Problem Set One

D. Zack Garza

January 26, 2020

Contents

1 Humphreys 1.1

1.1 a

If $M \in \mathcal{O}$ and $[\lambda] = \lambda + \Lambda_r$ is any coset of $\mathfrak{h}^\vee / \Lambda_r$, let $M^{[\lambda]}$ be the sum of weight spaces M_μ for which $\mu \in [\lambda]$.

Proposition: $M^{[\lambda]}$ is a $U(\mathfrak{g})$-submodule of M

Proof:

Proposition: M is the direct sum of finitely many submodules of the form $M^{[\lambda]}$.

Proof:

1.2 b

Proposition: The weights of an indecomposable module $M \in \mathcal{O}$ lie in a single coset of $\mathfrak{h}^\vee / \Lambda_r$.

2 Humphreys 1.3*

Proposition: For any $M \in \mathcal{O}$, $M(\lambda)$ satisfies the following property:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(M(\lambda), M) = \operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{C}_\lambda, M\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}\left(\mathbb{C}_\lambda, \operatorname{Res}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}} M\right).$$

Proof:

Noting that

- $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{C}_\lambda = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_\lambda$,
- $\mathfrak{g}$-morphisms can always be lifted to $U(\mathfrak{g})$-morphisms,
- $\operatorname{Res}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}} M$ is an identification of the $\mathfrak{g}$-module M has a $\mathfrak{b}$- module by restricting the action of $\mathfrak{g}$,

consider the following two maps:

$$F : \operatorname{hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_\lambda, M) \to \operatorname{hom}_{\mathfrak{b}}(\mathbb{C}_\lambda, M)$$
$$\phi \mapsto (v \mapsto \phi(1 \otimes v)),$$

and

$$G : \operatorname{hom}_{\mathfrak{b}}(\mathbb{C}_\lambda, M) \to \operatorname{hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathbb{C}_\lambda, M)$$
$$\psi \mapsto ((g, v) \mapsto g \cdot \psi(v)).$$