# **Qual Problems**

## D. Zack Garza

# November 30, 2019

# **Contents**

	Problem 1	1
	1.1 Part 1	1
	1.2 Part 2	1
	1.3 Part 3	1
2	Problem 2	2
	Problem 3	4
	3.1 Part 1	4
	3.2 Part 2	4
	3.3 Part 3	ŗ

# 1 Problem 1

#### 1.1 Part 1

Definition: An element  $r \in R$  is irreducible if whenever r = st, then either s or t is a unit.

Definition: Two elements  $r, s \in R$  are associates if  $r = \ell s$  for some unit  $\ell$ .

A ring R is a unique factorization domain iff for every  $r \in R$ , there exists a set  $\{p_i \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$  such that  $r = u \prod_{i=1}^n p_i$  where u is a unit and each  $p_i$  is irreducible.

Moreover, this factorization is unique in the sense that if  $r = w \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i$  for some w a unit and  $q_i$  irreducible elements, then each  $q_i$  is an associate of some  $p_i$ .

#### 1.2 Part 2

A ring R is a principal ideal domain iff whenever  $I \subseteq R$  is an ideal of R, there is a single element  $r_i \in R$  such that  $I = (r_i)$ .

#### 1.3 Part 3

An example of a UFD that is not a PID is given by R = k[x, y] for k a field.

That R is a UFD follows from the fact that if k is a field, then k has no prime elements since every non-zero element is a unit. So the factorization condition holds vacuously for k, and k is a UFD. But then we can use the following result:

**Theorem**: If R is a UFD, then R[x] is a UFD.

Since k is a UFD, the theorem implies that k[x] is a UFD, from which it follows that k[x][y] = k[x, y] is also a UFD.

To see that R is not a PID, consider the ideal I = (x, y), and suppose I = (g) for some single  $g \in k[x, y]$ .

Note that  $I \neq R$ , since I contains no degree zero polynomials. Moreover, since  $(x) \subset I = (g)$  (and similarly for y), we have  $g \mid x$  and  $g \mid y$ , which forces deg g = 0.

So in fact  $g \in k$  and thus g is invertible, but then  $(g) = g^{-1}(g) = (1) = k$ , so this forces  $I = k \le k[x, y]$ . However,  $x \notin k$  (nor y), which is a contradiction.

### 2 Problem 2

**Lemma:** Let A be a linear operator,  $m_A(x)$  its minimal polynomial, and  $p_A(x)$  its characteristic polynomial. Then A has distinct eigenvalues  $\iff m_A(x) = p_A(x)$ .

Proof: We always have  $m_A(x) \mid p_A(x)$ , since  $p_A(A) = 0$  by Cayley-Hamilton and  $m_A(x)$  is the minimal polynomial for which this holds. We can also note that  $p_A(\lambda_i) = 0$  for all eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  by construction. Moreover, if every eigenvalue is distinct, then we have  $p_A(x) = \prod_i (x - \lambda_i)$  in  $\overline{k}[x]$ .

Given an invariant factor decomposition of A with factors  $f_1 \mid f_2 \mid \cdots \mid f_n := m_A(x)$ , it is the case that if  $\lambda_i$  is an eigenvalue of A, then  $f_j(\lambda_i) = 0$  for some  $f_j$ .

But then  $m_A(\lambda_i) = 0$  for all  $\lambda_i$ , since each  $f_j$  divides  $m_A$ . So every eigenvalue is a root of  $m_A(x)$ , and thus  $\prod_i (x - \lambda_i) \mid m_A(x)$ .

But then we have both  $m_A(x) \mid p_A(x)$  and  $p_A(x) \mid m_A(x)$ , so these polynomials are equal.

**Lemma** If A is a linear operator and we write

$$V = \frac{k[x]}{(f_1)} \oplus \frac{k[x]}{(f_2)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{k[x]}{(f_n)}$$

as an invariant factor decomposition of V as a k[x] module (defined by  $p(x) \curvearrowright \mathbf{v} := p(A)(\mathbf{v})$ ), with  $f_1 \mid f_2 \mid \cdots$ , then we always have

- $m_A(x) = f_n(x)$ , i.e. the minimal polynomial is the invariant factor of largest degree,
- $p_A(x) = \prod_{i=j}^n f_j(x)$ , i.e. the characteristic polynomial is the product of all of the invariant factors.

 $\Leftarrow$ : Suppose A has distinct eigenvalues, then by Lemma 1 we have

$$f_n(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n f_j(x),$$

which can only happen if  $f_1(x) = f_2(x) = \cdots = f_{n-1}(x) = 1$ , in which case there is only one nontrivial invariant factor and we have

$$V \cong \frac{k[x]}{(f_n)}, \quad \text{Ann}(V) = (f_n)$$

which exhibits V as a cyclic k[x]-module and thus we have  $V = k[x]\mathbf{v}$  for some  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ .

We can now note that if  $\deg f_n = \dim V = m$ , we have

$$k[x]/(f_n) = \operatorname{span}_{k[x]} \left\{ 1, x, \dots, x^{m-1} \right\} \iff V \cong k[x]\mathbf{v} = \operatorname{span}_{k[x]} \left\{ 1\mathbf{v}, x\mathbf{v}, \dots x^{m-1}\mathbf{v} \right\},$$

But then noting that the  $k[x] \curvearrowright V$  by  $w \mapsto xw$ , so  $k[T] \curvearrowright V$  by  $w \mapsto Tw$ .

 $\Longrightarrow$ :

Suppose

$$V = \operatorname{span}_k \left\{ \mathbf{v}, A\mathbf{v}, A^2\mathbf{v}, \cdots A^{n-1}\mathbf{v} \right\} := \operatorname{span}_k \mathcal{B}$$

where  $\dim_k V = n$ .

Then  $A^n$ **v** is necessarily a linear combination of these basis elements, and in particular, there are coefficients  $c_i$  (not all zero) such that

$$A^n \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i A^i \mathbf{v}.$$

This means that with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ , A has the following matrix representation:

$$[A]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & c_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & c_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & c_2 \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & c_{n-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

which we compute by considering the images of all basis elements under A.

Letting 
$$\mathcal{B} = \{ \mathbf{w}_i := A^i \mathbf{v} \mid 0 \le i \le n-1 \}$$
, we have

$$\mathbf{w}_0 \coloneqq \mathbf{v} \mapsto A\mathbf{v} \coloneqq \mathbf{w}_1$$

$$\mathbf{w}_1 \coloneqq A\mathbf{v} \mapsto A^2\mathbf{v} \coloneqq \mathbf{w}_2$$

$$\mathbf{w}_2 \coloneqq A^2\mathbf{v} \mapsto A^3\mathbf{v} \coloneqq \mathbf{w}_3$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$\mathbf{w}_{n-2} \coloneqq A^{n-2}\mathbf{v} \mapsto A^{n-1}\mathbf{v} \coloneqq \mathbf{w}_{n-1}$$

$$\mathbf{w}_{n-1} \coloneqq A^{n-1}\mathbf{v} \mapsto A^n\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i A^i \mathbf{v}_i \coloneqq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i \mathbf{w}_i.$$

#### 3 Problem 3

#### 3.1 Part 1

Let  $\mathbf{v} = [0, 1, 0]^t$ , We compute

$$M\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ y & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1(0) + 0(1) + x(0) \\ 0(0) + 1(1) + 0(0) \\ y(0) + 0(1) + 1(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which shows that **v** is an eigenvector of M with eigenvalue  $\lambda = 1$ .

#### 3.2 Part 2

Noting that the rank is the dimension of the column space, we find that

- $rank(M) \ge 1$ , since it is not the zero matrix,
- rank $(M) \ge 2$ , since neither  $[1,0,y]^t$  or  $[x,0,1]^t$  can be in the span of  $[0,1,0]^t$ , and
- $\operatorname{rank}(M) = 3 \iff \det(M) \neq 0$ .

So we compute

$$\det_{M}(x,y) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ y & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1(1-0) - 0(1-xy) + x(-y) = 1 - xy,$$

and so  $\det_M(x,y) = 0 \iff xy = 1$ . Thus

$$rank(M) = \begin{cases} 3 & xy = 1\\ 2 & else. \end{cases}$$

# 3.3 Part 3

Since M is diagonalizable  $\iff M$  is full rank, which in this case means  $\operatorname{rank}(M)=3,$  we have

$$S = \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \;\middle|\; M \text{ is diagonalizable } \right\} = \left\{ \left(x,\frac{1}{x}\right) \;\middle|\; x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2.$$