Claim. Wf is well defined

This amounts to showing that the sup and limit exist in

$$W_F(x) = \lim_{S \to 0^+} \sup_{y,z \in B_S(x)} |f_{(y)} - f_{(z)}|$$

Let xER be arbitrary and S fixed.

Since f is bounded, there is some M such that

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}, |f(y)| < M$$
, and so

$$y, z \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow |f(y) - f(z)| = |f(y) + (-f(z))| \leq |f(y)| + |-f(z)|$$

$$=|f_{(y)}|+|f_{(z)}|<2M$$

which holds for y,z & Bs(x) & IR as well.

And so { |f(y)-f(z)| s.t. y,z &Bs(x)} is bounded above and thus has

a least upper bound, and thus the following supremum exists.

$$S(S, x) = sup$$
 $y,z \in B_{S(x)}$
 $|f(y) - f(z)|$

To see that the lim S(S,x) exists, note that

$$S_1 \leq S_2 \Rightarrow B_{S_1}(x) \leq B_{S_2}(x)$$

and so for a fixed x, S(S,x) is a monotonically

decreasing function of S that is bounded below by O, which converges by the monotone convergence theorem. \square Claim: f is continuous at x if f $\psi_f(x) = O$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $w_F(x)=0$ and let $\epsilon>0$ be arbitrary; we will produce a δ to use in the definition of continuity.

Since $wp(x) = \lim_{d \to 0^+} S(d, x) = 0$, we can choose S such that

 $d < S \Rightarrow |S(d,x)| < \varepsilon$, which means

 $d < S \Rightarrow \sup_{y,z \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta}(x)} |f_{(y)} - f_{(z)}| < \varepsilon$

So fix Z=X and let y vary, yielding

 $d < S \Rightarrow \sup_{y \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta}(x)} |f_{(y)} - f_{(x)}| < \varepsilon$

But now for an arbitrary $t \in B_S(x)$, we have |x-t| < S and

 $|f(x)-f(t)| \leq \sup_{y \in B_S(x)} |f(x)-f(y)| < \varepsilon,$

which exactly says $|x-t| < S \Rightarrow |f(x)-f(t)| < \varepsilon$. \square

(\Rightarrow) Suppose f is continuous at x and let $\varepsilon>0$ be arbitrary; We will show $w_{\varepsilon}(x)<\varepsilon$.

Since f is continuous, choose S such that $|x-y| < S \Rightarrow |f(x)-f(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$

We then have

 $y,z \in B_S(x) \Rightarrow |x-y| < S$ and |x-z| < S, $\Rightarrow |f_{(x)}-f_{(y)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $|f_{(x)}-f_{(z)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ $\Rightarrow |f_{(y)}-f_{(z)}| \le |f_{(y)}-f_{(x)}| + |f_{(x)}-f_{(z)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$, and so

 $y_1 z \in B_S(x) \Rightarrow |f(y) - f(z)| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow \sup_{y_1 z \in B_S(x)} |f(y) - f(z)| \leq \varepsilon$

$$\Rightarrow S(S,X) \leq E,$$

and since S(d,x) is monotonically decreasing in d, $\omega_{F}(x) = \lim_{d \to 0} S(d,x) \leq S(S,x) \leq \varepsilon$

as desired.

We will show that

$$A_{\varepsilon}^{c} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \omega_{\varepsilon}(x) < \varepsilon \}$$

is open by showing every point is an interior point.

Fix $\varepsilon>0$ and let $x\in A_{\varepsilon}$ be arbitrary. We want to produce a S such that

 $B_S(x) \subsetneq A_{\varepsilon}^c$ or equivalently $|y-x| < S \Rightarrow \omega_f(y) < \varepsilon$.

Write $w_f(x) = \lim_{d \to 0^+} S(d, x)$; Since $w_f(x) < \epsilon$ and this limit exists, we can choose S such that

 $d < S \Rightarrow |S(d,x) - O| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow |S(d,x)| < \varepsilon$.

Now suppose $y \in B_S(x)$, so |y-x| < S. Then there exists some S' such that $B_S'(y) \subseteq B_S(x)$, and we claim that $S(S',y) \leq S(S,x)$

Note that if this is true, then

$$\omega_{f}(y) = \lim_{d \to 0} S(d, y) \in S(S', y) \in S(S, x) < \varepsilon$$
.

To see why this is true, we just note that $a,b \in Bs'(y) \subseteq Bs(x) \Rightarrow a,b \in Bs(x)$ $\Rightarrow \sup_{a,b \in Bs'(y)} |f(y) - f(z)| \leq \sup_{y,z \in Bs(x)} |f(y) - f(z)|,$

Since the supremum can only increase over a larger set.

So wf(y) (& as desired.



Finally, note that if $D_f = \{x \in R \mid f \text{ is discontinuous at } x\}$, then $D_f = \{x \in R \mid \omega_f(x) \neq 0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in R \mid \omega_f(x) \geq \frac{1}{n}\}$ $= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\frac{1}{n}}$

is a countable union of closed sets and thus Fo. A

4) Claim:
$$f$$
 is increasing, i.e. $x \le y \Rightarrow f(x) \le f(y)$
Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and define

$$A_{x} := \{ t_{\epsilon} \times | x > t \}, A_{x}^{c} := \{ t_{\epsilon} \times | x \leq t \}.$$

(Note that $t \in A_x$ or $t \in A_x^c \Rightarrow t = x_n$ for some n, and $X = A_x \sqcup A_x^c$.)

Then noting that

$$x_n \in A_x \Rightarrow f_n(x) \equiv 1$$

 $x_n \in A_x^c \Rightarrow f_n(x) \equiv 0,$

We can Write

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} f_n(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \mid x_n \in A_x \\ n \mid x_n \in A_x }} \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot 1 + \sum_{\substack{n \mid x_n \in A_x \\ n \mid x_n \in A_x }} \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot 0$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{n \mid x_n \in A_x \\ n \mid x_n \in A_x }} \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \frac{1}{n^2}$$

Now if y≥x, then y≥t for every t∈Ax, so Ay = Ax.

But then

$$f(x) = \sum_{\frac{1}{2}n/x_n \in A_x} \frac{1}{n^2} = \sum_{\frac{1}{2}n/x_n \in A_y} \frac{1}{n^2} = f(y)$$

where the inequality holds because

$$A_{x} \subseteq A_{y} \Rightarrow \{n \mid x_{n} \in A_{x}\} \subseteq \{n \mid x_{n} \in A_{y}\}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\{n \mid x_{n} \in A_{x}\}| \leq |\{n \mid x_{n} \in A_{y}\}|,$$

So the latter sum has at least as many terms and everything is positive. So $f(x) \leq f(y)$.

Claim: f is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ since $\mathbb{Z} f_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} f$ and each f_n is continuous there.

Since $|f_n(x)| \le 1$ by definition, and $|f_n(x)/n^2| \le |Y_n^2| := M_n$ where $\sum M_n < \infty$, $\sum f_n \subseteq F$ by the M test.

Note that for a fixed n, Dfn= {xn}. This is

be cause if we take a sequence $\{y_i\} \rightarrow X_n$ with each $y_i > X_n$, then $f(y_i) = 1$ for every i, and $\lim_{i \to \infty} f(y_i) = \lim_{i \to \infty} 1 = 1 \neq f(\lim_{i \to \infty} y_i) = f(x_n) = 0$

So f_n is not continuous at $x=x_n$. Otherwise, either $x > x_n$ or $x < x_n$, in which case we can let ε be arbitrary and choose $S < |x-x_n|$ to get $y \in B_S(x) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} y > x_n \Rightarrow |f(y)-f(x)|=|0-0| < \varepsilon \\ y < x_n \Rightarrow |f(y)-f(x)|=|1-1| < \varepsilon \end{cases}$

Letting $F_N = \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n$, we find that

 $F_N = f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_N$ So F_N is continuous on discontinuous at: $\{x_1, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6\}$ $R \setminus \{y_1, y_2, y_4, y_5\}$ discontinuous at: $\{x_1, y_2, y_4, y_5\}$

and since $\mathbb{R}^{1} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^{1} \cup \mathbb{C}^{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{1}$, $\mathbb{R}^{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{1} \times \mathbb{$