## Syndicate 5 Feedback

## Syndicate 4

- The complexity of the data was impressive through the use of different categories.
- Each step of the random generation clearly stated and easy to understand, helped by spacing.
- Use of a docstring at the start of each python script is good to summarize the specific script.
- Use of dictionaries seemed to overcomplicate the code, lists would've been sufficient for much of the csv generation.
- Some comments are unnecessarily long, sometimes there is significantly more comment than code.
- Too many scripts included for the task which doesn't help with overall readability as a user has to jump between files too often, four files should've been more than sufficient.
- The time spent on this code is either seriously impressive or seriously worrying, depending on your definition of a healthy hobby.

## Syndicate 6

- Code is really succinct, can tell the purpose of every line immediately.
- Use of comments really appropriate and helpful, explains a good amount of information (not too much, not too little).
- It seems like your code is trying to avoid any potential issues early which makes a lot of sense as opposed to amending things later (by things I mean data).
- Naming of objects super helpful, reduces the need for cluttering code with comments.
- Use of default dictionary is clever.
- Could do with more whitespace within lines as code violates PEP8 spacing conventions quite a bit.
- Bonus, this code is quite colourful (probably more a factor of my python editor than anything but a bonus nonetheless).