Problem Set 2

D. Zack Garza

February 9, 2020

Contents

1	Humphreys 1.5	1
2	Humphreys 1.9	2

1 Humphreys 1.5

Proposition: Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ and $M(\lambda), M(\mu)$ Verma modules. Then $M(\lambda) \otimes M(\mu)$ can not lie in \mathcal{O} .

Useful facts:

- For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{\vee}$, \mathbb{C}_{λ} is a 1-dimensional \mathfrak{b} -bimodule with a trivial \mathfrak{n} -action.
- $M(\lambda) = \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda} = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}$ as a left $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.
- $M(\lambda) = U(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}$ as a left $U(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ -module.
- $M(\lambda)$ is generated as a $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module by the maximal vector $v^+ = 1 \otimes 1$.
- The set of weights of $M(\lambda)$ is $\lambda \Gamma$ where Γ is the semigroup in Λ_r generated by Φ^+ .
- $M(\lambda)$ has weights $\lambda, \lambda 2, \lambda 4, \cdots$ each with multiplicity 1.

Questions

- What is the tensor product over? Guess: $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- MSE: the product is no longer finitely generated.
 - Consider dimensions of weight spaces eventually constant.
 - If wt $v = \lambda$ and wt $(u) = \mu$, then wt $(u \otimes v) = \lambda + \mu$.
 - Consider a weight space N_{γ} of M. This must have the form $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{N}} M_a \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_b$.
 - Example: consider $\lambda = \mu = 0$. Then $M = M(0) \otimes M(0)$ and N_{-2m} has dimension m+1 for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Solution:

Let $M(\lambda)$, $M(\mu)$ be arbitrary Verma modules with highest weight vectors $v = 1 \otimes 1_{\lambda}$, $w = 1 \otimes 1_{\mu}$ respectively. We can then consider the weight of $v \otimes w$ in $N := M(\lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M(\mu)$:

$$h \cdot (v \otimes w) = h \cdot v \otimes w + v \otimes h \cdot w$$
$$= \lambda(h)v \otimes w + v \otimes \mu(h)w$$
$$= \lambda(h)(v \otimes w) + \mu(h)(v \otimes w)$$
$$= (\lambda(h) + \mu(h))(v \otimes w).$$

Letting $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$, so $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^* \cong \mathbb{C}$, the claim is that it is possible for N to not be finitely-generated as a $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.

Let $\{y,h,x\}$ be the usual basis. We can use the fact that $\dim M(z) < \infty \iff z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, so if we pick $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^{\leq 0}$ we have weight space decompositions

$$M(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+} M(\lambda)_{\lambda - 2i} := \bigoplus_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \\ \lambda_i := \lambda - 2i}} M(\lambda)_{\lambda_i}$$
$$M(\mu) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} M(\mu)_{\mu - 2j} := \bigoplus_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \\ \mu_i := \mu - 2j}} M(\mu)_{\mu_j}$$

where we can explicitly identify bases $M(\lambda)_{\lambda_i} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{y^i \ v\}$ and $M(\mu)_{\mu_i} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{y^i w\}$. By the initial observation, this yields a weight space decomposition for N given by

$$N = M(\lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M(\mu) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda_i + \mu_i = \nu} M(\lambda)_{\lambda_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M(\mu)_{\mu_i} \right) := \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^+} N_{\nu}.$$

Since each weight space $N_{\nu} \supseteq \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ y^{i}v \otimes y^{j}w \mid i+j=\nu \right\}$ and there are infinitely many such weight spaces, extending to a PBW basis for $U(\mathfrak{g})$ shows that no finite number of elements in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ can generate N.

2 Humphreys 1.9

Proposition: Let $\psi: Z(\mathfrak{g}) \to S(\mathfrak{h})$ be the Harish-Chandra homomorphism. Then ψ is independent of the choice of a simple system in Φ .

Hint: any simple system has the form $w\Delta$ for some $w \in W$.

Useful facts:

•