Title

D. Zack Garza

Table of Contents

Contents

1 Lecture 07

Last time: stalks, sheafification, and $\mathrm{Sh}(X_{\text{ét}})$ is abelian. Next up, we're aiming to define sheaf cohomology for $\mathrm{Sh}(X_{\text{ét}})$.

Remark 1.0.1 ***(Esoteric!):*** Related to a question asked by a viewer: there is not in fact a morphism from $X_{\text{fppf}} \to X_{\text{ét}}$, since locally finitely-presented need not be finitely presented (part of the condition for fppf). There is instead a morphism $X_{\text{fppf}} \to X_{\text{ét,fp}}$ to a corresponding finitely presented site. There is also a map $X_{\text{ét}} \to X_{\text{ét,fp}}$ inducing an equivalence on the category of sheaves via pushforward.

Theorem 1.0.2 ***(Enough injectives).***
$\mathrm{Sh}(X_{\text{ét}})$ has enough injectives.

Proof (?).
Given $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Sh}(X_{\text{ét}})$ we want an injective sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ and an injection $\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{I}$. For each $x \in X$, choose a geometric point $\bar{x}$ over x, and let $I(\bar{x})$ be an injective $\mathbb{Z}$-module with a map $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}} \to I(\bar{x})$. These exist because the category of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules has enough injectives. The injectives in this category are **divisible** abelian groups.

Claim: The following object works:

$$\mathcal{I} := \prod_{\bar{x}} (\iota_{\bar{x}})_* I(\bar{x}).$$

We need to check

1. There is a map $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{I}$: The RHS is a product, so we map into the components. $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}}$ maps into its own associated skyscraper sheaf where the map is sending sections to their germs. Then the skyscraper sheaf for $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}}$ maps into the skyscraper sheaf for $I(\bar{x})$ by pushforward.
2. This is a monomorphism: check on stalks.
3. $\mathcal{I}$ is injective: check the lifting property directly.

■

1.1 What Else We Get From Sheafification

Remark 1.1.1: We now know that $\mathrm{Sh}(X_{\text{ét}})$ is abelian with enough injectives. This is true for $\mathrm{Sh}(\tau)$ for any site τ, but this is substantially harder to show.

1.1.1 Inverse Images

For $f : X \to Y$, we have a map on presheaves

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1} &: \mathrm{Presh}(Y_{\text{ét}}) \to \mathrm{Presh}(X_{\text{ét}}) \\ \mathcal{F}(V &\xrightarrow{\text{ét}} X) \mapsto \varinjlim \mathcal{F}(U \to X), \end{aligned}$$

where the limit is over diagrams of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd} V \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\text{ét}"] & U \arrow[d, "\text{ét}"] \\ X \arrow[r] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

Fact 1.1.2: f^{-1} is left adjoint to pushforward as functors on presheaves.

Exercise 1.1.3 ***(?):*** Check this.

Definition 1.1.4 (Inverse Image Sheaf)

$$f^*\mathcal{F} := \left(f^{-1}\mathcal{F}\right)^a.$$

Theorem 1.1.5 ***(?).***
f^* is left adjoint to f_*.

Proof (?).
Sheafification is a left adjoint. ∎

Example 1.1.6 ***(?):***

- For $\bar{x} \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} X$ a geometric point, we have $\iota^*\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}}$.
- For $Y \xrightarrow{f} X$, we have $f^*\underline{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} = \underline{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$.
- More generally, for $Y \xrightarrow{f} X$ and any representable functor $\mathcal{F} := \underline{\hom}_X(\,\cdot\,, Z)$, we have $f^*\mathcal{F} = \underline{\hom}_Y(\,\cdot\,, Y \times_X Z)$.

1.2 Étale Cohomology

See **??** for the definition of étale cohomology. How do we compute $H^i(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F})$? Choose an injective resolution

$$\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{I}^0 \to \mathcal{I}^1 \to \cdots .$$

with the $\mathcal{I}^j$ injectives. From the general theory of derived functors, we obtain

$$H^i(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}) = H^i\left(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^{\cdot})\right),$$

where the RHS is a complex of abelian groups.