## Real Analysis

D. Zack Garza

August 15, 2019

1

## **Contents**

1 Lecture 1 (Thu 15 Aug 2019 11:04)

## 1 Lecture 1 (Thu 15 Aug 2019 11:04)

See Folland's Real Analysis, definitely a recommended reference.

Possible first day question: how can we "measure" a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ ? We'd like bigger sets to have a higher measure, we wouldn't want removing points to increase the measure, etc. This is not quite possible, at least something that works on *all* subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . We'll come back to this in a few lectures.

## Notions of "smallness" in $\mathbb{R}$

Definition: Let E be a set, then E is *countable* if it is in a one-to-one correspondence with  $E' \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , which includes  $\emptyset$ ,  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Definition: E is meager (or of 1st category) if it can be written as a countable union of **nowhere** dense sets.

You can show that any finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is meager.

Intuitively, a set is *nowhere dense* if it is full of holes. Recall that a  $X \subseteq Y$  is dense in Y iff the closure of X is all of Y. So we'll make the following definition.

Definition: A set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is nowhere dense if every interval I contains a subinterval  $S \subseteq I$  such that  $S \subseteq A^c$ .

Note that a finite union of nowhere dense sets is also nowhere dense, which is why we're giving a name to such a countable union above. Example:  $\mathbb{Q}$  is an infinite, countable union of nowhere dense sets that is not itself nowhere dense.

Equivalently, -  $A^c$  contains a dense, open set. - The interior of the closure is empty.

We'd like to say something is measure zero exactly when it can be covered by intervals whose lengths sum to less than  $\varepsilon$ .

Definition: E is a null set (or has measure zero) if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a sequence of intervals  $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  such that

$$E \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{ and } \sum |I_j| < \varepsilon.$$

Exercise: show that a countable union of null sets is null.

We have several relationships

- $\bullet$  Countable  $\implies$  Meager, but not the converse.
- ullet Countable  $\Longrightarrow$  Null, but not the converse.

Exercise: Show that the "middle third" Cantor set is not countable, but is both null and meager. Key point: the Cantor set does not contain any intervals.

Theorem: Every  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  can be written as  $E = A \coprod B$  where A is null and B is meager.

This gives some information about how nullity and meagerness interact – in particular,  $\mathbb{R}$  itself is neither meager nor null. Idea: if meager  $\implies$  null, this theorem allows you to write  $\mathbb{R}$  as the union of two null sets. This is bad!

Proof: We can assume  $E = \mathbb{R}$ . Take an enumeration of the rationals, so  $\mathbb{Q} = \{q_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ . Around each  $q_j$ , put an interval around it of size  $1/2^{j+k}$  where we'll allow k to vary, yielding multiple intervals around  $q_j$ . To do this, define  $I_{j,k} = (q_j - 1/2^{j+k}, q_j + 2^{j+k})$ . Now let  $G_k = \bigcup_j I_{j,k}$ . Finally, let  $A = \bigcap_k G_k$ ; we claim that A is null.

Note that  $\sum \left| I_{j,k} = \frac{1}{2^k} \right|$