NOT NEC MNI Matrix Norms (Recht, Fazel + Parrilo) & SYM.

Matrix Norms (Recht, Fazel + Parrilo) & SYM.

Siven matrix norm 11. 11 on IR mxm

Mal norm 11. 11 d is defined as Tor vector p-norms; the dual of lp norm is lq norm, with if tig - 1 (Hölder's inequality) and dual of li norm is la norm. Consider 11X11= 11X1/p = (X,X) = (tr XX) 1/2 Then 11×11d = 11×11x (just as dual of lz is lz). How about the dual of UX 1/2? (operator nom, spectral norm). The Medual of 11. 11z is the NOCLEAR NORM (Schatten 1- norm) $\|X\|_{*} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{i}(X)$ ("trace" norm) To prove this we'll characterize 1X1/2 and IXII, by SDPs. FIRST & Characterization of 121/2: 11Z12 ≤ t ⇔ £Im-ZZ ≥ 0 ⇔ tI-ZZ ≥0 $\Leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} tI_m & Z \\ Z \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} tI_m & Z^T \\ Z & tI_m \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$

Pf Use question 1 in HW MN2 or use Schur complement (see BV p. 650); 2. Let M = [A B] If A > 0, then Schur complement S= C-BAB (block bauss elimination; Zo'ff Mro. suttest BA * 18Trow por 2 d row; $||Z||_2 = \inf\{t: [tI Z] \geq 0\}$ an SDP. A B [O-BAB+C] Characterization of 11X 11x. Let X=UZVT U mxr U'U=I m[][][]n V n×r VV=I Z r×r diagoral. Then by defin, IX IIx = to Z. Let Y= UVT. Note- 11 UVT | = mex 11 UV q | = 1 (the SVD of UVT's UIVT). $\|X\|_{2,d} = \sup\{\langle X, Y \rangle : \|Y\| \le 1$ > tx TUV = t VZ UTUV = to ZVTV =ti Z = ||X||*.

-

To find 11 X Ma, I we need max (X,Y) MINUS SIGN IS FOR CONVENIENCE Var. YER (D) Sit. [Im] \geq 0 YT In \cepselon \cepselon \text{Smtm} This is an SDP in Dual form: Let's write B=X (D) max 2 bis by is S.T. $\left[\begin{array}{c|c} I & O \end{array}\right]$ $\begin{array}{c} V & V & V \\ \hline V & V & V \\ V & V & V \\ \hline V & V & V \\ V & V \\ \hline V & V & V \\ V$ (D') i.e. $\sum_{ij} y_{ij} E_{ij} \leq \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$ The Prinal SDF is

MESMONTH [I 0] [W, W, W]

WESMONTH [O I]) [W, W, W, J SIT. $\langle E_{ij}, W \rangle = t_{ij}$ $V \geq 0 \quad (W_3)_{ij} \times V_{ij}$

MN4. This has the feasible point $W = \begin{bmatrix} U \Xi U^{T} & U \Xi V^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U \end{bmatrix} \Xi \begin{bmatrix} U^{T} V^{T} \end{bmatrix}$ $V \Xi U^{T} & V \Xi V^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U \end{bmatrix} Z \begin{bmatrix} U^{T} V^{T} \end{bmatrix}$ also the corresponding primal objective value is ½(tr W, + tr W)= tr == 11×11, Now any feasible point for (P) is an upper bound for the optimal solution of (D); so 11X11_{2,d} ≤ (1X1)*. Combining this with 1X12, d > 11X 1/4 (p. MN2) We have 11 X 1/2, 1 = 11 X 1/4. Hence by SDP duality (as (P), (D) both have strictly feasible points) 11X 1 = solution of the SDP (P) min $\frac{1}{2}(t_1W_1+t_1W_2)$ $W_1 \in S^m$ ST, $W_1 \times J \geq 0$. $W_2 \in S^m$ ST, $W_1 \times J \geq 0$.

MN5. Matrix Completion The Netflex Problem. Liver X = [

only some estué brown

Believe X to be low rash. Would like to solve Minum Rank (X) Xe R min (i,i) E SZ (given values) NP-hard! But just as ly minimisation for votors "encomages" sparsity, muclear norm minimization for matrices "excourages" low rank - so solve min ||X||_{*} ST. Xij = mij (i,i) GR ie, the SDP 1/2 (tr W, + tr W2) W, ESm S.T. [W1 X > 0 WZESM XERMXn Xij=mijeSZ.

î.

MNG Mne generally min rash (X) S^{T} . A(X) = bKLINEAR MAP. Car represent as (Ab, X) = /2 i=1,-,P Earlier case (like constraints of primal standard form SDP, except there Ai, X are symmetric; $A_h = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ i here they are not). N. N. Lela fation: = 1/2 (tw. +try) mis Prinal: Dual: see HW. max bZ $W_1 \in S^m \subseteq ST$, $\begin{bmatrix} W_1 & X \\ X^T & W_2 \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$ S.T. [A *(2) >0 (A*(2)) In & A(X)=6

Lecture continues at bottom of p. 478 of Recht, Fazel + Parrilo

A*(z)=ZzhAh.