# **Final Exam**

# D. Zack Garza

# January 1, 2020

# **Contents**

2 2 2.1 a 2.2 b 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 7.1 a 7.2 b 8 8 8.1 a 8.2 b 9 9 10 10 10.1 a 10.2 b	1	1																								1	L
4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 7.1 a 7.2 b 8 8 8.1 a 8.2 b 9 9 10 10 10.1 a	_	2.1																								4	2
5	3	3																								4	ļ
6 6 7 7 7.1 a 7.2 b  8 8 8.1 a 8.2 b  9 9 10 10 10.1 a	4	4																								4	ļ
7 7 7.1 a 7.2 b 8 8 8.1 a 8.2 b 10 10 10.1 a	5	5																								Ę	5
7.1 a	6	6																								Ę	;
8.1 a		7.1																								6	3
<b>10 10</b> 10.1 a		8.1																								6	3
10.1 a	9	9																								8	}
		10.1																								9	)

# 1 1

We prove a slightly stronger statement, namely:

**Theorem:**  $\mathbb{Z}$  is initial in the category of unital rings and ring homomorphisms.

This means that if we are given any such ring R, there is exactly one map  $\mathbb{Z} \to R$ .

Then, given an abelian group A, we can take  $R = \text{hom}_{Ab}(A, A)$ , the hom set of abelian group endomorphisms, which is itself a unital ring. This will imply that there is a unique map  $\mathbb{Z} \to \text{hom}_{Ab}(A, A)$ , and since all such maps induce  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module structures on A, the result will follow.

*Proof:* Let R be arbitrary and  $1_R$  be its multiplicative identity. We first show that there exists a ring homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \to R$ , namely

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to R$$
$$n \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{R}.$$

Note that  $\phi(1) = 1_R$  and  $\phi(-1) = -1_R$ , and it is routine to check that  $\phi$  is a ring homomorphism.

Now toward a contradiction, suppose there were another such ring homomorphism  $\psi : \mathbb{Z} \to R$ . From the definition of a ring homomorphism,  $\psi$  must satisfy,

$$\psi(1) = 1_R$$
$$\psi(-1) = -1_R,$$

and by  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linearity, we must have

$$\psi(n) = \psi(\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{R} = \phi(n),$$

and so  $\psi(x) = \phi(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But this precisely means that  $\psi = \phi$  as ring homomorphisms.

# 2 2

#### 2.1 a

Let  $\phi: \mathbb{Z}^4 \to \mathbb{Z}^3$  be a linear map which in the standard basis  $\mathcal{B}$  is represented by

$$T := [\phi]_{\mathcal{B}} = [f_1^t, f_2^t, f_3^t, f_4^t] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & 3 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then im  $T = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\} := N$  by construction.

We can then compute the echelon form

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 9 \end{array}\right),$$

which has pivots in columns 1, 2, and 3, and thus

$$N = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{ f_1, f_2, f_3 \}$$

#### 2.2 b

Without loss of generality, we can consider the image of the reduced matrix

$$A' = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} -1 & 2 & 0\\ 0 & -3 & 3\\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}\right),$$

since N = im A = im A'.

When computing the characteristic polynomial, we find that  $\chi_{A'}(x) = (x+3)(x+2)(x-2)$ , which means that A' has distinct eigenvalues. We can thus immediately write

$$JCF(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -2 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From this, we can obtain the Smith normal form,

$$SNF(A') = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 12 \end{array} \right],$$

which allows us to read off

im 
$$A' \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus 12\mathbb{Z}$$
,

and thus

$$\mathbb{Z}^3/N \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}}{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus 12\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}..$$

The elementary divisors are given by:

$$(x-1)^3$$
  $(x^2+1)^4$   $(x+2)$   
 $(x-1)$   $(x^2+1)^2$  .

The invariant factors are:

$$d_3 = (x-1)^3 (x^2+1)^4 (x+2)$$
  

$$d_2 = (x-1)(x^2+1)^2$$
  

$$d_1 = (x^2+1)^2.$$

### 4 4

**Lemma:**  $(2, x) \leq \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is not a principal ideal.

*Proof:* If this ideal were generated by a single element p(x), then  $p \mid 2$  would force  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But this means that the element  $x \notin (p)$ , a contradiction.

Suppose toward a contradiction that  $J=(2,x) \leq \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a direct sum of cyclic submodules of  $R:=\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

Then write

$$J = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$$

where each  $M_i = \alpha_i \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a cyclic  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ -module.

Note that by the lemma, we can not have n = 1, since this would mean  $J = \alpha_1 \mathbb{Z}[x] = (\alpha_1)$  where we can identify cyclic submodules with principal ideals.

On the other hand, we also can't have  $n \geq 2$ . Since the sum is direct, this forces (for example)  $M_1 \cap M_2 = \emptyset$ .

However, take the two generating elements  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and consider their product. Noting that  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a commutative ring, we have

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2 \in \alpha_1\mathbb{Z}[x] = M_1 \text{ since } \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\alpha_1\alpha_2 = \alpha_2\alpha_1 \in \alpha_2\mathbb{Z}[x] = M_2 \text{ since } \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x],$$

and so  $\alpha_1\alpha_2 \in M_1 \cap M_2$ , a contradiction. So no such direct sum decomposition is possible.

**Irreducible:** Let  $a \in M$  be arbitrary; we can then consider the cyclic submodule  $aR \leq M$ . Since M is irreducible, we must have aR = 0 or aR = M. If aR = 0 then a must be 0.

Otherwise, aR = M implies that M itself is a cyclic module with generator a. Since R is a PID, we can find an element p such that  $\operatorname{Ann}_R(M) = (p) \leq R$ , in which case  $M \cong R/(p)$ .

It is also necessarily the case that (p) is maximal, for if there were another ideal  $(p) \subseteq J \subseteq R$ , then  $J/(p) \subseteq R/(p) \cong M$  is a submodule by the correspondence theorem for ideals. But this necessarily forces J/(p) = 0 or M by irreducibility of M, so J = (p) or R.

Thus irreducible modules are exactly the cyclic modules, or equivalently those of the form R/(p) where (p) is a maximal ideal.

**Indecomposable:** We first note that by the structure theorem for modules over a PID, any module M has a primary decomposition  $M \cong \bigoplus R/(p_i^{k_i})$ .

This means that if M is indecomposable, we must have  $M \cong R/(p^n)$  (with a single summand) for some prime  $p \in R$ ; otherwise the primary decomposition would yield additional summands. Moreover, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, M can not be decomposed further.

Thus all indecomposable module are of the form  $R/(p^n)$  for some  $n \ge 1$ .

## 6 6

Suppose  $T: V \to V$  is not invertible, then dim im T < n and dim ker T > 0 by the Rank-Nullity theorem. This means that there is a nontrivial  $\mathbf{v} \in \ker T$ , so let S be the matrix formed by the outer product  $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^t$ .

We then consider how ST acts on vectors  $\mathbf{x}$ :

$$TS\mathbf{x} = T\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^{t}\mathbf{x}$$

$$= (T\mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}^{t}\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \mathbf{0}\mathbf{v}^{t}\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \mathbf{0},$$

where  $\mathbf{0_n}$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix of all zeros.

However,  $\operatorname{rank}(ST) \geq 1$  since  $ST = 0 \iff$  every column of T is in the nullspace of S, so ST can not be the zero matrix.

#### 7.1 a

Note that if A=0 or I then A is patently diagonal, so suppose otherwise. Since  $A^2=A$ , we have  $A^2-A=0$  and thus A satisfies the polynomial  $p(x)=x^2-1=x(x-1)$ . Moreover, since  $A\neq 0, I$ , the minimal polynomial is at least degree – since p is monic, it must in fact be the minimal polynomial.

We can immediately deduce that the size of the largest Jordan block corresponding to  $\lambda = 0$  is exactly 1, as is the size of the largest Jordan block corresponding to  $\lambda = 1$ . But this says that all Jordan blocks must be size 1, so JNF(A) has no off-diagonal entries and is thus diagonal.

#### 7.2 b

If k is the multiplicity of  $\lambda = 0$  as an eigenvalue, we have

which has a  $k \times k$  block of zeros and an  $(n-k) \times (n-k)$  block of 1s.

### 88

In both cases, we will need the characteristic polynomials  $\chi_A(x)$ , since RCF(A) will depend on the invariant factors of A. We will also use the fact that over the algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , the minimal and characteristic polynomials must have the same roots.

#### 8.1 a

Suppose  $m_A(x) = (x-1)(x^2+1)^2$ , which is a degree 5 polynomial. Since deg  $\chi_A$  must be 6 and  $m_A$  must divide  $\chi_A$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ , the only possibility in this case is that

$$\chi_A(x) = (x-1)^2(x^2+2)^2.$$

To determine the possible invariant factors  $\{d_i\}$ , we can just note that  $\prod d_i = \chi_A(x)$  and  $d_n = m_A(x)$ . With these constraints, the only possibility is

$$d_1 = (x - 1)$$
  
$$d_2 = (x - 1)(x^2 + 1)^2.,$$

from which we can immediately obtain the elementary divisors:

$$(x-1), (x-1), (x^2+1)^2.$$

Then noting that

$$d_2 = d_2 = (x-1)(x^2+1)^2 = x^5 - x^4 + 4x^3 - 4x^2 + 4x - 4$$

there is thus only one possible Rational Canonical form:

$$RCF(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

#### 8.2 b

The constraints  $m_A(x) = (x^2 + 1)^2(x^3 + 1)$  with  $\deg m_A(x) = 7$  and  $\deg \chi_A(x) = 10$  forces  $\chi_A(x) = (x^2 + 1)^2(x^3 + 1)^2$ .

Furthermore, the invariant factors are similarly constrained, and so the only possibility is

$$d_1 = (x_3 + 1)$$
  
$$d_2 = (x^2 + 1)^2(x^3 + 1)$$

with corresponding elementary divisors

$$(x^3+1), (x^3+1), (x^2+1)^2.$$

Noting that

$$d_2 = (x^2 + 1)^2(x^3 + 1) = x^5 + x^3 + x^2 + 1,$$

we have

$$RCF(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The standard computation of  $\det(xI - A) = 0$  shows that  $\chi_A(x) = \det(xI - A) = (x - 1)^2(x + 1)^2$ , and so the eigenvalues of A are 1, -1. We want the minimal polynomial of A, which is given by  $\prod (x - \lambda_i)^{\alpha_i}$  where  $\alpha_i = \dim E_{\lambda_i}$  is the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda_i$ .

Another standard computation shows that

$$\lambda = 1 \implies \operatorname{rank}(A - 1I) = 2 \implies \dim \ker(A - 1I) = 4 - 2 = 2$$

and similarly

$$\lambda = -1 \implies \operatorname{rank}(A+I) = 3 \implies \dim \ker(A+I) = 4-3=1.$$

We thus have

$$p_A(x) = (x-1)(x+1)^2$$
$$\chi_A(x) = (x-1)^2(x+1)^2.$$

To compute JCF(A), we use the following facts:

- For  $\lambda = 1$ ,
  - Since  $(x-1)^1$  occurs in  $p_A(x)$ , the largest Jordan block for  $\lambda = 1$  is size 1.
  - Since  $(x-1)^2$  occurs in  $\chi_A(x)$ , the sum of sizes of all such Jordan blocks is 2.
  - Since dim  $E_1 = 2$ , there are 2 such Jordan blocks.
- For  $\lambda = -1$ ,
  - Since  $(x+1)^2$  occurs in  $p_A(x)$ , the largest Jordan block for  $\lambda = -1$  is size 2.
  - Since  $(x+1)^2$  occurs in  $\chi_A(x)$ , the sum of sizes of all such Jordan blocks is 2.
  - Since dim  $E_{-1} = 1$ , there is 1 such Jordan block.

We can thus immediately write

$$JCF(A) = J_{-1}^{2} \oplus 2J_{1}^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By arguments similar to the previous two problems, the only possible invariant factor decomposition is given by

$$d_1 = (x+1)$$
$$d_2 = (x-1)^2(x+1)$$

and thus

$$RCF(A) = C(d_1) \oplus C(d_2) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose  $A^* = A$ . It is then a fact that A is self-adjoint, and so for every  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  we have

$$\langle A\mathbf{v}, \ \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \ A^*\mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \ A\mathbf{v} \rangle.$$

#### 10.1 a

Let  $(\lambda, \mathbf{v})$  be an eigenvalue of A with one of its corresponding eigenvectors, so  $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{v}$ . On one hand,

$$\langle A\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \lambda \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \lambda \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \lambda \|\mathbf{v}\|^2,$$

while on the other hand,

$$\langle A\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, A^*\mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, A\mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \lambda \mathbf{v} \rangle = \overline{\lambda} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \overline{\lambda} \|\mathbf{v}\|^2.$$

Equating these expressions, we find that

$$\lambda = \overline{\lambda} \implies \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.\blacksquare$$

#### 10.2 b

We can make use of the following fact:

**Theorem (Schur):** Every square matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is unitarily similar to an upper triangular matrix, i.e. there exists a unitary matrix U such that  $A = UTU^{-1}$  where T is upper-triangular.

Applying this theorem yields  $A = UTU^{-1}$  and thus  $T = U^{-1}AU$ . In particular,  $A \sim T$ .

Noting that if U is unitary then  $U^{-1} = U^*$ , we have

$$T^* = (U^{-1}AU)^*$$

$$= U^*A^*(U^{-1})^*$$

$$= U^*A^*U^{**}$$

$$= U^{-1}A^*U$$

$$= T,$$

and so  $T^* = T$ .

Since T is upper triangular, this forces  $T_{ij} = 0$  whenever  $i \neq j$  But this makes T diagonal, so A is similar to a diagonal matrix.

*Proof of Schur's Theorem:* We'll proceed by induction on  $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ , and showing that there is an orthonormal basis of V such that the matrix of A is upper triangular.

**Lemma:** If V is finite dimensional and  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of A, then  $\overline{\lambda}$  is an eigenvalue of  $A^*$ .

Proof:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0 = \overline{\det\left(A^* - \bar{\lambda}I\right)}.\blacksquare$$

Since  $\mathbb{C}$  is algebraically closed, every matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  will have an eigenvalue, since its characteristic polynomial will have a root by the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra.

So let  $\lambda_1, \mathbf{v}_1$  be an eigenvalue/eigenvector pair of the adjoint  $A^*$ .

Consider the space  $S = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{\mathbf{v}_1\}$ ; then  $V = S \oplus S^{\perp}$ . The claim is that the original A will restrict to an operator on  $S^{\perp}$ , which has dimension n-1. The inductive hypothesis will then apply to  $A|_{S^{\perp}}$ .

Note that if this holds, there will be an orthonormal basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $S^{\perp}$  such that the matrix

$$\mathbf{A}' \coloneqq [A|_{S^{\perp}}]_{\mathcal{B}}$$

will be upper triangular. We would then be able to obtain an orthonormal basis  $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{B} \bigcup \{\mathbf{v_1}\}$  of  $S \oplus S^{\perp} = V$ .

Since we have a direct sum decomposition, the matrix of A with respect to C can be written in block form as

$$[A]_{\mathcal{C}} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} [A|_S]_{\mathcal{C}} & 0 \\ 0 & [A|_{S^{\perp}}]_{\mathcal{C}} \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} [A|_S]_{\{\mathbf{v}_1\}} & 0 \\ 0 & [A|_{S^{\perp}}]_{\mathcal{B}} \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{A'} \end{array} \right],$$

which is upper-triangular since A' is upper-triangular.

To see that A does indeed restrict to an operator on  $S^{\perp}$ , we need to show that  $A(S^{\perp}) \subseteq S^{\perp}$ . So let  $\mathbf{s} \in S^{\perp}$ ; then  $\langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{s} \rangle = 0$  by definition. Then  $A\mathbf{s} \in S^{\perp}$  since

$$\langle \mathbf{v}_1, A\mathbf{s} \rangle = \langle A^* \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{s} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{s} \rangle$$

$$= \lambda_1 \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{s} \rangle$$

$$= 0.$$