

Problem Set 5

D. Zack Garza

Tuesday 5th May, 2020

Contents

1	4.3	1
2	4.6	2
2.1	Solution	2
2.1.1	Part 1	2
2.1.2	Step 2	3
2.1.3	Step 3	3
2.1.4	Step 4	4
2.1.5	Step 5	4
2.1.6	Step 6	4
2.1.7	Step 7	4
3	4.11	5

1 4.3

Proposition 1.1.

Suppose $\lambda + \rho \in \Lambda^+$. Then $M(w \cdot \lambda) \subset M(\lambda)$ for all $w \in W$. Thus all $[M(\lambda) : L(w \cdot \lambda)] > 0$.

More precisely, if $w = s_n \cdots s_1$ is a reduced expression for w in terms of simple reflections corresponding to roots α_i , then there is a sequence of embeddings:

$$M(w \cdot \lambda) = M(\lambda_n) \subset M(\lambda_{n-1}) \subset \cdots \subset M(\lambda_0) = M(\lambda)$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0 &:= \lambda, \lambda_k := s_k \cdot \lambda_{k-1} = (s_k \cdots s_1) \cdot \lambda \implies \lambda_n = s_n \cdot \lambda_{n-1} = w \cdot \lambda \\ w \cdot \lambda = \lambda_n &\leq \lambda_{n-1} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_0 = \lambda \text{ with } \langle \lambda_k + \rho, \alpha_{k+1}^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ for } k = 0, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $\lambda + \rho \in \Lambda^+$.

-
- a. Prove that the unique simple submodule of $M(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to $M(w_\diamond \cdot \lambda)$, where w_\diamond is the longest element of W .
 - b. In case $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, show that the inclusions obtained in the above proposition are all proper.

2 4.6

Theorem 2.1 (Verma).

Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^\vee$. Given $\alpha > 0$, suppose $\mu := s_\alpha \cdot \lambda \leq \lambda$. Then there exists an embedding $M(\mu) \subset M(\lambda)$.

Work through the steps of Verma's Theorem in the special case discussed in the previous problem

2.1 Solution

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ and identify its root system A_2 with $\Delta = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $\Phi^+ = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma := \alpha + \beta\}$. We can also identify the Weyl group as $W = \{1, s_\alpha, s_\beta, s_\alpha s_\beta, s_\beta s_\alpha, s_\gamma\}$ where there is a reduced expression $s_\gamma = w_0 = s_\alpha s_\beta s_\alpha$.

We can begin by letting $\lambda \in \Lambda$ be an arbitrary integral weight and let $\mu \neq \lambda$ be an arbitrary weight linked to λ , where WLOG apply some Weyl group element to μ to place it in the dominant chamber and assume

$$\mu := s_\alpha \cdot \lambda < \lambda$$

(where the inequality is strict).

2.1.1 Part 1

Since μ is assumed integral, we can find some $w \in W$ such that

$$\mu' := w^{-1} \cdot \mu \in \Lambda^+ - \rho.$$

Claim: $w = s_\alpha s_\beta$, so $w^{-1} = s_\beta s_\alpha$ and thus

$$\mu' = s_\beta s_\alpha \cdot \mu$$

As in Proposition 4.3, we then write

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0 &= \mu' \\ \mu_1 &= s_\beta \cdot \mu' \\ \mu_2 &= s_\alpha s_\beta \cdot \mu' = w \cdot \mu' = \mu \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \mu_2 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_0 = \mu' \\ \mu &= s_\alpha s_\beta \cdot \mu' \leq s_\beta \mu' \leq \mu'. \end{aligned}$$

which (by the proposition) gives a sequence of embeddings

$$\begin{aligned} M(\mu) &= M(\mu_2) \hookrightarrow M(\mu_1) \hookrightarrow M(\mu_0) = M(\mu') \\ &\quad \text{i.e.} \\ M(\mu) &= M(s_\alpha s_\beta \cdot \mu') \hookrightarrow M(s_\beta \cdot \mu') \hookrightarrow M(\mu'). \end{aligned}$$

2.1.2 Step 2

We now define

$$\lambda' := w^{-1}\lambda = s_\beta s_\alpha \cdot \lambda$$

and the parallel list of weights

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0 &= \lambda' \\ \lambda_1 &= s_\beta \cdot \lambda' \\ \lambda_2 &= s_\alpha s_\beta \cdot \lambda' := \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

We can similarly use the fact that $\lambda \neq \mu \implies \mu_k \neq \lambda_k$ for any k .

2.1.3 Step 3

To relate μ_k to λ_k , We now define $w_k = s_n \cdots s_{k+1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} w_0 &= s_\alpha s_\beta \\ w_1 &= s_\alpha \\ w_2 &:= 1 \end{aligned}$$

and using the calculation

$$\mu_k = w_k^{-1} s_\alpha w_k \cdot \lambda_k = s_{\beta_k} \cdot \lambda_k$$

we compute

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\beta_0} &= (s_\alpha s_\beta)^{-1} s_\alpha (s_\alpha s_\beta) = s_\gamma \\ s_{\beta_1} &= s_\alpha^{-1} s_\alpha s_\alpha = s_\alpha \\ s_{\beta_2} &:= s_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

and thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0 &= s_\alpha \cdot \lambda_0 \\ \mu_1 &= s_\alpha \cdot \lambda_1 \\ \mu_2 &= s_\gamma \cdot \lambda_2. \end{aligned}$$

2.1.4 Step 4

We have $\mu_0 \geq \mu_1 \geq \mu_2$ with $\lambda_0 < \mu_0$ but $\lambda_2 > \mu_2$, so we now look for where the inequality switches. It suffices to check how μ_1 and λ_1 are related, and we find $\mu_1 < \lambda_1$.

2.1.5 Step 5

From the last step, we fix $k = 0$ and now want to show $M(\mu_{k+i}) \subset M(\mu_{k+i})$ for $i = 1, 2$, since the $i = 2$ case yields the desired $M(\mu) \subset M(\lambda)$.

2.1.6 Step 6

We first want to show $M(\mu_1) \subset M(\lambda_1)$. We write

$$\mu_1 - \lambda_1 = s_1\mu_0 - s_1\lambda_0.$$

We then note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_1 - \lambda_1 &= c_1\beta_1 \\ s_\alpha\mu_0 - s_1\lambda_0 &= s_\alpha(\mu_0 - \lambda_0) = d_1\beta_0\end{aligned}$$

where c_1 is negative and b_1 is positive, and we already know that $\beta_1 = \beta_0 = \alpha$ by a direct computation. Thus we have $\mu_1 = s_\alpha\lambda_1$, and applying Proposition 1.4,

$$M(s_\alpha \cdot \lambda_1) \hookrightarrow M(\lambda_1) \implies M(\mu_1) \hookrightarrow M(\lambda_1).$$

2.1.7 Step 7

We thus have embeddings

$$M(\mu_2) = M(s_\alpha \cdot \mu_1) \hookrightarrow M(\mu_1) \hookrightarrow M(\lambda_1),$$

and we then apply Proposition 4.5: set $n = \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle$ (which is integral by assumption).

Claim: regardless of the sign of n , we obtain

$$M(\mu) = M(\mu_2) \subset M(s_\beta \cdot \lambda_1) \hookrightarrow M(\lambda_2) = M(\lambda),$$

which is what we wanted to show.

This follows from analyzing the following cases:

- If $n \leq 0$, we have

$$M(\lambda_1) \hookrightarrow M(s_\alpha \cdot \lambda_1) = M(\lambda),$$

and since $M(\mu_2) \hookrightarrow M(\lambda_1)$ by step 6, we obtain the desired embedding.

- Otherwise, $n \geq 0$, and we instead obtain

■

3 4.11

In the case of $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$, what can be said at this point about Verma modules with a singular integral highest weight?

Aside from the trivial case $-\rho$, a typical linkage class has 3 elements. For example, if λ lies in the α hyperplane and is antidominant, the linked weights are $\lambda, s_\beta \cdot \lambda, s_\alpha s_\beta \cdot \lambda$.