U(\mathfrak{b})$, then

$$\bullet \quad b \curvearrowright F\phi(v) = b \curvearrowright (z \mapsto \phi(1 \otimes z))(v) = b \curvearrowright \phi(1 \otimes v) = \phi((b \curvearrowright 1) \otimes v).$$