

Problem Set 3

D. Zack Garza

Thursday 1st October, 2020

Exercise 0.1 (Gathmann 2.33).

Define

$$X := \left\{ M \in \text{Mat}(2 \times 3, k) \mid \text{rank} M \leq 1 \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^6/k.$$

Show that X is an irreducible variety, and find its dimension.

Solution:

We'll use the following fact from linear algebra:

Definition (*Matrix Minor*).

For an $m \times n$ matrix, a *minor of order ℓ* is the determinant of a $\ell \times \ell$ submatrix obtained by deleting any $m - \ell$ rows and any $n - \ell$ columns.

Theorem 0.1 (*Rank is a Function of Minors*).

If $A \in \text{Mat}(m \times n, k)$ is a matrix, then the rank of A is equal to the order of largest nonzero minor.

Thus

$$M_{ij} = 0 \text{ for all } \ell \times \ell \text{ minors } M_{ij} \iff \text{rank}(M) < \ell,$$

following from the fact that if one takes $\ell = \min(m, n)$ and all $\ell \times \ell$ minors vanish, then the largest nonzero minor must be of size $j \times j$ for $j \leq \ell - 1$. But $\det M_{ij}$ is a polynomial f_{ij} in its entries, which means that X can be written as

$$X = V(\{f_{ij}\}),$$

which exhibits X as a variety. Thus

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \\ a & b & c \end{bmatrix} \implies X = V(\langle xb - ya, yc - zb, xc - za \rangle) \subset \mathbb{A}^6.$$

Claim: The ideal above is prime, and so the coordinate ring $A(X)$ is a domain and thus X is irreducible.

Claim: $\dim(X) = 4$.

Heuristic: there are three degrees of freedom in choosing the first row x, y, z . To enforce the rank 1 condition, the second row must be a scalar multiple of the first, yielding one degree of freedom for the scalar.

Note: I looked at this for a couple of hours, but I don't know how to prove either of these statements with the tools we have so far!

Exercise 0.2 (Gathmann 2.34).

Let X be a topological space, and show

- If $\{U_i\}_{i \in I} \Rightarrow X$, then $\dim X = \sup_{i \in I} \dim U_i$.
- If X is an irreducible affine variety and $U \subset X$ is a nonempty subset, then $\dim X = \dim U$. Does this hold for any irreducible topological space?

Solution:

Strictly for notational convenience, we'll treat $\{U_i\}$ as if it were a countable open cover.

Part a: We first note that if $U \subseteq V$, then $\dim U \leq \dim V$. If this were not the case, one could find a chain $\{I_j\}$ of closed irreducible subsets of V of length $n > \dim U$. But then $I'_j := I_j \cap U$ would again be a closed irreducible set, yielding a chain of length n in U . Thus $\dim X \geq \dim U_i$, and it remains true that $\dim X \geq \sup \dim U_i$, so it suffices to show that $\dim X \leq \sup \dim U_i$.

Set $s := \sup_i \dim U_i$ and $n := \dim X$, we want to show that $s \geq n$. Let $\{I_j\}_{j \leq n}$ be a maximal chain of length n of closed irreducible subsets of X , so we have

$$\emptyset \subsetneq I_0 \subsetneq I_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq I_n \subseteq X.$$

Since $I_0 \subset X$ and $\{U_i\}$ covers X , we can find some $U_0 \in \{U_i\}$ such that $I_0 \cap U_0$ is nonempty, since otherwise there would be a point in $I_0 \cap (X \setminus \cup_{i \in J} U_i) = \emptyset$. We can do this for every I_j , so define $A_j := I_j \cap U_0$.

Each A_j is now closed in U_0 , and must remain irreducible, since any decomposition of A_j would lift to a decomposition of I_0 . To see that $A_0 \subsetneq A_1$, i.e. that the inclusions are still proper, we can just note that

$$x \in A_{i+1} \setminus A_i \iff x \in (I_{i+1} \cap U_0) \setminus (I_i \cap U_0) = (I_1 \setminus I_2) \cap U_0 \neq \emptyset.$$

But this exhibits a length n chain in U_0 , so $\dim U_0 \geq n$. Taking suprema, we have

$$n \leq \dim U_0 \leq \sup_{i \in J} \dim U_i = s.$$

Part b: The answer is no: define a space and a topology by

$$X := \{1, 2\} \quad \tau := \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}\},$$

Here, $\{2\}$ is closed but not open, and $\{1\}$ is open but not closed. So X can not be written as any union of closed subsets

Exercise 0.3 (Gathmann 2.36).

Prove the following:

-
- a. Every noetherian topological space is compact. In particular, every open subset of an affine variety is compact in the Zariski topology.
 - b. A complex affine variety of dimension at least 1 is never compact in the classical topology.

Exercise 0.4 (Gathmann 2.40).

Let

$$R = k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] / \langle x_1x_4 - x_2x_3 \rangle$$

and show the following:

- a. R is an integral domain of dimension 3.
- b. x_1, \dots, x_4 are irreducible but not prime in R , and thus R is not a UFD.
- c. x_1x_4 and x_2x_3 are two decompositions of the same element in R which are nonassociate.
- d. $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$ is a prime ideal of codimension 1 in R that is not principal.

Exercise 0.5 (Problem 5).

Consider a set U in the complement of $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{A}^2$. Prove that any regular function on U extends to a regular function on all of \mathbb{A}^2 .