

Title

D. Zack Garza

November 26, 2019

Contents

1 Wednesday November 20	1
1.1 Weyl's Character Formula (24.2-3)	1

1 Wednesday November 20

Last time:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z}\Lambda &\iff \{\mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \sim\} \\ e(\mu) &\mapsto e_\mu \\ e(\lambda)e(\mu) = e(\lambda + \mu) &\mapsto f \star g(\lambda) = \sum_{a+b=\lambda} f(a)g(b)\end{aligned}$$

and $\text{ch}L(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} \dim L(\lambda)_\mu e(\mu)$.

We have the Kostant function $p(\lambda) = \#\{(k_\alpha)_\alpha \mid -\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} k_\alpha \alpha\}$ and the Weyl function $q = e_\rho \star \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - e_{-\alpha}) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (e_{\alpha/2} - e_{-\alpha/2})$.

Lemma: $p \star e_\lambda = \text{ch}M(\lambda)$, so $q \star \text{ch}M(\lambda) = e_{\lambda+\rho}$ and $q \star p = e_\rho$.

1.1 Weyl's Character Formula (24.2-3)

Definition: The *dot action* of W is given by $w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$, i.e. a reflection for hyperplanes passing through $-\rho$.

E.g. for type A_2 , where $W(0) = 0$, we have:

Type A_2

And for the dot action, we have

Image

where $W \cdot 0 = 0$ and $s(\alpha_1) = -\alpha_1$.

Theorem (Harish-Chandra): If $L(\mu)$ is a composition factor of $M(\lambda)$, then $\mu \in W \cdot \lambda$ for $\mu \leq \lambda$.

Proof: Postponed.

ch are characters, $L(\lambda)$ is a Verma module.

Remark: if we sum over $\mu \leq \lambda$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}M(\lambda) &= \sum_{\mu \in W \cdot \lambda} a_{\lambda\mu} \text{ch}L(\mu) \\ \text{ch}L(\lambda) &= \sum_{\mu \in W \cdot \lambda} b_{\lambda\mu} \text{ch}M(\mu) \\ &= \sum_{W \cdot \lambda \in \Lambda} c_{\lambda W} \text{ch}M(w \cdot \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Weyl's Character Formula): If $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, then

$$\text{ch}L(\lambda) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e(w \cdot \lambda)}{\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e(w \cdot 0)}$$

Proof:

We have $\text{ch}L(\lambda) = \sum_w c_{\lambda w} \text{ch}M(w \cdot \lambda)$, and so by the lemma,

$$q * \text{ch}L(\lambda) = \sum_w c_{\lambda w} q * \text{ch}M(W(\lambda + \rho) - \rho) = \sum_w c_{\lambda w} e_{W(\lambda + \rho)}$$

Thus for all $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, we have

$$s_\alpha(q * \text{ch}L(\lambda)) = \sum_w c_{\lambda, s_\alpha w} e_{w(\lambda + \rho)}$$

On the other hand, by part (c) of the lemma, we have

which implies that $c_{\lambda, s_\alpha w} = -c_{\lambda, w}$ by comparing term-by-term, and thus $c_{\lambda, w} = (-1)^{\ell(w)}$ because $c_{\lambda e} = 1$.

In particular, $q = q * e(0) = q * \text{ch}L(0) = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e_{w(\rho)}$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}L(\lambda) &= \frac{\sum_w (-1)^{\ell(w)} e_{w(\lambda + \rho)}}{\sum_w (-1)^{\ell(w)} e_{w(\rho)}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_w (-1)^{\ell(w)} e(w \cdot \lambda)}{\sum_w (-1)^{\ell(w)} e(w \cdot 0)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Example: For type A_1 , we have $W = \Sigma_2 = \{1, s\}$. Take $\lambda = 3$ under

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &\equiv \mathbb{Z} \\ \alpha_1 &\rightarrow 2 \\ w_1 = \rho &\rightarrow 1, \end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}L(3) &= \frac{e(\mathbf{1} \cdot 3) - e(s \cdot 3)}{e(\mathbf{1} \cdot 0) - e(s \cdot 0)} \\ &= \frac{e(3) - e(-5)}{e(0) - e(-2)} \\ &= e(3) + e(1) + e(-1) + e(-3) \quad \text{by long division.} \end{aligned}$$

Corollary (Kostant's Dimension Formula):

If $\mu \leq \lambda \in \Lambda^+$, then

$$\dim L(\lambda)_\mu = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} P(w \cdot \lambda - \mu).$$

Proof: $p \star e_\mu(w \cdot \lambda) = \sum_{a+b=w \cdot \lambda} p(a)e_\mu(b) = p(w \cdot \lambda - \mu)$, since this is the only term that survives.

Then $p(w \cdot \lambda - \mu)$ is the coefficient for $e(\mu)$ in $\text{ch}M(w \cdot \lambda) = \dim M(\lambda)_\mu$. Thus $\dim L(\lambda)_\mu = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \dim M(w \cdot \lambda)_\mu$.

Corollary (Weyl's Dimension Formula):

If $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, then

$$\dim L(\lambda) = \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (\lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (\rho, \alpha^\vee)}$$

Proof (sketch):

Define an operator $\partial = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \partial_\alpha$, where $\partial_\alpha : e(\mu) \mapsto (u, \alpha^\vee)e(\mu)$. Then ∂ is well-defined since $\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta = \partial_\beta \partial_\alpha$ for all α, β , and (exercise) ∂ is a derivation.

Define an evaluation homomorphism $\nu : \sum_\mu c_\mu e(\mu) \mapsto \prod_\mu c_\mu$. Note that $\nu(\text{ch}L(\lambda)) = \dim L(\lambda)$, and $\nu(q) = 0$ because $\nu(e_{\alpha_i-1}) = 0$.

Claim:

$$\nu(\partial(q \star \text{ch}L(\mu - \rho))) = |w| \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (\mu, \alpha^\vee)$$

This is relatively straightforward once you know that you have a derivation and a homomorphism.

With this claim, we have

$$\nu(\partial(q \star \text{ch}L(\lambda))) = \nu(\partial q) \nu(\text{ch}L(\lambda)) + \nu(q) \nu(\partial \text{ch}L(\lambda))$$

where we can identify a number of terms, and then taking ratios yields Weyl's dimension formula.