# **Problem Set 3**

D. Zack Garza

Thursday 1<sup>st</sup> October, 2020

Exercise 0.1 (Gathmann 2.33).

Define

$$X \coloneqq \left\{ M \in \operatorname{Mat}(2 \times 3, k) \mid \operatorname{rank} M \le 1 \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^6/k.$$

Show that X is an irreducible variety, and find its dimension.

#### Solution:

We'll use the following fact from linear algebra:

# Definition (Matrix Minor).

For an  $m \times n$  matrix, a minor of order  $\ell$  is the determinant of a  $\ell \times \ell$  submatrix obtained by deleting any  $m - \ell$  rows and any  $n - \ell$  columns.

## Theorem 0.1 (Rank is a Function of Minors).

If  $A \in \operatorname{Mat}(m \times n, k)$  is a matrix, then the rank of A is equal to the order of largest nonzero minor.

Thus

$$M_{ij} = 0$$
 for all  $\ell \times \ell$  minors  $M_{ij} \iff \operatorname{rank}(M) < \ell$ ,

following from the fact that if one takes  $\ell = \min(m, n)$  and all  $\ell \times \ell$  minors vanish, then the largest nonzero minor must be of size  $j \times j$  for  $j \leq \ell - 1$ . But det  $M_{ij}$  is a polynomial  $f_{ij}$  in its entries, which means that X can be written as

$$X = V(\{f_{ij}\}),$$

which exhibits X as a variety. Thus

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \\ a & b & c \end{bmatrix} \implies X = V(\langle xb - ya, yc - zb, xc - za \rangle) \subset \mathbb{A}^6.$$

**Claim:** The ideal above is prime, and so the coordinate ring A(X) is a domain and thus X is irreducible.

Claim:  $\dim(X) = 4$ .

Heuristic: there are three degrees of freedom in choosing the first row x, y, z. To enforce the rank 1 condition, the second row must be a scalar multiple of the first, yielding one degree of freedom for the scalar.

Note: I looked at this for a couple of hours, but I don't know how to prove either of these statements with the tools we have so far!

#### Exercise 0.2 (Gathmann 2.34).

Let X be a topological space, and show

- a. If  $\{U_i\}_{i\in I} \rightrightarrows X$ , then  $\dim X = \sup_{i\in I} \dim U_i$ .
- b. If X is an irreducible affine variety and  $U \subset X$  is a nonempty subset, then  $\dim X = \dim U$ . Does this hold for any irreducible topological space?

#### **Solution:**

Strictly for notational convenience, we'll treat  $\{U_i\}$  is if it were a countable open cover. We first note that if  $U \subseteq V$ , then  $\dim U \leq \dim V$ . If this were not the case, one could find a chain  $\{I_j\}$  of closed irreducible subsets of V of length  $n > \dim U$ . But then  $I'_j := I_j \cap U$  would again be a closed irreducible set, yielding a chain of length n in U. Thus  $\dim X \geq \dim U_i$ , and it remains true that  $\dim X \geq \dim U_i$ , so it suffices to show that  $\dim X \leq \sup \dim U_i$ .

Set  $S := \sup_i \dim U_i$  and  $n := \dim X$ , we want to show that  $s \ge n$ . Let  $\{I_j\}_{j \le n}$  be a maximal chain of length n of closed irreducible subsets of X, so we have

$$\emptyset \subseteq I_0 \subseteq I_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq I_n \subseteq X$$
.

Since  $I_0 \subset X$  and  $\{U_i\}$  covers X, we can find some  $U_0 \in \{U_i\}$  such that  $I_0 \cap U_0$  is nonempty, since otherwise there would be a point in  $I_0 \cap (X \setminus \bigcup_{i \in J} U_i) = \emptyset$ . We can do this for every  $I_j$ , so define  $A_j := I_j \cap U_0$ .

Each  $A_j$  is now closed in  $U_0$ , and must remain irreducible, since any decomposition of  $A_j$  would lift to a decomposition of  $I_0$ . But this exhibits a length n chain in  $U_0$ , so dim  $U_0 \ge n$ . Taking suprema, we have

$$n \le \dim U_0 \le \sup_{i \in J} \dim U_i = s.$$

## Exercise 0.3 (Gathmann 2.36).

Prove the following:

- a. Every noetherian topological space is compact. In particular, every open subset of an affine variety is compact in the Zariski topology.
- b. A complex affine variety of dimension at least 1 is never compact in the classical topology.

#### Exercise 0.4 (Gathmann 2.40).

Let

$$R = k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] / \langle x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_3 \rangle$$

and show the following:

- a. R is an integral domain of dimension 3.
- b.  $x_1, \dots, x_4$  are irreducible but not prime in R, and thus R is not a UFD.
- c.  $x_1x_4$  and  $x_2x_3$  are two decompositions of the same element in R which are nonassociate.
- d.  $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$  is a prime ideal of codimension 1 in R that is not principal.

## Exercise 0.5 (Problem 5).

Consider a set U in the complement of  $(0,0) \in \mathbb{A}^2$ . Prove that any regular function on U extends to a regular function on all of  $\mathbb{A}^2$ .