

# Title

D. Zack Garza

November 26, 2019

## Contents

<b>1 Wednesday November 20</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Weyl's Character Formula (24.2-3)	1

## 1 Wednesday November 20

Last time:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z}\Lambda &\iff \{\mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \sim\} \\ e(\mu) &\mapsto e_\mu \\ e(\lambda)e(\mu) = e(\lambda + \mu) &\mapsto f \star g(\lambda) = \sum_{a+b=\lambda} f(a)g(b)\end{aligned}$$

and  $\text{ch}L(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} \dim L(\lambda)_\mu e(\mu)$ .

We have the Kostant function  $p(\lambda) = \#\{(k_\alpha)_\alpha \mid -\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} k_\alpha \alpha\}$  and the Weyl function  $q = e_\rho \star \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - e_{-\alpha}) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (e_{\alpha/2} - e_{-\alpha/2})$ .

Lemma:  $p \star e_\lambda = \text{ch}M(\lambda)$ , so  $q \star \text{ch}M(\lambda) = e_{\lambda+\rho}$  and  $q \star p = e_\rho$ .

### 1.1 Weyl's Character Formula (24.2-3)

Definition: The *dot action* of  $W$  is given by  $w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$ , i.e. a reflection for hyperplanes passing through  $-\rho$ .

E.g. for type  $A_2$ , where  $W(0) = 0$ , we have:

Type  $A_2$

And for the dot action, we have

Image

where  $W \cdot 0 = 0$  and  $s(\alpha_1) = -\alpha_1$ .

**Theorem (Harish-Chandra):** If  $L(\mu)$  is a composition factor of  $M(\lambda)$ , then  $\mu \in W \cdot \lambda$  for  $\mu \leq \lambda$ .

Proof: Postponed.

ch are characters,  $L(\lambda)$  is a Verma module.

Remark: if we sum over  $\mu \leq \lambda$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}M(\lambda) &= \sum_{\mu \in W \cdot \lambda} a_{\lambda\mu} \text{ch}L(\mu) \\ \text{ch}L(\lambda) &= \sum_{\mu \in W \cdot \lambda} b_{\lambda\mu} \text{ch}M(\mu) \\ &= \sum_{W \cdot \lambda \in \Lambda} c_{\lambda W} \text{ch}M(w \cdot \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem (Weyl's Character Formula):** If  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ , then

$$\text{ch}L(\lambda) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e(w \cdot \lambda)}{\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e(w \cdot 0)}$$

*Proof:*

We have  $\text{ch}L(\lambda) = \sum_w c_{\lambda w} \text{ch}M(w \cdot \lambda)$ , and so by the lemma,

$$q * \text{ch}L(\lambda) = \sum c_{\lambda w} q * \text{ch}M(W(\lambda + \rho) - \rho) = \sum_w c_{\lambda w} e_{W(\lambda + \rho)}$$

Thus for all  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ , we have

$$s_\alpha(q * \text{ch}L(\lambda)) = \sum_w c_{\lambda, s_\alpha w} e_{w(\lambda + \rho)}$$

On the other hand, by part (c) of the lemma, we have

$$(s_\alpha * q) * \text{ch}L(\lambda) = -q * \text{ch}L(\lambda) = \sum_w -c_{\lambda, w} e_{w(\lambda + \rho)}$$

which implies that  $c_{\lambda, s_\alpha w} = -c_{\lambda, w}$  by comparing term-by-term, and thus  $c_{\lambda, w} = (-1)^{\ell(w)}$  because  $c_{\lambda e} = 1$ .

In particular,  $q = q * e(0) = q * \text{ch}L(0) = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e_{w(\rho)}$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}L(\lambda) &= \frac{\sum_w (-1)^{\ell(w)} e_{w(\lambda + \rho)}}{\sum_w (-1)^{\ell(w)} e_{w(\rho)}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_w (-1)^{\ell(w)} e(w \cdot \lambda)}{\sum_w (-1)^{\ell(w)} e(w \cdot 0)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Example:* For type  $A_1$ , we have  $W = \Sigma_2 = \{1, s\}$ . Take  $\lambda = 3$  under

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda &\equiv \mathbb{Z} \\ \alpha_1 &\rightarrow 2 \\ w_1 = \rho &\rightarrow 1,\end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ch}L(3) &= \frac{e(\mathbf{1} \cdot 3) - e(s \cdot 3)}{e(\mathbf{1} \cdot 0) - e(s \cdot 0)} \\ &= \frac{e(3) - e(-5)}{e(0) - e(-2)} \\ &= e(3) + e(1) + e(-1) + e(-3) \quad \text{by long division.}\end{aligned}$$

**Corollary (Kostant's Dimension Formula):**

If  $\mu \leq \lambda \in \Lambda^+$ , then

$$\dim L(\lambda)_\mu = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} P(w \cdot \lambda - \mu).$$

*Proof:*  $p \star e_\mu(w \cdot \lambda) = \sum_{a+b=w \cdot \lambda} p(a) e_\mu(b) = p(w \cdot \lambda - \mu)$ , since this is the only term that survives.

Then  $p(w \cdot \lambda - \mu)$  is the coefficient for  $e(\mu)$  in  $\text{ch}M(w \cdot \lambda) = \dim M(\lambda)_\mu$ . Thus  $\dim L(\lambda)_\mu = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \dim M(w \cdot \lambda)_\mu$ .

**Corollary (Weyl's Dimension Formula):**

If  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ , then

$$\dim L(\lambda) = \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (\lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (\rho, \alpha^\vee)}$$

*Proof (sketch):*

Define an operator  $\partial = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \partial_\alpha$ , where  $\partial_\alpha : e(\mu) \mapsto (\alpha, \alpha^\vee) e(\mu)$ . Then  $\partial$  is well-defined since  $\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta = \partial_\beta \partial_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha, \beta$ , and (exercise)  $\partial$  is a derivation.

Define an evaluation homomorphism  $\nu : \sum_\mu c_\mu e(\mu) \mapsto \sum_\mu c_\mu$ . Note that  $\nu(\text{ch}L(\lambda)) = \dim L(\lambda)$ , and  $\nu(q) = 0$  because  $\nu(e_{\alpha_i-1}) = 0$ .

Claim:

$$\nu(\partial(q \star \text{ch}L(\mu - \rho))) = |w| \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (\mu, \alpha^\vee)$$

This is relatively straightforward once you know that you have a derivation and a homomorphism.

With this claim, we have

$$\nu(\partial(q \star \text{ch}L(\lambda))) = \nu(\partial q) \nu(\text{ch}L(\lambda)) + \nu(q) \nu(\partial \text{ch}L(\lambda))$$

where we can identify a number of terms, and then taking ratios yields Weyl's dimension formula.