Simon Fraser University

Steering the Debate : Ethics, Trust, and the Integration of Autonomous Vehicles in Canada

---

Manjari Prasad Seeja
301585588
mps12@sfu.ca
CMPT 105W
Professor Harinder Khangura
November 24th, 2024
Audience: Policymakers and Developers

Table of Contents

1. Introduction…… 1
2. Scoping the Issue: The Canadian Context…….. 1
3. Advantages of AVs: Revolutionizing Transport……. 2
3.1. Improved Road Safety…….2
3.2. Environmental Advantages……..3
3.3. Improving Accessibility……...3
4. Ethical and Practical Challenges…….....4
4.1. Accountability……..4
4.2. Data Privacy and Cybersecurity…….……..4
4.3. Equity in Deployment…….….5
4.4. Building Public Trust……...6
5. Policy Recommendations……...…….6
6. Conclusion……8
7. Acknowledgements……...9
8. References……...10

Introduction

With the adaptation of autonomous vehicles (AVs), the movement of people and goods will be entirely different, making roadways safer, limiting greenhouse gas emissions, and opening up the road to previously underprivileged groups. Canada has a wide variety of road conditions, from icy roads to congested city intersections. This calls for the need for AVs to involve fresh approaches to resolving pressing transportation issues, ethical questions, technological barriers, and social issues that should be considered carefully.

This paper argues that the deployment of AVs in Canada poses moral challenges and that these challenges must be addressed through the development and wide consultation of a proper ethical framework. Such a framework would seek redress to the issues of accountability, data protection, access in terms of disadvantaged groups, and trust of the public towards authorities, developers, and in general, society. It is therefore possible for Canada to remain competitive in the area of AV development and AV integration, by dealing with such challenges beforehand.

Scoping the Issue: The Canadian Context

This diversified geography and demography of Canada forms a peculiar environment for the AVs deployment. Cities such as Toronto and Vancouver suffer from chronic congestion and air pollution; at the same time, rural and remote communities complain about the lack of travel choices and aging infrastructure. In addition, the severe winters in Canada bring in more challenges for AV technologies, which need further development of sensor systems and machine learning algorithms to efficiently manage icy roads and low visibility (Transport Canada, 2019).

Provinces like Ontario and Quebec have become leaders in the testing of AVs. Ontario's 10-year pilot program has let researchers test how AVs perform in real-world driving conditions, while Quebec has added autonomous shuttles to public transit systems on university campuses

and in industrial parks. These initiatives have underlined the potential of AVs to improve mobility and reduce injuries from traffic (Gereon Meyer & Beiker, 2016). But, they also note that strong regulatory frameworks must be put in place considering safety, equity, and public acceptance issues (Mokrian & Schuelke-Leech, 2021).

Advantages of AVs: Revolutionizing Transport

Improved Road Safety

The potential to significantly improve road safety offers the most compelling case for AV adoption. Traffic collisions tend to be among the leading causes of death and injury in Canada, with more than 90% causation attributed to human error (Bonnefon et al., 2016). Distractions, fatigue, impaired judgment, and speeding all contribute to thousands of fatalities annually and come with an associated significant economic cost due to healthcare and lost productivity (Wang et al., 2020).

Equipped with sophisticated sensors like Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR), radar, and real-time data analytics, the response of AVs is infinitely quicker and more effective. Most importantly, autonomous vehicle pilots on display in Ontario have demonstrated that these vehicles outperform human drivers consistently in their capability for collision avoidance, even in the most inclement weather conditions (Evans et al., 2020). Beyond that, V2X communication provides an interface to interact with vehicle-traffic light-infrastructure interaction with a view to improving predictability and thus making immediate adjustment of the traffic condition possible (Le Hong & Zimmerman, 2021).

Critics often raise concerns about the "trolley problem," questioning how AVs should prioritize lives in scenarios where harm is unavoidable (Awad et al., 2018). While these ethical dilemmas are important to consider, real-world data suggests that AVs are far less likely than human drivers to encounter such scenarios due to their ability to minimize risks through consistent and objective decision-making (Himmelreich, 2018).

Environmental Advantages

The environmental benefits of the AV go well beyond that of fuel consumption alone. Traditional vehicles are one of the primary perturbing elements in greenhouse gas emissions, which result from idling, stop-and-go traffic, and poor driving patterns. By virtue of optimizing driving behavior in addition to reducing congestion, AVs have henceforth been able to reduce their own emissions and further Canada's climate goals (Le Hong & Zimmerman, 2021).

AVs can present much better options than traditional transportation systems. Several cities, including Vancouver and Montreal, have initiated integrations that include autonomous electric shuttles within their public transit networks, ensuring clean, efficient, and dependable mobility solutions. Such deployments have indicated that AVs may reduce personally owned vehicles, which in turn will help reduce congestion and energy use (Gurney, 2016).

Critics argue that the environmental gain made through the use of AVs could be nullified through the production and operation of such vehicles, mainly because of energy consumption through data processing. These have also been equaled by continuing developments in renewable energy and manufacturing processes, in addition to the recycling of lithium-ion batteries (Lin, 2016). In this way, Canada can have an opportunity to make sure the net effect of deploying AVs is a reduction in the overall carbon emissions (Le Hong & Zimmerman, 2021).

Improving Accessibility

Another huge potential for transformation by AVs is that of access. Transportation barriers are an often insurmountable factor that limits the access of seniors, people with disabilities, and rural residents to services, jobs, and social activities. Autonomous vehicles will provide safer, on-demand, and more affordable transport in these areas, improving disparities in

living (Naiseh et al., 2024).

Quebec's deployment of autonomous shuttles have shown how AVs could improve accessibility within controlled environments. Furthermore, trusted mobility is provided to the physically disabled with ramps and automated boarding systems. Such initiatives, if scaled up, may improve transportation equity for reaching underserved communities so that not a single soul gets left behind in the technology advancement mantle of Canada (Mokrian & Schuelke-Leech, 2021).

Ethical and Practical Challenges

Accountability

Determining accountability is one of the most pressing challenges in AV deployment. Unlike traditional vehicles, which usually have the driver liable, the responsibility in the autonomous system involves several stakeholders, such as manufacturers, software developers, and vehicle owners. This layer makes it difficult to determine who to charge in case of a collision or system failure (Himmelreich, 2018).

To address these concerns, clear liability frameworks need to be established in Canada based on the nature of the incident. For example, manufacturers may be liable for design defects, while users may be liable for failure to perform required maintenance or to update software. Shared policy development among legal professionals, insurers, and developers will be required to build a system that is equitable and enforceable (Rowthorn, 2019).

Data Privacy and Cybersecurity

AVs require continuous information in the form of location in real time, driving patterns, and even biometric data. This information is critical to their safe and efficient operation but creates significant privacy and unauthorized access risks. Cyberattacks on AV systems may lead

to vehicle hijacking and large-scale transportation disruptions, raising a need for a set of stringent data protection measures (Lin, 2016).

Canada should adopt comprehensive data protection laws, modeled on the European Union's GDPR, to mandate encryption, anonymization, and explicit user consent for data collection. Developers must also address cybersecurity proactively by conducting regular audits, implementing intrusion detection systems, and designing multi-layered defenses to safeguard sensitive information (Wang et al., 2020).

This will require collaboration across government agencies, developers, and cybersecurity experts to set industry-wide standards while responding to emerging threats. Public awareness campaigns could also help users know how to keep their privacy and security while using AVs. If these are in place, Canada can assure data security while building trust in the autonomous technology (Hansson et al., 2021).

Equity in Deployment

The deployment of AVs also evokes questions of equity and inclusion: if left to market forces, there is a real risk that the technology will widen the gap between urban and rural areas. Infrastructure investment will continue to focus on urban centers, leaving rural communities behind. The high cost of owning an AV could place the technology out of reach for low-income households, which would likely exacerbate the current socioeconomic gap (Naiseh et al., 2024).

Policymakers should ensure that these benefits from AVs accrue equitably across different regions and demographics in the form of targeted subsidy for rural infrastructure, offering incentives toward more affordable models of AVs, and creating public-private partnerships in financing inclusive projects on transit. Integration of AVs into the public transit system, including less economically well-endowed areas, offers immense opportunities for affordable access to mobility (Mokrian & Schuelke-Leech, 2021).

Building Public Trust

Public trust is a key ingredient to the successful integration of autonomous vehicles on our roads. Many Canadians remain skeptical of the reliability and safety of an AV because much concern still exists over ethical decision-making and the possibility of its failure. Trust building presupposes some proactive engagement at the public level through education, transparency, and demonstration projects (Naiseh et al., 2024).

Other potential benefits of AVs that must be underlined through educative campaigns are a reduction in road fatalities and emissions. Capability demonstrations that include on-road tests with live audiences and open access to performance data build confidence in the technology among the people (Rhim et al., 2021). Besides that, consultations and town halls-quite quintessential to citizen involvement in policymaking-mean standards for AVs reflect the values and priorities of big masses (Robinson et al., 2021).

Policy Recommendations

Canada should develop a pan-government strategy, considering the challenges presented alongside the opportunities this transformative technology has, to ensure that AVs become a successfully adopted and integrated application ethically in the Canadian economy. This must be grounded in some ethical programming principles in ways that consider the framework of harm minimization and with which society believes. For instance, AVs should be programmed to prioritize human safety at all costs, minimizing the occurrence of accidents while still being fair in the decision-making process. Similarly, national standards in testing and deployment, along with liability, are also highly important. This sets a uniformity across the provinces, reducing regulatory hurdles and building public confidence in the use of AV systems. Clear guidelines by the policymakers will also ensure accountability in the chain from manufacturers to end users (Rafiee et al., 2023).

Further, one of the most identified challenges for AV deployment, data privacy, calls for the rollout of strong data protection laws. The set of legislation should include the requirement for encryption in order to protect sensitive information, explicit consent of the user while collecting data, and anonymization of any personal data by the AV systems. Cybersecurity also needs to be kept foremost, considering the associated risks due to hacking or vulnerabilities within the system (Evans et al., 2020). Developers and manufacturers should stick to industry best practices, conduct regular audits, and put in place multi-layered defense mechanisms in order to protect against cyberattacks (Wang et al., 2020). For example, it could be designed in a way that even when one system of the AV fails, it has others to back up so it can keep functioning and users safe. In fact, cybersecurity and protection of data are extremely important for protecting users and generating public confidence in the technology.

Investments in infrastructure will play an important role in supporting AV functionality and expanding access to diverse regions. Policymakers must prioritize the development of smart infrastructure, such as vehicle-to-everything (V2X) communication systems, which allow AVs to interact with traffic signals, road sensors, and other vehicles in real time (Le Hong & Zimmerman, 2021). These investments are especially important in rural and underserved areas, where outdated infrastructure might otherwise hinder the benefits of AV adoption. Targeted subsidies and incentives are needed to render ownership or use economical for poor families or households; otherwise, the benefits of the technology will be very uneven. Alternatively, shared fleets of AVs could be used in city centers as part of public transportation systems to manage congestion and reduce emissions, providing a consistent and equitable transportation option for all.

Public transparency should form the core of Canada's AV strategy in the final analysis. After all, there can only be surmounting of these public misgivings when there is more openness and constant interaction between the communities through education campaigns, consultations, and demonstration projects. Policymakers and developers should facilitate the holding of town hall meetings, workshops, and roadshows to impress upon people the benefits of AVs while their ethical and safety apprehensions are being addressed. For instance, doubts as to the reliability of

AVs would be overcome through live demonstrations of the capability of navigating through complex traffic or extreme weather conditions. The programs would thus make available insight as regards the citizens' input in ways in which the policy on AV would reflect Canadian values and priorities, thereby becoming inclusive and responsive (Mokrian & Schuelke-Leech, 2021).

Conclusion

A lot of promise rests on the view that autonomous vehicles would shake up the Canadian transportation framework in ways that improve road safety, reduce emissions, and expand transportation access. Each of these challenges conveys a host of important ethical and practical issues related to accountability, privacy, equity, and public trust.

Canada will be able to remain a world leader in the ethical integration of AVs through proactive policy-making, inclusive infrastructure investment, and transparency in public engagement. The time for action is now. This ensures responsible adoption in return for safer and more sustainable futures for all Canadians because of this transformational technology.

Acknowledgements

I would like to take this time to thank Professor Harinder Khangura for his invaluable advice, insightful criticism, and unwavering support throughout the writing of this work. Thanks to your encouragement, I was able to approach and discuss the topic of autonomous vehicles with a more critical mindset and a better knowledge.

Ivy Liu and Rayyan Fateh, my peer editors, have my sincere gratitude for their insightful reviews and sensible ideas. Your advice was really helpful to me as I worked to make my work more coherent and clear..

Finally, I want to thank Grammarly for helping me check the readability and flow of my work and ChatGPT for helping me manage and arrange citations.

References

Awad, E., Dsouza, S., Kim, R., Schulz, J., Henrich, J., Shariff, A., Bonnefon, J.-F., & Rahwan, I. (2018). The Moral Machine experiment. *Nature*, *563*(7729), 59–64. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0637-6

Bonnefon, J.-F., Shariff, A., & Rahwan, I. (2016). The social dilemma of autonomous vehicles. *Science*, *352*(6293), 1573–1576. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf2654

Crisp, R. (2015, July 22). *The Tunnel Problem | Practical Ethics*. Blog.practicalethics.ox.ac.uk. http://blog.practicalethics.ox.ac.uk/2015/07/the-tunnel-problem/

Evans, K., de Moura, N., Chauvier, S., Chatila, R., & Dogan, E. (2020). Ethical Decision Making in Autonomous Vehicles: The AV Ethics Project. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, *26*(6), 3285–3312. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-020-00272-8

Gereon Meyer, & Sven Beiker. (2016). *Road Vehicle Automation 3*. Cham Springer International Publishing.

Gogoll, J., & Müller, J. F. (2017). Autonomous Cars: In Favor of a Mandatory Ethics Setting. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, *23*(3), 681–700. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-016-9806-x

Gurney, J. K. (2016). CRASHING INTO THE UNKNOWN: AN EXAMINATION OF CRASH-OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHMS THROUGH THE TWO LANES OF ETHICS AND LAW. *Albany Law Review*, *79*(1). https://www.albanylawreview.org/article/69727-crashing-into-the-unknown-an-examination-of-crash-optimization-algorithms-through-the-two-lanes-of-ethics-and-law

Hansson, S. O., Belin, M.-Å., & Lundgren, B. (2021). Self-Driving Vehicles—an Ethical Overview. *Philosophy & Technology*, *34*, 1383–1408. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-021-00464-5

Himmelreich, J. (2018). Never Mind the Trolley: The Ethics of Autonomous Vehicles in Mundane Situations. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*, *21*(3), 669–684. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10677-018-9896-4

Le Hong, Z., & Zimmerman, N. (2021). Air quality and greenhouse gas implications of autonomous vehicles in Vancouver, Canada. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, *90*, 102676. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2020.102676

Lin, P. (2016). Why Ethics Matters for Autonomous Cars. *Autonomous Driving*, 69–85. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-48847-8_4

Millar, J. (2014, June 11). *An ethical dilemma: When robot cars must kill, who should pick the victim? - Robohub*. Robohub.org. https://robohub.org/an-ethical-dilemma-when-robot-cars-must-kill-who-should-pick-the-victim/

Mokrian, K., & Beth-Anne Schuelke-Leech. (2021). *Ethical decision-making responsibility in Canadian autonomous vehicle policies*. https://doi.org/10.1109/istas52410.2021.9629123

Naiseh, M., Clark, J., Akarsu, T., Hanoch, Y., Brito, M., Wald, M., Webster, T., & Shukla, P. (2024). Trust, risk perception, and intention to use autonomous vehicles: an interdisciplinary bibliometric review. *AI & Society*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-024-01895-2

Open Roboethics Initiative. (2014). *If death by autonomous car is unavoidable, who should die? Reader poll results - Robohub*. Robohub. https://robohub.org/if-a-death-by-an-autonomous-car-is-unavoidable-who-should-die-results-from-our-reader-poll/

Patil, I., Cogoni, C., Zangrando, N., Chittaro, L., & Silani, G. (2013). Affective basis of judgment-behavior discrepancy in virtual experiences of moral dilemmas. *Social Neuroscience*, *9*(1), 94–107. https://doi.org/10.1080/17470919.2013.870091

Poszler, F., Geisslinger, M., & Lütge, C. (2024). Ethical Decision-Making for Self-Driving Vehicles: A Proposed Model & List of Value-Laden Terms that Warrant (Technical) Specification. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, *30*(5). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-024-00513-0

Rafiee, A., Wu, Y., & Sattar, A. (2023). Philosophical and Legal Approach to Moral Settings in Autonomous Vehicles: An Evaluation. *Research in Ethical Issues in Organizations*, 95–114. https://doi.org/10.1108/s1529-209620230000027007

Rhim, J., Lee, J.-H., Chen, M., & Lim, A. (2021). A Deeper Look at Autonomous Vehicle Ethics: An Integrative Ethical Decision-Making Framework to Explain Moral Pluralism. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, *8*. https://doi.org/10.3389/frobt.2021.632394

Robinson, J., Smyth, J., Woodman, R., & Donzella, V. (2021). Ethical considerations and moral implications of autonomous vehicles and unavoidable collisions. *Theoretical Issues in Ergonomics Science*, *23*(4), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/1463922x.2021.1978013

Rowthorn, M. (2019). How Should Autonomous Vehicles Make Moral Decisions? Machine Ethics, Artificial Driving Intelligence, and Crash Algorithms. *Contemporary Readings in Law and Social Justice*, *11*(1), 9–14. https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=784593

Sütfeld, L. R., Gast, R., König, P., & Pipa, G. (2017). Using Virtual Reality to Assess Ethical Decisions in Road Traffic Scenarios: Applicability of Value-of-Life-Based Models and

Influences of Time Pressure. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*, *11*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2017.00122

Transport Canada. (2019). *CANADA'S SAFETY FRAMEWORK for Automated and Connected Vehicles*. https://tc.canada.ca/sites/default/files/2020-05/tc_safety_framework_for_acv-s.pdf

Wang, H., Khajepour, A., Cao, D., & Liu, T. (2020). Ethical Decision Making in Autonomous Vehicles: Challenges and Research Progress. *IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Magazine*, *14*(1). https://doi.org/10.1109/mits.2019.2953556