Problem Set 8

D. Zack Garza

November 27, 2019

Contents

1	Problem 1	1
	1.1 Part a	
2	Problem 2 2.1 Part a	
	2.1.2 Part ii	
3	Problem 3	5
4	Problem 4 4.1 Part a	
5	Problem 5	7
6	Problem 6	7

1 Problem 1

1.1 Part a

It follows from the definition that $||f||_{\infty} = 0 \iff f = 0$ almost everywhere, and if $||f||_{\infty}$ is the best upper bound for f almost everywhere, then $||cf||_{\infty}$ is the best upper bound for cf almost everywhere.

So it remains to show the triangle inequality. Suppose that $|f(x)| \le ||f||_{\infty}$ a.e. and $|g(x)| \le ||g||_{\infty}$ a.e., then by the triangle inequality for the $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{R}}$ we have

$$|(f+g)(x)| \le |f(x)| + |g(x)|$$
 a.e.
 $\le ||f||_{\infty} + ||g||_{\infty}$ a.e.,

which means that $||f + g||_{\infty} \le ||f||_{\infty} + ||g||_{\infty}$ as desired.

1.2 Part b

 \Longrightarrow : Suppose $||f_n - f||_{\infty} \to 0$, then for every ε , N_{ε} can be chosen large enough such that $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ a.e., which precisely means that there exist sets E_{ε} such that $x \in E_{\varepsilon} \Longrightarrow |f_n(x) - f(x)|$ and $m(E_{\varepsilon}^c) = 0$.

But then taking the sequence $\varepsilon_n := \frac{1}{n} \to 0$, we have $f_n \rightrightarrows f$ uniformly on $E := \bigcap_n E_n$ by definition, and $E^c = \bigcup_n E_n^c$ is still a null set.

 \Leftarrow : Suppose $f_n \rightrightarrows f$ uniformly on some set E and $m(E^c) = 0$. Then for any ε , we can choose N large enough such that $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ on E; but then ε is an upper bound for $f_n - f$ almost everywhere, so $||f_n - f||_{\infty} < \varepsilon \to 0$.

1.3 Part c

To see that simple functions are dense in $L^{\infty}(X)$, we can use the fact that $f \in L^{\infty}(X) \iff$ there exists a g such that f = g a.e. and g is bounded.


Then there is a sequence s_n of simple functions such that $||s_n - g||_{\infty} \to 0$, which follows from a proof in Folland:


Proof. (a) For
$$n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$$
 and $0 \le k \le 2^{2n} - 1$, let
$$E_n^k = f^{-1}((k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n}]) \quad \text{and} \quad F_n = f^{-1}((2^n, \infty]),$$

and define

$$\phi_n = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{2^n}-1} k 2^{-n} \chi_{E_n^k} + 2^n \chi_{F_n}.$$

(This formula is messy in print but easily understood graphically; see Figure 2.1.) It is easily checked that $\phi_n \leq \phi_{n+1}$ for all n, and $0 \leq f - \phi_n \leq 2^{-n}$ on the set where $f \leq 2^n$. The result therefore follows.





However, $C_c^0(X)$ is dense $L^\infty(X) \iff$ every $f \in L^\infty(X)$ can be approximated by a sequence $\{g_k\} \subset C_c^0(X)$ in the sense that $\|f - g_n\|_\infty \to 0$. To see why this can *not* be the case, let f(x) = 1, so $\|f\|_\infty = 1$ and let $g_n \to f$ be an arbitrary sequence of C_c^0 functions converging to f pointwise.

Since every g_n has compact support, say $\sup(g_n) := E_n$, then $g_n|_{E_n^c} \equiv 0$ and $m(E_n^c) > 0$. In particular, this means that $||f - g_n||_{\infty} = 1$ for every n, so g_n can not converge to f in the infinity norm.

2 Problem 2

2.1 Part a

2.1.1 Part i

Lemma: $||1||_p = m(X)^{1/p}$

This follows from $||1||_p^p = \int_X |1|^p = \int_X 1 = m(X)$ and taking pth roots.

By Holder with p = q = 2, we can now write

$$||f||_1 = ||1 \cdot f||_1 \le ||1||_2 ||f||_2 = m(X)^{1/2} ||f||_2$$

$$\implies ||f||_1 \le m(X)^{1/2} ||f||_2.$$

Letting $M := ||f||_{\infty}$, We also have

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_2^2 &= \int_X |f|^2 \le \int_X |M|^2 = M^2 \int_X 1 = M^2 m(X) \\ \Longrightarrow \|f\|_2 \le m(X)^{1/2} \|f\|_\infty \\ \Longrightarrow m(X)^{1/2} \|f\|_2 \le m(X) \|f\|_\infty, \end{split}$$

and combining these yields

$$\|f\|_1 \leq m(X)^{1/2} \|f\|_2 \leq m(X) \|f\|_\infty,$$

from which it immediately follows

$$m(X)<\infty\implies L^\infty(X)\subseteq L^2(X)\subseteq L^1(X).$$

The Inclusions Are Strict:

1.
$$\exists f \in L^1(X) \setminus L^2(X)$$
:

Let X = [0, 1] and consider $f(x) = x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Then

$$||f||_1 = \int_0^1 x^{-\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$$
 by the *p* test,

while

$$||f||_2^2 = \int_0^1 x^{-1} \to \infty$$
 by the *p* test.

2. $\exists f \in L^2(X) \setminus L^\infty(X)$:

Take X = [0, 1] and $f(x) = x^{-\frac{1}{4}}$. Then

$$||f||_2^2 = \int_0^1 x^{-\frac{1}{4}} < \infty$$
 by the *p* test,

while $||f||_{\infty} > M$ for any finite M, since f is unbounded in neighborhoods of 0, so $||f||_{\infty} = \infty$.

2.1.2 Part ii

1. $\exists f \in L^2(X) \setminus L^1(X)$ when $m(X) = \infty$:

Take $X = [1, \infty)$ and let $f(x) = x^{-1}$, then

$$||f||_2^2 = \int_0^\infty x^{-2} < \infty \qquad \text{by the } p \text{ test,}$$
$$||f||_1 = \int_0^\infty x^{-1} \to \infty \qquad \text{by the } p \text{ test.}$$

2. $\exists f \in L^{\infty}(X) \setminus L^{2}(X)$ when $m(X) = \infty$:

Take $X = \mathbb{R}$ and f(x) = 1. then

$$||f||_{\infty} = 1$$
$$||f||_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{D}} 1 \to \infty.$$

3. $L^2(X) \subseteq L^1(X) \implies m(X) < \infty$:

Let $f = \chi_X$, by assumption we can find a constant M such that $\|\chi_X\|_2 \leq M \|\chi_X\|_1$.

Then pick a sequence of sets $E_k \nearrow X$ such that $m(E_k) < \infty$ for all $k, \chi_{E_k} \nearrow \chi_X$, and thus $\|\chi_{E_k}\|_p \le M \|\chi_E\|_p$. By the lemma, $\|\chi_{E_k}\|_p = m(E_k)^{1/p}$, so we have

$$\|\chi_{E_k}\|_2 \le M \|\chi_{E_k}\|_1 \implies \frac{\|\chi_{E_k}\|_2}{\|\chi_{E_k}\|_1} \le M$$

$$\implies \frac{m(E_k)^{1/2}}{m(E_k)} \le M$$

$$\implies m(E_k)^{-1/2} \le M$$

$$\implies m(E_k) \le M^2 < \infty.$$

and by continuity of measure, we have $\lim_K m(E_k) = m(X) \le M^2 < \infty$. \square

2.2 Part b

1. $L_1(X) \cap L^{\infty}(X) \subset L^2(X)$:

Let $f \in L^1(X) \cap L^{\infty}(X)$ and $M := ||f||_{\infty}$, then

$$||f||_2^2 = \int_X |f|^2 = \int_X |f||f| \le \int_X M|f| = M \int |f| := ||f||_{\infty} ||f||_1 < \infty.$$
 (1)

The inclusion is strict, since we know from above that there is a function in $L^2(X)$ that is not in $L^{\infty}(X)$.

Note that taking square roots in (1) immediately yields

$$||f||_{L^2(X)} \le ||f||_{L^1(X)}^{1/2} ||f||_{L^{\infty}(X)}^{1/2}.$$

2.
$$L^{2}(X) \subset L^{1}(X) + L^{\infty}(X)$$
:

Let $f \in L^2(X)$. Noting that continuous functions with compact support are dense in $L^2(X)$, take an approximating sequence $\{g_n\} \subseteq C_c^0(X)$ with $\|g_n - f\|_2 \to 0$.

The claim is that we can choose N large enough such that when we write $f = (f - g_N) + g_N$, we will have $||f - g_N||_1 < \infty$ and $||g_N||_{\infty} < \infty$, which establishes the desired result.

To see that $g_N \in L^{\infty}(X)$, we can just note that since each g_n is C_c^0 , they are all **bounded**, say by $M < \infty$, in which case $||g_N||_{\infty} \leq M < \infty$.

To see that $g_N \in L^1(X)$, we can use the fact that $||f - g_N||_2 \le ||f||_2 + ||g_N||_2 < \infty$, so $f - g_N \in L^2(X)$.

TODO

3 Problem 3

For notational convenience, it suffices to prove this for $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, where we re-index each sequence in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$ using a bijection $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{N}$.

Note: this technically reorders all sums appearing, but since we are assuming absolute convergence everywhere, this can be done. One can also just replace $\sum_{j=n}^{m}|a_j|^p$ with $\sum_{n\leq |j|\leq m}|a_j|^p$ in what follows.

1. $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}) \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$:

Suppose $\sum_{j} |a|_{j} < \infty$, then its tails go to zero, so choose N large enough so that

$$j \ge N \implies |a_j| < 1.$$

But then

$$j \ge N \implies |a_j|^2 < |a_j|,$$

and

$$\sum_{j} |a_{j}|^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} |a_{j}|^{2} + \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} |a_{j}|^{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} |a_{j}|^{2} + \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} |a_{j}|$$

$$\leq M + \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} |a_{j}|$$

$$\leq M + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{j}|$$

$$< \infty.$$

where we just note that the first portion of the sum is a finite sum of finite numbers and thus bounded.

To see that the inclusion is strict, take $\mathbf{a} \coloneqq \left\{j^{-1}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$; then $\|\mathbf{a}\|_{2} < \infty$ by the *p*-test by $\|\mathbf{a}\|_{1} = \infty$ since it yields the harmonic series.

2.
$$\ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \subset \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$$
:

This follows from the contrapositive: if **a** is a sequence with unbounded terms, then $\|\mathbf{a}\|_2 = \sum |a_j|^2$ can not be finite, since convergence would require that $|a_j|^2 \to 0$ and thus $|a_j| \to 0$.

To see that the inclusion is strict, take $\mathbf{a} = \{1\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$. Then $\|\mathbf{a}\|_{\infty} = 1$, but the corresponding sum does not converge.

3.
$$\|\mathbf{a}\|_2 \le \|\mathbf{a}\|_1$$
:

Let $M = \|\mathbf{a}\|_1$, then

$$\|\mathbf{a}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \|\mathbf{a}\|_{1}^{2} \iff \frac{\|\mathbf{a}\|_{2}^{2}}{M^{2}} \leq 1 \iff \sum_{j} \left|\frac{a_{j}}{M}\right|^{2} \leq 1.$$

But then we can use the fact that

$$\left| \frac{a_j}{M} \right| \le 1 \implies \left| \frac{a_j}{M} \right|^2 \le \left| \frac{a_j}{M} \right|$$

to obtain

$$\sum_{j} \left| \frac{a_{j}}{M} \right|^{2} \leq \sum_{j} \left| \frac{a_{j}}{M} \right| = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j} |a_{j}| := 1.$$

4. $\|\mathbf{a}\|_{\infty} \leq \|\mathbf{a}\|_{2}$:

This follows from the fact that, we have

$$\|\mathbf{a}\|_{\infty}^2 := \left(\sup_{j} |a_j|\right)^2 = \sup_{j} |a_j|^2 \le \sum_{j} |a_j|^2 = \|\mathbf{a}\|_2^2$$

and taking square roots yields the desired inequality.

Note: the middle inequality follows from the fact that the supremum S is the least upper bound of all of the a_j , so for all j, we have $a_j + \varepsilon > S$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. But in particular, $a_k + a_j > a_j$ for any pair a_j, a_k where $a_k \neq 0$, so $a_k + a_j > S$ and thus so is the entire sum.

4 Problem 4

4.1 Part a

Let $\{f_k\}$ be a Cauchy sequence, then $\|f_k - f_j\|_u \to 0$. Define a candidate limit by fixing x, then using the fact that $|f_j(x) - f_k(x)| \to 0$ as a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} , which converges to some f(x).

We want to show that and $||f_n - f||_u \to 0$ and $f \in C([0, 1])$.

This is immediate though, since $f_n \to f$ uniformly by construction, and the uniform limit of continuous functions is continuous.

4.2 Part b

It suffices to produce a Cauchy sequence of continuous functions f_k such that $||f_j - f_j||_1 \to 0$ but if we define $f(x) := \lim f_k(x)$, we have either $||f||_1 = \infty$ or f is not continuous.

To this end, take $f_k(x) = x^k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$. Then

$$f_k \to \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [0,1) \\ 1 & x = 1 \end{cases},$$

which has a clear discontinuity, but

$$||f_k - f_j||_1 := \int_0^1 x^k - x^j = \frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{j+1} \to 0.$$

5 Problem 5

6 Problem 6