# **Title**

# D. Zack Garza

# Monday 27<sup>th</sup> July, 2020

## **Contents**

Spring 2017				
1.1	1	1		
1.2	2	3		
	1.2.1 a	3		
	1.2.2 b	3		
1.3	3	4		
	1.3.1 a	4		
	1.3.2 b	4		
1.4	4	4		
1.5	5	5		

# 1 Spring 2017

## 1.1 1

Concepts used:

- Definition: A is nowhere dense  $\iff$  every interval I contains a subinterval  $S \subseteq A^c$ .
  - Equivalently, the interior of the closure is empty,  $(\overline{K})^{\circ} = \emptyset$ .

## Solution

Claim: K is compact.

- It suffices to show that  $K^c := [0,1] \setminus K$  is open; Then K will be a closed and bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and thus compact by Heine-Borel.
- Strategy: write  $K^c$  as the union of open balls (since these form a basis for the Euclidean topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ ).
  - Do this by showing every point  $x \in K^c$  is an interior point, i.e. x admits a neighborhood  $N_x$  such that  $N_x \subseteq K^c$ .
- Identify  $K^c$  as the set of real numbers in [0,1] whose decimal expansion **does** contain a 4.
  - We will show that there exists a neighborhood small enough such that all points in it contain a 4 in their decimal expansions.

• Let  $x \in K^c$ , suppose a 4 occurs as the kth digit, and write

$$x = 0.d_1 d_2 \cdots d_{k-1} \ 4 \ d_{k+1} \cdots = \left( \sum_{j=1}^k d_j 10^{-j} \right) + \left( 4 \cdot 10^{-k} \right) + \left( \sum_{j=k+1}^\infty d_j 10^{-j} \right).$$

• Set  $r_x < 10^{-k}$  and let  $y \in [0,1] \cap B_{r_x}(x)$  be arbitrary and write

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i 10^{-i}.$$

- Thus  $|x y| < r_x < 10^{-k}$ , and the first k digits of x and y must agree:
  - We first compute the difference:

$$x - y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_i 10^{-i} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i 10^{-i} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (d_i - c_i) 10^{-i}$$

- Thus (claim)

$$|x - y| \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |d_j - c_j| 10^j < 10^{-k} \iff |d_j - c_j| = 0 \quad \forall j \le k.$$

– Otherwise we can note that any term  $|d_j - c_j| \ge 1$  and there is a contribution to |x - y|of at least  $1 \cdot 10^{-j}$  for some j < k, whereas

$$i < k \iff 10^{-j} > 10^{-k}$$
.

a contradiction.

- This means that for all  $j \leq k$  we have  $d_j = c_j$ , and in particular  $d_k = 4 = c_k$ , so y has a 4 in its decimal expansion.
- But then  $K^c = \bigcup_{x} B_{r_x}(x)$  is a union of open sets and thus open.

Claim: K is nowhere dense and m(K) = 0:

- Strategy: Show  $(\overline{K})^{\circ} = \emptyset$ .
- Since K is closed,  $\overline{K} = K$ , so it suffices to show that K does not properly contain any interval.
- As in the construction of the Cantor set, let

  - $K_1$  denote [0,1] with 1 interval  $\left[\frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}\right]$  of length  $\frac{1}{10}$  deleted, so  $m(K_1^c) = \frac{1}{10}$   $K_2$  denote  $K_1$  with 9 intervals  $\left[\frac{1}{100}, \frac{5}{100}\right], \left[\frac{14}{100}\right], \left[\frac{15}{100}\right], \cdots \left[\frac{94}{100}, \frac{95}{100}\right]$  of length  $\frac{1}{100}$ deleted, so  $m(K_2^c) = \frac{9}{100}$ . -  $K_n$  denote  $K_{n-1}$  with  $9^{n-1}$  such intervals of length  $10^{-n}$  deleted, so  $m(K_n^c)$

• By construction,  $K = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ , and

$$m(K) = 1 - m(K^c) = 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{9^i}{10^{n+1}} = 1 - \frac{1}{10} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{9}{10}} \right) = 0,$$

• Since any interval has strictly positive measure, K can not contain any interval.

### Claim: K has no isolated points:

- A point  $x \in K$  is isolated iff there is an open ball  $B_r(x)$  containing x such that  $B_r(x) \cap K = \emptyset$ , so every point in this ball has a 4 in its decimal expansion.
- Note that  $m(K_n) = \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^n \longrightarrow 0$  and that the endpoints of intervals are never removed and are thus elements of K. Then for every  $\varepsilon$ , we can choose n such that  $\left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^n < \varepsilon$ ; then there is an endpoint of a removed interval  $e_n$  satisfying  $|x e_n| \le \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^n < \varepsilon$ .
- So every ball containing x contains some endpoint of a removed interval, and thus an element of K.

# 1.2 2

$$\lambda \ll \mu \iff E \in \mathcal{M}, \mu(E) = 0 \implies \lambda(E) = 0.$$

### 1.2.1 a

By Radon-Nikodym, if  $\lambda \ll \mu$  then  $d\lambda = f d\mu$ , which would yield

$$\int g \ d\lambda = \int g f \ d\mu.$$

So let E be measurable and suppose  $\mu(E) = 0$ . Then

$$\lambda(E) := \int_{E} f \ d\mu = \lim_{n} \left\{ \varphi_{n} := \sum_{j} c_{j} \mu(E_{j}) \right\},$$

where we take a sequence of simple functions increasing to f.

But since each  $E_j \subseteq E$ , we must have  $\mu(E_j) = 0$  for any such  $E_j$ , so every such  $\varphi_n$  must be zero and thus  $\lambda(E) = 0$ .

#### 1.2.2 b

By Radon-Nikodym, there exists a positive f such that

$$\int g \ dm = \int g f \ d\mu,$$

where we can take  $g(x) = x^2$ , then the LHS is zero by assumption and thus so is the RHS. Note that gf is positive.

Define  $A_k = \left\{ x \in X \mid gf\chi_E > \frac{1}{k} \right\}$ , then by Chebyshev

$$\mu(A_k) \le k \int_E gf \ d\mu = 0,$$

which holds for every k.

Then noting that  $A_k \searrow A := \{x \in E \mid x^2 > 0\}$ , and gf is positive, we have

$$x \in E \iff gf\chi_E(x) > 0 \iff x \in A,$$

so E = A and  $\mu(E) = \mu(A)$ .

But since  $m \ll \mu$  by construction, we can conclude that m(E) = 0.

### 1.3 3

### 1.3.1 a

Letting  $x_n := \frac{1}{n}$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_k(x)| \ge |f_n(x_n)| = \left| ae^{-ax} - be^{-bx} \right| := M.$$

In particular,  $\sup_{x} |f_n(x)| \not\longrightarrow 0$ , so the terms do not go to zero and the sum can not converge.

## 1.3.2 b

?

### 1.4 4

Switching to polar coordinates and integrating over a half-circle contained in  $I^2$ , we have

$$\int_{I^2} f \ge \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{\cos(\theta)\sin(\theta)}{r^2} dr d\theta = \infty,$$

so f is not integrable.

### 1.5 5

 $See \ https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/507263/prove-that-c1a-b-with-the-c1-norm-is-a-banach-space$ 

This is clearly a norm, which we'll write  $\|\cdot\|_u$ 

Let  $f_n$  be a Cauchy sequence and define a candidate limit  $f(x) = \lim_n f_n(x)$ .

Then noting that  $||f_n||_{\infty}$ ,  $||f'_n||_{\infty} \le ||f_n||_u < \infty$ , both  $f_n$ ,  $f_n$  are Cauchy sequences in  $C^0([a, b], ||\cdot||_{\infty})$ , which is a Banach space.

So  $f_n \longrightarrow f$  uniformly, and  $f'_n \longrightarrow g$  uniformly for some g, and moreover  $f, g \in C^0([a, b])$ .

We thus have

$$f_n(x) - f_n(a) \xrightarrow{u} f(x) - f(a)$$

$$\int_a^x f'_n \xrightarrow{u} \int_a^x g,$$

and by the FTC, the left-hand sides are equal, and by uniqueness of limits so are the right-hand sides, so f' = g.

Since  $f, f' \in C^0([a, b])$ , they are bounded, and so  $||f||_u < \infty$ . This means that  $||f_n - f||_u \longrightarrow 0$ , so  $f_n$  converges to f, which is in the same space.