Title

D. Zack Garza

Table of Contents

Contents

Table of Contents		2	
1	Lect	ture 11	3
	1.1	Pushforwards (Continued)	3
	1.2	Brauer Groups	7

Table of Contents

1 | Lecture 11

1.1 Pushforwards (Continued)

Last time: we saw the Leray spectral sequence, but no examples yet, so that's what we'll do now. We had $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ to which we associated the spectral sequence $R^i f_* R^j f_*(\cdot) \Rightarrow R^{i+j} (g \circ f)_*(\cdot)$. To deduce existence we used that pushforwards preserve injectives, and we looked at some E_2 differentials.

Example 1.1.1(?): Let $X \xrightarrow{\pi} Z := \operatorname{Spec} k$, where $k \neq \bar{k}$ necessarily. The spectral sequence for the functors π_*, Γ yields the Leray spectral sequence $H^i(k, R^j \pi_* \mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}, \mathcal{F})$. The LHS is the étale cohomology of $\operatorname{Spec} k$, i.e. Galois cohomology. The Galois module corresponding to $R^j \pi_* \mathcal{F}$ is $H^j(X_{k^s}, \mathcal{F})$ by taking the \bar{k} points of this functor So the Leray spectral sequence yields

$$H^{i}(k, H^{j}(X_{k^{s}, \text{\'et}}, \mathcal{F})) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X_{\text{\'et}}, \mathcal{F}).$$

Consider k a finite field and $X_{/k}$ a smooth projective variety. Then the Galois cohomology is given by

$$H^{i}(k,V) = \begin{cases} V^{G} & i = 0 \\ V_{G} & i = 1 \\ 0 & i > 1 \end{cases}$$
 the invariants

This follows from computing the cohomology of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. Supposing we knew that the cohomological dimension of a smooth projective variety was 2n over \bar{k} (e.g. taking $\mathcal{F} := \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ above), then the cohomological dimension of X would be 2n+1. This follows from E_2 vanishing for i > 1 in this case.

Remark 1.1.2: A general fact about the Leray spectral sequence for smooth proper morphisms: let $X \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ such a morphism, then there is a spectral sequence

$$H^{i}(Y, R^{j}\pi_{*}\underline{\mathbb{Q}}) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

A fact due to Deligne is that this degenerates at E_2 , which is proved with ℓ -adic cohomology (going through Weil II) using the theory of weights. Note that this is false for smooth proper morphisms between manifolds! Instead, for varieties, they behave more like products instead of "twisted" things.

We'll now be explicit about what these pushforwards are, so we'll give another description of them:

Proposition 1.1.3(?).

Let $X \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$, then $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{F}$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \to H^i(\pi^{-1}(U)_{\text{\'et}}, \mathcal{F})$.

Lecture 11 3

Proof (?).

Choose an injective resolution $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{I} \cdot$, then $\mathcal{H}^i(\pi_* \mathcal{I}^\cdot) = R^i \pi_* \mathcal{F}$. Let's compute this pushforward in another way: we have

 $\operatorname{Presh}(X_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \operatorname{Presh}(Y_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}})$

$$\uparrow f = \text{forget}$$
 $a = \text{sheafification}$ $\text{Sh}(X_{\text{\'et}}) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \text{Sh}(Y_{\text{\'et}})$

Here the induced map on presheaves is exact although the forgetful functor may not be. This is because a sequence of presheaves is exact iff it's exact on every open, but π_* just pulls back opens. This diagram commutes since what you get in the top-right corner is already a sheaf, and sheafification is the identity on sheaves. We can thus factor π_* to obtain

$$R^{i}\pi_{*}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}^{i} * \pi_{*}\mathcal{I}^{\cdot} = \mathcal{H}^{i}(a \circ \pi \circ f(\mathcal{I}^{\cdot})) = a \circ \pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{H}^{i}(f(\mathcal{I}^{\cdot}))\right).$$

where we've used the fact that π_* , s are exact. Why isn't the inner term zero, since \mathcal{I} is an exact complex of sheaves? Epimorphisms are different in the categories of sheaves and presheaves, so it may not be exact when viewed as a complex of presheaves. These terms are explicitly the functors $U \to H^i(U, \mathcal{F})$, since $\mathcal{I}^*|_U$ is an injective resolution of \mathcal{F} . We can now evaluate this on an open of Y, so we get

$$a\left((U \xrightarrow{\text{\'et}} Y) \to H^i(\pi^{-1}(U), \mathcal{F})\right),$$

which is sheafifying the functor we want.

Example 1.1.4(?): Suppose X is an integral scheme and $\eta \stackrel{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} X$ is its generic point. Suppose $\mathcal{F} \in Sh(\eta_{\text{\'et}})$. How to we understand $R^i\iota_*\mathcal{F}$? We can compute its stalks: suppose $\bar{x} \to X$ is a geometric point, then

$$(R^{i}\iota_{*}\mathcal{F})_{\overline{x}} = \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ (U,\overline{u})}} (R^{i}\iota_{*}\mathcal{F})(U)$$
$$= H^{i}(U_{\eta}, \mathcal{F}|_{U_{\eta}}).$$

where we take limits over $U \xrightarrow{\text{\'et}} X$ and $\bar{u} \to U$ is a geometric point above \bar{x} .

Exercise 1.1.5 (*Important*, *must-do*): Let $\mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}}^{1}$ be the stalk of \mathcal{O}_{X} at \bar{x} and $K_{\bar{x}}^{2}$ be its fraction field. Then

$$(R^{i}\iota_{*}\mathcal{F})_{\bar{x}} = H^{i}(K_{\bar{x}}, \mathcal{F}|_{K_{\bar{x}}}),$$

where the RHS is either the Galois cohomology of k or the étale cohomology of Spec k.

Idea: these are the étale local rings, and this says you can compute the stalk of a cohomology sheaf in terms of these strictly Henselian local rings.

¹The strictly Henselian ring of X at \bar{x} .

²The strictly Henselian field of X at \bar{x} .

Goal: we want to understand $H^{>1}(X,\mathbb{G}_m)$ where $X_{/k}$ is a curve over $k = k^s$ which is separably closed. We'll reduce this to questions in Galois cohomology.

Proposition 1.1.6(?).

Let $X_{/k}$ (with k not necessarily algebraically closed) be a regular (integral) variety and $\eta \to X$ is the generic point. Then there is a SES in $Sh(X_{\text{\'et}})$:

$$0 \to \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} \eta_* \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Div}} \bigoplus_{z \in X, \mathrm{codim} \, 1} \iota_{z_*} \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \to 0,$$

where the middle term can be thought of as pushing forward \mathbb{G}_m from the étale site of η or pulling back \mathbb{G}_m to it, which is just \mathbb{G}_m again, and pushing forward again, and the last term is the **sheaf of divisors**.

Remark 1.1.7: The first map is either the unit or the counit of the adjunction $\eta_* \rightleftharpoons \eta^*$, which is the restriction. The second map comes from noting that on an étale morphism $U \to X$, this is a bunch of rational functions and you can take its divisor. This gives a number for each codimension 1 point: the order of vanishing. All but finitely many numbers will be zero, so you get a section to the last sheaf.

Proof (of exactness).

1: $\mathbb{G}_m \to \eta_* \mathbb{G}_m$ is injective. This reduces to showing $\mathbb{G}_m(U) \to \mathbb{G}_m(U_\eta)$ is injective, where U_η is the fiber over η , since this is $\mathcal{O}_U^{\times} \to \bigoplus_{\eta_i} \mathcal{O}_{\eta_i}$ which is a sum over generic points of U. This uses that X is reduced.

2: Exactness in the middle. Given $f \in \eta_* \mathbb{G}_m(U)$ with Div(f) = 0, we want to show f comes from $\mathbb{G}_m(U)$. We need to show f, f^{-1} are regular, and it's enough to show that f is regular. We're using that if we have a finite type ring over a field A, then by a fact from commutative algebra,

$$A = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}^1(A)} A_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

which is the intersection of localizations over all height 1 primes. Being in this intersection is equivalent to having non-negative divisors, where here we've used that regularity implies normality.

3: Surjectivity at the end. We need to show that every divisor is étale locally principle, and thus Zariski locally. A global section to the last sheaf is a Weil divisor, and we want to show each is principle. This is equivalent to being Cartier, which is true here by regularity.

Corollary 1.1.8(?).

There's a LES:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H^{i-1}(X_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}, \bigoplus_{\operatorname{codim}(z)=1} \iota_{z_*}\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$$

$$H^i(X_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow H^i(X_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}, \eta_*\mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow H^i(X_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}, \bigoplus_{\operatorname{codim}(z)=1} \iota_{z_*}\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$$

The blue term is what we'd like to compute, and the other terms are the cohomology of pushforwards and thus appear in the Leray spectral sequence.

Proposition 1.1.9(?).

Let $X_{/k}$ be a curve where $k = k^s$. Then

$$H^{i>0}(X_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}},\bigoplus_{\operatorname{codim} z=1}\iota_{z_*}\mathbb{Z})=0.$$

Proof (?).

It's enough to show that $H^{>0}(X_{\text{\'et}}, \iota_{z_*}\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) = 0$ using that cohomology commutes with direct sums. Using the Leray spectral sequence, we get

$$H^{i}(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, R^{j}_{\iota_{z,i}}\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(z_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}},\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$$

What are the coefficients on the LHS? We proved that pushforwards on closed immersions are exact, by checking on stalks, so we have

$$R^j_{\iota_{z_*}}\underline{\mathbb{Z}} = \begin{cases} \iota_{z_*}\underline{\mathbb{Z}} & j = 0 \\ 0 & j > 0 \end{cases}.$$

We can also compute

$$H^{s}(z_{\text{\'et}},\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & s = 0\\ 0 & s > 0 \end{cases}.$$

since the zero term is global sections and k is separably (algebraically) closed, and the Galois cohomology vanishes in i > 0. So we get a degenerate spectral sequence with one column, yielding

$$H^{i}(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \iota_{z_{*}}\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) = H^{i}(z_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & i = 0 \\ 0 & i > 0 \end{cases}.$$

Corollary 1.1.10(?).

If $X_{/k}$ is a smooth curve over $k = k^s$ then we have an isomorphism

$$H^{>1}(X_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}},\mathbb{G}_m) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} H^{>i}(X_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}},\eta_*\mathbb{G}_m).$$

New goal: compute the RHS, which is not quite Galois cohomology but is pushed forward from a field. Using the Leray spectral sequence, we get

$$H^{i}(X_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}, R^{j}\eta_{*}\mathbb{G}_{m}) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(\eta, \mathbb{G}_{m}),$$

where the RHS is Galois cohomology. We're interested in the j = 0 region of the spectral sequence. Let's try to understand the stalks at geometric points:

$$(() R^j \eta_* \mathbb{G}_m)_{\overline{x}} = H^j (K_{\overline{x}}, \mathbb{G}_m),$$

where the field appearing is the *strict Henselization* from the earlier discussion. We'll be able to compute this if we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1.11(?).

Let K be the function field of a curve or an algebraically closed field, or $K = K_{\overline{x}}$ is the strict Henselian field of a geometric point of a curve over a separably (algebraically) closed field. Then

$$H^{>0}(K,\mathbb{G}_m)=0.$$

This will suffice since $R^{>0}\eta_*GG_m=0$, yielding a spectral sequence where $E_2=E_\infty$:

$$H^{i}(X_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}, \eta_{*}\mathbb{G}_{m}) = H^{i}(\eta, \mathbb{G}_{m}) = 0$$
 if $i > 0$.

Upshot: this reduces the computation of the étale cohomology of a curve to Galois cohomology. Proving this theorem is hard, and will lead us to Brauer groups.³

1.2 Brauer Groups

 \sim

Definition 1.2.1 (Cohomological Brauer Group)

Let X be a scheme, then the **cohomological Brauer group** is defined as

1.2 Brauer Groups 7

³Another one of Daniel's favorite topics in the course!