

Title

D. Zack Garza

Thursday 20th August, 2020

Contents

1 Thursday, August 20

1

1 Thursday, August 20

References: <https://www.daniellitt.com/etale-cohomology>

Prerequisites:

- Homological Algebra
 - Abelian Categories
 - Derived Functors
 - Spectral Sequences (just exposure!)
- Sheaf theory and sheaf cohomology
- Schemes (Hartshorne II and III)

Outline/Goals:

- Basics of etale cohomology
 - Etale morphism
 - Grothendieck topologies
 - The etale topology
 - Etale cohomology and the basis theorems
 - Etale cohomology of curves
 - Comparison theorems to singular cohomology
 - Focused on the case where coefficients are a constructible sheaf.
 - Prove the Weil Conjectures (more than one proof)
 - Proving the Riemann Hypothesis for varieties over finite fields
- One of the greatest pieces of 20th century mathematics!
- Topics
 - Weil 2 (Strengthening of RH, used in practice)
 - Formality of algebraic varieties (topological features unique to varieties)
 - Other things (monodromy, refer to Katz' AWS notes)

What is Etale Cohomology? Suppose X/\mathbb{C} is a quasiprojective variety: a finite type separated integral \mathbb{C} -scheme.

If you take the complex points, it naturally has the structure of a complex analytic space $X(\mathbb{C})^{\text{an}}$: you can give it the Euclidean topology, which is much finer than the Zariski topology.

For a nice topological space, we can associate the singular cohomology $H^i(X(\mathbb{C})^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z})$, which satisfies several nice properties:

- Finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -modules
- Extra Hodge structure when tensored up to \mathbb{C} (same as \mathbb{C} coefficients)
- Cycle classes (i.e. associate to a subvariety a class in cohomology)

Goal of etale cohomology: do something similar for much more general “nice” schemes. Note that some of these properties are special to complex varieties

E.g. finitely generated: not true for a random topological space

We’ll associate X a “nice scheme” $\rightsquigarrow H^i(X_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z})$. Take the inverse limit over all n to obtain the ℓ -adic cohomology $H^i(X_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. You can tensor with \mathbb{Q} to get something with \mathbb{Q}_ℓ coefficients. And as in singular cohomology, you can a “twisted coefficient system”.

What are nice schemes:

- $X = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_k$, the ring of integers over a number field.
- X a variety over an algebraically closed field
 - Typical, most analogous to taking a variety over \mathbb{C} .
- X a variety over a non-algebraically closed field

Some comparisons between the last two cases:

- For \mathbb{C} - variety, H_{sing}^i will vanish above $i = 2d$.
- Over a finite field, H^i will vanish for $i > 2d + 1$ but generally not vanish for $i = 2d + 1$.

In good situations, these are finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}$ -modules, have Mayer-Vietoris and excision sequences, spectral sequences, etc.

Related invariants: for a scheme with a geometric point $(X, \bar{x}) \rightsquigarrow \pi_1^{\text{étale}}(X, \bar{x})$, which is a profinite topological group, which is a profinite topological group.

Note: a geometric point is a map from $\text{Spec } X$ to an algebraically closed field.

More invariants beyond the scope of this course:

- Higher homotopy groups
- Homotopy type (equivalence class of spaces)

So we want homotopy-theoretic invariants for varieties.

Remark 1.

This cohomology theory is necessarily weird!

Theorem 1.1 (Serre).

There does not exist a cohomology theory for schemes over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ with the following properties:

1. Functorial
2. Satisfies the Kunneth formula
3. For E an elliptic curve, $H^1(E) = \mathbb{Q}^2$.

Slogan: No cohomology theory with \mathbb{Q} coefficients.

Proof .

Take E to be a supersingular elliptic curve. Then $\text{End}(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a quaternion algebra.

Fact: There are no algebra morphisms $R \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Q})$

Exercise .

Functoriality and Kunneth implies that $\text{End}(E) \curvearrowright E$ yields an action on $H^1(E)$, which is precisely an algebra morphism $\text{End}(E) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Q})$, a contradiction.

The content: the sum of two endomorphisms act via their sum on H^1 .

Exercise .

Prove the same thing for \mathbb{Q}_p coefficients, where p divides the characteristic of the ground field.

Proof the same, just need to know what quaternion algebras show up.

■

This forces using some funky type of coefficients.

What are the Weil Conjectures?

Suppose X/\mathbb{F}_q is a variety, then

$$\zeta_X(t) = \exp \left(\sum_{n>0} \frac{|X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})|}{n} t^n \right).$$

Some comments:

- $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log \zeta_X(t)$ is an ordinary generating function for the number of rational points.
- Slogan: locations of zeros and poles of a meromorphic function control the growth rate of the coefficients of the Taylor series of the logarithmic derivative.

Exercise 1.3.

Make this slogan precise for rational functions, i.e. ratios of two polynomials.

The conjectures:

1. $\zeta_X(t)$ is a rational function.
2. (Functional equation) For X smooth and proper

$$\zeta_X(q^{-n}t^{-1}) = \pm q^{\frac{nE}{2}} t^E \zeta_X(t).$$

3. (RH) All roots and poles of $\zeta_X(t)$ have absolute value $q^{\frac{i}{2}}$ with $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and these are equal to the i th Betti numbers if X lifts to characteristic zero.

Note: we'll generalize betti numbers so this makes sense in general.

All theorems! Proofs:

-
1. Dwork, using p -adic methods. Proof here will follow from the fact that $H_{\text{étale}}^i$ are finite-dimensional. Related to Lefschetz Trace Formula (how Grothendieck thought about it).
 2. Grothendieck, follows from some version of Poincaré duality.
 3. (and 4) Deligne.

Euler Product:

Let $|X|$ denote the closed points of X , then

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_X(q^{-n}t^{-1}) &= \pm q^{\frac{nE}{2}} t^E \zeta_X(t) = \prod_{x \in |X|} \exp \left(t^{\deg(x)} + \frac{t^{2\deg(x)}}{2} + \dots \right) \\ &= \prod_{x \in |X|} \exp \left(-\log(1 - t^{\deg(x)}) \right) \\ &= \prod_{x \in |X|} \frac{1}{1 - t^{\deg(x)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 1.4.

Check the first equality. If you have a point of $\deg(x) = n$, how many \mathbb{F}_{q^n} points does this contribute? I.e., how many maps are there $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ over \mathbb{F}_q ?

There are exactly n : it's $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q)$. But then division by n drops this contribution to one.