# Data Wrangling with Open Street Map using Python and MongoDB

Map Area: Berkeley, California, USA

The data was downloaded using the Open Street Map API:

http://overpass-api.de/guery\_form.html

And entering the coordinates into the "Overpass API Query Form": (node(37.7983,-122.3504,37.8873,-122.1929);<;);out meta;

Raw data: berkeley.osm: 50.3mb

Json file with cleaned data: berkeley.osm.json: 67.8mb

# **Data Auditing and Cleaning**

Using the python scripts in "data wrangling map data audit.ipynb" and "data wrangling cleaning.ipynb", I audited, then cleaned and wrote the cleaned data to a json file.

- I created sub-dictionaries for the fields "created" and "address", as well as for fields denoted by ":", such as "caltrans:district". If there were more nested fields beyond this, such as "level1:level2:level3", I would flatten out further levels by replacing the ":" with a "\_". For example, the dictionary would be { "level1" : {"level2\_level3": "value"}. Also, some nested fields had the same name as non-nested fields. For example a single xml element had both "toilets" and "toilets:wheelchair". To avoid overriding one or the other, a field that was also a dictionary, I append the suffix "\_collection". So "toilets:wheelchair" becomes { "toilets\_collection": { "wheelchair": "value"}}. Similarly, some fields were decided by design, such as "type", which refers to whether it's a "way" or "node". When that same field was present in the data itself, I appended "\_", so "type\_" would not override the original "type" field.
- Street usually contains names, but sometimes start with the house number, such as "111 Grand Ave". I save just the street name, and if there is not already a house number field, I save the house number. I also check for street names that are numbered, such as 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 12345th street etc, and do not save those as house numbers.
- Post code usually contains a five-digit number, such as "94109", but sometimes has the state and/or post code extension, such as "CA 94607" or "94612-2202". I parse out the state and post code extension, and if the field does not already exist, save the state and extension fields. The main five-digit number is saved to the post code field.
- I also search for and replace abbreviations, such as "PI" replaced with "Plaza", and "Sq." replaced with "Square", or "Btwn" replaced with "Between".
- The amenity contains location descriptions, some of which I standardized. For instance, "parking\_space" and "parking\_entrance" are standardized to the more common "parking". Similarly, operator contains names of businesses or institutions, which I likewise

- standardized. For instance, "UC Berkeley", "University California Berkeley" are all standardized to "University of California, Berkeley (Cal)".
- Lanes were all convertible into integers, so I saved those as integers to allow for aggregations, such as finding the average number of lanes for a highway.

### **Data Overview**

```
File Sizes:
berkeley.osm: 50.3mb
berkeley.osm.json: 67.8mb
The code is in the file "data wrangling mongodb.ipynb"
Number of documents:
db.berkeley.count()
231784

    Number of element types (node or way):

query = [{"$group":
         {" id": "$type",
          "count":{"$sum":1}}},
    {"$sort": {"count": -1}}
result = [c for c in db.berkeley.aggregate(query)]
pprint.pprint(result)
_____
[{u'_id': u'node', u'count': 201050},
{u' id': u'way', u'count': 30734}]
_____
   • Number of unique users:
query = [{"$group": {
      " id": "unique users id",
      "unique users set": {"$addToSet":"$created.user"}
    },
    {"$limit": 1}
result =[c['unique users set'] for c in db.berkeley.aggregate(query)]
len(result[0])
548
```

• Top 5 users by numer of elements contributed:

query=[{"\$group":{

```
"_id":{"uid":"$created.uid","user":"$created.user"},
       "count":{"$sum": 1}
    }},
    {"$sort": {"count":-1}},
   {"$limit":5}
result = [c for c in db.berkeley.aggregate(query)]
Result
______
[{u' id': {u'uid': u'1249504', u'user': u'EranChazan'}, u'count': 35663},
{u' id': {u'uid': u'933797', u'user': u'oba510'}, u'count': 30197},
{u' id': {u'uid': u'381909', u'user': u'JessAk71'}, u'count': 21669},
{u' id': {u'uid': u'941449', u'user': u'lyzidiamond'}, u'count': 21489},
{u' id': {u'uid': u'153669', u'user': u'dchiles'}, u'count': 20120}]
_____

    Top five operators by count (most tags do not have this field)

query = [{"$group": {" id": "$operator",
            "count": {"$sum":1}}},
  {"$sort": {"count":-1}},
  {"$limit": 6}
1
cursor = db.berkeley.aggregate(query)
result = [c for c in cursor]
result
[{u'_id': None, u'count': 231251},
{u' id': u'AC Transit', u'count': 239},
{u' id': u'City CarShare', u'count': 51},
{u'_id': u'USPS', u'count': 31},
{u' id': u'University of California, Berkeley (Cal)', u'count': 20}]
______
     Top five amenities:
query = [{"$group": {"_id": "$amenity",
            "count": {"$sum":1}}},
  {"$sort": {"count":-1}},
  {"$limit": 6}
]
cursor = db.berkeley.aggregate(query)
result = [c for c in cursor]
pprint.pprint(result)
______
[{u'_id': None, u'count': 227980},
{u'_id': u'parking', u'count': 984},
{u' id': u'restaurant', u'count': 594},
{u'_id': u'place_of_worship', u'count': 241},
```

```
{u' id': u'bicycle parking', u'count': 172}]
     Average number of lanes per way
query = [
  {"$match": {"type": "way"}},
  {"$group": {"_id": "all highways",
         "avg_lanes": {"$avg":"$lanes"}}
  },
  {"$limit": 5}
cursor = db.berkeley.aggregate(query)
result = [c for c in cursor]
pprint.pprint(result)
[{u' id': u'all highways', u'avg lanes': 2.6786060019361084}]
_____
      Top five ways by number of nodes that each way refers to.
#most node references per way
query=[{"$match": {"type":"way"}},
   {"$unwind": "$node_refs"},
   {"$group": {"_id": {"id":"$id"},
          "node_count": {"$sum": 1}}},
    {"$sort": {"node_count": -1}},
   {"$limit": 5}
   1
cursor = db.berkeley.aggregate(query)
result = [c for c in cursor]
result
[{u' id': {u'id': u'192565555'}, u'node count': 711},
{u'_id': {u'id': u'123075097'}, u'node_count': 438},
{u' id': {u'id': u'114224294'}, u'node count': 370},
{u'_id': {u'id': u'11564185'}, u'node_count': 352},
{u' id': {u'id': u'33088737'}, u'node count': 290}]
_____
```

By searching the map for the way ID, these top five ways are:

711 nodes

http://www.openstreetmap.org/way/192565555

The boundary around Redwood Regional Park and Anthony Chabot Regional Park.

438 nodes

http://www.openstreetmap.org/way/123075097

The boundary around Tilden Park

{u'\_id': u'cafe', u'count': 237},

#### 370 nodes

http://www.openstreetmap.org/way/114224294

The boundary around North Oakland Regional Sports Center

#### 352 nodes

http://www.openstreetmap.org/way/11564185

The boundary around Lake Merritt in Oakland.

#### 290 nodes


http://www.openstreetmap.org/way/33088737

The boundary around Piedmont neighborhood, Oakland.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Most ways refer to fewer than 14 nodes

plt.hist(node\_count\_arr,bins=40,range=(0,40)); plt.title('99% of ways reference 14 or fewer nodes'); plt.xlabel("number of nodes referenced by way"); plt.ylabel("count of ways");



How many ways are associated with each node?

,,,,,,

We're starting with one way referencing many nodes in a list. The desired format is one node referencing multiple ways, and then counting how many ways per node.


Also, we want to make sure that the starting list of node references is unique.

First, unwind the node\_refs list, then re-add them to a set 'unique\_nodes'. Then we can unwind the set so that each document has one way and one node.

Then we can group by node and count the number of ways,

```
as well as add the id of each way to a set.
```

```
query = [
  {"$match": {"type": "way"}},
  {"$unwind": "$node_refs"},
  {"$group": {"_id":{"way_id": "$id"},
          "unique_nodes": {"$addToSet": "$node_refs"}
         }},
  {"$unwind": "$unique_nodes"},
  {"$group": {"_id": {"node_id":"$unique_nodes"},
          "way_count": {"$sum": 1},
          "way_set": {"$addToSet": "$_id.way_id"}
         }},
  {"$sort": {"way_count": -1}}
  #,{"$limit":3}
node_I = [c['way_count'] for c in db.berkeley.aggregate(query)]
plt.hist(node_l);
plt.title("Most nodes are linked to just one way");
plt.xlabel("number of ways that refer to a particular node");
plt.ylabel("count of nodes");
```



```
query = [
{"$match": {"type": "way"}},
```

```
{"$unwind": "$node_refs"},
  {"$group": {" id":{"way id": "$id"},
       "unique nodes": {"$addToSet": "$node refs"}
       }},
  {"$unwind": "$unique nodes"},
  {"$group": {" id": {"node id":"$unique nodes"},
       "way_count": {"$sum": 1},
       }},
  {"$group": {"_id":"avg_way_count_per_node",
       "avg_way_count": {"$avg": "$way_count"}
       }},
 {"$limit":3}
node I = [c for c in db.berkeley.aggregate(query)]
Node I
______
[{u'_id': u'avg_way_count_per_node', u'avg_way_count': 1.1447853309926048}]
_____
```

## Additional Ideas

The key value format of tagging allows for flexibility, but also makes standardization more difficult. For instance, some tags say "car share" while others say "car sharing", and there's not necessarily a single correct answer. I think one way to help contributors support standardization is to run their text through a search of other tag keys, and to display similar words (as determined by a certain edit distance). The set of keys to search within could be defined as all tags that are in the same city, or all tags within a defined distance from the new data point.

Expected benefits: with more awareness of how others are tagging similar locations and streets, contributors may be more likely to reach more standardization in how they label locations. For example, if a contributor wants to tag a car sharing location with the word "car share", and the system notifies them that other users have added similar tags with the label "car sharing", they may be more likely to follow that same convention.

Potential shortcomings: It's possible for earlier incorrect labels to influence later contributors to follow the same incorrect labeling conventions. For example, if a contributor correctly labels an operator "Zipcar", but sees that past tags used the similar but incorrect label "Zip car", they may be influenced to use this incorrect label instead.

On balance, this may still may improve correctness and standardization than not, as providing contributors with more awareness of the user community's use of labels and word choice will at least give them a chance to look up standard names and determine for themselves which is more correct.