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1A)

**Extending code**

package As1;

public class createDupe1A extends Duplication{

public createDupe1A(int arraySizeIn, int maxValueIn){

super(arraySizeIn , maxValueIn);

}

public boolean containsDuplicate() {

int length = array.length;

for (int i =0;i<length;i++){

for (int j = i+1; j<length;j++){

if (array[i] == array[j]){

return true;

}

}

}

return false;

}

}

**Driver Code**

package As1;

public class createDupeDriver {

public static void main(String[] args){

long start;

long end;

long time;

start = System.currentTimeMillis();

createDupe1A test =new createDupe1A(1000000, 8000000);

System.out.println(test.containsDuplicate());

end = System.currentTimeMillis();

time = end - start;

System.out.println(time);

}

}

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1A |  |
| ArraySize | time(ms) |
| 50000 | 3 |
| 100000 | 3 |
| 200000 | 5 |
| 400000 | 10 |
| 600000 | 21 |
| 800000 | 25 |
| 1000000 | 38 |
|  |  |

When I first made this code I had used the break; statement and the graph showed N^2 very well, however when I removed the break statement and replaced it with just return true; the results showed less of an obvious N^2 correlation. However there is still a small relation showing the parabola in the graph.

1B)

**Extended Code**

package As1;

public class createDupe1B extends Duplication {

public createDupe1B(int arraySizeIn, int maxValueIn) {

super(arraySizeIn, maxValueIn);

}

public boolean containsDuplicate() {

boolean dupeFound = false;

int length = array.length;

for (int i =0;i<length;i++){

for (int j = i+1; j<length;j++){

if (array[i] == array[j]){

dupeFound = true;

}

}

}

return dupeFound;

}

}

**Driver Code**

package As1;

public class createDupeDriver {

public static void main(String[] args){

long start;

long end;

long time;

start = System.currentTimeMillis();

createDupe1B test =new createDupe1B(1000000, 8000000);

System.out.println(test.containsDuplicate());

end = System.currentTimeMillis();

time = end - start;

System.out.println(time);

}

}

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1B |  |
| ArraySize | time(ms) |
| 50000 | 259 |
| 100000 | 1046 |
| 200000 | 4004 |
| 400000 | 16037 |
| 600000 | 35890 |
| 800000 | 63806 |
| 1000000 | 100031 |

By checking all the other values the graph followed N^2 a lot more and shows what I was looking for almost perfectly.

2)

**Extended code**

package As1;

public class createDupe2 extends Duplication {

public createDupe2(int arraySizeIn, int maxValueIn) {

super(arraySizeIn, maxValueIn);

}

public boolean containsDuplicate() {

boolean dupeFound = false;

int[] lookUP = new int[super.maxValue +1];

for (int i =0;i<super.arraySize;i++){

if (lookUP[super.array[i]] == 1){

dupeFound = true;

break;

}else{

lookUP[super.array[i]] = 1;

}

}

return dupeFound;

}

}

**Driver code**

package As1;

public class createDupeDriver {

public static void main(String[] args){

long start;

long end;

long time;

start = System.currentTimeMillis();

createDupe2 test =new createDupe2(1000000, 8000000);

System.out.println(test.containsDuplicate());

end = System.currentTimeMillis();

time = end - start;

System.out.println(time);

}

}

O(N)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ArraySize | time(ms) |
| 50000 | 2 |
| 100000 | 3 |
| 200000 | 4 |
| 400000 | 9 |
| 600000 | 19 |
| 800000 | 27 |
| 1000000 | 34 |

This graph shows that when N (Array size ) grows the time grows too in a fairly accurate Order N relation.

O(M)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| MaxValue | time |
| 1000000 | 35 |
| 2000000 | 24 |
| 4000000 | 18 |
| 6000000 | 15 |
| 8000000 | 19 |
| 10000000 | 16 |
| Array size is 1000000 |  |

For this test I kept the array size at 1 million and increased the Max value, It shows that the more values in the array and the larger the lookup array is the faster the code Is run which confused me. It seems like a Log N but flipped upside down.

3)

**Extended code**

package As1;

import java.util.Arrays;

public class createDupe3 extends Duplication {

public createDupe3(int arraySizeIn, int maxValueIn) {

super(arraySizeIn, maxValueIn);

}

public boolean containsDuplicate() {

boolean dupeFound = false;

int[] newArr = Arrays.copyOf(array, array.length);

Arrays.sort(newArr);

for (int i=0;i+1<newArr.length;i++){

if (newArr[i] == newArr[i+1]){

dupeFound =true;

break;

}

}

return dupeFound;

}

}

**Driver code**

package As1;

public class createDupeDriver {

public static void main(String[] args){

long start;

long end;

long time;

start = System.currentTimeMillis();

createDupe3 test =new createDupe3(1000000, 8000000);

System.out.println(test.containsDuplicate());

end = System.currentTimeMillis();

time = end - start;

System.out.println(time);

}

}

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ArraySize | time(ms) |
| 50000 | 15 |
| 100000 | 28 |
| 200000 | 52 |
| 400000 | 100 |
| 600000 | 117 |
| 800000 | 140 |
| 1000000 | 153 |

This graph shows the beginning of log N forming and with even more data would clearly show a Log N algorithm just as I was expecting.

4)

**Extended code**

package As1;

public class createDupe4 extends Duplication {

public createDupe4(int arraySizeIn, int maxValueIn) {

super(arraySizeIn, maxValueIn);

}

public boolean containsDuplicate() {

boolean dupeFound = false;

BinaryTree tree = new BinaryTree();

for (int value :array)

try{

tree.insert(value);

}catch(IllegalArgumentException e){

dupeFound= true;

return dupeFound;

}

return dupeFound;

}

}

**Driver Code.**

package As1;

public class createDupeDriver {

public static void main(String[] args){

long start;

long end;

long time;

start = System.currentTimeMillis();

createDupe4 test =new createDupe4(1000000, 8000000);

System.out.println(test.containsDuplicate());

end = System.currentTimeMillis();

time = end - start;

System.out.println(time);

}

}

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ArraySize | time(ms) |
| 50000 | 12 |
| 100000 | 12 |
| 200000 | 13 |
| 400000 | 19 |
| 600000 | 27 |
| 800000 | 35 |
| 1000000 | 44 |

For the 4th test we saw a scenario closer to the worst case for a binary search tree closer to N^2 however it was not as Potent as 1B was showing. With many more tests I believe the graph would have looked more like N Log (N).

Overall, The results seem fairly accurate and where I expected them to be.