Problem Set One

D. Zack Garza

January 26, 2020

Contents

1	Humphreys 1.1 1.1 a	
2	Humphreys 1.3*	1
1	Humphreys 1.1	
1.	1 a	
If	$M \in \mathcal{O}$ and $[\lambda] = \lambda + \Lambda_r$ is any coset of $\mathfrak{h}^{\vee}/\Lambda_r$, let $M^{[\lambda]}$ be the sum of weight spaces M_{μ} :	for

which $\mu \in [\lambda]$. **Proposition:** $M^{[\lambda]}$ is a $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule of M

Proof:

Proposition: M is the direct sum of finitely many submodules of the form $M^{[\lambda]}$.

Proof:

1.2 b

Proposition: The weights of an indecomposable module $M \in \mathcal{O}$ lie in a single coset of $\mathfrak{h}^{\vee}/\Lambda_r$.

2 Humphreys 1.3*

Proposition: For any $M \in \mathcal{O}$, $M(\lambda)$ satisfies the following property:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(M(\lambda),M) = \operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbb{C}_{\lambda},M\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda},\operatorname{Res}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}M\right).$$

Proof:

Noting that

- Ind^g_b C_λ = U(g) ⊗_{U(b)} C_λ,
 Res^g_b M is an identification of the g-module M has a b- module by restricting the action of g, consider the following two maps:

$$F: \hom_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, M) \to \hom_{U(\mathfrak{b})}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, M)$$
$$\phi \mapsto (F\phi : z \mapsto \phi(1 \otimes z)),$$

and

$$G: \hom_{U(\mathfrak{b})}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, M) \to \hom_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, M)$$
$$\psi \mapsto (G\psi : g \otimes v \mapsto g \cdot \psi(v)).$$

It suffices to show that these maps are well-defined and mutually inverse.

To see that F is well-defined, let $\phi: U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C_{\lambda} \to M$ be fixed; we will show that the set map $F\phi: \mathbb{C}_{\lambda} \to M$ is $U(\mathfrak{b})$ -linear. Let $b \in U(\mathfrak{b})$, then

$$\begin{split} b \curvearrowright F\phi(v) &= b \curvearrowright (z \mapsto \phi(1 \otimes z))(v) \\ &= b \curvearrowright \phi(1 \otimes v) \\ &= \phi(b \curvearrowright (1 \otimes v)) \quad \text{since ϕ is $U(\mathfrak{g})$-linear and $b \in U(\mathfrak{g})$} \\ &= \phi((b \curvearrowright 1) \otimes v) \quad \text{by the definition/construction of $M(\lambda)$ as a $U(\mathfrak{g})$-module.} \\ &= \phi(1 \otimes (b \curvearrowright v)) \quad \text{since \mathbb{C}_{λ} is a \mathfrak{b}-module} \\ &= (z \mapsto \phi(1 \otimes z))(b \curvearrowright v) \\ &= F\phi(b \curvearrowright v). \end{split}$$