# **Problem Set 6**

D. Zack Garza

Monday 4<sup>th</sup> May, 2020

#### **Contents**

| 1 | Humphreys 5.3                | 1        |
|---|------------------------------|----------|
|   | Humphreys 7.2   2.1 Solution | <b>1</b> |
| 3 | Exercise p.108               | 3        |

#### 1 Humphreys 5.3

Let  $\lambda$  be regular, antidominant, and integral, and suppose  $M(\lambda)^n \neq 0$  but  $M(\lambda)^{n+1} = 0$ . In the Jantzen filtration of  $M(w \cdot \lambda)$ , show that  $n = \ell_{\lambda}(w)$  where  $\ell_{\lambda}$  is the length function of the system  $(W_{[\lambda]}, \Delta_{[\lambda]})$ . Thus there are  $\ell(w) + 1$  nonzero layers in this filtration.

Use 0.3(2) to describe  $\Phi_{w \cdot \lambda}^+$ .

## 2 Humphreys 7.2

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$  and show that  $T^{\mu}_{\lambda}$  need not take Verma modules to Verma modules.

For example, let  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\mu = -3$ .

#### 2.1 Solution

Let  $\lambda=1$  and  $\mu=-3$ , noting that both are integral,  $\mu$  is antidominant, and  $\mu$ ,  $\lambda$  are compatible as in the definition in 7.1. We can then consider  $\nu:=\mu-\lambda=-3-1=-4$ , and to compute the  $\bar{\nu}$  that appears in the definition of  $T^{\mu}_{\lambda}$ , we consider the (usual) W-orbit of  $\nu$ . In  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ , we identify  $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $W=\{\mathrm{id},s_{\alpha}\}$ , and  $s_{\alpha}\lambda=-\lambda$  as reflection about 0. Thus the orbit is given by  $W\nu=\{-4,4\}$ , which contains the unique dominant weight  $\bar{\nu}=4$ . We thus have

$$T_1^{-3}(\,\cdot\,) = \operatorname{pr}_{-3}(L(4) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_1(\,\cdot\,)).$$

We use the fact that we always have an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow N(\lambda) \longrightarrow M(\lambda) \longrightarrow L(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0.$$

where in  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$  we can identify  $N(\lambda) = L(-\lambda - 2)$ , thus we have

$$0 \longrightarrow L(-\lambda - 2) \longrightarrow M(\lambda) \longrightarrow L(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here we can identify

$$\begin{split} L(-\lambda-2) &= L(-1-2) \\ &= L(-3) \\ &= L(\mu) \\ &= M(\mu) \quad \text{since } \mu = -3 \text{ is integral and antidominant,} \end{split}$$

thus we can rewrite the exact sequence as

We know that the translation functor is exact, so applying  $T^{\mu}_{\lambda}$  yields the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow T_1^{-3}M(-3) \longrightarrow T_1^{-3}M(1) \longrightarrow T_1^{-3}L(1) \longrightarrow 0$$

We claim that  $T_1^{-3}M(-3)$  is not a Verma module. Since not both  $\lambda, \mu$  are antidominant, we can not apply Theorem 7.6 to compute these, so we instead turn to the definition. We thus consider

$$T_1^{-3}M(-3) = \operatorname{pr}_{-3}(L(4) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_1 M(-3))$$
  
=  $\operatorname{pr}_{-3}(L(4) \otimes M(-3)).$ 

We'll use the fact that

$$\Pi(M(-3)) = \{-3, -5, \cdots\}$$
  
$$\Pi(L(4)) = \{-4, -2, 0, 2, 4\},$$

and by Theorem 3.6, since 4 is dominant, dim  $L(4) < \infty$ , so  $L(4) \otimes M(-3)$  has a finite filtration with quotients of the form

$$Q(\mu) \in \Big\{ M(\lambda + \mu) \ \Big| \ \mu \in \Pi(L(4)) \Big\} = \{ \cdots, M(-3+2), M(-3+4), \cdots \} = \{ \cdots, M(-1), M(3), \cdots \}$$

and since  $W_{[\lambda]} = \{\lambda, -\lambda - 2\} = \{1, -3\}$ , we see that composition factors with linked weights appear in the subquotients above. Thus the projection onto  $\mathcal{O}_{\chi_{-3}}$  has a composition series subquotients isomorphic to M(-1) and M(-3). But then the resulting projection must have at least *two distinct* simple quotients, whereas every Verma module has a unique simple quotient, so the projection can not be a Verma module.

### 3 Exercise p.108

- a. Work out the Jantzen filtration sections for  $M(w_0 \cdot \lambda)$ . List carefully any additional assumptions or facts needed to deduce  $M(w_0 \cdot \lambda)^i$  uniquely.
- b. Continue #4.11 for the case of singular  $\lambda$ , e.g.  $(\lambda + \rho, \widehat{\alpha}) = 1$ . If you didn't deduce the structure of all  $M(w \cdot \lambda)$  there, can you complete it now?
- c. Work out the non-integral case. (There are several different cases to consider.)