

# Geometry of Simplex Lab

## Objectives

- Understand the geometry of a linear program's feasible region.
- Use isoprofit lines and planes to solve 2D and 3D LPs graphically.
- Identify the most limiting constraint in an iteration of simplex both algebraically and geometrically.
- Identify the geometric features corresponding to dictionaries.
- Describe the geometrical decision made at each iteration of simplex.

## Review

Recall, linear programs (LPs) have three main components: decision variables, constraints, and an objective function. The goal of linear programming is to find a **feasible solution** (a solution satisfying every constraint) with the highest objective value. The set of feasible solutions form a **feasible region**. In lecture, we learned about isoprofit lines. For every objective value, we can define an isoprofit line. Isoprofit lines have the property that two solutions on the same line have the same objective value and all isoprofit lines are parallel.

In the first part of the lab, we will use a Python package called GILP to solve linear programs graphically. We introduce the package now.

## GILP

If you are running this file in a Google Colab Notebook, uncomment the following line and run it. Otherwise, you can ignore it.

```
In [23]: #!pip install gilp
```

This lab uses default LPs built in to GILP. We import them below.

```
In [24]: from gilp import examples as ex
```

We access the LP examples using `ex.NAME` where `NAME` is the name of the example LP. For example, consider:

$$\begin{array}{ll}\max & 5x_1 + 3x_2 \\ \text{s.t.} & 2x_1 + 1x_2 \leq 20 \\ & 1x_1 + 1x_2 \leq 16 \\ & 1x_1 + 0x_2 \leq 7 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0\end{array}$$

This example LP is called `ALL_INTEGER_2D_LP`. We assign this LP to the variable `lp` below.

```
In [25]: lp = ex.ALL_INTEGER_2D_LP
```

We can visualize this LP using a function called `lp_visual()`. First, we must import it.

```
In [26]: from gilp.visualize import lp_visual
```

The function `lp_visual()` takes an LP and returns a visualization. We then use the `.show()` function to display the visualization.

```
In [27]: lp_visual(lp).show()
```

On the left, you can see a coordinate plane where the  $x$ -axis corresponds to the value of  $x_1$  and the  $y$ -axis corresponds to the value of  $x_2$ . The region shaded blue is the feasible region. Along the perimeter of the feasible region, you can see points where two edges come to a "corner". You can hover over these **corner points** to see information about them. Only some of the information in the hover box will be relevant for Part I. The first two values of **BFS** represent the values of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  respectively and **Obj** is the objective value. For example, the upper left corner point has solution  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_2 = 16$  with objective value 48. The dashed lines represent the constraints. You can click on the constraints in the legend to mute and un-mute them. Note this does not alter the LP; it just changes visibility. Lastly, the objective slider allows you to see the isoprofit line for a range of objective values.

## Part I: Solving Linear Programs Graphically

Let's use GILP to solve the following LP graphically:

$$\begin{array}{ll}\max & 5x_1 + 3x_2 \\ \text{s.t.} & 2x_1 + 1x_2 \leq 20 \\ & 1x_1 + 1x_2 \leq 16 \\ & 1x_1 + 0x_2 \leq 7 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0\end{array}$$

Recall, this LP is called `ALL_INTEGER_2D_LP`.

```
In [28]: lp = ex.ALL_INTEGER_2D_LP # get LP example  
lp_visual(lp).show() # visualize it
```

**Q1:** How can you use isoprofit lines to solve LPs graphically?

**A:** You can use isoprofit lines to solve LPs graphically by finding the corner point which meets the objective function, in this case since the goal is to maximize  $5x_1 + 3x_2$ , this is at the corner where constraints (3) and (4) and the isoprofit line intersect.

**Q2:** Use the objective slider to solve this LP graphically. Give an optimal solution and objective value. Argue why it is optimal. (Hint: The objective slider shows the isoprofit line (in red) for some objective value.)

**A:** The optimal solution is where  $x_1=4$  and  $x_2=12$ , and the objective value is 56. This is optimal because this is where the objective value is largest while still meeting the given constraints. as can be seen with the objective slider.

**Q3:** Plug your solution from **Q2** back into the LP and verify that each constraint is satisfied (don't forget non-negativity constraints!) and the objective value is as expected. Show your work.

**A:**  $2(4) + 1(12) \leq 20 \rightarrow 20 \leq 20$   $1(4) + 1(12) \leq 16 \rightarrow 16 \leq 16$   $1(4) + 0(12) \leq 7 \rightarrow 4 \leq 7$   $x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \rightarrow 4 \geq 0, 12 \geq 0$

$$5(4) + 3(12) = 20 + 36 = 56$$

Let's try another! This LP is called `DEGENERATE_FIN_2D_LP`.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & 1x_1 + 2x_2 \\ \text{s.t.} & 0x_1 + 1x_2 \leq 4 \\ & 1x_1 - 1x_2 \leq 2 \\ & 1x_1 + 0x_2 \leq 3 \\ & -2x_1 + 1x_2 \leq 0 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

```
In [29]: lp = ex.DEGENERATE_FIN_2D_LP # get LP example  
lp_visual(lp).show() # visualize it
```

**Q4:** Use the objective slider to solve the `DEGENERATE_FIN_2D_LP` LP graphically. Give an optimal solution and objective value. (Hint: The objective slider shows the isoprofit line (in red) for some objective value.)

**A:** The optimal solution is  $x_1 = 3$  and  $x_2 = 4$ , with an objective value of 11.

You should now be comfortable solving linear programs with two decision variables graphically. In this case, each constraint is a line representing an inequality. These inequalities define a shaded region in the coordinate plane which is our feasible region. Lastly, the isoprofits are parallel lines. To find an optimal solution, we just increase the objective value while the corresponding isoprofit line still intersects the 2D feasible region.

Now, we will try to wrap our head around an LP with three decision variables! Similar to before, we can plot solutions to a 3D LP on a plot with 3 axes. Here, the  $x$ -axis corresponds to the value of  $x_1$  and the  $y$ -axis corresponds to the value of  $x_2$  as before. Furthermore, the  $z$ -axis corresponds to the value of  $x_3$ . Now, constraints are *planes* representing an inequality. These inequality planes define a 3D shaded region which is our feasible region. The isoprofits are isoprofit *planes* which are parallel. To find an optimal solution, we just increase the objective value while the corresponding isoprofit plane still intersects the 3D feasible region. Let us look at an example.

This LP is called `ALL_INTEGER_3D_LP` :

$$\begin{array}{ll}\max & 1x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3 \\ \text{s.t.} & 1x_1 + 0x_2 + 0x_3 \leq 6 \\ & 1x_1 + 0x_2 + 1x_3 \leq 8 \\ & 0x_1 + 0x_2 + 1x_3 \leq 5 \\ & 0x_1 + 1x_2 + 1x_3 \leq 8 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0\end{array}$$



```
In [30]: lp = ex.ALL_INTEGER_3D_LP # get LP example  
lp_visual(lp).show() # visualize it
```

The 3D feasible region is shown on the left. Hold and drag the mouse to examine it from different angles. Next, click on a constraint to un-mute it. Each constraint is a gray plane in 3D space. Un-mute the constraints one by one to see how they define the 3D feasible region. Move the objective slider to see the isoprofit planes. The isoprofit plane is light gray and the intersection with the feasible region is shown in red. Like the 2D visualization, you can hover over corner points to see information about that point.

**Q5:** Use the objective slider to solve this LP graphically. Give an optimal solution and objective value. (Hint: The objective slider shows the isoprofit plane for some objective value in light gray and the intersection with the feasible region in red.)

**A:** The optimal solution is  $x_1 = 3$ ,  $x_2 = 3$ ,  $x_3 = 5$  with an objective value of 29.

When it comes to LPs with 4 or more decision variables, our graphical approaches fail. We need to find a different way to solve linear programs of this size.

## Part II: The Simplex Algorithm for Solving LPs

### Dictionary Form LP

First, let's answer some guiding questions that will help to motivate the simplex algorithm.

**Q6:** Does there exist a unique way to write any given inequality constraint? If so, explain why each constraint can only be written one way. Otherwise, give 2 ways of writing the same inequality constraint.

**A:** There can be multiple ways to write a given inequality constraint, e.g:  $2x_1 + 3x_2 \geq 20$   $3x_2 \geq 20 - 2x_1$

**Q7:** Consider the following two constraints:  $2x_1 + 1x_2 \leq 20$  and  $2x_1 + 1x_2 + x_3 = 20$  where all  $x$  are nonnegative. Are these the same constraint? Why? (This question is tricky!)

**A:** These are the same constraint because if  $x_3 = 0$  then  $2x_1 + 1x_2 = 20$  and if  $x_3$  is  $> 0$  then subtracting  $x_3$  from 20 means that any  $2x_1 + 1x_2$  will be less than 20

**Q8:** Based on your answers to **Q6** and **Q7**, do you think there exists a unique way to write any given LP?

**A:** Based on this, I don't think there exists a unique way to write any given LP because there are several ways to write a given constraint

You should have found that there are many ways to write some LP. This begs a new question: are some ways of writing an LP harder or easier to solve than others? Consider the following LP:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & 56 - 2x_3 - 1x_4 \\ \text{s.t.} & x_1 = 4 - 1x_3 + 1x_4 \\ & x_2 = 12 + 1x_3 - 2x_4 \\ & x_5 = 3 + 1x_3 - 1x_4 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

**Q9:** Just by looking at this LP, can you give an optimal solution and its objective value. If so, explain what property of the LP allows you to do this. (Hint: Look at the objective function)

**A:** Looking at this LP, the optimal solution is (4,12,0,0,3) with an objective value of 56 because to maximize the objective function, since the variables have negative coefficients and are constrained as non-negative, the largest the objective value is going to be is when  $x_3$  and  $x_4 = 0$ , giving an objective value of 56.

The LP above is the same as `ALL_INTEGER_2D_LP` just rewritten in a different way! This rewritten form (which we found is easier to solve) was found using the simplex algorithm. At its core, the simplex algorithm strategically rewrites an LP until it is in a form that is "easy" to solve.

The simplex algorithm relies on an LP being in **dictionary form**. Recall the following properties of an LP in dictionary form:

- All constraints are equality constraints
- All variables are constrained to be nonnegative
- Each variable only appears on the left-hand side (LHS) or the right-hand side (RHS) of the constraints (not both)
- Each constraint has a unique variable on the LHS
- The objective function is in terms of the variables that appear on the RHS of the constraints only.
- All constants on the RHS of the constraints are nonnegative

**Q10:** Rewrite the example LP ALL\_INTEGER\_2D\_LP in dictionary form. Show your steps!

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & 5x_1 + 3x_2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 2x_1 + 1x_2 \leq 20 \\ & 1x_1 + 1x_2 \leq 16 \\ & 1x_1 + 0x_2 \leq 7 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

**A:**  $\max 5x_1 + 3x_2$  s.t.  $0 \leq 20 - 2x_1 - 1x_2$   $0 \leq 16 - 1x_1 - 1x_2$   $0 \leq 7 - 1x_1$   $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & z = 5x_1 + 3x_2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_3 = 20 - 2x_1 - 1x_2 \\ & x_4 = 16 - 1x_1 - 1x_2 \\ & x_5 = 7 - 1x_1 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

## Most Limiting Constraint

Once our LP is in dictionary form, we can run the simplex algorithm! In every iteration of the simplex algorithm, we will take an LP in dictionary form and strategically rewrite it in a new dictionary form. Note: it is important to realize that rewriting the LP **does not** change the LP's feasible region. Let us examine an iteration of simplex on a new LP.

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & 5x_1 + 3x_2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 1x_1 + 0x_2 \leq 4 \\ & 0x_1 + 1x_2 \leq 6 \\ & 2x_1 + 1x_2 \leq 9 \\ & 3x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 15 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

**Q11:** Is this LP in dictionary form? If not, rewrite this LP in dictionary form.

**A:** No, it is not in dictionary form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max \quad & z = 5x_1 + 3x_2 \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & x_3 = 4 - x_1 \\
 & x_4 = 6 - x_2 \\
 & x_5 = 9 - 2x_1 - x_2 \\
 & x_6 = 15 - 3x_1 - 2x_2 \\
 & x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6 \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

**Q12:** Recall from **Q9** how you found a feasible solution (which we argued to be optimal) just by looking at the LP. Using this same strategy, look at the LP above and give a feasible solution and its objective value for this LP. Describe how you found this feasible solution. Is it optimal? Why?

**A:** A feasible solution would be (0,0,4,6,9,15) with an objective value of 0. I found this by setting the variables on the RHS = 0. This is not optimal because the coefficients of the variables in the objective function are positive.

From **Q12** we see that every dictionary form LP has a corresponding feasible solution. Furthermore, there are positive coefficients in the objective function. Hence, we can increase the objective value by increasing the corresponding variable. In our example, both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  have positive coefficients in the objective function. Let us choose to increase  $x_1$ .

**Q13:** What do we have to be careful about when increasing  $x_1$ ?

**A:** We have to be careful about making sure all variables remain non-negative, so we have to find a limiting constraint.

**Q14:** After choosing a variable to increase, we must determine the most limiting constraint. Let us look at the first constraint  $x_3 = 4 - 1x_1 - 0x_2$ . How much can  $x_1$  increase? (Hint: what does a dictionary form LP require about the constant on the RHS of constraints?)

**A:**  $x_1$  can increase by 4

**Q15:** Like in **Q14**, determine how much each constraint limits the increase in  $x_1$  and identify the most limiting constraint.

**A:** The first constraint limits  $x_1$  by 4, the second places no limit, the third limits it by 4.5, and the fourth limits  $x_1$  by 5, so the most limiting constraint is 4.

If we increase  $x_1$  to 4, note that  $x_3$  will become zero. Earlier, we identified that each dictionary form has a corresponding feasible solution achieved by setting variables on the RHS (and in the objective function) to zero. Hence, since  $x_3$  will become zero, we want to rewrite our LP such that  $x_3$  appears on the RHS. Furthermore, since  $x_1$  is no longer zero, it should now appear on the LHS.

**Q16:** Rewrite the most limiting constraint  $x_3 = 4 - 1x_1 - 0x_2$  such that  $x_1$  appears on the left and  $x_3$  appears on the right.

**A:**  $x_1 = 4 - x_3$

**Q17:** Using substitution, rewrite the LP such that  $x_3$  appears on the RHS and  $x_1$  appears on the LHS. (Hint: Don't forget the rule about which variables can appear in the objective function)

**A:**  $\max z = 20 - 5x_3 + 3x_2$  s.t  $x_1 = 4 - x_3$   $x_4 = 6 - x_2$   $x_5 = 1 + 2x_3 - x_2$   $x_6 = 3 + 3x_3 - 2x_2$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6 \geq 0$$

**Q18:** We have now completed an iteration of simplex! What is the corresponding feasible solution of the new LP?

**A:** (4,0,0,6,1,3) with an objective value of 20

Now that we have seen an iteration of simplex algebraically, let's use GILP to visualize it! The LP example we have been using is called `LIMITING_CONSTRAINT_2D_LP`. To visualize simplex, we must import a function called `simplex_visual()`.

```
In [31]: from gilp.visualize import simplex_visual # import the function
import numpy as np
lp = ex.LIMITING_CONSTRAINT_2D_LP # get the LP example
simplex_visual(lp, initial_solution=np.array([[0],[0]])).show() # show the simplex visualization
```

This visualization is much the same as the previous one but we now have an additional slider which allows you to toggle through iterations of simplex. Furthermore, the corresponding dictionary at every iteration of simplex is shown in the top right. If you toggle between two iterations, you can see the dictionary form for both the previous and next LP at the same time.

**Q19:** Starting from point (0,0), by how much can you increase  $x_1$  before the point is no longer feasible? Which constraint do you *hit* first? Does this match what you found algebraically?

**A:** You can increase  $x_1$  to 4 before it is no longer feasible. The first constraint you hit is  $x_1 \leq 4$ , which is the same as what was found algebraically

**Q20:** Which variable will be the next increasing variable and why? (Hint: Look at the dictionary form LP at iteration 1)

**A:** The next increasing variable will be  $x_2$  because it still has a positive coefficient.

**Q21:** Visually, which constraint do you think is the most limiting constraint? How much can  $x_2$  increase? Give the corresponding feasible solution and its objective value of the next dictionary form LP. (Hint: hover over the feasible points to see information about them.)

**A:**  $x_2$  can increase to 1 before it would hit the constraint  $2x_1 + x_2 \leq 9$ . This would give a feasible solution of (4,1,0,5,0,1) with an objective value of 23.

**Q22:** Move the slider to see the next iteration of simplex. Was your guess from **Q21** correct? If not, describe how your guess was wrong.

**A:** My guess was correct.

**Q23:** Look at the dictionary form LP after the second iteration of simplex. What is the increasing variable? Identify the most limiting constraint graphically and algebraically. Show your work and verify they are the same constraint. In addition, give the next feasible solution and its objective value.

**A:** The increasing variable is  $x_3$  and algebraically the most limiting constraint would be 1 based on the last constraint because increasing  $x_3$  to 1 would make  $x_6 = 0$  if  $x_5 = 0$ . Graphically, the most limiting constraint is the intersection of lines  $2x_1 + x_2 = 9$  and  $3x_1 + 2x_2 = 15$ . These are the same because they both provide the solution (3,3,1,3,0,0) with an objective value of 24.

**Q24:** Is the new feasible solution you found in **Q23** optimal? (Hint: Look at the dictionary form LP)

**A:** The new feasible solution is optimal because all the coefficients in the new dictionary form of the objective function are negative.

**Q25:** In **Q21** and **Q23**, how did you determine the most limiting constraint graphically?

**A:** You can determine the most limiting constraint graphically by following an edge of the feasible region until you hit a different constraint.



**(BONUS):** In 2D, we can increase a variable until we hit a 2D line representing the most limiting constraint. What would be the analogous situation in 3D?

**A:** In 3D, the analogous situation would be increasing a variable until we hit an edge of the 3D figure.

## Part III: Geometrical Interpretation of the Dictionary

We have seen how the simplex algorithm transforms an LP from one dictionary form to another. Each dictionary form has a corresponding dictionary defined by the variables on the LHS of the constraints. Furthermore, each dictionary form has a corresponding feasible solution obtained by setting all non-dictionary variables to 0 and the dictionary variables to the constants on the RHS. In this section, we will explore the geometric interpretation of a dictionary.

```
In [32]: lp = ex.ALL_INTEGER_2D_LP # get LP example  
simplex_visual(lp, initial_solution=np.array([[0],[0]])).show() # visualize it
```

Recall, we can hover over the corner points of the feasible region. **BFS** indicates the feasible solution corresponding to that point. For example, (7,0,6,9,0) means  $x_1 = 7$ ,  $x_2 = 0$ ,  $x_3 = 6$ ,  $x_4 = 9$ , and  $x_5 = 0$ . **B** gives the indices of the variables in the dictionary. For example, (1,3,4) means that  $x_1$ ,  $x_3$ , and  $x_4$  are in the dictionary. Lastly, the objective value at that point is given.

**Q26:** Hover over the point (7,6) where  $x_1 = 7$  and  $x_2 = 6$ . What is the feasible solution at that point ?

**A:** (7,6,0,3,0)

We have a notion of *slack* for an inequality constraint. Consider the constraint  $x_1 \geq 0$ . A feasible solution where  $x_1 = 7$  has a slack of 7 in this constraint. Consider the constraint  $2x_1 + 1x_2 \leq 20$ . The feasible solution with  $x_1 = 7$  and  $x_2 = 6$  has a slack of 0 in this constraint.

**Q27:** What is the slack in constraint  $1x_1 + 1x_2 \leq 16$  when  $x_1 = 7$  and  $x_2 = 6$ ?

**A:** 3

**Q28:** Look at the constraint  $2x_1 + 1x_2 \leq 20$ . After rewriting in dictionary form, the constraint is  $x_3 = 20 - 2x_1 - 1x_2$ . What does  $x_3$  represent?

**A:**  $x_3$  represents the dictionary of this constraint, meaning it represents when the constraint has no excess.

**Q29:** What do you notice about the feasible solution at point (7,6) and the slack in each constraint?

**A:** There is no slack.

It turns out that each decision variable is really a measure of slack in some corresponding constraint!

**Q30:** If the slack between a constraint and a feasible solution is 0, what does that tell you about the relationship between the feasible solution and constraint geometrically?

**A:** This tells us that the feasible solution represents a corner of the feasible region, meaning that it is at a limiting constraint for one of the variables.

**Q31:** For (7,6), which variables are **not** in the dictionary? For which constraints do they represent the slack? (Hint: The **B** in the hover box gives the indices of the variables in the dictionary)

**A:**  $x_3$ ,  $x_5$ . They represent the slack for constraints 3 and 5

**Q32:** For  $(7,6)$ , what are the values of the non-dictionary variables? Using what you learned from **Q30**, what does their value tell you about the feasible solution at  $(7,6)$ ?

**A:** The non-dictionary variables are equal to 0, which tells us that the feasible solution at  $(7,6)$  is at a corner point of the feasible region.

**Q33:** Look at some other corner points with this in mind. What do you find?

**A:** All of the non-dictionary variables have a value of 0.

Now, let's look at a 3 dimensional LP!

```
In [33]: lp = ex.ALL_INTEGER_3D_LP # get LP example  
lp_visual(lp).show() # visualize it
```

**Q34:** Hover over the point (6,6,2) where  $x_1 = 6$ ,  $x_2 = 6$ , and  $x_3 = 2$ . Note which variables are not in the dictionary. Toggle the corresponding constraints on. What do you notice?

**A:**  $x_4, x_5$ , and  $x_7$ . The intersection of each of these constraints is at the point (6,6,2).

**Q35:** Look at some other corner points and do as you did in Q34. Do you see a similar pattern? Combining what you learned in Q33, what can you say about the relationship between the variables not in the dictionary at some corner point, and the corresponding constraints?

**A:** Variables not in the dictionary at corner points have their corresponding constraints intersect at the given point.

**Q36:** What geometric feature do feasible solutions for a dictionary correspond to?

**A:** Feasible solutions for a dictionary correspond to corner points of the feasible region, at which points the lines of given constraints intersect.

## Part IV: Pivot Rules

The first step in an iteration of simplex is to choose an increasing variable. Sometimes, there are multiple options since multiple variables have a positive coefficient in the objective function. Here, we will explore what this decision translates to geometrically.

In this section, we will use a special LP commonly referred to as the Klee-Minty Cube.

$$\begin{array}{ll}\max & 4x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \\ \text{s.t.} & x_1 \leq 5 \\ & 4x_1 + x_2 \leq 25 \\ & 8x_1 + 4x_2 + x_3 \leq 125 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0.\end{array}$$

Furthermore, we will use an optional parameter called `rule` for the `simplex_visual()` function. This rule tells simplex which variable to choose as an increasing variable when there are multiple options.

```
In [34]: simplex_visual(ex.KLEE_MINTY_3D_LP, rule='dantzig', initial_solution=np.array([[0],[0],[0]])).show()
```

**Q37:** Use the iteration slider to examine the path of simplex on this LP. What do you notice?

**A:** The path begins at the point (0,0,0) and follows an edge to the border of the feasible region, then picks another edge to follow and do the same until it reaches the optimal solution.

Above, we used a pivot rule proposed by Dantzig. In this rule, the variable with the largest positive coefficient in the objective function enters the dictionary. Go through the iterations again to verify this.

Let us consider another pivot rule proposed by Bland, a professor here at Cornell. In his rule, of the variables with positive coefficients in the objective function, the one with the smallest index enters. Let us examine the path of simplex using this pivot rule! Again, look at the dictionary form LP at every iteration.

```
In [41]: simplex_visual(ex.KLEE_MINTY_3D_LP, rule='bland', initial_solution=np.array([[0],[0],[0]])).show()
```



**Q38:** What is the difference between the path of simplex using Dantzig's rule and Bland's rule?

**A:** Blands rule only uses 5 iterations while Dantzig's uses 7.

Can you do any better? By setting `rule='manual_select'` , you can choose the entering variable explicitly at each simplex iteration.

**Q39:** Can you do better than 5 iterations? How many paths can you find? (By my count, there are 7)

**A:** I found a path with 1 iterations by starting with x3.

```
In [36]: simplex_visual(ex.KLEE_MINTY_3D_LP, rule='manual_select', initial_solution=np.array([[0],[0],[0]])).show()
```

Pick one of [1, 2, 3]2

Pick one of [3]3

Pick one of [1, 5]5

**Q40:** What does the choice of increasing variable correspond to geometrically?

**A:** Geometrically, the choice of increasing variable determines which edge you trace along the feasible region.

**Q41:** Are there any paths you could visualize taking to the optimal solution that `rule='manual_select'` prevented you from taking? If yes, give an example and explain why it is not a valid path for simplex to take. (Hint: Look at the objective value after each simplex iteration.)

**A:** I could visualize taking a path backwards, but simplex would not let me take this path because it will not allow any path that decreases the objective function value.

## Part V: Creating LPs in GILP (Optional)

We can also create our own LPs! First, we must import the `LP` class.

```
In [37]: from gilp.simplex import LP
```

Let us create the following LP.

$$\begin{array}{ll}\max & 3x_1 + 2x_2 \\ \text{s.t.} & 2x_1 + 1x_2 \leq 6 \\ & 0x_1 + 1x_2 \leq 2 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0\end{array}$$

We will create this LP by specifying 3 arrays of coefficients. We define the NumPy arrays `A`, `b`, and `c` and then pass them to the `LP` class to create the LP.

```
In [38]: A = np.array([[2,1], # LHS constraint coefficients
                      [0,1]])
b = np.array([6,2]) # RHS constraint coefficients
c = np.array([3,2]) # objective function coefficients
lp = LP(A,b,c)
```

Let's visualize it!

```
In [39]: lp_visual(lp).show()
```

... and solve it!

```
In [40]: simplex_visual(lp, initial_solution=np.array([[0],[0]])).show()
```