

# Study Report on Methods in Data Mining

JJ, Rendan, Tutu, Guabao

Department of Statistics  
United International College, Guangdong 519085, China

DM Group Project, 2013

# Outline of topics

This study is to set up a **classification model** to predict whether **income** exceeds 50K/yr based upon his **characters** by using data mining techniques.

The data source is from UCI.

Extraction was done by Barry Becker from the 1994 Census database.

# of Instances	48842	Area	Social	Attribute Characteristics	Categorical, Integer
# of Attributes	14	Date Donated	96-05-01	Missing Values	Yes

The part of samples are listed below:

age	workclass	fnlwgt	education	education_num	marital_status	income
64	Private	66634	Bachelors	13	Divorced	1
55	Private	327589	HS-grad	9	Divorced	0
50	Private	104729	HS-grad	9	Divorced	0
39	Private	32146	Some-college	10	Never-married	0
22	Private	109815	Some-college	10	Never-married	0
38	Private	188503	Some-college	10	Never-married	0
45	Self-emp-inc	34091	Bachelors	13	Married-civ-spouse	1
42	Self-emp-not-inc	119207	HS-grad	9	Never-married	0
45	Private	301802	Bachelors	13	Married-civ-spouse	1
60	Private	152369	Assoc-voc	11	Married-civ-spouse	0

Listing of attributes:

income:  $> 50K$ ,  $\leq 50K$

age, workclass, fnlwgt, education, education-num, marital-status,  
occupation, relationship, race, sex, capital-gain, capital-loss,  
hours-per-week, native-country.

- 1 Polish missing value: workclass, occupation, native\_country
- 2 Remove ineffective attributes: fnlwgt, education\_num
- 3 Draw 5000 training and 1000 testing sample
- 4 Discrete continues variables: age, hours\_per\_week, capital\_gain, capital\_loss

This is association rules.

Find frequent set.

Pick out the high frequent combinations

filter out the rhs income and lift=1.2

filter out the rhs income and lift=1.2

sort the rules by confi.

This is Decision Tree.

```
tree1=rpart(income .,data=train,method=" class",cp=0.005)
```

```
printcp(tree1)
```

```
plotcp(tree1)
```

```
print(tree1)
```

```
summary(tree1)
```

```
plot(tree1,uniform=TRUE)
```

```
text(tree1,use.n=TRUE,all=TRUE, cex=.8)
```

```
post(tree1,file=train)
```

```
tree2=prune(tree1,cp=0.01)
```

```
plot(tree2)
```

```
text(tree2)
```

```
Prediction=predict(tree2,newdata=test)
```

```
Pre=vector()
```



This is Naïve Bayes Classifier.

```
mm=naiveBayes(income .,data=train)
```

```
Pre2=predict(mm,test)
```

```
Pre2[1:20]
```

```
error2=sum(Ori!=Pre2)
```

```
errorratio2=error2/1000
```

This is Artificial Neural Network.

```
nn=nnet(income .,data=train,size=2,rang=0.1,maxit=1000)
```

```
Pre3=predict(nn, test, type = "class")
```

```
error3=sum(Ori!=Pre3)
```

```
errorratio3=error3/1000
```

This is Bagging and Boosting.

```
cj-rbind(train,test)
```

```
mj-dim(c)
```

```
incomej-as.factor(c[,m[2]])
```

```
cj-data.frame(c[, -m[2]],income)
```

```
baggingj- bagging(income ., data=c[1:5000,] , mfinal=10)
```

```
boostingj- boosting(income ., data=c[1:5000, ], boos=TRUE,  
mfinal=10)
```

```
pre5j-predict.bagging(bagging, newdata=c[5001:6000, ])
```

```
pre6j-predict.boosting(boosting, newdata=c[5001:6000, ])
```

Decision Tree has the least error rate.

Method	Decision Tree	NBayes	ANN	Bagging	Boosting
Timing	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%

Decision Tree takes the least time in modelling.

Method	Decision Tree	NB	ANN	Bag	Boost
Timing	1s	1s	1s	1s	1s

This is Prediction Consistance.

Description of the Problem  
○

Data Preview  
○○○○

Analysis and Result  
○○○○

Methods Comparison  
○○○

Discussion and Conclusion  
●○

Appendix  
○○

Discussion

The limit is packages. Date mining is good.



# Computing Environment

## COMPUTER

OS OS X 10.8.3 (12D78)

Processor 2.26 GHz Intel Core 2 Duo

Memory 5 Gb 1067 Mhz DDR3

## R PACKAGES

R 3.0.0

class 7.3-7

e1071 1.6-1

rpart

nnet

Matrix

lattice

arules

adabag

# Bibliography

- 1 C L Blake, C J Merz. *UCI repository of machine learning databases* University of California, Irvine, Department of Information and Computer Sciences. 1998