# **Problem Set 2**

#### D. Zack Garza

### February 9, 2020

### **Contents**

| 1 | Humphreys 1.5 | 1 |
|---|---------------|---|
| 2 | Humphreys 1.9 | 2 |

## 1 Humphreys 1.5

Proposition: Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$  and  $M(\lambda), M(\mu)$  Verma modules. Then  $M(\lambda) \otimes M(\mu)$  can not lie in  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Useful facts:

- For any  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{\vee}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}$  is a 1-dimensional  $\mathfrak{b}$ -bimodule with a trivial  $\mathfrak{n}$ -action.
- $M(\lambda) = \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda} = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}$  as a left  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.
- $M(\lambda) = U(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}$  as a left  $U(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ -module.
- $M(\lambda)$  is generated as a  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module by the maximal vector  $v^+ = 1 \otimes 1$ .
- The set of weights of  $M(\lambda)$  is  $\lambda \Gamma$  where  $\Gamma$  is the semigroup in  $\Lambda_r$  generated by  $\Phi^+$ .
- $M(\lambda)$  has weights  $\lambda, \lambda 2, \lambda 4, \cdots$  each with multiplicity 1.

#### Questions

- What is the tensor product over? Guess:  $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$ .
- MSE: the product is no longer finitely generated.
  - Consider dimensions of weight spaces eventually constant.
  - If wt $v = \lambda$  and wt $(u) = \mu$ , then wt $(u \otimes v) = \lambda + \mu$ .
  - Consider a weight space  $N_{\gamma}$  of M. This must have the form  $\bigoplus_{i} M_{a} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_{b}$ .
  - Example: consider  $\lambda = \mu = 0$ . Then  $M = M(0) \otimes M(0)$  and  $N_{-2m}$  has dimension m+1 for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

#### Solution:

Let  $M(\lambda), M(\mu)$  be arbitrary Verma modules for with highest weight vectors v, w respectively. We can then consider the weight of  $v \otimes w$  in  $N := M(\lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M(\mu)$ :

$$h \cdot (v \otimes w) = h \cdot v \otimes w + v \otimes h \cdot w$$
$$= \lambda(h)v \otimes w + v \otimes \mu(h)w$$
$$= \lambda(h)(v \otimes w) + \mu(h)(v \otimes w)$$
$$= (\lambda(h) + \mu(h))(v \otimes w).$$

Letting  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ , so  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^* \cong \mathbb{C}$ , the claim is that it is possible for N to not be finitely-generated as a  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.

In particular, we can use the fact that  $\dim M(\lambda) < \infty \iff \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , so if we pick  $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^{\leq 0}$  we have weight space decompositions

$$M(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+} M(\lambda)_{\lambda - 2i} := \bigoplus_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \\ \lambda_i := \lambda - 2i}} M(\lambda)_{\lambda_i}$$
$$M(\mu) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} M(\mu)_{\mu - 2j} := \bigoplus_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \\ \mu_i := \mu - 2j}} M(\mu)_{\mu_j}.$$

By the initial observation, this yields a weight space decomposition for N given by

$$N = M(\lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M(\mu) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda_i + \mu_i = \nu} M(\lambda)_{\lambda_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M(\mu)_{\mu_i} \right).$$

# 2 Humphreys 1.9

**Proposition:** Let  $\psi: Z(\mathfrak{g}) \to S(\mathfrak{h})$  be the Harish-Chandra homomorphism. Then  $\psi$  is independent of the choice of a simple system in  $\Phi$ .

Hint: any simple system has the form  $w\Delta$  for some  $w \in W$ .

Useful facts:

•