

Title

D. Zack Garza

Friday 25th September, 2020

Contents

1 Friday, September 25	1
1.1 Review and Proposition	1
1.2 Proof	2

1 | Friday, September 25

1.1 Review and Proposition

From last time: Steinberg's tensor product.

Let G be a reductive algebraic group scheme over k with $\text{char}(k) > 0$. We have a Frobenius $F : G \rightarrow G$, we iterate to obtain F^r and examine the Frobenius kernels $G_r := \ker F^r$.

If we have a representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(M)$, we can “twist” by F^r to obtain $\rho^{(r)} : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(M^{(r)})$. We have

$$G \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{GL}(M)$$

Here $M^{(r)}$ has the same underlying vector space as M , but a new module structure coming from $\rho^{(r)}$. Note that G_r acts trivially on $M^{(r)}$.

- $\{L(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in X(T)_+\}$ are the simple G -modules,
- $\{L_r(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in X_r(T)_+\}$ are the simple G_r -modules,

Note that $L(\lambda) \downarrow_{G_r}$ is semisimple, equal to $L_r(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in X_r(T)$.

1960's, Curtis and Steinberg.

Proposition 1.1(?).

Let $\lambda \in X_r(T)$ and $\mu \in X(T)_+$. Then

$$L(\lambda + p^r \mu) \cong L(\lambda) \otimes L(\mu)^{(r)}.$$

Recall that socle formula: letting M be a G -module, we have an isomorphism of G -modules:

$$\mathrm{Soc}_{G_r} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in X_r(T)} L(\lambda) \otimes \mathrm{hom}_{G_r}(L(\lambda), M).$$

1.2 Proof

Let $M = L(\lambda + p^r \mu)$.