Title

D. Zack Garza

Table of Contents

Contents

Table of Contents		2	
1	The	Cotangent Complex and Derived de Rham Cohomology	3
	1.1	Motivation	3
		1.1.1 Derived Schemes	4
	1.2	Simplicial Rings	4
	1.3	Examples of Simplicial Categories	5

Table of Contents

1 The Cotangent Complex and Derived de Rham Cohomology

Reference: MSRI Workshop on Derived AG, Birational Geometry, Moduli Spaces.

Video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zRPa-VAv16Q

1.1 Motivation

Basic affine objects in AG: commutative rings, replace with simplicial commutative rings which we'll use as a base diagram. Later: derived stacks and geometric derived stacks.

An evolution of objects. and how we can think about them.

- Algebraic schemes/spaces, e.g. \mathbb{P}^n
 - Think of these as etale sheaves of sets (think functor of points), identified as discrete spaces $S_{≤0}$, so every component is contractible and there are no higher homotopy groups
- The Picard stack $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X/k}$ for X a smooth and proper k-scheme, which is an Artin stack (a subclass Deligne-Mumford stacks). Note that this still has automorphisms given by global units on X.
 - Think of these as etale sheaves of groupoids $S_{\leq 1}$.
 - Can take a fundamental groupoid Also note that this is the mapping stack Map $(X, K(\mathbb{G}_m, 1))$.
- $K(\mathbb{G}_m, n)$ a higher stack
 - A sheaf taking values in n-truncated spaces, i.e. a space where when basing at any point, there are no homotopy groups above degree n.
 - Stack with a single point, where the isotopy is $K(\mathbb{G}_m, n-1)$.

Note that these are all built from affine schemes with a few acceptable moves.

Example 1.1.1(?): We can realize

$$B\mathbb{G}_m = K(\mathbb{G}_m, 1) \cong [\{\mathrm{pt}\}/\mathbb{G}_m]$$

in stack notation. Similarly,

$$K(\mathbb{G}_m, 2) = [\{ \text{pt} \} / B\mathbb{G}_m],$$

which is a smooth Artin stack. Mapping into this gives the Picard groupoid of a scheme. It's a higher geometric stack that still has smoothness properties.

Question 1.1.2: What does it mean to give a map from a scheme X into a higher stack?

The world of of étale schemes taking values in $S_{\leq n}$ is enriched in topological spaces. There is a topological space

$$M = \operatorname{Map}(X, K(\mathbb{G}_m, n))$$

The homotopy groups are

$$\pi_i M = \begin{cases} H_{\text{\'et}}^{n-i}(X, \mathbb{G}_m) & 0 \le i \le n \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases},$$

so this higher geometric stack that says something about higher cohomology groups. We thus have étale sheaves taking values in higher topological spaces, and has some geometric meaning. They're also built from geometric objects: iterating taking quotients by smooth actions. $K(\mathbb{G}_m, 1)$ is a quotient by a smooth algebraic group, $K(\mathbb{G}_m, 2)$ is now a smooth $Artin\ stack$, and we can keep going. This is the fundamental process for building geometric higher stacks.

Remark 1.1.3: Why derive things? Schemes are equipped with sheaves of commutative rings, so the basic idea is let the sheaves take values in groupoids, stacks, etc. So we can consider replacing the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X is itself a sheaf of spaces, and this is the fundamental idea of derived algebraic geometry.

1.1.1 Derived Schemes

Consider Spec $k \otimes_{k[x]}^L k$, a derived tensor product. This is a simplicial commutative ring, and the basic version of an affine derived scheme. This is a complex C with homology in degree 0 and 1, where $H_1 = \text{Tor}^1(k \otimes_{k[x]} k)$. So analogously, we'll start with derived schemes and take quotients by smooth groups. In the end, we get derived stacks.

Example 1.1.4(Fundamental): An example is \mathcal{M}_{φ} , the moduli of objects in some DG category \mathcal{C} .

1.2 Simplicial Rings



¹This is a familiar move: people in the 60s knew one could do AG in some ambient symmetric monoidal abelian category.

complexes, and simplicial rings are one way of studying commutative algebra objects here.

1.2 Simplicial Rings 4

[^def:connective] Connective means $H_{<0} = 0$.

We have some choices for making sense of DAG:

- E_{∞} -ring spectra
- Simplicial commutative rings, Note that this is what we will choose.
- Over Q, Q-commutative DGAs.

Definition 1.2.1 (Simplicial Commutative Ring)

Let Δ denote the *simplex category*, the category of non-empty finite ordered sets with order-preserving maps. We have the following situation:

$$[0] \longleftrightarrow [1] \longleftrightarrow [2] \cdots$$

$$\{0\} \qquad \{0 \to 1\} \qquad \{0 \to 1 \to 2\} \qquad \cdots$$

The arrows going up are **face maps** (or **coface maps**), and the others are **degeneracy** maps. If C is a category, then $sC := \operatorname{Fun}(\Delta^{\operatorname{op}}, C)$ is the category of simplicial objects of C.

1.3 Examples of Simplicial Categories



Here there is an n-simplex on the LHS (sSets),

$$\Delta^n = \hom_{\Delta}(\cdot, [n])$$

and on the RHS we have

$$\Delta_{\text{Top}}^n \coloneqq \left\{ [x_0, \dots, x_n] \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_i \ge 0, \sum x_i = 1 \right\}$$

If you make a functor $\Delta^n = \Delta_{\text{Top}}^n$, then by Yoneda the presheaf category $\text{Presh}(\Delta) := \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$ is generated by representable objects. Everything on in sSets is generated by taking colimits of the Δ^n , so we can make some assignment and extend by colimits to get a functor sSets \to Top. We have a notion of weak equivalence for Top, and so the notion of weak equivalence on sSets is just given by pullback along the functor sSets \to Top, and this induces an equivalence of homotopy theories.

The functor back Top \rightarrow sSets is the **singular complex construction**. Considering $\Delta_{\text{Top}}^{\cdot}$, this is a cosimplicial object in Top.

Remark 1.3.2: Top will denote that 1-category, while \mathcal{T} op will be its full ∞ -category.

So we have a natural cosimplicial object in Top, so $\operatorname{Sing}(X) := \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Top}}(\Delta_{\operatorname{Top}}^{\cdot}, X)$ is a simplicial object in sSets. As in singular homology, we can get a simplicial abelian group by taking the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}[\operatorname{Sing}(X)]$. Note that this is just composing functors $\Delta^{\operatorname{op}} \to \operatorname{Set}$ and $\operatorname{Set} \to \mathbb{Z}$ - mod. We can use this to create a chain complex $C.(\mathbb{Z}[\operatorname{Sing}(X)])$, and as expected. $H_i(C.) \cong H_i^{\operatorname{Sing}}(X,\mathbb{Z})$, the singular homology.

Example 1.3.3(b): We can take simplicial abelian groups sAb and the connective objects $D(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$; this have equivalent homotopy theories. There is a notion of weak equivalence on the RHS, quasi-isomorphism, and asking if the literal underlying spaces on the LHS are weakly equivalence as spaces. A specific way of doing this is the **Dold-Kan** correspondence: suppose we have a simplicial abelian group M, then we have face maps going to the left:

$$M_0 \stackrel{\partial_1}{\longleftarrow} M_1 \stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\longleftarrow} M_2 \stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\longleftarrow} \cdots$$

We make this into a chain complex by setting the differential to a graded sum:

$$M_0 \leftarrow \frac{\sum (-1)^i \partial_i}{M_1} \leftarrow M_1 \leftarrow \frac{\sum (-1)^i \partial_i}{M_2} \leftarrow M_2 \leftarrow \cdots$$

The homology of this complex turns out to be the same as the homotopy groups of the simplicial abelian group viewed as a topological space.

Example 1.3.4(c, Simplicial Commutative k-Algebras): Defined as

$$s\mathrm{CAlg}_k = \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{CAlg}_k),$$

where k is some commutative ring. This was studied by Quillen, and an impetus for model categories. Models give a notion of weak equivalence and a "right way" of computing: for the usual derived category of a ring, this is taking resolutions. So the LHS is sometimes called a **non-abelian derived category**.

Fact 1.3.5: For $R \in \text{sCAlg}$, π_*R has a graded commutative ring structure: $xy = (-1)^{|x||y|}yx$ and $x^2 = 0$ for elements x with |x| odd. This is useful because it gives us some graded ring to associated to R.

Remark 1.3.6: The category of simplicial abelian groups is equivalent to $Ch(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$; i.e. chain complexes of abelian groups concentrated in non-negative degree. This also yields an equivalence of homotopy theories.

A different perspective on simplicial commutative rings: there is an adjunction from sets to commutative k-algebras

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Set} & \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{CAlg}_k \\ S & \mapsto K[S] \end{split}$$

i.e. we send a set to the polynomial ring generated by S. Any time such an adjunction exists, given an $R \in \operatorname{CAlg}_k$ we can construct a *simplicial resolution* S^{\cdot} and a map $S^{\cdot} \to R$. This resolution has the following structure:

$$S^0 = k[R]$$
, the free commutative algebra on R.

Using the unit and counit maps of the adjunction, one obtains a canonical simplicial object,

$$k[R] \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\longleftrightarrow} k[k[R]] \stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\longleftrightarrow} \cdots$$

Moreover, $S \xrightarrow{\sim} R$ is a homotopy equivalence. So we've taken an arbitrary k-algebra and replaced it with a *simplicial* k-algebra which is given by polynomial rings in each degree, typically in infinitely many variables, which has the same homology. This is the analog of a projective resolution.

Now define $\mathrm{CAlg}_k^{\mathrm{poly}}$ as the category of finitely generated polynomial rings, and suppose you have a functor

$$\operatorname{CAlg}_k^{\operatorname{poly}} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}$$

where \mathcal{C} is a "reasonable" category or possible in ∞ -category. We can consider the category $\operatorname{Ind}(\operatorname{CAlg}_k^{\operatorname{poly}})$ given by formally adjoining filtered colimits.