# Category $\mathcal{O}$ , Problem Set 4

## D. Zack Garza

# Sunday 26<sup>th</sup> April, 2020

### **Contents**

1	Humphreys 3.1           1.1 Solution
2	Humphreys 3.2           2.1 Solution
3	Humphreys 3.4         3.1 Solution
4	Humphreys 3.7         4.1 a         4.2 b

# 1 Humphreys 3.1

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$  and identify  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{\vee}$  with a scalar. Let N be a 2-dimensional  $U(\mathfrak{b})$ -module defined by letting x act as 0 and h act as  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ .

Show that the induced  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module structure  $M := U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} N$  fits into an exact sequence which fails to split:

$$0 \longrightarrow M(\lambda) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0$$

### 1.1 Solution

Reference 1 Reference 2

Hence  $M \notin \mathcal{O}$ .

### 2 Humphreys 3.2

Show that for  $M \in \mathcal{O}$  and dim  $L < \infty$ ,

$$(M \otimes L)^{\vee} \cong M^{\vee} \otimes L^{\vee}$$

Reference for Dual of Sum

#### 2.1 Solution

By theorem 3.2d, we have

$$M, N \in \mathcal{O} \implies (M \oplus N)^{\vee} \cong M^{\vee} \oplus N^{\vee}$$

and by definition,  $M^{\vee} := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{\vee}} M_{\lambda}^{\vee}$  is the direct sum of the duals of various weight spaces.

## 3 Humphreys 3.4

Show that  $\Phi_{[\lambda]} \cap \Phi^+$  is a positive system in the root system  $\Phi_{[\lambda]}$ , but the corresponding simple system  $\Delta_{[\lambda]}$  may be unrelated to  $\Delta$ .

For a concrete example, take  $\Phi$  of type  $B_2$  with a short simple root  $\alpha$  and a long simple root  $\beta$ . If  $\lambda := \alpha/2$ , check that  $\Phi_{[\lambda]}$  contains just the four short roots in  $\Phi$ .

#### 3.1 Solution

We would like to show the following two propositions:

- 1.  $\Phi_{[\lambda]}^+ := \Phi_{[\lambda]} \bigcap \Phi^+$  is a positive system in  $\Phi_{[\lambda]}$ ,
- 2. In general, the associated simple system  $\Delta_{[\lambda]} \neq \Phi^+_{[\lambda]} \bigcap \Delta$ .

#### 3.1.1 Proof of Proposition 1

### 3.1.2 Proof of Proposition 2

Concretely, we can realize  $\Phi$  and  $\Delta$  as subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  in the following way:

$$\begin{split} \Phi &= P_1 \coprod P_2 \coloneqq \{[1,0],[0,1],[-1,0],[0,-1]\} \coprod \{[1,1],[-1,1],[1,-1],[-1,-1]\} \\ \Delta &\coloneqq \{\alpha,\beta\} \coloneqq \{[1,0],[-1,1]\}\,, \end{split}$$

where we note that  $P_1$  consists of short roots (of norm 1) and  $P_2$  of long roots (of norm  $\sqrt{2}$ ) and we've chosen a simple system consisting of one short root and one long root.

Now by definition,

$$\Phi_{[\lambda]} := \left\{ \gamma \in \Phi \mid \langle \lambda, \ \gamma^{\vee} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \qquad \gamma^{\vee} := \frac{2}{\|\gamma\|^2} \ \gamma, 
\Delta_{[\lambda]} := \left\{ \gamma \in \Delta \mid \langle \lambda, \ \gamma^{\vee} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Now choosing  $\lambda := \frac{\alpha}{2} = \left[\frac{1}{2}, 0\right]$ , we now consider the inner products  $\langle \lambda, \gamma^{\vee} \rangle$  for  $\gamma \in \Phi$ :

Thus

$$\gamma_1 \in P_1 \implies \left\langle \left[ \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right], \ 2\gamma_1 \right\rangle = 2\left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \langle [1, 0], \ \gamma_1 \rangle = (\gamma_1)_1 \in \{0, \pm 1\} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\gamma_2 \in P_2 \implies \left\langle \lambda, \ \gamma_2^{\vee} \right\rangle = \left\langle \left[ \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right], \ \frac{2}{\left( \sqrt{2} \right)^2} [\pm 1, \pm 1] \right\rangle = \pm \frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$$

where  $(\gamma_1)_1$  denotes the first component of  $\gamma_1$ .

We thus find that

$$\Phi_{[\lambda]} = P_1$$
 the short roots  $\Delta_{[\lambda]} = \{\alpha\}$  the single short simple root.

Choosing the following green hyperplane not containing any root, we can choose a positive system

$$\Phi^+ = \{[1,0], [0,1], [1,1], [-1,-1]\} = \{\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta, 2\alpha + \beta\}$$

where we can note that  $\Phi^+ \cap \Delta = \Delta$ , since we've placed both simple roots on the positive side of this hyperplane by construction.

But by taking roots on the positive side of this plane, we have

$$\Phi_{[\lambda]} = \{\alpha, -\alpha, \alpha + \beta, -\alpha - \beta\} \implies \Phi_{[\lambda]}^+ = \{\alpha, \alpha + \beta\}$$

where we can now note that a simple system in *this* root system must still have rank 2, so we can take  $\Delta_{[\lambda]} = \{\alpha, \alpha + \beta\}$ . But now we can note

$$\Delta_{[\lambda]} = \{\alpha, \alpha + \beta\} \neq \{\alpha\} = \{\alpha, \alpha + \beta\} \bigcap \{\alpha, \beta\} = \Phi^+_{[\lambda]} \bigcap \Delta,$$

which is what we wanted to show.

### 4 Humphreys 3.7

#### 4.1 a

If a module M has a standard filtration and there exists an epimorphism  $\phi: M \longrightarrow M(\lambda)$ , prove that ker  $\phi$  admits a standard filtration.

#### 4.2 b

Show by example that when  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$  that the existence of a monomorphism  $\phi: M(\lambda) \longrightarrow M$  where M has a standard filtration fails to imply that coker  $\phi$  has a standard filtration.