Peer-to-Peer Grading Template						
Evaluation Criteria	Team 1	Team 2	Team 4	Team 5	Team 6	Remarks
1. Clarity and Organization	20	20	20	18	19	(Out of 20)
		,			1	
2. Understanding of the Model and Enhancements	28	29		30	28	(Out of 30)
3. Data Exploration and Visualization	28	30	30	30	25	(Out of 30)
		<u> </u>		1	1	
4. Creativity and Originality	10	10	10	10	10	(Out of 10)
				<u> </u>		
5. Time Management	9	10	10	7	10	(Out of 10)
		·		1	1	
6. Final Thoughts		<u> </u>		1	1	
Strengths of the Presentation: (What did you think the team did well?)		Explored valence, showed clear interest & executed the interest within the project	The visualizations were aesthetically pleasing & easy to interpret.	Simple but direct presentation, easy to understand	The presentation was clear & visually easy to read	
Areas for Improvement: (What could the team improve on for future presentations?)	Pace their talking speed, the first person was talking a bit fast ("almost like rapping")	N/A	N/A	Overlapped speakers, could have rehearsed speeching if an extra comment was to be made	Audio issues with Mandy Wong, could have checked during a rehearsal	
Final Score:	95	99	100	95	92	[Total Score] / 10