# Homework 7

# D. Zack Garza

# November 6, 2019

# **Contents**

1	Problem 1	1
	1.1 Part 1	1
	1.2 Part 2	2
2	Problem 2	3
	2.1 Part 1	3
	2.2 Part 2	
3	Problem 3	3
	3.1 Part 1	3
	3.2 Part 2	4
	3.3 Part 3	
4	Problem 4	6
5	Problem 5	7
	5.1 Part (a)	7
	5.2 Part (b)	
		8
	5.4 Part (d)	
	0.1 1 all (a)	J
6	Problem 6	9
7	Problem 7	10

# 1 Problem 1

### 1.1 Part 1

In order for IS to be a submodule of A, we need to show the following implication:

$$x \in IS, \ a \in A \implies xa, ax \in IS.$$

Suppose  $x \in IS$ . Then by definition,  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i a_i$  for some  $r_i \in R, a_i \in A$ .

But then

$$xa = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i a_i\right) a$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i a_i a$$
$$:= \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i a'_i,$$

where  $a'_i := a_i a$  for each i, which is still an element of A since A itself is a module and thus closed under multiplication.

But this expresses xa as an element of IS. Similarly, we have

$$ax = a \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i a_i \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} a r_i a_i a$$

$$\coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i a a_i,$$

$$\coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i a'_i,$$

and so  $ax \in IS$  as well.

#### 1.2 Part 2

Letting  $R/I \curvearrowright A/IA$  be the action given by  $r+I \curvearrowright +IA := ra+IA$ , we need to show the following:

- $\bullet \quad r.(x+y) = r.x + r.y,$
- (r+r').x = r.x + r'.x,
- (rs).x = r.(s.x), and
- 1.x = x.

Letting  $\oplus$  denote the addition defined on cosets, we have

$$\begin{split} r &\curvearrowright (x + IA \oplus y + IA) \coloneqq r \curvearrowright x + y + IA \\ &\coloneqq r(x + y) + IA \\ &= rx + ry + IA \\ &\coloneqq rx + IA \oplus ry + IA \\ &\coloneqq (r \curvearrowright x + IA) \oplus (r \curvearrowright y + IA). \end{split}$$

$$(r+s) \curvearrowright x + IA := (r+s)x + IA$$
  
 $:= rx + sx + IA$   
 $:= rx + IA \oplus sx + IA$   
 $:= (rs \curvearrowright IA) \oplus (sx \curvearrowright IA).$ 

$$(rs) \curvearrowright x + IA := rsx + IA$$
  
=  $r(sx) + IA$   
:=  $r \curvearrowright (sx + IA)$   
=  $r \curvearrowright (s \curvearrowright x + IA)$ .

$$1 \curvearrowright x + IA := 1x + IA = x + IA$$
.

#### 2 Problem 2

#### 2.1 Part 1

We want to show that every simple R-module M is cyclic, i.e. if the only ideals of M are (0) and M itself, that  $M = \langle m \rangle$  for some element  $m \in M$ .

Towards a contradiction, let M be a simple R-module and suppose M is not cyclic, so  $M \neq \langle m \rangle$  for any  $m \in M$ . But then let  $a \in M$  be an arbitrary nontrivial element; then (a) is a non-empty ideal (since it contains a), so  $(a) \neq 0$ . Since M is simple, we must have (a) = M, a contradiction.

#### 2.2 Part 2

Let  $\phi:A\to A$  be a module endomorphism on a simple module A. Then im  $\phi:=\phi(A)$  is a submodule of A. Since A is simple, we have either im  $\phi=0$ , in which case  $\phi$  is the zero map, or im  $\phi=A$ , so  $\phi$  is surjective. In this case, we can also consider  $\ker\phi$ , which is a submodule of A. Since A is simple, we can again only have  $\ker\phi=A$ , which can not happen if  $\phi$  is not the zero map, or  $\ker\phi=0$ , in which case  $\phi$  is both a surjective and an injective map and thus an isomorphism of modules.

#### 3 Problem 3

#### 3.1 Part 1

We want to show that if A, B are R-modules then  $X = (\text{hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(A, B), + \text{ is an abelian group.}$ Let  $f, g, h \in X$ , we then need to show the following:

- a. Closure:  $f + g \in X$
- b. Associativity: f + (g + h) = (f + g) + h

c. Identity:  $id \in X$ d. Inverses:  $f^{-1} \in X$ 

e. Commutativity: f + g = g + f

Closure: This follows from the definition, because  $(f+g) \curvearrowright x := f(x) + g(x)$  pointwise, which is well-defined homomorphism  $A \to B$ .

Associativity: We have

$$f + (g+h) \curvearrowright x := f(x) + (g+h)(x)$$
$$:= f(x) + (g(x) + h(x))$$
$$= (f(x) + g(x)) + h(x)$$
$$= (f+g) + h \curvearrowright x.$$

Identity: We can define  $\mathbf{0}: A \to B$  by  $\mathbf{0}(x) = 0 \in B$ . Then

$$(f + \mathbf{0}) \curvearrowright x = f(x) + 0 = f(x) = 0 + f(x) = (\mathbf{0} + f) \curvearrowright x.$$

Inverses: Given  $f \in X$ , we can define  $-f : A \to B$  as -f(x) = -x. Then

$$(f+-f) \curvearrowright x = f(x) + -f(x) = f(x) - f(x) = x - x = 0 = \mathbf{0} \curvearrowright x$$
  
 $(-f+f) \curvearrowright x = -f(x) + f(x) = -f(x) + f(x) = -x + x = 0 = \mathbf{0} \curvearrowright x.$ 

Commutativity: Since B is a module, by definition (B, +) is an abelian group. Thus

$$(f+q) \curvearrowright x = f(x) + g(x) = g(x) + f(x) = (g+f) \curvearrowright x.$$

#### 3.2 Part 2

By part 1,  $(\hom_{R-\text{mod}}(A, A), +)$  is an abelian group, We just need to check that  $(\hom_R(A, A), \circ)$  is a monoid, i.e.:

• Associativity:  $f \circ (g \circ h) = (f \circ g) \circ h$ 

• Identity:  $id \circ f = f$ 

• Closure:  $f \circ g \in \text{hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(A, A)$ 

Associativity: We have

$$f \circ (g \circ h) \curvearrowright x := (f \circ (g \circ h))(x)$$

$$= f((g \circ h)(x))$$

$$= f(g(h(x)))$$

$$= (f \circ g)(h(x))$$

$$= ((f \circ g) \circ h)(x)$$

$$:= (f \circ g) \circ h \curvearrowright x.$$

Identity: Take  $id_A: A \to A$  given by  $id_A(x) = x$ , then

$$f \circ \mathrm{id}_A \curvearrowright x = f(\mathrm{id}_A(x)) = f(x) = \mathrm{id}_A(f(x)) = \mathrm{id}_A \circ f \curvearrowright x.$$

Closure: If  $f:A\to A$  and  $g:A\to A$  are homomorphisms, then  $f\circ g:A\to A$  as a set map, and is an R-module homomorphism because

$$f \circ g \curvearrowright (r+s)(x+y) = f(g((r+s)(x+y)))$$

$$= f((r+s)(g(x)+g(y)))$$

$$= (r+s)(f(g(x))+f(g(y)))$$

$$= (f \curvearrowright (r+s)(x+y)) \circ (g \curvearrowright (r+s)(x+y)).$$

#### 3.3 Part 3

For arbitrary  $x, y \in A$ , we need to check the following:

a. 
$$f \curvearrowright (x+y) = f \curvearrowright x+f \curvearrowright y$$

b. 
$$(f+g) \curvearrowright x = f \curvearrowright x + g \curvearrowright x$$

c. 
$$f \circ g \curvearrowright x = f \curvearrowright (g \curvearrowright x)$$

d. 
$$id_a \curvearrowright x = x$$

For (a):

$$f \curvearrowright (x+y) \coloneqq f(x+y)$$
  
=  $f(x) + f(y)$  since  $f$  is a homomorphism  
=  $f \curvearrowright x + f \curvearrowright y$ 

.

For (b):

$$(f+g) \curvearrowright x = (f+g)(x)$$

$$= f(x) + g(x)$$

$$= f \curvearrowright x + g \curvearrowright x.$$

For (c):

$$f \circ g \curvearrowright x = (f \circ g)(x)$$

$$= f(g(x))$$

$$= f \curvearrowright g(x)$$

$$= f \curvearrowright (g \curvearrowright x).$$

For (d):

$$id_A \curvearrowright x = id_A(x) = x.$$

## 4 Problem 4

**Injectivity**: We have the following situation:



where we would like to show that f is a monomorphism, i.e. that  $\ker f = 0$ . So let  $x \in \ker f$ , so  $y := f(x) = 0 \in B_3$ .

We will show that  $x = 0 \in A_3$ :

- Since  $y = 0 \in B_3$ , applying  $B_3 \to B_4$  yields  $y \mapsto 0 \in B_4$  since these maps are homomorphisms and always map zero to zero.
- Pull back  $0 \in B_4$  to  $0 \in B_3$  along  $\alpha_4$ , which can be done since  $\alpha_4$  is injective, giving  $0 \in A_4$ .
- Since this is 0 in  $A_4$ , it is in the kernel of  $A_3 \to A_4$ , yielding some  $x \in A_3$ .
- By commutativity of the third square,  $x \mapsto f(x)$  under  $f: A_3 \to B_3$ .
- Since  $x \in \ker(A_3 \to A_4) = \operatorname{im}(A_2 \to A_3)$  by exactness, there is some  $\alpha \in A_2$  such that  $\alpha_2(a) = x \in A_3$ .
- By injectivity of  $\alpha_2$ , a maps to a unique element  $\alpha_2(a) \in B_2$ .
- By commutativity of the middle square, since  $a \in A_2 \mapsto 0 \in B_3$ , we must have  $\alpha_2(a) \mapsto 0 f(x)$  under  $B_2 \to B_3$ .
- Then  $\alpha_2(a) \in \ker(B_2 \to B_3) = \operatorname{im}(B_1 \to B_2)$ , so it pulls back to some  $b \in B_1$ .
- By surjectivity of  $\alpha_1$ , b pulls back to some  $a' \in A_1$ .
- By commutativity of square 1,  $a' \mapsto a$  under  $A_1 \to A_2$ .
- So  $a \mapsto x$  under  $A_1 \to A_3$ .
- But then  $a \in \text{im } (A_1 \to A_2) = \text{ker}(A_2 \to A_3)$ , so  $a \mapsto 0$  under  $A_1 \to A_3$ .
- So x = 0 as desired.

Surjectivity: We now have this situation:

$$A_{2} \longrightarrow A_{3} \longrightarrow A_{4} \longrightarrow A_{5}$$

$$\downarrow \alpha_{2} \qquad \qquad \downarrow f \qquad \qquad \downarrow \alpha_{4} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \alpha_{5}$$

$$B_{2} \longrightarrow B_{3} \longrightarrow B_{4} \longrightarrow B_{5}$$

Let  $y \in B_3$ ; we want to then show that there exists an  $x \in A_3$  such that f(x) = y.

- Apply  $B_3 \to B_4$  to y to obtain  $y_4 \in B_4$ .
- By surjectivity of  $\alpha_4$ , this pulls back to some  $a_4 \in A_4$ .
- Also by exactness of  $B_3 \to B_4 \to B_5$ ,  $y_4$  pushes forward to  $0 \in B_5$
- By injectivity of  $\alpha_5$ , this pulls back to  $0 \in A_5$ .
- By commutativity of the right square,  $y_4 \mapsto 0$  under  $A_4 \rightarrow A_5$ .
- Since  $a_4 \in \ker(A_4 \to A_5)$ , it pulls back to some  $x \in A_3$  by exactness of  $A_3 \to A_4 \to A_5$ .
- Then  $f(x) \in B_3$ , and it remains to show that f(x) = y.
- By commutativity of the middle square,  $f(x) \mapsto y_4$  under  $B_3 \to B_4$ .
- Since  $a \mapsto y_4$  we as well, we have  $z := f(x) y \in B_3$  maps to  $0 \in B_4$ .
- Since  $z \in \ker(B_3 \to B_4)$ , by exactness it pulls back to some  $b_2 \in B_2$ .
- By surjectivity of  $\alpha_2$ , this pulls back to some  $a_2 \in A_2$ .
- By commutativity of the first square,  $a_2 \mapsto z \in B_3$ .
- $a_2 \mapsto a_3 \in A_3$ , where  $a_3$  may not equal x, but  $f(a_3) = z := f(a) y$ .
- Then  $f(a_3) = f(x) y \implies y = f(x) f(a_3) = f(x a_3)$  since f is a homomorphism.
- This shows that  $x a_3 \mapsto y$  under f, which is the element we wanted to produce.

#### 5 Problem 5

#### 5.1 Part (a)

We want to show that if  $(p) \leq R$  is a prime ideal then R/(p) is a field, so we'll proceed by letting  $x + (p) \in R/(p)$  be arbitrary where  $x \notin (p)$  and producing a multiplicative inverse.

Since R is a principal ideal domain, prime ideals are maximal, so (p) is maximal. Then  $x \in R \setminus (p)$ , so define

$$I := \{ p + rx \ni p \in (p), r \in R \} \triangleleft R,$$

which is an ideal in R.

In particular, since  $x \notin (p)$ , we have a strict containment (p) < I, but since (p) was maximal this forces I = R.

Then  $1 \in I$ , so there exists some p, r such that p + rx = 1, i.e.  $rx - 1 \in (p)$ .

But then

$$r + (p) \cdot x + (p) = rx + (p) = 1 + (p),$$

which says that  $(x + (p))^{-1} = r + (p)$  in R/(p).

### 5.2 Part (b)

Images and kernels of module homomorphisms are always submodules, so define

$$\phi: A \to A$$
$$x \mapsto px.$$

This is a module homomorphism, and

im 
$$\phi := \{px \ni x \in A\} := pA$$
,  
ker  $\phi := \{a \in A \ni pA = 0\} := A[p]$ .

### 5.3 Part (c)

Since R/(p) is a field, we just need to show that  $A/pA \curvearrowright R/(p)$  defines a module.  $r \cdot (x+y) = rx + ry$ :

$$\begin{aligned} r+(p) &\curvearrowright x+pA \oplus y+pA \coloneqq r+(p) \curvearrowright x+y+pA \\ &\coloneqq r(x+y)+pA \\ &= rx+ry+pA \\ &\coloneqq rx+pA \oplus ry+pA \\ &\coloneqq r \curvearrowright x+pA \oplus r \curvearrowright y+pA. \end{aligned}$$

 $(r+s) \cdot x = rx + sx$ :

$$r + (p) \oplus s + (p) \curvearrowright x + pA \coloneqq r + s + (p) \curvearrowright x + pA$$
$$\coloneqq (r + s)x + pA$$
$$= rx + sx + pA$$
$$\coloneqq rx + pA \oplus sx + pA$$
$$\coloneqq r + (p) \curvearrowright x + pA \oplus s + (p) \curvearrowright x + pA.$$

 $rs \cdot x = r \cdot (s \cdot x)$ :

$$r + (p) \cdot s + (p) \curvearrowright x + pA := rs + (p) \curvearrowright x + pA$$
  
 $= rsx + pA$   
 $:= r + (p) \curvearrowright sx + pA$   
 $:= r + (p) \curvearrowright s + (p) \curvearrowright x + pA$ .

 $1 \cdot x = x$ :

$$1_R + (p) \curvearrowright x + pA = 1_R x + pA = x + pA.$$

### 5.4 Part (d)

Similarly, since R/(p) is a field, it suffices to show that  $R/(p) \curvearrowright A[p]$  defines a module.  $r \cdot (x+y) = rx + ry$ :

$$r + (p) \curvearrowright (a + a') := r(a + a')$$
  
=  $ra + ra'$   
=  $r \curvearrowright a + r \curvearrowright a'$ .

 $(r+s) \cdot x = rx + sx$ :

$$r + s + (p) \curvearrowright a = (r + s)a$$
  
=  $ra + sa$   
=  $r \curvearrowright a + s \curvearrowright a$ .

 $rs \cdot x = r \cdot (s \cdot x)$ :

$$rs + (p) \curvearrowright a = rsa$$
  
=  $r \curvearrowright sa$   
=  $r \curvearrowright s \curvearrowright a$ .

 $1 \cdot x = x$ :

$$1_R + (p) \curvearrowright a = 1a = a$$
.

## 6 Problem 6

Supposing that dim V = n, let  $\mathcal{B} := \{\mathbf{b}_k \mid 1 \le k \le n\}$  be a basis for V, and define

$$\mathbf{e}_i \coloneqq [0, 0, \cdots, 1, \cdots, 0] \in V^{\oplus m}$$

where the 1 occurs in the *i*th position. The claim is that  $\mathcal{B}^m := \{\mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{b}_k \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, \ 1 \leq k \leq m\}$  forms a basis for  $V^{\oplus m}$ .

Elements in  $\mathcal{B}^m$  are of the form

$$[\mathbf{b}_{1}, 0, 0, \cdots, 0]$$

$$[\mathbf{b}_{2}, 0, 0, \cdots, 0]$$

$$\vdots$$

$$[0, \mathbf{b}_{1}, 0, \cdots, 0]$$

$$[0, \mathbf{b}_{2}, 0, \cdots, 0]$$

$$\vdots$$

and by construction,  $|\mathcal{B}| = mn = m \dim V$ .

To see that this is a spanning set, let  $\mathbf{x} \in V^{\oplus m}$ , so  $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_m]$  where each  $\mathbf{v}_i \in V$ .

Then each  $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathcal{B}$ , so  $\mathbf{v}_i = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{k,i} \mathbf{b}_k$ . But then

$$\mathbf{x} = \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k,1} \mathbf{b}_{k}, \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k,2} \mathbf{b}_{k}, \cdots, \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k,m} \mathbf{b}_{k}\right] := \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k,i} \mathbf{b}_{k} \mathbf{e}_{i},$$

which exhibits  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{B}^m$ .

To see that it is linearly independent, supposing that  $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i} \sum_{k} \alpha_{k,i} \mathbf{b}_{k} \mathbf{e}_{i} = 0$ , this says that  $\mathbf{x} = [0, 0, \dots, 0]$ , which forces  $\sum_{k} \alpha_{k,i} \mathbf{b}_{k}$  to be zero for each i.

But for a fixed i, since  $\{\mathbf{b}_k\}$  was a basis for V, this means that  $\alpha_{k,i} = 0$  for all k. But then  $\alpha_{k,i} = 0$  for all pairs i, k.

### 7 Problem 7

Let  $F_1, F_2$  be free, so they have bases  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{\mathbf{b}_{1,k}\}, \mathcal{B}_2 = \{\mathbf{b}_{2,k}\}$ . Supposing that they have the invariant dimension property, we can assume that  $\#\mathcal{B}_1 := \operatorname{rank} F_1$  and similarly  $\#\mathcal{B}_2 := \operatorname{rank} F_2$ .

The claim is that the set

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(v,0) \mid v \in \mathcal{B}_1\} \bigcup \{(0,w) \mid w \in \mathcal{B}_2\}$$

is a basis for  $F_1 \oplus F_2$ , where  $\#\mathcal{B} = \#\mathcal{B}_1 + \#\mathcal{B}_2 = \operatorname{rank} F_1 + \operatorname{rank} F_2$ .

So see that  $\mathcal{B}$  spans  $F_1 \oplus F_2$ , let  $x \in F_1 \oplus F_2 = (f_1, f_2)$  be arbitrary. Since  $f_1 \in F_1$ , we have  $f_1 = \sum_i r_i \mathbf{b}_{1,i}$ .