

# Problem Set 6

D. Zack Garza

Sunday 3<sup>rd</sup> May, 2020

## Contents

1	Humphreys 5.3	1
2	Humphreys 7.2	1
2.1	Solution . . . . .	1
3	Exercise p.108	2

## 1 Humphreys 5.3

Let  $\lambda$  be regular, antidominant, and integral, and suppose  $M(\lambda)^n \neq 0$  but  $M(\lambda)^{n+1} = 0$ . In the Jantzen filtration of  $M(w \cdot \lambda)$ , show that  $n = \ell_\lambda(w)$  where  $\ell_\lambda$  is the length function of the system  $(W_{[\lambda]}, \Delta_{[\lambda]})$ . Thus there are  $\ell(w) + 1$  nonzero layers in this filtration.

Use 0.3(2) to describe  $\Phi_{w \cdot \lambda}^+$ .

## 2 Humphreys 7.2

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  and show that  $T_\lambda^\mu$  need not take Verma modules to Verma modules.

For example, let  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\mu = -3$ .

### 2.1 Solution

Let  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\mu = -3$ , noting that both are integral,  $\mu$  is antidominant, and  $\mu, \lambda$  are *compatible* as in the definition in 7.1. We can then consider  $\nu := \mu - \lambda = -3 - 1 = -4$ , and to compute the  $\bar{\nu}$  that appears in the definition of  $T_\lambda^\mu$ , we consider the (usual)  $W$ -orbit of  $\nu$ . In  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ , we identify  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $W = \{\text{id}, s_\alpha\}$ , and  $s_\alpha \lambda = -\lambda$  as reflection about 0. Thus the orbit is given by  $W\nu = \{-4, 4\}$ , which contains the unique dominant weight  $\bar{\nu} = 4$ . We thus have

$$T_1^{-3}(\cdot) = \text{pr}_{-3}(L(4) \otimes \text{pr}_1(\cdot)).$$

We use the fact that we always have an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow N(\lambda) \longrightarrow M(\lambda) \longrightarrow L(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0.$$

---

where in  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  we can identify  $N(\lambda) = L(-\lambda - 2)$ , thus we have

$$0 \longrightarrow L(-\lambda - 2) \longrightarrow M(\lambda) \longrightarrow L(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here we can identify

$$\begin{aligned} L(-\lambda - 2) &= L(-1 - 2) \\ &= L(-3) \\ &= L(\mu) \\ &= M(\mu) \quad \text{since } \mu = -3 \text{ is integral and antidominant,} \end{aligned}$$

and thus we in fact have an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M(\mu) & \longrightarrow & M(\lambda) & \longrightarrow & L(\lambda) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M(-3) & \longrightarrow & M(1) & \longrightarrow & L(1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

### 3 Exercise p.108

- Work out the Jantzen filtration sections for  $M(w_0 \cdot \lambda)$ . List carefully any additional assumptions or facts needed to deduce  $M(w_0 \cdot \lambda)^i$  uniquely.
- Continue #4.11 for the case of singular  $\lambda$ , e.g.  $(\lambda + \rho, \hat{\alpha}) = 1$ . If you didn't deduce the structure of all  $M(w \cdot \lambda)$  there, can you complete it now?
- Work out the non-integral case. (There are several different cases to consider.)