Title

D. Zack Garza

Thursday 10th September, 2020

Contents

1 Thursday, September 10

Recall that the dimension of a ring R is the length of the longest chain of prime ideals. Similarly, for an affine variety X, we defined $\dim X$ to be the length of the longest chain of irreducible closed subsets.

These notions of dimension of the same when taking $R = A(X)$, i.e. $\dim \mathbb{A}^n / k = n$.

Proposition 1.1 ***(Dimensions).***
Let $k = \bar{k}$.

a. The dimension of $k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ is n.
b. All maximal chains of prime ideals have length n.

Proof .
The case for $n = 0$ is trivial, just take $P_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$. For $n = 1$, easy to see since the only prime ideals in $k[x]$ are $\langle 0 \rangle$ and $\langle x - a \rangle$, since any polynomial factors into linear factors.

Let $P_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq P_m$ be a maximal chain of prime ideals in $k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$; we then want to show that $m = n$. Assume $P_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$, since we can always extend our chain to make this true (using maximality). Then P_1 is a minimal prime and P_m is a maximal ideal (and maximals are prime).

Claim: P_1 is principle, i.e. $P_1 = \langle f \rangle$ for some irreducible f.

Proof .

Claim: $k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ is a unique factorization domain. This follows since k is a UFD since it's a field, and R a UFD $\implies R[x]$ is a UFD for any R.

> See Gauss' lemma.

Claim: In a UFD, minimal primes are principal. Let $r \in P$, and write $r = u \prod p_i^{n_i}$ with p_i irreducible and u a unit. So some $p_i \in P$, and p_i irreducible implies $\langle p_i \rangle$ is prime. Since $0 \subsetneq \langle p_i \rangle \subset P$, but P was prime and assumed minimal, so $\langle p_i \rangle = P$.

The idea is to now transfer the chain $P_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq P_m$ to a maximal chain in $k[x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}]$. The first step is to make a linear change of coordinates so that f is monic in the variable x_n.

Example .

Take $f = x_1 x_2 + x_3^2 x_4$ and map $x_3 \mapsto x_3 + x_4$.

So write

$$f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = x_n^d + f_1(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}) x_n^{d-1} + \cdots + f_d(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}).$$

We can then descend to $k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ to $k[x_1, \cdots, x_n] / \langle f \rangle$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P_0 \arrow[r] & P_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \cdots \arrow[r] & P_m \\
& P_1/P_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \cdots \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & P_m/P_1 \\
& P_1/P_1 \cap k[x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}] \arrow[r] & \cdots \cap k[x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}] \arrow[r] & P_m/P_1
\end{tikzcd}$$

The first set of downward arrows denote taking the quotient, and the upward is taking inverse images, and this preserves strict inequalities.

> **Definition** ***(Integral Extension).***
> An *integral* ring extension $R \hookrightarrow R'$ of R is one such that all $r' \in R'$ satisfying a monic polynomial with coefficients in R, where R' is finitely generated.
>
> > In this case, also implies that R' is a finitely-generated R module.

In this case, $k[x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}] \hookrightarrow k[x_1, \cdots, x_n] / \langle f \rangle$ is an integral extension. We want to show that the intersection step above also preserves strictness of inclusions.

> **Lemma 1.2.**
> Suppose $P', Q' \subset R'$ are distinct prime ideals with $R \hookrightarrow R'$ an integral extension. Then if $P' \cap R = Q' \cap R$, neither contains the other, i.e. $P' \not\subset Q'$ and $Q' \not\subset P'$.

■

■