

# Problem Set 5

D. Zack Garza

Monday 4<sup>th</sup> May, 2020

## Contents

1	4.3	1
2	4.6	2
2.1	Solution . . . . .	2
2.1.1	Part 1 . . . . .	2
2.1.2	Step 2 . . . . .	3
3	4.11	3

## 1 4.3

### Proposition 1.1.

Suppose  $\lambda + \rho \in \Lambda^+$ . Then  $M(w \cdot \lambda) \subset M(\lambda)$  for all  $w \in W$ . Thus all  $[M(\lambda) : L(w \cdot \lambda)] > 0$ .

More precisely, if  $w = s_n \cdots s_1$  is a reduced expression for  $w$  in terms of simple reflections corresponding to roots  $\alpha_i$ , then there is a sequence of embeddings:

$$M(w \cdot \lambda) = M(\lambda_n) \subset M(\lambda_{n-1}) \subset \cdots \subset M(\lambda_0) = M(\lambda)$$

Here

$$\lambda_0 := \lambda, \lambda_k := s_k \cdot \lambda_{k-1} = (s_k \cdots s_1) \cdot \lambda \implies \lambda_n = s_n \cdot \lambda_{n-1} = w \cdot \lambda$$

$$w \cdot \lambda = \lambda_n \leq \lambda_{n-1} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_0 = \lambda \text{ with } \langle \lambda_k + \rho, \alpha_{k+1}^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ for } k = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

Assume  $\lambda + \rho \in \Lambda^+$ .

- Prove that the unique simple submodule of  $M(\lambda)$  is isomorphic to  $M(w_\diamond \cdot \lambda)$ , where  $w_\diamond$  is the longest element of  $W$ .
- In case  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ , show that the inclusions obtained in the above proposition are all proper.

## 2 4.6

### Theorem 2.1 (Verma).

Let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^\vee$ . Given  $\alpha > 0$ , suppose  $\mu := s_\alpha \cdot \lambda \leq \lambda$ . Then there exists an embedding  $M(\mu) \subset M(\lambda)$ .

Work through the steps of Verma's Theorem in the special case discussed in the previous problem

### 2.1 Solution

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$  and identify its root system  $A_2$  with  $\Delta = \{\alpha, \beta\}$  and  $\Phi^+ = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma := \alpha + \beta\}$ . We can also identify the Weyl group as  $W = \{1, s_\alpha, s_\beta, s_\alpha s_\beta, s_\beta s_\alpha, s_\gamma\}$  where there is a reduced expression  $s_\gamma = w_0 = s_\alpha s_\beta s_\alpha$ .

We can begin by letting  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  be an arbitrary integral weight and let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary weight linked to  $\lambda$ , where WLOG apply some Weyl group element to  $\mu$  to place it in the dominant chamber and assume

$$\mu := s_\alpha \cdot \lambda \leq \lambda.$$

#### 2.1.1 Part 1

Since  $\mu$  is assumed integral, we can find some  $w \in W$  such that

$$\mu' := w^{-1} \cdot \mu \in \Lambda^+ - \rho.$$

**Claim:**  $w = s_\alpha s_\beta$ , and thus  $s_\alpha s_\beta \cdot \mu' = \mu$  (and  $w^{-1} = s_\beta s_\alpha$ ).

As in Proposition 4.3, we then write

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0 &= \mu' \\ \mu_1 &= s_\beta \cdot \mu' \\ \mu_2 &= s_\alpha s_\beta \cdot \mu' = w \cdot \mu' = \mu \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \mu_2 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_0 = \mu' \\ \mu &= s_\alpha s_\beta \cdot \mu' \leq s_\beta \mu' \leq \mu'. \end{aligned}$$

which (by the proposition) gives a sequence of embeddings

$$\begin{aligned} M(\mu) &= M(\mu_2) \hookrightarrow M(\mu_1) \hookrightarrow M(\mu_0) = M(\mu') \\ &\text{i.e.} \\ M(\mu) &= M(s_\alpha s_\beta \cdot \mu') \hookrightarrow M(s_\beta \cdot \mu') \hookrightarrow M(\mu'). \end{aligned}$$

---

### 2.1.2 Step 2

We now define

$$\lambda' := w^{-1}\lambda = s_\beta s_\alpha \cdot \lambda$$

and the parallel list of weights

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_0 &= \lambda \\ \lambda_1 &= s_\beta \cdot \lambda \\ \lambda_2 &= s_\alpha s_\beta \cdot \lambda := \lambda'.\end{aligned}$$

## 3 4.11

In the case of  $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ , what can be said at this point about Verma modules with a singular integral highest weight?

Aside from the trivial case  $-\rho$ , a typical linkage class has 3 elements. For example, if  $\lambda$  lies in the  $\alpha$  hyperplane and is antidominant, the linked weights are  $\lambda$ ,  $s_\beta \cdot \lambda$ ,  $s_\alpha s_\beta \cdot \lambda$ .