Problem Set 5 Zack Garza

① We'll proceed by induction on  $n = \deg f$ . The n = 1 case follows immediately since  $\deg f = 1 \implies f(x) = x - \alpha \in K[x]$ , so  $\alpha \in K$  and  $\alpha \in K[x] = 1$  which divides  $\alpha \in K[x]$ .

If now deg f = n, we have  $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (x - u_i)^{m_i}$  for some  $m_i \ge 1$ ,  $1 \le \ell \le n$ .

· Suppose f is irreducible over K

Thun we can write  $f(x) = (x-u_1)^n g(x)$  in  $K(u_1)[x]$  where  $\deg g \leq n-1$ . So let  $F_g$  be its splitting field, so  $[F_g: Kuu_1]$  divides (n-1)! by hypothesis. But  $[K(u_1): K] = n$ , so  $F_g$  is the splitting field of  $F_g: K] = [F_g: K(u_1)][K(u_1): K] = p \cdot n$  where p(n-1)!, so pn[n!]. Suppose  $F_g: F_g: K(u_1) = p \cdot n$  where p(n-1)! so pn[n!] suppose  $F_g: F_g: K(u_1) = p \cdot n$  where p(n-1)! so pn[n!] suppose  $F_g: K(u_1) = p \cdot n$  where p(n-1)! so pn[n!] suppose  $F_g: K(u_1) = p \cdot n$  where p(n-1)! so pn[n!] suppose  $F_g: K(u_1) = p \cdot n$  where p(n-1)! so pn[n!] suppose  $f_g: K(u_1) = p \cdot n$  where  $f_g: K(u_1) = p \cdot n$  is the splitting field  $f_g: K(u_1) = p \cdot n$  where  $f_g: K(u_1) = p \cdot n$  wh

- a) If u is separable in K, then  $F(x):=\min(u, K)$  has distinct roots in its splitting field L. But since  $K \subseteq E$ , we have  $g(x):=\min(u, E) | F(x)$ . But then g must also have distinct roots in L, otherwise F would have a multiple root, so u is separable over E.
  - b) Since F/K is separable &  $E\subseteq F$ , we immediately have E/K separable. To see that F/E is separable, we have: F/K is separable if F/K u is separable over F/K (defn) if F/K \(\delta\) \(\text{u}\) \(\ext{e}F\), u is separable over F/K (by (a))

iff F/E is separable.

(defn)

3 Defn:  $F \ge K$  is <u>Galois</u> iff F is a separable splitting field, or  $[K:F] = \{K:F\} = |Gal(K/F)|$ .

1  $\Rightarrow$  2: Immediate from defn.

2=3: Since F splits some f(x) & F is separable, f(x) has distinct roots in F. But then any irreducible factor of f(x) can not have a multiple root, so they are all separable as well.

3 ⇒2: Let  $1g_i(x)$  be the irreducible factors of f(x), then F is the splitting field of  $p(x) := T_i Tg_i(x)$ , which is separable. Now letting x be a root of p, we have F/K(x) as a splitting field of a separable polynomial (some q(x)|p(x)) and so F/K(x) is Galois & F/K(x) = F(x) = F(x).

Since F is a splitting field of q(x), any  $\sigma \in Gal(F/K)$  permutes the roots of q(x). Suppose there are d roots, which are distinct, then [K(a):K]=d. Since  $Gal(F/K) \xrightarrow{} X:=\{roots of q\}$  transitively, we have  $|X|=|[Gal(F/K):Stab_X]|$  by Orbit-stabilizer for any  $x \in X$ . So pick x=a, then

 $Stab_X = Gal(K(\alpha)/K) \implies [Gal(F/K): Gal(F/K(\alpha))] = |X| = d.$ 

But then

 $[F:K]=[F:K\omega][K(\omega):K]$ 

= {F: K(a)}[K(a):K] Since F/K(a) is Galois

= {F: K(a)}. d Since K(a)/K is splits a separable q(x)

= {F: K(2)} [Gal(F/K): Gal(F/K(a))] by Orbit-Stabilizer

= |Gal(F/Kld)) · [Gal(F/K): Gal(F/K(d))] Since F/K(d) is Galois

= |Gal(F/K)|, since HEG =>

1H1.[G:H]= 1G1

So F/K is Galois.

$$(5a)$$
 f(x)=  $x^4$ -5 over

· Q · Q(V5') · O(iV5')

Let  $\omega = 5^{1/4}$ ,  $Z = e^{2\pi i/4}$ , then f splits in  $F := \mathcal{O}(\omega, Z)$  as  $f(x) = \frac{4}{17}(x - \omega Z^{j})$ . We can embed these roots in  ${\Bbb C}$  to find some automorphisms of  ${\Bbb F}/{\Bbb O}$ :

$$r_{2} = (r_{4} \quad \text{where } r_{j} = \omega \vec{z}^{j}, \text{ so we can define}$$

$$r_{4} \quad r_{5} = r_{5}$$

Then  $\Upsilon$  corresponds to the cycle (1,3) in Sym( $\{r_j\}$ ) $\cong$ S<sub>4</sub>, which has order2, and  $\sigma$  corresponds to (1,2,3,4), which has order 4; thus  $G:=\langle \Upsilon, \sigma \rangle \Rightarrow |G|=8$ .

Claim: G=Gal(F/Q) & G= D4= (s,r | 3= r4=e, (sr)=e).

Since F splits f(x) by construction, F/Q is separable, and since (claim)  $[F:Q]=8<\infty$ , it is also normal & thus a Galois extension, so we have  $[F:Q]=\{F:Q\}=\#Gal(F/Q)=8$ .

Since  $(7, \sigma) \leq Gal(F/B)$ , it must be the entire group. To see that [F:B] = 8, we can note that  $[\mathbb{Q}(\omega,\zeta)] = [\mathbb{Q}(\omega,\zeta)] [\mathbb{Q}(\omega)] [\mathbb{Q}(\omega)] [\mathbb{Q}(\omega)]$ 

$$(\omega_{1}, \zeta), (\omega_{1}) \in (\omega_{1}) \cdot (\omega_{1})$$

$$(\omega_{1}, \zeta), (\omega_{1}) \in (\omega_{1}) \cdot (\omega_{1}) \cdot$$

We can immediately note that  $\gamma \sigma = (13)(1234) = (12)(34) \neq (14)(23) = \sigma \gamma$ , so G is non-abelian.

Moreover, G contains 2 elts of order 2, namely  $\gamma \& \sigma \gamma$ , so  $G \not\cong \mathbb{Q}_8$ , so we must have  $G \cong \mathbb{D}_4$ .

(This follows since they have the same # of generators, satisfy the same relations, & are the same size.)

So Gal(F/Q) $\cong D_4$ .

 $\mathcal{O}(\omega)$ 

 $\omega = 5^{1/4} \Rightarrow \omega^2 = \sqrt{5}$   $(Min(\sqrt{5}, Q) = \chi^2 - 5)$ 

Noting that  $[Q(w^2):Q]=2$ , by the Galois correspondence, [Gal(F/Q):Gal(F/Q(w))]=4, so we are looking for an index 4 subgroup of  $\langle \tau, \sigma \rangle$  that fixes  $\mathcal{Q}(\omega)$ . Noting that  $\tau$  corresponds to

Complex conjugation and order( $\tau$ )=2, we have  $\langle \tau \rangle \subseteq G$ . We also find that  $\sigma^2$  fixes  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega^2)$ , since  $\sigma^2(a+b\omega^2)=a+b\,\sigma(\sigma(\omega)^2)=a+b\,\sigma\big((i\omega^2)=a+b\,\sigma\big(-\omega^2\big)=a-b\,\sigma(\omega)^2=a-b\,(i\omega)^2=a+b\omega^2$ 

and since order  $(\sigma^2)=2$ , we have  $|\langle \gamma, \sigma^2 \rangle|=4$ , so  $G:=\langle \gamma, \sigma \rangle$  has index 2 & fixes  $G(\omega)$ , so we must have

## Q(iw)

Gal(F/Q
$$\omega$$
)= $\langle \gamma, \sigma^2 \rangle$ .  
( $\cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ )

Noting that [Q(iw):Q] = 4 since min(iw, Q) =  $X^4-5$ , we look for a subgroup of Gal(F/Q) of index 4(& thus order 2) that fixes Q(iw). The subgroup (702) does the trick, since Thus  $G_{al}(F/Q(i\omega)) = \langle \tau \sigma^2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ 

$$f(x)=x^3-2$$
 over  $Q$   $\omega=2^{1/3}$ 

$$\omega = 2^{\sqrt{3}}$$

Factor  $f(x)=(x-\omega)(x-3\omega)(x-3\omega)$  where  $z_3=e^{2\pi i/3}$ , then  $F:=Q(\omega,z_3)$  is the splitting field of

- f(x), and [F:Q]=[F:Ow][O(w):Q]
- $[Q(\omega), Q] = 3$ , since min  $(\omega, Q) = x^3 2$ .
- ·  $[F: D(\omega)] = 2$  since  $min(Z_3, D(\omega)) = \overline{\Phi}_3 = \cancel{\chi} + x + 1$ .

So 
$$[F:Q] = 6 = |G| := |G_a|(F/Q)| \Rightarrow G \in \{Z_6, S_3\}.$$

We can produce at least two automorphisms fixing  $(0, ) \rightarrow (12)$ 

And we can check

$$(12)(123) = (1)(23)$$

$$(123)(12) = (13)(2) \neq (12)(123)$$

So G contains a non-abelian subgroup  $\langle \tau, \sigma \rangle$  & thus  $G \cong S_3$ 

 $\sigma: \begin{cases} \omega \mapsto \zeta_s \omega & \sim \\ \zeta_s \mapsto \zeta_s' \end{cases}$  (123)

Noting that  $\chi^2-5=(\chi+\omega_5)(\chi-\omega_5)$  where  $\omega_5=5^{1/2}$ , the splitting field of fix will be  $L := \mathbb{Q}(\omega, \mathcal{Z}_3, \omega_5) = \mathbb{Q}(2^{3}, e^{2\pi i/5})(\sqrt{5}).$ 

Claim: [L:0]=[L:0( $\omega_1 Z_3$ )][0( $\omega_1 Z_3$ ).0]=2.6=12.

The only new content is that  $[L: \mathbb{Q}(\omega, Z_3)] = 2$ , i.e.  $\min(\sqrt{5}, \mathbb{Q}(\omega, Z_3)) = x^2 - 5$ .

The degree could not be higher, since  $E \subseteq F \Rightarrow \min(A, F) \mid \min(A, E) \mid \text{ and } \min(\sqrt{5}, Q) = \tilde{x} - S$ . But it could not be 1, since  $\sqrt{5} \in Q(3^3, Z_3)$ .

So  $G:=G_{al}(L/Q) \ge S_3$  as a subgroup by the previous problem, and is thus a nonabelian group of order 12. We can produce a new automorphism  $y: \begin{cases} \sqrt{5} & \mapsto -\sqrt{5} \\ 34 & \mapsto w \end{cases}$ 

Thus  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  is a subgroup of order 2,  $\langle \gamma \rangle \cap \langle \gamma, \sigma \rangle = \{e\}$ ,

and  $|\langle \gamma \rangle| \cdot |\langle \sigma, \gamma \rangle| = 2 \cdot 6 = 12 = 161$ , and  $G = \langle \gamma \rangle \langle \gamma, \sigma \rangle \implies G = \langle \gamma \rangle \times \langle \gamma, \sigma \rangle$ Product of Subgroups



- a) Noting that g(x)|f(x) and f splits in F, g must split in F as well. (Otherwise, g would have an irreducible nonlinear factor in F and thus f would as well.)
- b) The irreducible factors of g are separable in E and F/E is a splitting field for g, so by (3.3) above, F/E is Galois.

(5)

c)  $K \subseteq E \Rightarrow Aut(F/E) \subseteq Aut(F/K)$ , and to see  $Aut(F/K) \subseteq Aut(F/E)$ , letting  $\sigma \in Aut(F/K)$  we must have  $\sigma \in Sym(\{u_1, \dots, u_n\})$  and so  $\sigma(g(x)) = g(\sigma(x)) = T(\sigma(x) - u_i) = \sum v_i \sigma(x)^i$   $\sigma(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i x^i)$ 

 $\sum_{\sigma(v_i)\sigma(x)}^{\eta} \int_{\sigma(v_i)\sigma(x)}^{\sigma(v_i)\sigma(x)} \int_{\sigma(v_i)$ 

