

Title

D. Zack Garza

Thursday 27th August, 2020

Contents

1 Thursday, August 27

1

1 Thursday, August 27

Recall Hilbert's Nullstellensatz:

- a. For any affine variety, $V(I(X)) = X$.
- b. For any ideal $J \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $I(V(J)) = \sqrt{J}$.

So there's an order-reversing bijection

$$\{\text{Radical ideals } k[x_1, \dots, x_n]\} \longrightarrow V(\cdot)I(\cdot) \{\text{Affine varieties in } \mathbb{A}^n\}.$$

In proving $I(V(J)) \subseteq \sqrt{J}$, we had an important lemma (Noether Normalization): the maximal ideals of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ are of the form $\langle x - a_1, \dots, x - a_n \rangle$.

Corollary 1.1(?).

If $V(I)$ is empty, then $I = \langle 1 \rangle$.

Slogan: the only ideals that vanish nowhere are trivial. No common vanishing locus \implies trivial ideal, so there's a linear combination that equals 1.

Proof.

By contrapositive, suppose $I \neq \langle 1 \rangle$. By Zorn's Lemma, there exists a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} such that $I \subset \mathfrak{m}$. By the order-reversing property of $V(\cdot)$, $V(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq V(I)$. By the classification of maximal ideals, $\mathfrak{m} = \langle x - a_1, \dots, x - a_n \rangle$, so $V(\mathfrak{m}) = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ is nonempty. ■

Returning to the proof that $I(V(J)) \subseteq \sqrt{J}$: let $f \in I(V(J))$, we want to show $f \in \sqrt{J}$. Consider the ideal $\tilde{J} := J + \langle ft - 1 \rangle \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n, t]$.

Observation: $f = 0$ on all of $V(J)$ by the definition of $I(V(J))$. But $ft - 1 \neq 0$ if $f = 0$, so $V(\tilde{J}) = V(J) \cap V(ft - 1) = \emptyset$.




Figure 1: Effect, a hyperbolic tube around $V(J)$, so both can't vanish

Applying the corollary $\tilde{J} = (1)$, so $1 = \langle ft - 1 \rangle g_0(x_1, \dots, x_n, t) + \sum f_i g_i(x_1, \dots, x_n, t)$ with $f_i \in I$. Let t^N be the largest power of t in any g_i . Thus for some polynomials G_i , we have

$$f^N := (ft - 1)G_0(x_1, \dots, x_n, ft) + \sum f_i G_i(x_1, \dots, x_n, ft)$$

noting that f does not depend on t .

Now take $k[x_1, \dots, x_n, t] / \langle ft - 1 \rangle$, so $ft = 1$ in this ring. This kills the first term above, yielding

$$f^N = \sum f_i G_i(x_1, \dots, x_n, 1) \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n, t] / \langle ft - 1 \rangle.$$

Observation: there is an inclusion

$$k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \hookrightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_n, t] / \langle ft - 1 \rangle.$$

Exercise 1.1.