GRADIENT DESCENT COMPLEXITY Le 6,2016 GDI SUMMANT difference! Strong Converty 3m > 0 s.t. Pf(x) \rightarrow nI hall xe5. Existrilly convex but not strongly convex. By Picture Results of Gradiest Descent from last lecture, coming of strongly convey. Exact hire bearch at least as good as t= 1/12 which give $f(x^{(k)}) - p^* \leq (1 - k)^k (f(x^{(0)}) - p^*)$ where K = M/m

M = sup my (Tf(x))

X = S # ters la accy $m = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \lim_{x \to \infty} \left(\nabla^2 f(x) \right)$ BUT don't know M, m in practice. O(Zog E) Hence: BACKTRACKING LINE SEARCH X & (O, 1/2) ARMIJO COND BE (O, I) BACKTEACK PARAM. When B=== , M=1/2, set $f(x(w))-p^* < (-2)^m (f(x(w))-p^*).$

672 Gredent Descent, contid. Nesterov (Hn2.1.15) also gives another complexity result for nanely $||x^{(k)} - x^*|| \le (1 - 1/k) ||x^{(0)} - x^*||$ which lead to $f(k) = p^* \leq \mathcal{K} \cdot \left(\frac{1 - 1/K}{1 + 1/K}\right) \left(\frac{10}{1 - p^*}\right)$ where X = minimizer, p* = f(x*). These Thm 2.1.8. (WORSE for small be if Klarge, better as k -> 0) Both BV and Nesteror also give results for the non-(strongly convex) case - these are much weaker