# Real Analysis Qualifying Exam Notes

## D. Zack Garza

## Sunday $5^{th}$ July, 2020

## Contents

1	Basics	4
	1.1 Useful Techniques	. 4
	1.2 Definitions	. 4
	1.3 Theorems	. 4
	1.4 Uniform Convergence	. 6
2	Measure Theory	8
	2.1 Useful Techniques	. 8
	2.2 Definitions	. 8
	2.3 Theorems	. 9
3	Integration	12
-	3.1 Useful Techniques	. 12
	3.2 Definitions	
	3.3 Theorems	
	3.3.1 Convergence Theorems	. 13
	3.4 $L^1$ Facts	
	3.5 $L^p$ Spaces	
4	Fourier Transform and Convolution	20
	4.1 The Fourier Transform	. 20
	4.2 Approximate Identities	. 22
5	Functional Analysis	23
•	5.1 Definitions	_
	5.2 Theorems	
6	Extra Problems	28
7	Practice Exam 2 (November 2014)	31
•	7.1 1: Fubini-Tonelli	_
	7.1.1 a	
	7.1.2 b	
	7.2 2: Convolutions and the Fourier Transform	
	7 2 1 2	31

		7.2.2 b	
	7.0		31
	7.3	3: Hilbert Spaces	
			32
			32
	7.4		32
	7.4	1	33
			33
			33
	7 -		33
	7.5	1	34
			34
			34
		7.5.3 c	34
8	Inea	ualities and Equalities	34
•	•		37
			•
Li	st o	f Definitions	
	1.0.1	Definition – Uniform Continuity	4
	1.0.2	v	4
	1.0.3		4
	1.0.4		4
	2.0.1		8
	2.0.2		8
	3.0.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12
	3.0.2		$\frac{12}{12}$
	3.0.3	9	12
	3.0.4	v	12
	3.0.5	· ·	$\frac{1}{12}$
	4.0.1		$\frac{1}{20}$
	4.0.2		$\frac{1}{20}$
	4.6.1		$\frac{2}{2}$
	4.6.2		$\frac{-2}{2}$
	5.0.1	· ·	${23}$
	5.0.2	1	$\frac{23}{23}$
	5.0.3		$\frac{23}{23}$
	5.0.4	*	$\frac{25}{24}$
	5.0.5	1	$\frac{24}{24}$
	5.0.6		$\frac{24}{24}$
	5.0.0	•	$\frac{24}{24}$
	5.0.8	1	$\frac{24}{24}$
	0.0.0	Definition Infort Space	<b>-</b> 1

## List of Theorems

1.1	· P · · · · · ·	4
1.3	Theorem – Heine-Borel	5
1.8	Theorem – Baire	5
1.12	Theorem – Egorov	6
1.13	Proposition	6
1.15	Proposition	7
	•	7
		8
	•	8
2.5		9
2.7	Theorem – Non-Measurable Sets	
2.8	Proposition – Borel Characterization of Measurable Sets	
2.10	Theorem – Borel-Cantelli	
3.1	Theorem – p-Test for Integrals	
3.1	Theorem – Monotone Convergence	
3.3	Theorem – Dominated Convergence	
3.5	9	
3.6	Theorem – Tonelli	
3.7	Theorem – Fubini	
3.8	Theorem – Fubini/Tonelli	
	Proposition – Differentiating Under an Integral	
	Proposition – Swapping Sum and Integral	
	Proposition – Continuity in $L^1$	
	Proposition – Integration by Parts, Special Case	
	Theorem – Lebesgue Density	
3.23	Theorem – Dual Lp Spaces	9
4.4	Theorem – Fourier Inversion	0
4.5	Proposition – Eigenfunction of the Fourier Transform	1
4.6	Proposition – Properties of the Fourier Transform	1
4.7	Theorem – Convolution Against Approximate Identities Converge in $L^1$	2
4.8	Theorem – Convolutions Vanish at Infinity	2
5.1	Theorem – Bessel's Inequality	4
5.2	Theorem – Riesz Representation for Hilbert Spaces	5
5.3	Theorem – Continuous iff Bounded	6
5.4	Theorem – Operator Norm is a Norm	
5.5	Theorem – Completeness in Operator Norm	7
5.6	Theorem – Riesz-Fischer	
8.1	Proposition – Reverse Triangle Inequality	
8.2	Proposition – Chebyshev's Inequality	
8.3	Proposition – Holder's Inequality When Surjective	
8.4	Proposition – Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality	
8.5	Proposition – Minkowski's Inequality:	
8.6	Proposition – Young's Inequality*	
8.7	Proposition – Bezel's Inequality:	
8.8	Proposition – Parseval's Identity:	
8.9	Proposition – AM-GM Inequality	
	- · ·	
	Proposition – Jensen's Inequality	
0.11	Proposition –? Inequality	0

## 1 Basics

## 1.1 Useful Techniques

- $\lim f_n = \lim \sup f_n = \lim \inf f_n$  iff the limit exists, so  $\lim \sup f_n \leq g \leq \lim \inf f_n$  implies that  $g = \lim f$ .
- A limit does not exist iff  $\lim \inf f_n > \lim \sup f_n$ .
- If  $f_n$  has a global maximum (computed using  $f'_n$  and the first derivative test)  $M_n \longrightarrow 0$ , then  $f_n \longrightarrow 0$  uniformly.
- For a fixed x, if  $f = \sum f_n$  converges uniformly on some  $B_r(x)$  and each  $f_n$  is continuous at x, then f is also continuous at x.

### 1.2 Definitions

Definition 1.0.1 (Uniform Continuity).

f is uniformly continuous iff

$$\forall \varepsilon \quad \exists \delta(\varepsilon) \mid \quad \forall x, y, \quad |x - y| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$$

$$\iff \forall \varepsilon \quad \exists \delta(\varepsilon) \mid \quad \forall x, y, \quad |y| < \delta \implies |f(x - y) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$$

**Definition 1.0.2** (Nowhere Dense Sets).

A set S is **nowhere dense** iff the closure of S has empty interior iff every interval contains a subinterval that does not intersect S.

**Definition 1.0.3** (Meager Sets).

A set is **meager** if it is a *countable* union of nowhere dense sets.

**Definition 1.0.4** ( $F_{\sigma}$  and  $G_{\delta}$ ).

An  $F_{\sigma}$  set is a union of closed sets, and a  $G_{\delta}$  set is an intersection of opens.

Mnemonic: "F" stands for ferme, which is "closed" in French, and  $\sigma$  corresponds to a "sum", i.e. a union.

#### 1.3 Theorems

#### Proposition 1.1.

A *finite* union of nowhere dense is again nowhere dense.

Lemma 1.2(Convergent Sums Have Small Tails).

$$\sum a_n < \infty \implies a_n \longrightarrow 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \stackrel{N \longrightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

## Theorem 1.3 (Heine-Borel).

 $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is compact  $\iff X$  is closed and bounded.

#### Lemma 1.4(Geometric Series).

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k = \frac{1}{1-x} \iff |x| < 1.$$

Corollary:  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} = 1.$ 

#### Lemma 1.5.

The Cantor set is closed with empty interior.

#### Proof.

Its complement is a union of open intervals, and can't contain an interval since intervals have positive measure and  $m(C_n)$  tends to zero.

#### Corollary 1.6.

The Cantor set is nowhere dense.

#### Lemma 1.7.

Singleton sets in  $\mathbb{R}$  are closed, and thus  $\mathbb{Q}$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$  set.

#### Theorem 1.8 (Baire).

 $\mathbb{R}$  is a **Baire space** (countable intersections of open, dense sets are still dense). Thus  $\mathbb{R}$  can not be written as a countable union of nowhere dense sets.

#### Lemma 1.9.

There is a function discontinuous precisely on  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

#### Proof.

 $f(x) = \frac{1}{n}$  if  $x = r_n \in \mathbb{Q}$  is an enumeration of the rationals, and zero otherwise. The limit at every point is 0.

### Lemma 1.10.

There do not exist functions that are discontinuous precisely on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ .

Proof.

 $D_f$  is always an  $F_\sigma$  set, which follows by considering the oscillation  $\omega_f$ .  $\omega_f(x) = 0 \iff f$  is continuous at x, and  $D_f = \bigcup_{n \neq \infty} A_{\frac{1}{n}}$  where  $A_\varepsilon = \{\omega_f \geq \varepsilon\}$  is closed.

Lemma 1.11.

Any nonempty set which is bounded from above (resp. below) has a well-defined supremum (resp. infimum).

## 1.4 Uniform Convergence

### Theorem 1.12(Egorov).

Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be measurable with m(E) > 0 and  $\{f_k : E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$  be measurable functions such that

$$f(x) \coloneqq \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k(x) < \infty$$

exists almost everywhere.

Then  $f_k \longrightarrow f$  almost uniformly, i.e.

 $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \ \exists F \subseteq E \text{ closed such that } m(E \setminus F) < \varepsilon \text{ and } f_k \xrightarrow{u} f \text{ on } F.$ 

## Proposition 1.13.

The space X = C([0,1]), continuous functions  $f : [0,1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , equipped with the norm  $||f|| = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x)|$ , is a **complete** metric space.

Proof.

- 1. Let  $\{f_k\}$  be Cauchy in X.
- 2. Define a candidate limit using pointwise convergence:

Fix an x; since

$$|f_k(x) - f_j(x)| \le ||f_k - f_k|| \longrightarrow 0$$

the sequence  $\{f_k(x)\}\$  is Cauchy in  $\mathbb{R}$ . So define  $f(x) := \lim_k f_k(x)$ .

3. Show that  $||f_k - f|| \longrightarrow 0$ :

$$|f_k(x) - f_j(x)| < \varepsilon \ \forall x \implies \lim_i |f_k(x) - f_j(x)| < \varepsilon \ \forall x$$

Alternatively,  $||f_k - f|| \le ||f_k - f_N|| + ||f_N - f_j||$ , where N, j can be chosen large enough to bound each term by  $\varepsilon/2$ .

4. Show that  $f \in X$ :

The uniform limit of continuous functions is continuous. (Note: in other cases, you may need to show the limit is bounded, or has bounded derivative, or whatever other conditions define X.)

## 1.4 Uniform Convergence

#### Lemma 1.14.

Metric spaces are compact iff they are sequentially compact, (i.e. every sequence has a convergent subsequence).

#### Proposition 1.15.

The unit ball in C([0,1]) with the sup norm is not compact.

#### Proof.

Take  $f_k(x) = x^n$ , which converges to a dirac delta at 1. The limit is not continuous, so no subsequence can converge.

#### Lemma 1.16.

A uniform limit of continuous functions is continuous.

#### Theorem 1.17 (Heine-Cantor).

Every continuous function on a compact space is uniformly continuous.

## Lemma 1.18 (Testing Uniform Convergence).

 $f_n \longrightarrow f$  uniformly iff there exists an  $M_n$  such that  $||f_n - f||_{\infty} \leq M_n \longrightarrow 0$ .

**Negating:** find an x which depends on n for which the norm is bounded below.

## Lemma 1.19 (Baby Commuting Limits with Integrals).

If  $f_n \longrightarrow f$  uniformly, then  $\int f_n = \int f$ .

## Lemma 1.20 (Uniform Convergence and Derivatives).

If  $f'_n \longrightarrow g$  uniformly for some g and  $f_n \longrightarrow f$  pointwise (or at least at one point), then g = f'.

#### Lemma 1.21 (Uniform Convergence of Series).

If  $f_n(x) \leq M_n$  for a fixed x where  $\sum M_n < \infty$ , then the series  $f(x) = \sum f_n(x)$  converges pointwise.

#### Lemma 1.22.

If  $\sum f_n$  converges then  $f_n \longrightarrow 0$  uniformly.

#### Lemma 1.23 (M-test for Series).

If  $|f_n(x)| \leq M_n$  which does not depend on x, then  $\sum f_n$  converges uniformly.

#### Lemma 1.24(p-tests).

1 BASICS

Let n be a fixed dimension and set  $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid ||x|| \le 1\}.$ 

$$\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n^{p}} < \infty \iff p > 1$$

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{p}} < \infty \iff p > 1$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{x^{p}} < \infty \iff p < 1$$

$$\int_{B} \frac{1}{|x|^{p}} < \infty \iff p < n$$

$$\int_{B^{c}} \frac{1}{|x|^{p}} < \infty \iff p > n$$

Proposition 1.25.

A function  $f:(a,b) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Lipschitz  $\iff f$  is differentiable and f' is bounded. In this case,  $|f'(x)| \le C$ , the Lipschitz constant.

Proposition 1.26.

There exist smooth compactly supported functions, e.g. take

$$f(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} \chi_{(0,\infty)}(x).$$

## 2 Measure Theory

## 2.1 Useful Techniques

- $s = \inf \{ x \in X \} \implies$  for every  $\varepsilon$  there is an  $x \in X$  such that  $x \le s + \varepsilon$ .
- Always consider bounded sets, and if E is unbounded write  $E = \bigcup_n B_n(0) \cap E$  and use countable subadditivity or continuity of measure.

#### 2.2 Definitions

**Definition 2.0.1** (Outer Measure).

The outer measure of a set is given by

$$m_*(E) = \inf_{\substack{\{Q_i\} \rightrightarrows E \ \text{closed cubes}}} \sum |Q_i|.$$

**Definition 2.0.2** (Limsup and Liminf of Sets).

$$\limsup_{n} A_{n} \coloneqq \bigcap_{n} \bigcup_{j \ge n} A_{j} = \left\{ x \mid x \in A_{n} \text{ for inf. many } n \right\}$$
$$\liminf_{n} A_{n} \coloneqq \bigcup_{n} \bigcap_{j \ge n} A_{j} = \left\{ x \mid x \in A_{n} \text{ for all except fin. many } n \right\}$$

#### 2.3 Theorems

#### Lemma 2.1.

Every open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  (resp  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) can be written as a unique countable union of disjoint (resp. almost disjoint) intervals (resp. cubes).

## Lemma 2.2(Properties of Outer Measure).

- Montonicity:  $E \subseteq F \implies m_*(E) \le m_*(F)$ .
- Countable Subadditivity: m<sub>\*</sub>(∪E<sub>i</sub>) ≤ ∑m<sub>\*</sub>(E<sub>i</sub>).
  Approximation: For all E there exists a G ⊇ E such that m<sub>\*</sub>(G) ≤ m<sub>\*</sub>(E) + ε.
- Disjoint<sup>a</sup> Additivity:  $m_*(A \coprod B) = m_*(A) + m_*(B)$ .

#### Lemma 2.3 (Subtraction of Measure).

$$m(A) = m(B) + m(C)$$
 and  $m(C) < \infty \implies m(A) - m(C) = m(B)$ .

#### Lemma 2.4(Continuity of Measure).

$$E_i \nearrow E \implies m(E_i) \longrightarrow m(E)$$
  
 $m(E_1) < \infty \text{ and } E_i \setminus E \implies m(E_i) \longrightarrow m(E).$ 

### Proof.

- 1. Break into disjoint annuli  $A_2 = E_2 \setminus E_1$ , etc then apply countable disjoint additivity to  $E = \prod A_i$ .
  - 2. Use  $E_1 = (\prod E_j \setminus E_{j+1}) \prod (\bigcap E_j)$ , taking measures yields a telescoping sum, and use countable disjoint additivity.

#### Theorem 2.5.

Suppose E is measurable; then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

1. There exists an open  $O \supset E$  with  $m(O \setminus E) < \varepsilon$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>This holds for outer measure **iff** dist(A, B) > 0.

- 2. There exists a closed  $F \subset E$  with  $m(E \setminus F) < \varepsilon$
- 3. There exists a compact  $K \subset E$  with  $m(E \setminus K) < \varepsilon$ .

Proof.

- (1): Take  $\{Q_i\} \rightrightarrows E$  and set  $O = \bigcup Q_i$ .
- (2): Since  $E^c$  is measurable, produce  $O \supset E^c$  with  $m(O \setminus E^c) < \varepsilon$ .
  - Set  $F = O^c$ , so F is closed.
  - Then  $F \subset E$  by taking complements of  $O \supset E^c$
  - $-E \setminus F = O \setminus E^c$  and taking measures yields  $m(E \setminus F) < \varepsilon$
- (3): Pick  $F \subset E$  with  $m(E \setminus F) < \varepsilon/2$ .
  - Set  $K_n = F \cap \mathbb{D}_n$ , a ball of radius n about 0.
  - Then  $E \setminus K_n \searrow E \setminus F$
  - Since  $m(E) < \infty$ , there is an N such that  $n \ge N \implies m(E \setminus K_n) < \varepsilon$ .

Lemma 2.6.

Lebesgue measure is translation and dilation invariant.

Proof.

Obvious for cubes; if  $Q_i \rightrightarrows E$  then  $Q_i + k \rightrightarrows E + k$ , etc.

Flesh out this

Theorem 2.7 (Non-Measurable Sets).

There is a non-measurable set.

Proof.

- Use AOC to choose one representative from every coset of  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}$  on [0,1), which is countable, and assemble them into a set N
- Enumerate the rationals in [0,1] as  $q_j$ , and define  $N_j = N + q_j$ . These intersect trivially.
- Define  $M := \coprod N_j$ , then  $[0,1) \subseteq M \subseteq [-1,2)$ , so the measure must be between 1 and 3. By translation invariance,  $m(N_j) = m(N)$ , and disjoint additivity forces m(M) = 0, a contradiction.

Proposition 2.8 (Borel Characterization of Measurable Sets).

If E is Lebesgue measurable, then  $E = H \coprod N$  where  $H \in F_{\sigma}$  and N is null.

Useful technique:  $F_{\sigma}$  sets are Borel, so establish something for Borel sets and use this to extend it to Lebesgue.

Proof.

For every  $\frac{1}{n}$  there exists a closed set  $K_n \subset E$  such that  $m(E \setminus K_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ . Take  $K = \bigcup K_n$ , wlog  $K_n \nearrow K$  so  $m(K) = \lim m(K_n) = m(E)$ . Take  $N := E \setminus K$ , then m(N) = 0.

Lemma 2.9.

If  $A_n$  are all measurable,  $\limsup A_n$  and  $\liminf A_n$  are measurable.

Proof.

Measurable sets form a sigma algebra, and these are expressed as countable unions/intersections of measurable sets.

Theorem 2.10 (Borel-Cantelli).

Let  $\{E_k\}$  be a countable collection of measurable sets. Then

 $\sum m(E_k) < \infty \implies$  almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is in at most finitely many  $E_k$ .

Proof.

- If  $E = \limsup E_j$  with  $\sum m(E_j) < \infty$  then m(E) = 0.
- If  $E_j$  are measurable, then  $\limsup E_j$  is measurable.
- If  $\sum_{j} m(E_{j}) < \infty$ , then  $\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} m(E_{j}) \stackrel{N \longrightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$  as the tail of a convergent sequence.  $E = \limsup_{j} E_{j} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} E_{j} \implies E \subseteq \bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} \text{ for all } k$   $E \subset \bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} \implies m(E) \le \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} m(E_{j}) \stackrel{k \longrightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$ .

Lemma 2.11.

- Characteristic functions are measurable
- If  $f_n$  are measurable, so are  $|f_n|$ ,  $\limsup f_n$ ,  $\liminf f_n$ ,  $\lim f_n$ ,
- Sums and differences of measurable functions are measurable,
- Cones F(x,y) = f(x) are measurable,
- Compositions  $f \circ T$  for T a linear transformation are measurable,
- "Convolution-ish" transformations  $(x,y) \mapsto f(x-y)$  are measurable

Proof (Convolution).

Take the cone on f to get F(x,y) = f(x), then compose F with the linear transformation T = [1, -1; 1, 0].

## 3 Integration

Notation:

- "f vanishes at infinity" means  $f(x) \stackrel{|x| \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$ .
- "f has small tails" means  $\int_{|x|>N} f \stackrel{N\longrightarrow\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$ .

## 3.1 Useful Techniques

- Break integration domain up into disjoint annuli.
- Break integrals or sums into x < 1 and  $x \ge 1$ .
- Calculus techniques: Taylor series, IVT, ...
- Approximate by dense subsets of functions
- Useful facts about compactly supported continuous functions:
  - Uniformly continuous
  - Bounded

## 3.2 Definitions

**Definition 3.0.1**  $(L^{+})$ .

 $f \in L^+$  iff f is measurable and non-negative.

**Definition 3.0.2** (Integrable).

A measurable function is integrable iff  $||f||_1 < \infty$ .

**Definition 3.0.3** (The Infinity Norm).

$$||f||_{\infty} := \inf_{\alpha \ge 0} \left\{ \alpha \mid m \left\{ |f| \ge \alpha \right\} = 0 \right\}.$$

**Definition 3.0.4** (Essentially Bounded Functions).

A function  $f: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is essentially bounded iff there exists a real number c such that  $\mu(\{|f| > x\}) = 0$ , i.e.  $||f||_{\infty} < \infty$ .

If  $f \in L^{\infty}(X)$ , then f is equal to some bounded function g almost everywhere.

**Definition 3.0.5** (L infty).

$$L^{\infty}(X) \coloneqq \left\{ f: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \ \middle| \ f \text{ is essentially bounded} \ \right\} \coloneqq \left\{ f: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \ \middle| \ \|f\|_{\infty} < \infty \right\},$$

Example:

•  $f(x) = x\chi_{\mathbb{O}}(x)$  is essentially bounded but not bounded.

#### 3.3 Theorems

Useful facts about  $C_c$  functions:

- Bounded almost everywhere
- Uniformly continuous

Theorem 3.1(p-Test for Integrals).

$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{-p} < \infty \iff p < 1$$
$$\int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-p} < \infty \iff p > 1.$$

#### 3.3.1 Convergence Theorems

Theorem  $3.2 (Monotone\ Convergence)$ .

If  $f_n \in L^+$  and  $f_n \nearrow f$  a.e., then

$$\lim \int f_n = \int \lim f_n = \int f$$
 i.e.  $\int f_n \longrightarrow \int f$ .

Needs to be positive and increasing.

Theorem 3.3 (Dominated Convergence).

If  $f_n \in L^1$  and  $f_n \longrightarrow f$  a.e. with  $|f_n| \leq g$  for some  $g \in L^1$ , then

$$\lim \int f_n = \int \lim f_n = \int f$$
 i.e.  $\int f_n \longrightarrow \int f$ ,

and more generally,

$$\int |f_n - f| \longrightarrow 0.$$

Positivity not needed.

Generalized DCT: can relax  $|f_n| < g$  to  $|f_n| < g_n \longrightarrow g \in L^1$ .

Lemma 3.4.

If  $f \in L^1$ , then

$$\int |f_n - f| \longrightarrow 0 \iff \int |f_n| \longrightarrow |f|.$$

Proof.

Let  $g_n = |f_n| - |f_n - f|$ , then  $g_n \longrightarrow |f|$  and

$$|g_n| = ||f_n| - |f_n - f|| \le |f_n - (f_n - f)| = |f| \in L^1,$$

so the DCT applies to  $g_n$  and

$$||f_n - f||_1 = \int |f_n - f| + |f_n| - |f_n| = \int |f_n| - g_n$$

$$\longrightarrow_{DCT} \lim \int |f_n| - \int |f|.$$

Theorem 3.5 (Fatou's).

If  $f_n \in L^+$ , then

$$\int \liminf_{n} f_n \le \liminf_{n} \int f_n$$
$$\lim \sup_{n} \int f_n \le \int \limsup_{n} f_n.$$

Note that this has virtually no requirements (doesn't require positivity).

Theorem 3.6 (Tonelli).

For f(x,y) non-negative and measurable, for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

- $f_x(y)$  is a **measurable** function
- $F(x) = \int f(x,y) dy$  is a **measurable** function,
- For E measurable, the slices  $E_x := \{y \mid (x,y) \in E\}$  are measurable.
- $\int f = \int \int F$ , i.e. any iterated integral is equal to the original.

Theorem 3.7(Fubini).

For f(x, y) integrable, for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

- $f_x(y)$  is an **integrable** function
- $F(x) := \int f(x,y) \ dy$  is an **integrable** function,
- For E measurable, the slices  $E_x := \{y \mid (x,y) \in E\}$  are measurable.
- $\int f = \int \int f(x,y)$ , i.e. any iterated integral is equal to the original

Theorem 3.8 (Fubini/Tonelli).

If any iterated integral is **absolutely integrable**, i.e.  $\int \int |f(x,y)| < \infty$ , then f is integrable and  $\int f$  equals any iterated integral.

## Corollary 3.9 (Measurable Slices).

Let E be a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

- For almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ , the slice  $E_x := \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2} \mid (x, y) \in E \}$  is measurable in  $\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ .
- The function

$$F: \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
$$x \mapsto m(E_x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \chi_{E_x} \, dy$$

is measurable and

$$m(E) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} m(E_x) \ dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \chi_{E_x} \ dy \ dx$$

Proof (Measurable Slices).

 $\Longrightarrow$ :

- Let f be measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- Then the cylinders F(x,y) = f(x) and G(x,y) = f(y) are both measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .
- Write  $A = \{G \le F\} \bigcap \{G \ge 0\}$ ; both are measurable.

- Let A be measurable in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .
- Define  $A_x = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} \mid (x, y) \in \mathcal{A} \}$ , then  $m(A_x) = f(x)$ .
- By the corollary,  $A_x$  is measurable set,  $x \mapsto A_x$  is a measurable function, and m(A) = $\int f(x) dx$ .
- Then explicitly,  $f(x) = \chi_A$ , which makes f a measurable function.

Proposition 3.10 (Differentiating Under an Integral).

If 
$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x,t) \right| \le g(x) \in L^1$$
, then letting  $F(t) = \int f(x,t) dt$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(t) := \lim_{h \to 0} \int \frac{f(x, t+h) - f(x, t)}{h} dx$$

$$\stackrel{\text{DCT}}{=} \int \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x, t) dx.$$

To justify passing the limit, let  $h_k \longrightarrow 0$  be any sequence and define

$$f_k(x,t) = \frac{f(x,t+h_k) - f(x,t)}{h_k},$$

so 
$$f_k \stackrel{\text{pointwise}}{\longrightarrow} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f$$
.

so  $f_k \xrightarrow{\text{pointwise}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f$ . Apply the MVT to  $f_k$  to get  $f_k(x,t) = f_k(\xi,t)$  for some  $\xi \in [0,h_k]$ , and show that  $f_k(\xi,t) \in L_1$ .

Proposition 3.11 (Swapping Sum and Integral).

If  $f_n$  are non-negative and  $\sum \int |f|_n < \infty$ , then  $\sum \int f_n = \int \sum f_n$ .

Proof.

MCT. Let  $F_N = \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n$  be a finite partial sum; then there are simple functions  $\varphi_n \nearrow f_n$  and so  $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \varphi_n \nearrow F_N$ , so apply MCT.

Lemma 3.12

If  $f_k \in L^1$  and  $\sum ||f_k||_1 < \infty$  then  $\sum f_k$  converges almost everywhere and in  $L^1$ .

Proof

Define  $F_N = \sum_{k=1}^{N} f_k$  and  $F = \lim_{k \to \infty} F_k$ , then  $||F_N||_1 \le \sum_{k=1}^{N} ||f_k|| < \infty$  so  $F \in L^1$  and  $||F_N - F||_1 \longrightarrow 0$  so the sum converges in  $L^1$ . Almost everywhere convergence: ?

3.4  $L^1$  Facts

Lemma 3.13 (Translation Invariance).

The Lebesgue integral is translation invariant, i.e.  $\int f(x) dx = \int f(x+h) dx$  for any h.

Proof.

- For characteristic functions,  $\int_E f(x+h) = \int_{E+h} f(x) = m(E+h) = m(E) = \int_E f$  by translation invariance of measure.
- So this also holds for simple functions by linearity
- For  $f \in L^+$ , choose  $\varphi_n \nearrow f$  so  $\int \varphi_n \longrightarrow \int f$ .
- Similarly,  $\tau_h \varphi_n \nearrow \tau_h f$  so  $\int \tau_h f \longrightarrow \int f$
- Finally  $\left\{ \int \tau_h \varphi \right\} = \left\{ \int \varphi \right\}$  by step 1, and the suprema are equal by uniqueness of limits.

 ${\bf Lemma~3.14} (Integrals~Distribute~Over~Disjoint~Sets).$ 

If  $X \subseteq A \bigcup B$ , then  $\int_X f \leq \int_A f + \int_{A^c} f$  with equality iff  $X = A \coprod B$ .

Lemma 3.15 (Unif Cts L1 Functions Vanish at Infinity).

If  $f \in L^1$  and f is uniformly continuous, then  $f(x) \stackrel{|x| \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$ .

Doesn't hold for general  $L^1$  functions, take any train of triangles with height 1 and summable areas.

Lemma 3.16(L1 Functions Have Small Tails).

If  $f \in L^1$ , then for every  $\varepsilon$  there exists a radius R such that if  $A = B_R(0)^c$ , then  $\int_A |f| < \varepsilon$ .

Proof.

Approximate with compactly supported functions. Take  $g \xrightarrow{L_1} f$  with  $g \in C_c$ , then choose N large enough so that g = 0 on  $E := B_N(0)^c$ , then  $\int_E |f| \le \int_E |f - g| + \int_E |g|$ .

Lemma 3.17 ( $L^1$  Functions Have Absolutely Continuity).

$$m(E) \longrightarrow 0 \implies \int_E f \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof.

Approximate with compactly supported functions. Take  $g \xrightarrow{L_1} f$ , then  $g \leq M$  so  $\int_E f \leq \int_E f - g + \int_E g \longrightarrow 0 + M \cdot m(E) \longrightarrow 0$ .

Lemma 3.18( $L^1$  Functions Are Finite Almost Everywhere). If  $f \in L^1$ , then  $m(\{f(x) = \infty\}) = 0$ .

Proof.

Idea: Split up domain Let  $A = \{f(x) = \infty\}$ , then  $\infty > \int f = \int_A f + \int_{A^c} f = \infty \cdot m(A) + \int_{A^c} f \implies m(X) = 0.$ 

Proposition 3.19 (Continuity in  $L^1$ ).

$$\|\tau_h f - f\|_1 \stackrel{h \longrightarrow 0}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

Proof.

Approximate with compactly supported functions. Take  $g \xrightarrow{L_1} f$  with  $g \in C_c$ .

$$\int f(x+h) - f(x) \le \int f(x+h) - g(x+h) + \int g(x+h) - g(x) + \int g(x) - f(x)$$

$$\stackrel{? \longrightarrow ?}{\Longrightarrow} 2\varepsilon + \int g(x+h) - g(x)$$

$$= \int_{K} g(x+h) - g(x) + \int_{K^{c}} g(x+h) - g(x)$$

$$\stackrel{??}{\Longrightarrow} 0.$$

which follows because we can enlarge the support of g to K where the integrand is zero on  $K^c$ , then apply uniform continuity on K.

Proposition 3.20 (Integration by Parts, Special Case).

$$F(x) := \int_0^x f(y) dy \quad \text{and} \quad G(x) := \int_0^x g(y) dy$$
 
$$\implies \int_0^1 F(x) g(x) dx = F(1) G(1) - \int_0^1 f(x) G(x) dx.$$

Proof.

Fubini-Tonelli, and sketch region to change integration bounds.

Theorem 3.21 (Lebesgue Density).

$$A_h(f)(x) := \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} f(y) dy \implies ||A_h(f) - f|| \stackrel{h \longrightarrow 0}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Proof.

Fubini-Tonelli, and sketch region to change integration bounds, and continuity in  $L^1$ .

## 3.5 $L^p$ Spaces

## Lemma 3.22.

The following are dense subspaces of  $L^2([0,1])$ :

- Simple functions
- Step functions
- $C_0([0,1])$
- Smoothly differentiable functions  $C_0^\infty([0,1])$

• Smooth compactly supported functions  $C_c^{\infty}$  Theorem :

$$m(X) < \infty \implies \lim_{p \to \infty} ||f||_p = ||f||_{\infty}.$$

Proof.

- $\bullet \ \ \text{Let} \ M = \|f\|_{\infty}.$   $\bullet \ \ \text{For any} \ L < M, \ \text{let} \ S = \{|f| \geq L\}.$
- Then m(S) > 0 and

$$||f||_{p} = \left(\int_{X} |f|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\geq \left(\int_{S} |f|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\geq L \ m(S)^{\frac{1}{p}} \stackrel{p \longrightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} L$$

$$\implies \liminf_{p} ||f||_{p} \geq M.$$

We also have

$$||f||_p = \left(\int_X |f|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\leq \left(\int_X M^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$= M \ m(X)^{\frac{1}{p}} \xrightarrow{p \to \infty} M$$

$$\implies \limsup_p ||f||_p \leq M \blacksquare.$$

Theorem 3.23 (Dual Lp Spaces).

For  $p \neq \infty$ ,  $(L^p)^{\vee} \cong L^q$ .

Proof (p=1).

Proof (p=2).

Use Riesz Representation for Hilbert spaces.

Proof (p =).

 $L^1 \subset (L^\infty)^\vee$ , since the isometric mapping is always injective, but never surjective. So this containment is always proper (requires Hahn-Banach Theorem).

## 4 Fourier Transform and Convolution

#### 4.1 The Fourier Transform

Definition 4.0.1 (Convolution).

$$f * g(x) = \int f(x - y)g(y)dy.$$

**Definition 4.0.2** (The Fourier Transform).

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int f(x) \ e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \ dx.$$

## Lemma 4.1.

If  $\widehat{f} = \widehat{g}$  then f = g almost everywhere.

Lemma 4.2 (Riemann-Lebesgue: Fourier transforms have small tails).

$$f \in L^1 \implies \widehat{f}(\xi) \to 0 \text{ as } |\xi| \to \infty.$$

#### Lemma 4.3.

If  $f \in L^1$ , then  $\hat{f}$  is continuous and bounded.

Proof.

• Boundedness:

$$\left|\widehat{f}(\xi)\right| \leq \int |f| \cdot \left|e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi}\right| = \|f\|_1.$$

• Continuity:

- Apply DCT to show  $\left| \widehat{f}(\xi_n) - \widehat{f}(\xi) \right| \stackrel{n \longrightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$ .

Theorem 4.4 (Fourier Inversion).

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(x)e^{2\pi ix\cdot\xi}d\xi.$$

Proof.

Idea: Fubini-Tonelli doesn't work directly, so introduce a convergence factor, take limits, and use uniqueness of limits.

• Take the modified integral:

$$I_{t}(x) = \int \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} e^{-\pi t^{2} |\xi|^{2}}$$

$$= \int \widehat{f}(\xi) \varphi(\xi)$$

$$= \int f(\xi) \widehat{\varphi}(\xi)$$

$$= \int f(\xi) \widehat{\widehat{g}}(\xi - x)$$

$$= \int f(\xi) g_{t}(x - \xi) d\xi$$

$$= \int f(y - x) g_{t}(y) dy \quad (\xi = y - x)$$

$$= (f * g_{t})$$

$$\longrightarrow f \text{ in } L^{1} \text{ as } t \longrightarrow 0.$$

• We also have

$$\lim_{t \to 0} I_t(x) = \lim_{t \to 0} \int \widehat{f}(\xi) \ e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \ e^{-\pi t^2 |\xi|^2}$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \int \widehat{f}(\xi) \varphi(\xi)$$

$$=_{DCT} \int \widehat{f}(\xi) \lim_{t \to 0} \varphi(\xi)$$

$$= \int \widehat{f}(\xi) \ e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi}$$

• So

$$I_t(x) \longrightarrow \int \widehat{f}(\xi) \ e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \ \text{ pointwise and } \|I_t(x) - f(x)\|_1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

- So there is a subsequence  $I_{t_n}$  such that  $I_{t_n}(x) \longrightarrow f(x)$  almost everywhere
- Thus  $f(x) = \int \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi}$  almost everywhere by uniqueness of limits.

Proposition 4.5 (Eigenfunction of the Fourier Transform).

$$g(x) \coloneqq e^{-\pi |t|^2} \implies \widehat{g}(\xi) = g(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{g}_t(x) = g(tx) = e^{-\pi t^2 |x|^2}.$$

Proposition 4.6 (Properties of the Fourier Transform).

?????

### 4.2 Approximate Identities

**Definition 4.6.1** (Dilation).

$$\varphi_t(x) = t^{-n} \varphi\left(t^{-1} x\right).$$

**Definition 4.6.2** (Approximation to the Identity).

For  $\varphi \in L^1$ , the dilations satisfy  $\int \varphi_t = \int \varphi$ , and if  $\int \varphi = 1$  then  $\varphi$  is an approximate identity. Example:  $\varphi(x) = e^{-\pi x^2}$ 

Theorem 4.7 (Convolution Against Approximate Identities Converge in  $L^1$ ).

$$||f * \varphi_t - f||_1 \stackrel{t \longrightarrow 0}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \|f-f*\varphi_t\|_1 &= \int f(x) - \int f(x-y)\varphi_t(y) \; dy dx \\ &= \int f(x) \int \varphi_t(y) \; dy - \int f(x-y)\varphi_t(y) \; dy dx \\ &= \int \int \varphi_t(y)[f(x) - f(x-y)] \; dy dx \\ &=_{FT} \int \int \varphi_t(y)[f(x) - f(x-y)] \; dx dy \\ &= \int \varphi_t(y) \int f(x) - f(x-y) \; dx dy \\ &= \int \varphi_t(y) \|f - \tau_y f\|_1 dy \\ &= \int_{y < \delta} \varphi_t(y) \|f - \tau_y f\|_1 dy + \int_{y \ge \delta} \varphi_t(y) \|f - \tau_y f\|_1 dy \\ &\leq \int_{y < \delta} \varphi_t(y) \varepsilon + \int_{y \ge \delta} \varphi_t(y) \left( \|f\|_1 + \|\tau_y f\|_1 \right) dy \quad \text{by continuity in } L^1 \\ &\leq \varepsilon + 2\|f\|_1 \int_{y \ge \delta} \varphi_t(y) dy \\ &\leq \varepsilon + 2\|f\|_1 \cdot \varepsilon \quad \text{since } \varphi_t \text{ has small tails} \\ &\stackrel{\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0}{\longrightarrow} 0. \end{split}$$

Theorem 4.8 (Convolutions Vanish at Infinity).

$$f, g \in L^1$$
 and bounded  $\implies \lim_{|x| \to \infty} (f * g)(x) = 0.$ 

Proof.

• Choose  $M \geq f, g$ .

• By small tails, choose 
$$N$$
 such that  $\int_{B_N^c} |f|, \int_{B_n^c} |g| < \varepsilon$ 

• Note

$$|f * g| \le \int |f(x-y)| |g(y)| dy := I.$$

• Use  $|x| \le |x - y| + |y|$ , take  $|x| \ge 2N$  so either

$$|x-y| \ge N \implies I \le \int_{\{x-y \ge N\}} |f(x-y)| M \ dy \le \varepsilon M \longrightarrow 0$$

then

$$|y| \geq N \implies I \leq \int_{\{y \geq N\}} M|g(y)| \ dy \leq M\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proposition (Young's Inequality?):

$$\frac{1}{r} := \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1 \implies ||f * g||_r \le ||f||_p ||g||q.$$

Corollary 4.9.

Take q = 1 to obtain

$$||f * g||_p \le ||f||p||g||1.$$

Corollary 4.10.

If  $f, g \in L^1$  then  $f * g \in L^1$ .

## 5 Functional Analysis

## 5.1 Definitions

Notation: H denotes a Hilbert space.

**Definition 5.0.1** (Orthonormal Sequence).

Definition 5.0.2 (Basis).

A set  $\{u_n\}$  is a *basis* for a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  iff it is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Definition 5.0.3** (Complete).

A collection of vectors  $\{u_n\} \subset H$  is complete iff  $\langle x, u_n \rangle = 0$  for all  $n \iff x = 0$  in H.

Definition 5.0.4 (Dual Space).

$$X^{\vee} \coloneqq \left\{ L : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \ \middle| \ L \text{ is continuous } \right\}.$$

Definition 5.0.5.

A map  $L: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a linear functional iff

$$L(\alpha \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \alpha L(\mathbf{x}) + L(\mathbf{y})..$$

**Definition 5.0.6** (Operator Norm).

$$\|L\|_{X^\vee} \coloneqq \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ \|x\| = 1}} |L(x)|.$$

Definition 5.0.7 (Banach Space).

A complete normed vector space.

Definition 5.0.8 (Hilbert Space).

An inner product space which is a Banach space under the induced norm.

#### 5.2 Theorems

Theorem 5.1 (Bessel's Inequality).

For any orthonormal set  $\{u_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  a Hilbert space (not necessarily a basis),

$$\left\| x - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n \right\|^2 = \|x\|^2 - \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2$$

and thus

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2 \le ||x||^2.$$

Proof.

• Let 
$$S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n$$

$$||x - S_N||^2 = \langle x - S_n, x - S_N \rangle$$

$$= ||x||^2 + ||S_N||^2 - 2\Re \langle x, S_N \rangle$$

$$= ||x||^2 + ||S_N||^2 - 2\Re \left\langle x, \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n \right\rangle$$

$$= ||x||^2 + ||S_N||^2 - 2\Re \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x, \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n \rangle$$

$$= ||x||^2 + ||S_N||^2 - 2\Re \sum_{n=1}^N \overline{\langle x, u_n \rangle} \langle x, u_n \rangle$$

$$= ||x||^2 + ||\sum_{n=1}^N \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n||^2 - 2\sum_{n=1}^N |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2$$

$$= ||x||^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2 - 2\sum_{n=1}^N |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2$$

$$= ||x||^2 - \sum_{n=1}^N |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2.$$

• By continuity of the norm and inner product, we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \|x - S_N\|^2 = \lim_{N \to \infty} \|x\|^2 - \sum_{n=1}^N |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2$$

$$\implies \left\| x - \lim_{N \to \infty} S_N \right\|^2 = \|x\|^2 - \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2$$

$$\implies \left\| x - \sum_{n=1}^\infty \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n \right\|^2 = \|x\|^2 - \sum_{n=1}^\infty |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2.$$

• Then noting that  $0 \le ||x - S_N||^2$ ,

$$0 \le ||x||^2 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2$$

$$\implies \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2 \le ||x||^2 \blacksquare.$$

## Theorem 5.2 (Riesz Representation for Hilbert Spaces).

If  $\Lambda$  is a continuous linear functional on a Hilbert space H, then there exists a unique  $y \in H$  such that

$$\forall x \in H, \quad \Lambda(x) = \langle x, y \rangle...$$

## Proof.

- Define  $M := \ker \Lambda$ .
- Then M is a closed subspace and so  $H = M \oplus M^{\perp}$
- There is some  $z \in M^{\perp}$  such that ||z|| = 1.
- Set  $u := \Lambda(x)z \Lambda(z)x$
- Check

$$\Lambda(u) = \Lambda(\Lambda(x)z - \Lambda(z)x) = \Lambda(x)\Lambda(z) - \Lambda(z)\Lambda(x) = 0 \implies u \in M$$

• Compute

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \langle u, \ z \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda(x)z - \Lambda(z)x, \ z \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda(x)z, \ z \rangle - \langle \Lambda(z)x, \ z \rangle \\ &= \Lambda(x)\langle z, \ z \rangle - \Lambda(z)\langle x, \ z \rangle \\ &= \Lambda(x)\|z\|^2 - \Lambda(z)\langle x, \ z \rangle \\ &= \Lambda(x) - \Lambda(z)\langle x, \ z \rangle \\ &= \Lambda(x) - \langle x, \ \overline{\Lambda(z)}z \rangle, \end{split}$$

- Choose  $y := \overline{\Lambda(z)}z$ .
- Check uniqueness:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, y' \rangle \quad \forall x$$

$$\implies \langle x, y - y' \rangle = 0 \quad \forall x$$

$$\implies \langle y - y', y - y' \rangle = 0$$

$$\implies ||y - y'|| = 0$$

$$\implies y - y' = \mathbf{0} \implies y = y'.$$

## Theorem 5.3 (Continuous iff Bounded).

Let  $L: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a linear functional, then the following are equivalent:

- 1. L is continuous
- 2. L is continuous at zero
- 3. L is bounded, i.e.  $\exists c \geq 0 \mid |L(x)| \leq c||x||$  for all  $x \in H$

## Proof.

 $2 \implies 3$ : Choose  $\delta < 1$  such that

$$||x|| \le \delta \implies |L(x)| < 1.$$

Then

$$|L(x)| = \left| L\left(\frac{\|x\|}{\delta} \frac{\delta}{\|x\|} x\right) \right|$$
$$= \frac{\|x\|}{\delta} \left| L\left(\delta \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) \right|$$
$$\leq \frac{\|x\|}{\delta} 1,$$

so we can take  $c = \frac{1}{\delta}$ .

 $3 \implies 1$ :

We have  $|L(x-y)| \le c||x-y||$ , so given  $\varepsilon \ge 0$  simply choose  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{c}$ .

## Theorem 5.4(Operator Norm is a Norm).

If H is a Hilbert space, then  $(H^{\vee}, \|\cdot\|_{\text{op}})$  is a normed space.

Proof.

The only nontrivial property is the triangle inequality, but

$$||L_1 + L_2||_{\text{op}} = \sup |L_1(x) + L_2(x)| \le \sup |L_1(x)| + |\sup L_2(x)| = ||L_1||_{\text{op}} + ||L_2||_{\text{op}}.$$

Theorem 5.5 (Completeness in Operator Norm).

If X is a normed vector space, then  $(X^{\vee}, \|\cdot\|_{\text{op}})$  is a Banach space.

Proof.

- Let  $\{L_n\}$  be Cauchy in  $X^{\vee}$ .
- Then for all  $x \in C$ ,  $\{L_n(x)\}\subset \mathbb{C}$  is Cauchy and converges to something denoted L(x).
- Need to show L is continuous and  $||L_n L|| \longrightarrow 0$ .
- Since  $\{L_n\}$  is Cauchy in  $X^{\vee}$ , choose N large enough so that

$$n, m \ge N \implies ||L_n - L_m|| < \varepsilon \implies |L_m(x) - L_n(x)| < \varepsilon \quad \forall x \mid ||x|| = 1.$$

• Take  $n \longrightarrow \infty$  to obtain

$$m \ge N \implies |L_m(x) - L(x)| < \varepsilon \quad \forall x \mid ||x|| = 1$$
  
$$\implies ||L_m - L|| < \varepsilon \longrightarrow 0.$$

• Continuity:

$$|L(x)| = |L(x) - L_n(x) + L_n(x)|$$

$$\leq |L(x) - L_n(x)| + |L_n(x)|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon ||x|| + c||x||$$

$$= (\varepsilon + c)||x|| \blacksquare.$$

## Theorem 5.6(Riesz-Fischer).

Let  $U = \{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be an orthonormal set (not necessarily a basis), then

1. There is an isometric surjection

$$\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$$
  
 $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ 

i.e. if  $\{a_n\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ , so  $\sum |a_n|^2 < \infty$ , then there exists a  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$a_n = \langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{u}_n \rangle \quad \forall n.$$

2.  $\mathbf{x}$  can be chosen such that

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \sum |a_n|^2$$

Note: the choice of **x** is unique  $\iff$  { $u_n$ } is **complete**, i.e.  $\langle$ **x**,  $u_n\rangle$  = 0 for all n implies

Proof.

Given {a<sub>n</sub>}, define S<sub>N</sub> = ∑<sup>N</sup> a<sub>n</sub>**u**<sub>n</sub>.
 S<sub>N</sub> is Cauchy in H and so S<sub>N</sub> → **x** for some **x** ∈ H.
 ⟨x, u<sub>n</sub>⟩ = ⟨x - S<sub>N</sub>, u<sub>n</sub>⟩ + ⟨S<sub>N</sub>, u<sub>n</sub>⟩ → a<sub>n</sub>
 By construction, ||x - S<sub>N</sub>||<sup>2</sup> = ||x||<sup>2</sup> - ∑<sup>N</sup> |a<sub>n</sub>|<sup>2</sup> → 0, so ||x||<sup>2</sup> = ∑<sup>∞</sup> |a<sub>n</sub>|<sup>2</sup>.

## 6 Extra Problems

Topology

• Show that every compact set is closed and bounded.

• Show that if a subset of a metric space is complete and totally bounded, then it is compact.

• Show that if K is compact and F is closed with K, F disjoint then dist(K, F) > 0.

Continuity

• Show that a continuous function on a compact set is uniformly continuous.

Differentiation

• Show that if  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  and both  $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x)$  and  $\lim_{x \to \infty} f'(x)$  exist, then  $\lim_{x \to \infty} f'(x)$  must be

Advanced Limitology

- If f is continuous, is it necessarily the case that f' is continuous?
- If  $f_n \longrightarrow f$ , is it necessarily the case that  $f'_n$  converges to f' (or at all)?
- Is it true that the sum of differentiable functions is differentiable?
- Is it true that the limit of integrals equals the integral of the limit?
- Is it true that a limit of continuous functions is continuous?
- Show that a subset of a metric space is closed iff it is complete.

#### Uniform Convergence

- Show that a uniform limit of bounded functions is bounded.
- Show that a uniform limit of continuous function is continuous.
- I.e. if  $f_n \longrightarrow f$  uniformly with each  $f_n$  continuous then f is continuous. • Show that if  $f_n \longrightarrow f$  pointwise,  $f'_n \longrightarrow g$  uniformly for some f, g, then f is differentiable and
- Prove that uniform convergence implies pointwise convergence implies a.e. convergence, but none of the implications may be reversed.

#### Measure Theory

- $\star$ : Show that for  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , TFAE:
  - 1. E is measurable
  - 2.  $E = H \bigcup Z$  here H is  $F_{\sigma}$  and Z is null
  - 3.  $E = V \setminus Z'$  where  $V \in G_{\delta}$  and Z' is null.
- Show that continuity of measure from above/below holds for outer measures.
- \*: Show that if  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is measurable then  $m(E) = \sup \{ m(K) \mid K \subset E \text{ compact} \}$  iff for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a compact  $K \subseteq E$  such that  $m(K) \ge m(E) \varepsilon$ .
- Show that a countable union of null sets is null.

#### Measurability

- Show that f = 0 a.e. iff  $\int_E f = 0$  for every measurable set E.
- $\star$ : Show that cylinder functions are measurable, i.e. if f is measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^s$ , then F(x,y) := f(x) is measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{R}^t$  for any t.

#### Integrability

- Show that if f is a measurable function, then f = 0 a.e. iff  $\int f = 0$ .
- \*: Prove that the Lebesgue integral is translation invariant, i.e. if  $\tau_h(x) = x + h$  then  $\int \tau_h f = \int f$ .
- \*: Prove that the Lebesgue integral is dilation invariant, i.e. if  $f_{\delta}(x) = \frac{f(\frac{x}{\delta})}{\delta^n}$  then  $\int f_{\delta} = \int f$ .
- $\star$ : Prove continuity in  $L^1$ , i.e.

$$f \in L^1 \Longrightarrow \lim_{h \to 0} \int |f(x+h) - f(x)| = 0.$$

- Show that a bounded function is Lebesgue integrable iff it is measurable.
- Show that simple functions are dense in  $L^1$ .

- Show that step functions are dense in  $L^1$ .
- Show that smooth compactly supported functions are dense in  $L^1$ .

### Convergence

- Prove Fatou's lemma using the Monotone Convergence Theorem.
- Show that if  $\{f_n\}$  is in  $L^1$  and  $\sum \int |f_n| < \infty$  then  $\sum f_n$  converges to an  $L^1$  function and

$$\int \sum f_n = \sum \int f_n.$$

## Convolution

• \*: Show that

$$f,g\in L^1 \implies f*g\in L^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|f*g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1.$$

- Show that  $f \in L^1, g \leq M \implies f * g \leq M'$  and is uniformly continuous.
- Show that under any of the following assumptions, f \* g vanishes at infinity:
  - $-f,g \in L^1$  are both bounded.
  - $-f, g \in L^1$  with just g bounded.
  - -f,g smooth and compactly supported (and in fact f\*g is smooth)
  - $-f \in L^1$  and g smooth and compactly supported (and in fact f \* g is smooth)
- Show that if  $f \in L^1$  and g' exists with  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}$  all bounded, then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (f * g) = f * \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}$$

• If f, g are compactly supported, is it necessarily the case that f \* g is compactly supported?

### Fourier Analysis

- Show that if  $f \in L^1$  then  $\hat{f}$  is bounded and uniformly continuous.
- Is it the case that  $f \in L^1$  implies  $\widehat{f} \in L^1$ ?
- Show that if  $f, \hat{f} \in L^1$  then f is bounded, uniformly continuous, and vanishes at infinity.
  - Show that this is not true for arbitrary  $L^1$  functions.
- Show that if  $f \in L^1$  and  $\hat{f} = 0$  almost everywhere then f = 0 almost everywhere.
  - Prove that  $\widehat{f} = \widehat{g}$  implies that f = g a.e.
- Show that if  $f, g \in L^1$  then  $\int \widehat{f}g = \int f\widehat{g}$ .

   Give an example showing that this fails if g is not bounded.
- Show that if  $f \in C^1$  then f is equal to its Fourier series.

#### Approximate Identities

- Show that if  $\varphi$  is an approximate identity, then  $||f * \varphi_t f||_1 \xrightarrow{t \longrightarrow 0} 0$ .

   Show that if additionally  $|\varphi(x)| \le c(1+|x|)^{-n-\varepsilon}$  for some  $c, \varepsilon > 0$ , then this converges is almost everywhere.
- Show that is f is bounded and uniformly continuous and  $\varphi_t$  is an approximation to the identity, then  $f * \varphi_t$  uniformly converges to f.

#### $L^p$ Spaces

• Show that if  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is measurable with  $\mu(E) < \infty$  and  $f \in L^p(X)$  then  $||f||_{L^p(X)} \stackrel{p \longrightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} ||f||_{\infty}$ .

- Is it true that the converse to the DCT holds? I.e. if  $\int f_n \longrightarrow \int f$ , is there a  $g \in L^p$  such that  $f_n < g$  a.e. for every n?
- Prove continuity in  $L^p$ : If f is uniformly continuous then  $\|\tau_h f f\|_p \longrightarrow 0$  as  $h \longrightarrow 0$  for all p.
- Prove the following inclusions of  $L^p$  spaces for  $m(X) < \infty$ :

$$L^{\infty}(X) \subset L^{2}(X) \subset L^{1}(X)$$
$$\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \ell^{1}(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

## 7 Practice Exam 2 (November 2014)

#### 7.1 1: Fubini-Tonelli

#### 7.1.1 a

Carefully state Tonelli's theorem for a nonnegative function F(x,t) on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ .

#### 7.1.2 b

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow [0, \infty]$  and define

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \le t \le f(x) \right\}.$$

Prove the validity of the following two statements:

- 1. f is Lebesgue measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^n \iff \mathcal{A}$  is a Lebesgue measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .
- 2. If f is Lebesgue measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  then

$$m(\mathcal{A}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx = \int_0^\infty m\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(x) \ge t\right\}\right) dt.$$

#### 7.2 2: Convolutions and the Fourier Transform

#### 7.2.1 a

Let  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and give a definition of f \* g.

### 7.2.2 b

Prove that if f, g are integrable and bounded, then

$$(f * g)(x) \stackrel{|x| \longrightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

#### 7.2.3 c

- 1. Define the Fourier transform of an integrable function f on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- 2. Give an outline of the proof of the Fourier inversion formula.
- 3. Give an example of a function  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\widehat{f}$  is not in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

## 7.3 3: Hilbert Spaces

Let  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be an orthonormal sequence in a Hilbert space H.

#### 7.3.1 a

Let  $x \in H$  and verify that

$$\left\| x - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n \right\|_{H}^{2} = \|x\|_{H}^{2} - \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^{2}.$$

for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and deduce that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2 \le ||x||_H^2.$$

### 7.3.2 b

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  and prove that there exists an  $x\in H$  such that  $a_n=\langle x, u_n\rangle$  for all  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ , and moreover x may be chosen such that

$$||x||_H = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof.

- Take  $\{a_n\} \in \ell^2$ , then note that  $\sum |a_n|^2 < \infty \implies$  the tails vanish.
- Define  $x := \lim_{N \to \infty} S_N$  where  $S_N = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k u_k$
- $\{S_N\}$  is Cauchy and H is complete, so  $x \in H$ .
- By construction,

$$\langle x, u_n \rangle = \left\langle \sum_k a_k u_k, u_n \right\rangle = \sum_k a_k \langle u_k, u_n \rangle = a_n$$

since the  $u_k$  are all orthogonal.

• By Pythagoras since the  $u_k$  are normal,

$$||x||^2 = \left\| \sum_k a_k u_k \right\|^2 = \sum_k ||a_k u_k||^2 = \sum_k |a_k|^2.$$

#### 7.3.3 c

Prove that if  $\{u_n\}$  is *complete*, Bessel's inequality becomes an equality.

Proof.

Let x and  $u_n$  be arbitrary.

$$\left\langle x - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x, u_k \rangle u_k, u_n \right\rangle = \left\langle x, u_n \right\rangle - \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x, u_k \rangle u_k, u_n \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle x, u_n \right\rangle - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\langle \langle x, u_k \rangle u_k, u_n \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle x, u_n \right\rangle - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\langle x, u_k \rangle \langle u_k, u_n \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle x, u_n \right\rangle - \left\langle x, u_n \right\rangle = 0$$

$$\implies x - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x, u_k \rangle u_k = 0 \quad \text{by completeness.}$$

So

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x, u_k \rangle u_k \implies ||x||^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, u_k \rangle|^2. \blacksquare.$$

## 7.4 4: $L^p$ Spaces

## 7.4.1 a

Prove Holder's inequality: let  $f \in L^p$ ,  $g \in L^q$  with p, q conjugate, and show that

$$||fg||_p \leq ||f||_p \cdot ||g||_q$$
.

#### 7.4.2 b

Prove Minkowski's Inequality:

$$1 \le p < \infty \implies ||f + g||_p \le ||f||_p + ||g||_p$$

Conclude that if  $f, g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then so is f + g.

#### 7.4.3 c

Let  $X = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

- 1. Give a definition of the Banach space  $L^{\infty}(X)$  of essentially bounded functions of X.
- 2. Let f be non-negative and measurable on X, prove that

$$\int_X f(x)^p dx \stackrel{p \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \begin{cases} \infty & \text{or} \\ m(\{f^{-1}(1)\}) \end{cases},$$

and characterize the functions of each type

Proof.

$$\int f^{p} = \int_{x<1} f^{p} + \int_{x=1} f^{p} + \int_{x>1} f^{p}$$

$$= \int_{x<1} f^{p} + \int_{x=1} 1 + \int_{x>1} f^{p}$$

$$= \int_{x<1} f^{p} + m(\{f = 1\}) + \int_{x>1} f^{p}$$

$$\stackrel{p \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 + m(\{f = 1\}) + \begin{cases} 0 & m(\{x \ge 1\}) = 0\\ \infty & m(\{x \ge 1\}) > 0. \end{cases}$$

Justify passing limit into integrals

### 7.5 5: Dual Spaces

Let X be a normed vector space.

#### 7.5.1 a

Give the definition of what it means for a map  $L: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  to be a linear functional.

#### 7.5.2 b

Define what it means for L to be bounded and show L is bounded  $\iff$  L is continuous.

## 7.5.3 c

Prove that  $(X^{\vee}, \|\cdot\|_{\text{op}})$  is a Banach space.

## 8 Inequalities and Equalities

Proposition 8.1 (Reverse Triangle Inequality).

$$|||x|| - ||y||| \le ||x - y||.$$

Proposition 8.2 (Chebyshev's Inequality).

$$\mu(\lbrace x : |f(x)| > \alpha \rbrace) \le \left(\frac{\|f\|_p}{\alpha}\right)^p.$$

Proposition 8.3 (Holder's Inequality When Surjective).

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \implies ||fg||_1 \le ||f||_p ||g||_q.$$

Application: For finite measure spaces,

$$1 \le p < q \le \infty \implies L^q \subset L^p \pmod{\ell^p \subset \ell^q}$$
.

Proof (Holder's Inequality). Fix 
$$p,q$$
, let  $r=\frac{q}{p}$  and  $s=\frac{r}{r-1}$  so  $r^{-1}+s^{-1}=1$ . Then let  $h=|f|^p$ :

$$||f||_p^p = ||h \cdot 1||_1 \le ||1||_s ||h||_r = \mu(X)^{\frac{1}{s}} ||f||_q^{\frac{q}{r}} \implies ||f||_p \le \mu(X)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} ||f||_q.$$

Note: doesn't work for  $\ell_p$  spaces, but just note that  $\sum |x_n| < \infty \implies x_n < 1$  for large enough n, and thus  $p < q \implies |x_n|^q \le |x_n|^q$ .

Proof (Holder's Inequality).

It suffices to show this when  $||f||_p = ||g||_q = 1$ , since

$$||fg||_1 \le ||f||_p ||f||_q \iff \int \frac{|f|}{||f||_p} \frac{|g|}{||g||_q} \le 1.$$

Using  $AB \leq \frac{1}{p}A^p + \frac{1}{q}B^q$ , we have

$$\int |f||g| \le \int \frac{|f|^p}{p} \frac{|g|^q}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

Proposition 8.4 (Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality).

$$|\langle f, \; g \rangle| = \|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \quad \text{with equality} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \; f = \lambda g.$$

Note: Relates inner product to norm, and only happens to relate norms in  $L^1$ .

Proposition 8.5 (Minkowski's Inequality:).

$$1 \le p < \infty \implies ||f + g||_p \le ||f||_p + ||g||_p.$$

Note: does not handle  $p = \infty$  case. Use to prove  $L^p$  is a normed space.

Proof.

• We first note

$$|f+g|^p = |f+g||f+g|^{p-1} \le (|f|+|g|)|f+g|^{p-1}.$$

• Note that if p, q are conjugate exponents then

$$\frac{1}{q} = 1 - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{p-1}{p}$$
$$q = \frac{p}{p-1}.$$

• Then taking integrals yields

$$\begin{split} \|f+g\|_p^p &= \int |f+g|^p \\ &\leq \int (|f|+|g|) |f+g|^{p-1} \\ &= \int |f||f+g|^{p-1} + \int |g||f+g|^{p-1} \\ &= \left\|f(f+g)^{p-1}\right\|_1 + \left\|g(f+g)^{p-1}\right\|_1 \\ &\leq \|f\|_p \left\|(f+g)^{p-1}\right\|_q + \|g\|_p \left\|(f+g)^{p-1}\right\|_q \\ &= \left(\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p\right) \left(\int |f+g|^{p-1})^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p\right) \left(\int |f+g|^p\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p\right) \left(\int |f+g|^p\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p\right) \frac{\int |f+g|^p}{\left(\int |f+g|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \\ &= \left(\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p\right) \frac{\|f+g\|_p^p}{\|f+g\|_p} \end{split}$$

• Cancelling common terms yields

$$1 \le \left( \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p \right) \frac{1}{\|f + g\|_p}$$

$$\implies \|f + g\|_p \le \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p.$$

8 INEQUALITIES AND EQUALITIES

Proposition 8.6 (Young's Inequality\*).

 $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} + 1 \implies ||f * g||_r \le ||f||_p ||g||_q.$ 

1

Application: Some useful specific cases:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f * g\|_1 \le \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1 \\ & \|f * g\|_p \le \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p, \\ & \|f * g\|_\infty \le \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \\ & \|f * g\|_\infty \le \|f\|_p \|g\|_q. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 8.7 (Bezel's Inequality:).

For  $x \in H$  a Hilbert space and  $\{e_k\}$  an orthonormal sequence,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, e_k \rangle|^2 \le ||x||^2.$$

Note: this does not need to be a basis.

## Proposition 8.8 (Parseval's Identity:).

Equality in Bessel's inequality, attained when  $\{e_k\}$  is a *basis*, i.e. it is complete, i.e. the span of its closure is all of H.

## 8.1 Less Explicitly Used Inequalities

Proposition  $8.9(AM-GM\ Inequality)$ .

$$\sqrt{ab} \le \frac{a+b}{2}$$
.

Proposition 8.10 (Jensen's Inequality).

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \le tf(x) + (1-t)f(y).$$

Proposition (???):

$$AB \le \frac{A^p}{p} + \frac{B^q}{q}.$$

Proposition 8.11 (? Inequality).

$$(a+b)^p \le 2^p (a^p + b^p).$$

Proposition 8.12 (Bernoulli's Inequality).

$$(1+x)^n \ge 1 + nx$$
  $x \ge -1, \text{or} n \in 2\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \forall x.$