# Category $\mathcal{O}$ , Problem Set 4

### D. Zack Garza

# Thursday 16<sup>th</sup> April, 2020

### **Contents**

1	Humphreys 3.1     1.1 Solution	<b>1</b> 1
	Humphreys 3.2     2.1 Solution	<b>1</b> 2
3	Humphreys 3.4     3.1 Solution	<b>2</b>
	Humphreys 3.7   4.1 a	

### 1 Humphreys 3.1

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$  and identify  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{\vee}$  with a scalar. Let N be a 2-dimensional  $U(\mathfrak{b})$ -module defined by letting x act as 0 and h act as  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ .

Show that the induced  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module structure  $M := U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} N$  fits into an exact sequence which fails to split:

$$0 \longrightarrow M(\lambda) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0$$

#### 1.1 Solution

Reference 1 Reference 2

Hence  $M \notin \mathcal{O}$ .

# 2 Humphreys 3.2

Show that for  $M \in \mathcal{O}$  and dim  $L < \infty$ ,

$$(M \otimes L)^{\vee} \cong M^{\vee} \otimes L^{\vee}$$

Reference for Dual of Sum

### 2.1 Solution

By theorem 3.2d, we have

$$M, N \in \mathcal{O} \implies (M \oplus N)^{\vee} \cong M^{\vee} \oplus N^{\vee}$$

and by definition,  $M^{\vee} := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{\vee}} M_{\lambda}^{\vee}$  is the direct sum of the duals of various weight spaces.

### 3 Humphreys 3.4

Show that  $\Phi_{[\lambda]} \cap \Phi^+$  is a positive system in the root system  $\Phi_{[\lambda]}$ , but the corresponding simple system  $\Delta_{[\lambda]}$  may be unrelated to  $\Delta$ .

For a concrete example, take  $\Phi$  of type  $B_2$  with a short simple root  $\alpha$  and a long simple root  $\beta$ . If  $\lambda := \alpha/2$ , check that  $\Phi_{[\lambda]}$  contains just the four short roots in  $\Phi$ .

#### 3.1 Solution

We would like to show the following two propositions:

- 1.  $\Phi_{[\lambda]}^+ := \Phi_{[\lambda]} \cap \Phi^+$  is a positive system in  $\Phi_{[\lambda]}$ ,
- 2. The simple system  $\Delta_{[\lambda]}$  corresponding to  $\Phi_{[\lambda]}^+$  is not generally given by  $\Delta_{[\lambda]} = \Phi_{[\lambda]} \bigcap \Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the simple system corresponding to  $\Phi$ .

We proceed by first showing (2) using the hinted counterexample when  $\Phi$  is of type  $B_2$  with  $\Delta = \{\alpha, \beta\}$  with  $\alpha$  a short root and  $\beta$  a long root.

Concretely, we can realize  $\Phi$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  in the following way:

$$\Phi = \left\{[1,0],[0,1],[-1,0],[0,-1]\right\}\bigcup \left\{[1,1],[-1,1],[1,-1],[-1,-1]\right\},$$

where we note that the first set consists of short roots and the second of long roots.

We can choose the simple system  $\Delta = \{\alpha := [1,0], \beta := [-1,1]\}$ , and then let

$$\Phi_{[\lambda]} := \left\{ \gamma \in \Phi \mid \langle \lambda, \ \gamma^{\vee} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \qquad \gamma^{\vee} := \frac{2}{\|\gamma\|^2} \ \gamma.$$

Now choosing  $\lambda := \frac{\alpha}{2} = \left[\frac{1}{2}, 0\right]$ , a short calculation shows that for an arbitrary  $\gamma \in \Phi$ ,

$$\langle \lambda, \gamma^{\vee} \rangle \coloneqq \left\langle \left[ \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right], \frac{2}{\|\gamma\|^2} \gamma \right\rangle.$$

### 4 Humphreys 3.7

#### 4.1 a

If a module M has a standard filtration and there exists an epimorphism  $\phi: M \longrightarrow M(\lambda)$ , prove that ker  $\phi$  admits a standard filtration.

#### 4.2 b

Show by example that when  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$  that the existence of a monomorphism  $\phi: M(\lambda) \longrightarrow M$  where M has a standard filtration fails to imply that coker  $\phi$  has a standard filtration.