## **Problem Set 6**

D. Zack Garza

Sunday 3<sup>rd</sup> May, 2020

#### **Contents**

3	Exercise p.108	2
2	Humphreys 7.2         2.1 Solution	<b>1</b>
1	Humphreys 5.3	1

#### 1 Humphreys 5.3

Let  $\lambda$  be regular, antidominant, and integral, and suppose  $M(\lambda)^n \neq 0$  but  $M(\lambda)^{n+1} = 0$ . In the Jantzen filtration of  $M(w \cdot \lambda)$ , show that  $n = \ell_{\lambda}(w)$  where  $\ell_{\lambda}$  is the length function of the system  $(W_{[\lambda]}, \Delta_{[\lambda]})$ . Thus there are  $\ell(w) + 1$  nonzero layers in this filtration.

Use 0.3(2) to describe  $\Phi_{w\cdot\lambda}^+$ .

## 2 Humphreys 7.2

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$  and show that  $T^{\mu}_{\lambda}$  need not take Verma modules to Verma modules.

For example, let  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\mu = -3$ .

#### 2.1 Solution

Let  $\lambda=1$  and  $\mu=-3$ , noting that both are integral,  $\mu$  is antidominant, and  $\mu$ ,  $\lambda$  are compatible as in the definition in 7.1. We can then consider  $\nu:=\mu-\lambda=-3-1=-4$ , and to compute the  $\bar{\nu}$  that appears in the definition of  $T^{\mu}_{\lambda}$ , we consider the (usual) W-orbit of  $\nu$ . In  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ , we identify  $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $W=\{\mathrm{id},s_{\alpha}\}$ , and  $s_{\alpha}\lambda=-\lambda$  as reflection about 0. Thus the orbit is given by  $W\nu=\{-4,4\}$ , which contains the unique dominant weight  $\bar{\nu}=4$ . We thus have

$$T_1^{-3}(\,\cdot\,) = \operatorname{pr}_{-3}(L(4) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_1(\,\cdot\,)).$$

We use the fact that we always have an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow N(\lambda) \longrightarrow M(\lambda) \longrightarrow L(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0.$$

where in  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$  we can identify  $N(\lambda) = L(-\lambda - 2)$ , thus we have

$$0 \longrightarrow L(-\lambda - 2) \longrightarrow M(\lambda) \longrightarrow L(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here we can identify

$$L(-\lambda-2) = L(-1-2)$$

$$= L(-3)$$

$$= L(\mu)$$

$$= M(\mu) \quad \text{since } \mu = -3 \text{ is integral and antidominant,}$$

thus we can rewrite the exact sequence as

We know that the translation functor is exact, so applying  $T^{\mu}_{\lambda}$  yields the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow T_1^{-3}M(-3) \longrightarrow T_1^{-3}M(1) \longrightarrow T_1^{-3}L(1) \longrightarrow 0$$

Since not both  $\lambda, \mu$  are antidominant, we can not apply Theorem 7.6 to compute these, so we instead turn to the definition. We claim that

$$\begin{split} T_1^{-3}L(1) &= \mathrm{pr}_{-3}(L(4) \otimes \mathrm{pr}_1(L(1))) \\ &= \mathrm{pr}_{-3}(L(4) \otimes L(1)) \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

# 3 Exercise p.108

- a. Work out the Jantzen filtration sections for  $M(w_0 \cdot \lambda)$ . List carefully any additional assumptions or facts needed to deduce  $M(w_0 \cdot \lambda)^i$  uniquely.
- b. Continue #4.11 for the case of singular  $\lambda$ , e.g.  $(\lambda + \rho, \widehat{\alpha}) = 1$ . If you didn't deduce the structure of all  $M(w \cdot \lambda)$  there, can you complete it now?
- c. Work out the non-integral case. (There are several different cases to consider.)