## Title

D. Zack Garza

## **Contents**

1 Lecture 14: Monday, November 02

3

Contents 2

# 1 Lecture 14: Monday, November 02

Recall the that Hasse-Weil zeta function of a one-variable function field  $K/\mathbb{F}_q$  over a finite ground field is defined in the following way: let  $A_n = A_n(K)$  be the number of effective divisors of degree n. We have proved that  $A_n$  is finite, and for n > 2g - 2 we have a formula

$$Z(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n t^n = \sum_{D \in \text{Div}^+(K)} t^{\deg(D)} \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]],$$

which is a formal power series with integer coefficients.

Remark 1.0.1: Recall that we have proved that it is a rational function of t, and in particular when  $g = 0, \delta = 1$  we get

$$Z(t) = \frac{1}{(1-qt)(1-t)}.$$

We got another expression which isn't fantastic: it involves this  $\delta$ , which we'll work toward proving is equal to 1. When g > 1, we broke the zeta function into two pieces Z(t) = F(t) + G(t). For divisors of sufficiently high degree, Riemann-Roch tells you what the dimension of the Riemann-Roch space is, and G(t) explains the part coming from divisors of large degree. We obtained a formula previously for F(t) and G(t), and once we show  $\delta = 1$  the formula for G will simplify. For F(t), we specifically had

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{0 \le \deg(c) \le 2q-2} q^{\ell(c)t^{\deg(c)}},$$

where the sum is over divisor classes and  $\ell$  is the dimension of linear system corresponding to a divisor. But this isn't a great formula: what are these classes, dhow many are in each degree, and what is the dimension of the Riemann-Roch space?

Remark 1.0.2: This is analogous to the Dedekind zeta function of a number field K, in which case

$$\zeta_K(s) = \sum_{T \in \ell(\mathbb{Z}_k)}^{\bullet} |\mathbb{Z}_k/I|^{-s},$$

which will be covered in a separate lecture on Serre zeta functions.

#### Theorem $1.0.1(F.K.\ Schmidt)$ .

For all  $K/\mathbb{F}_q$ , we have  $\delta = I(K) = 1$  where I is the index.

This will follow from the associated, but it much weaker. However, this is one of the facts we'd like to establish to use to *prove* the Riemann hypothesis.

Remark 1.0.3: Pete studied this in 2004 and found that every  $I \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  arises as the index of a genus one function field  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The *index* of the function field, least positive degree of a divisor.

### Lemma 1.1(?).

The index of a function field over an arbitrary

Notation: for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , let  $\mu_n$  denote the *n*th roots of unity in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

#### Lemma 1.2(?).

For  $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , set  $d = \gcd(r)$ . Then

$$\left(1-t^{mr/d}\right)^d = \prod_{\xi \in \mu_r} 1 - (\xi t)^m.$$

Proof (?).

In  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ , we have

$$(X^{r/1} - 1)^d = \prod_{\xi \in \mu_r} (X - \xi^m),$$

where both sides are monic polynomials whose roots include the (r/d)th roots of unity, each with multiplicity d. On the LHS, the distinct roots are the r/dth roots of unity, then raising to the dth power gives them multiplicity d. On the RHS, this is an exercise in cyclic groups: consider the nth power map on  $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$  and compute its image and kernel. As  $\xi$  ranges over rth roots of unity,  $\xi^m$  ranges over all r/dth roots of unity, each occurring with multiplicity d. Substituting  $X = t^{-m}$  yields the original result.

Special case: set m = r, then the RHS is r copies of 1.

Next up, we want to compare the zeta function for a function field over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to the zeta function obtained when extending scalars to  $\mathbb{Q}^r$ .

#### Proposition 1.0.1(?).

Let  $K/\mathbb{F}_q$  be a function field,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and take the compositum of K and  $\mathbb{F}_q^r$  viewed as a function field over  $\mathbb{F}_q^r$ . Let Z(t) be the zeta function of  $K/\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $Z_r(t)$  the zeta function of  $K_r/\mathbb{F}_q^r$ . Then

$$Z_r(t^r) = \prod_{q \in \mu_r} Z(qt).$$

Proof (?).

We have an Euler product formula

$$Z(t) = \prod_{p \in \Sigma(K/\mathbb{F}_q)} (1 - t^{\deg(p)})^{-1}.$$

where the sum is over places of the function field.

Exercise 1.0.1 (?): Why is this true? Write as a geometric series with ratio  $t^{\deg(p)}$ . Here just

expand each summand to get

$$Z(t) = \prod_{p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t^{j \deg(p)}.$$

Multiplying this out and collecting terms is in effect multiplying out the prime divisors to get effective divisors.

We use the result that was stated (but not proved): If  $p \in \Sigma_m(K/\mathbb{F}_q)$  is a degree n place and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then there exist precisely  $d := \gcd(m, r)$  places  $p^r$  of  $K_r$  lying over p. Moreover, each place  $p^r$  has degree m/d.