

# Title

D. Zack Garza

January 29, 2020

## Contents

Recall that the Riemann-Zeta function has a product expansion

$$\zeta(s) = \sum n^{-s} = \prod_{p \in P} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$$

where the product is taken over all primes  $P$ .

Let  $X = V(\{f_i\}) := V(f)$  be the vanishing locus of a family of polynomials in  $F = \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  for some prime power  $q$ .

Let  $N_m = \left| \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in X(\mathbb{F}_q) \mid f_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \right\} \right| = |V(f)| \subset F$ , the number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  points, or equivalently just the size of this variety.

Then the Hasse-Weil Zeta function is defined as

$$\zeta_X(t) = \exp \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{N_m}{m} t^m$$

We immediately make a change of variables and send  $t \rightarrow q^{-s}$  to obtain

$$\zeta_X(s) = \exp \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{N_m}{m} (q^{-s})^m.$$

Why? Turns the zeta function into a Dirichlet series in  $s$ . Yields  $|t| = q^{-\Re(s)}$ . Defined for  $|t| < \frac{1}{q}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , extended to all of  $\mathbb{C}$  as a rational function in  $x$ . Converts “All zeros of  $\zeta_X$  have absolute value  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}$ ” to “All zeros of  $\zeta_X$  have real part  $\frac{1}{2}$ ”.

Explanation of why exponential appears

Rough explanation: Take a bad first approximation and then correct. Let  $X$  be a fixed variety, for  $p \in X$  define  $\|p\|_X = q^n$  where  $n$  is the  $n$  occurring in the minimal field of definition of  $p$ , which is  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ .

Attempt to define

$$\zeta_{X,q}(s) = \prod_{p \in X} \frac{1}{1 - \|p\|_X^{-s}}.$$