Title

D. Zack Garza

Monday 10th August, 2020

Contents

1 Modules **1**
1.1 General Questions . . . 1
1.1.1 Fall 2019 Final #2 . . . 1
1.1.2 Spring 2018 #6. . . . 1
1.1.3 Fall 2018 #6 $\bowtie$ . . . 2
1.1.4 Spring 2018 #7. . . . 2

1 Modules

1.1 General Questions

1.1.1 Fall 2019 Final #2

Consider the $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule N of $\mathbb{Z}^3$ spanned by $f_1 = [-1, 0, 1], f_2 = [2, -3, 1], f_3 = [0, 3, 1], f_4 = [3, 1, 5]$. Find a basis for N and describe $\mathbb{Z}^3/N$.

1.1.2 Spring 2018 #6.

Let

$$M = \{(w, x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid w + x + y + z \in 2\mathbb{Z}\},$$

and

$$N = \{(w, x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid 4 \mid (w - x),\ 4 \mid (x - y),\ 4 \mid (y - z)\}.$$

a. Show that N is a $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule of M .

b. Find vectors $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ and integers d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 such that

$$\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$$

is a free basis for M, and

$$\{d_1 u_1,\ d_2 u_2,\ d_3 u_3,\ d_4 u_4\}$$

is a free basis for N .

c. Use the previous part to describe M/N as a direct sum of cyclic $\mathbb{Z}$-modules.

1.1.3 Fall 2018 #6 $\bowtie$

Let R be a commutative ring, and let M be an R-module. An R-submodule N of M is maximal if there is no R-module P with $N \subsetneq P \subsetneq M$.

a. Show that an R-submodule N of M is maximal $\iff$ M/N is a simple R-module: i.e., M/N is nonzero and has no proper, nonzero R-submodules.

b. Let M be a $\mathbb{Z}$-module. Show that a $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule N of M is maximal $\iff$ $\#M/N$ is a prime number.

c. Let M be the $\mathbb{Z}$-module of all roots of unity in $\mathbb{C}$ under multiplication. Show that there is no maximal $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule of M.

Solution.
a
By the correspondence theorem, submodules of M/N biject with submodules A of M containing N.
So

- M is maximal:
- $\iff$ no such (proper, nontrivial) submodule A exists
- $\iff$ there are no (proper, nontrivial) submodules of M/N
- $\iff$ M/N is simple.

b
Identify $\mathbb{Z}$-modules with abelian groups, then by (a), N is maximal $\iff$ M/N is simple $\iff$ M/N has no nontrivial proper subgroups.
By Cauchy's theorem, if $|M/N| = ab$ is a composite number, then $a \mid ab \implies$ there is an element (and thus a subgroup) of order a. In this case, M/N contains a nontrivial proper cyclic subgroup, so M/N is not simple. So $|M/N|$ can not be composite, and therefore must be prime.
c
Let $G = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{C} \;\middle|\; x^n = 1 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$, and suppose $H < G$ is a proper subgroup.
Then there must be a prime p such that the $\zeta_{p^k} \notin H$ for all k greater than some constant m – otherwise, we can use the fact that if $\zeta_{p^k} \in H$ then $\zeta_{p^\ell} \in H$ for all $\ell \leq k$, and if $\zeta_{p^k} \in H$ for all p and all k then $H = G$.
But this means there are infinitely many elements in $G \setminus H$, and so $\infty = [G : H] = |G/H|$ is not a prime. Thus by (b), H can not be maximal, a contradiction.

1.1.4 Spring 2018 #7.

Let R be a PID and M be an R-module. Let p be a prime element of R. The module M is called $\langle p \rangle$ *-primary* if for every $m \in M$ there exists $k > 0$ such that $p^k m = 0$.

a. Suppose M is $\langle p \rangle$-primary. Show that if $m \in M$ and $t \in R,\ t \notin \langle p \rangle$, then there exists $a \in R$ such that $atm = m$.

b. A submodule S of M is said to be *pure* if $S \cap rM = rS$ for all $r \in R$. Show that if M is $\langle p \rangle$-primary, then S is pure if and only if $S \cap p^k M = p^k S$ for all $k \geq 0$.