TITLE: Health Conditions, Driving Practice, and Self-Reported Fatigue among Long-Haul Truck 2 3 Drivers: The National Survey of U.S. Long-Haul Truck Driver Health and Injury 4 5 W. Karl Sieber, PhD, MS Edward M. Hitchcock, PhD, MS 6 Marie H. Sweeney, PhD MS 7 8 Cynthia F. Robinson, PhD, MS, Imelda Wong, PhD 9 National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health 10 Cincinnati, Ohio 45225 11 Email: WKS1@CDC.GOV 12 13 Guang-X. Chen, MD, MSc 14 Jennifer E. Lincoln, MSSM 15 Morgantown, WV 26505 16 17 18 19 Word Count: 6406 words + 4 tables (250 words per table) = 7,406 words 20 Submitted July 29, 2020

1

#### ABSTRACT

Driver fatigue and sleepiness may impact driver alertness and performance, which may lead to increased risk of road crashes and poor health. In 2010, NIOSH conducted a nationally representative survey of long-haul truck drivers to help characterize health and safety risk factors in this population. Self-reported health conditions, hours of driving or on-duty, and hours of sleep were collected from 900 truck drivers by interview and through a retrospective Sleep and Activity diary. A multivariable logistic model for high self-reported ratings of fatigue including working/driving character, demographics, and health conditions was developed. Fatigue was defined as a driver being so tired that the driver needed to take a break or sleep, and was determined by ratings on a Likert Scale.

Forty-four percent of drivers indicated self-reported fatigue ratings of 6 or above on the day during the previous week when they felt most fatigued. Model-based standardized marginal risk ratios showed that high self-reported fatigue ratings increased with number of hours driving or on duty, poor quality of sleep, driving alone, and body mass index (BMI). High self-reported fatigue ratings were greater for driver health conditions such as heart disease, back pain, and emphysema. Use of Continuous Positive Air Pressure (CPAP) had a protective effect, as did years worked as a driver in a job requiring a mandatory rest period away from home during each run.

This study suggests that development of trucking policy and programs to minimize long-haul truck driver fatigue should include consideration of working, sleeping, and health conditions.

**Keywords:** survey, truck driver, health, fatigue, relative risk, work practices

#### INTRODUCTION

 The U.S. economy operates 24 hours per day, 7 days per week, creating a great demand for individuals employed in occupations such as truck driving to work non-standard schedules (1). Long-haul truck drivers often experience long and irregular work hours that may reduce time available to sleep, affect the natural Circadian rhythm, and impact illness, injury, and health behaviors (2,3,4,5,6). In addition, sleep quantity and quality may be impacted by working conditions such as tight delivery schedules and pressure to meet those schedules, as well as individual driver factors such as sleep disorders and other health conditions and behaviors (7).

Insufficient sleep quantity and poor sleep quality may cause fatigue and sleepiness, which may impact driver alertness and performance and result in increased risk of road crashes (8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16). Sleep-related fatigue is associated with impaired cognitive performance, slowed reaction times, and decreased overall driver situational awareness (17). Driver sleepiness is associated with a two- and one-half fold increase in near-crash/crashes overall (18), and extreme daytime sleepiness is associated with about a six-fold increase in crashes (19). The Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) identified fatigue as being present in 13% of all large-truck-related crashes resulting in a fatality or injury (20). Fatigue was identified as the probable cause, contributing factor, or a finding in 15 (38.5%) of highway crash investigations of commercial vehicles conducted by the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) between 1 January 2001 and 31 December 2012 (21).

In 2010, NIOSH conducted a nationally representative survey of long-haul truck drivers to help characterize health and safety risk factors in this population. Results of selected health outcomes are described elsewhere (22). This paper describes the relationship between self-reported driver fatigue and self-reported sleep, working, and health conditions among long haul truck drivers.

### **METHODS**

Data on self-reported fatigue, demographics, driving practices, and work and health conditions were collected in an interview and a Sleep and Activity diary as part of the NIOSH National Survey of Long-Haul Truck Driver Health and Injury (LHTDS) (22). The study was conducted October-December 2010 at 32 truck stops across the 48 contiguous United States.

## **Self-reported fatigue**

To assess fatigue, respondents were asked the following: 'During the past 7 days, what was your level of fatigue on the day you felt most fatigued?' Fatigue was defined as being so tired that the driver needed to take a break or obtain sleep and was rated using an 11-point Likert scale (0=" not at all fatigued" to 10="as fatigued as I could be"). For this analysis, respondent's fatigue rating was dichotomized as low or high based on a rating 6 or more on the day during the previous week when the driver felt most tired. A rating of 6 was determined as the minimum self-reported fatigue rating where more than 50% of drivers reported drowsiness one or more days per week when driving. Sixty-nine percent of drivers who had nodded off or fallen asleep at least once per week while driving during the past 3 months reported fatigue levels of 6 or higher on the day they reported feeling most tired.

### **Sleep and Activity Diary**

- 41 Participants completed a NIOSH-developed self-administered, retrospective Sleep and Activity diary
- 42 (23). The format of the diary, modified to be similar to the FMCSA Hours of Service log (24), required
- 43 truck drivers to record driving or on-duty hours, non-working hours, and sleeping hours. Sleep and
- 44 Activity diaries were distributed to 900 respondents after they completed the interview to record work
- and nonwork activities and sleep duration over the two 24-hour periods prior to the interview. Each 24-

hour period was based on the time when driving began. The average duration of driving and sleeping
over both periods was used for analysis.

# **Statistical Analysis**

# Logistic Regression

A stepwise logistic regression procedure incorporating backwards elimination of health and driving variables was used to develop a multivariable model for presence of fatigue ratings greater than or equal to 6 on the day during the previous week when the driver reported the highest fatigue level. The backwards elimination model was conducted to remove confounding variables at a significance level of p<0.2. This approach presents results similar to those obtained from a change-in-estimates approach to variable selection (25).

Driving factors considered were number of hours driving or on-duty, number of years working as a driver with a mandatory rest period away from home on each run, 6 hours or less slept or napping that day, whether sleep was continuous or interrupted, stressful driving situations, and whether driving alone. A stressful driving situation was defined as often having at least one of the following: tight delivery schedules and loading/unloading times, traffic congestion delays, violation of Hours-of-Service rules, or having inadequate compensation. Health conditions included: cancer, diabetes, heart disease, emphysema, obstructive lung disease or lower respiratory disease, use of Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP) therapy as a potential indication of a diagnosis of sleep disorder, hypertension, elevated cholesterol, lower back pain lasting 1 day or more within the past three months, lack of physical activity, body mass index (BMI), and current smoking (26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34). Heart disease was defined as ever having coronary heart disease, angina, or any other heart condition. Lack of physical activity was defined as not meeting HHS guidelines of 150 minutes per week of moderate or vigorous physical activity for at least 30 minutes at a time per day (35). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as [weight (kg)]/ [height (m)]<sup>2</sup>. Demographic variables included race, gender, and age group. A high correlation was found between age and years working as a driver, so for this analysis years working as a long-haul driver was used. Fatigue rating showed a linear relationship with BMI but a quadratic relationship with years working as a long-haul truck driver.

Variables retained in the final model included: 1) driving and working factors – hours driven or on duty that day, number of years driving as a long-haul driver, sleep quality, and driving alone, and 2) demographic and health factors: race, BMI, back pain lasting 1 day or more, heart disease, use of CPAP, and emphysema.

#### **Risk Ratios**

Model-based risk ratios were calculated using the method of marginal standardization (36,37). A model-based risk ratio was calculated as the average regression model-based probability of high fatigue rating divided by the average probability of having low fatigue rating. Since averages of predicted probabilities reflect the confounder distribution in the target population, the risk ratio is standardized to the distribution of the variables in the study sample. Risk ratios were calculated for a high self-reported fatigue rating accounting for each variable in the final model.

Descriptive analyses and multivariate regressions were performed using SAS V. 9.3 [38]. Risk ratios were calculated using SUDAAN V. 10 (39,40). Further details on the LHTDS survey procedures and results may be found in Sieber et al. (22). The LHTDS was approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB no. 0920-0865) and NIOSH Human Subjects Review Board. A waiver of documentation of informed consent was granted since no personal identifying information was collected and documentation would create a record of individuals that participated in the study.

### **RESULTS**

# **Demographic and Self-Reported Sleep Health Characteristics**

The LHTDS collected interview, health and driving information from a nationally representative sample of 1265 long haul truck drivers. The present analysis is based on 900 drivers who completed both the interview and a sleep and activity diary. Demographic and sleep characteristics from the interview are presented in Table I. Not included in this analysis are an additional 365 drivers who completed the interview but either did not complete the diary fully, did not complete it correctly, or who did not drive during at least one 24-hour period immediately prior to the interview. No statistically significant difference was found between prevalence of a fatigue rating of 6 or greater in the 900 drivers completing both diary and interview, and the 365 drivers who did not (p=0.10).

The sample of 900 drivers was mostly male (94%) drivers between 30 and 59 years old (84%), with an average age of 46.7 years. Seventy-four percent of drivers were white, 17% were Black or African-American, and 7% reported other or multiple races. Eight percent were of Hispanic ethnicity. Forty-eight percent reported feeling very drowsy when driving one or more times per week, while eight percent reported feeling very drowsy while driving almost every day. Fourteen percent reported they had nodded off or fell asleep while driving at least once during the previous 3 months, while almost four percent indicated nodding off or falling asleep while driving at least once per week. Forty-four percent of the drivers reported fatigue rating of 6 or higher on the day when they felt most tired, but only 1 % reported ratings 6 or more on days when they felt least tired. Six percent reported average fatigue ratings 6 or higher throughout the previous 7 days.

# **Driving/On-Duty and Sleep Duration**

The average number of hours driving or on-duty (driving, securing the load, truck maintenance, etc.) and sleeping duration recorded in the Sleep and Activity diary are shown in Table II. Respondents who drove or were on-duty during the two 24-hour periods prior to the interview worked an average 10.0 hours per 24-hour period; reported an average 5.3 non-working hours (eating, doing laundry, etc.); and recorded sleeping/napping an average 8.7 hours per day. Thirteen percent of drivers averaged 6 hours or less of sleep time during the 24-hour period. Most drivers (86%) began their driving shift between 0000 and 1200 hours.

### **Standardized Marginal Risk Ratios**

Standardized marginal risk ratios for self-reported fatigue ratings greater than 6 are shown in Table III. Risk ratios for high self-reported fatigue ratings by number of hours driving are presented relative to levels expected if not driving. After driving 1 hour, the risk of a fatigue rating over 6 increases 10%, after 2 hours to 20% after 2 hours, and doubles after driving 10 hours. Risk of self-reported fatigue rating increases 20% if the driver's sleep is interrupted compared to having continuous sleep, and increases 10% if driving alone. The risk for high self-reported fatigue ratings decreases 10% after more than 10 years of working in a long-haul driving job. In this population, risks for high self-reported fatigue ratings were increased 20% or more for self-reported heart disease, back pain, emphysema and higher BMI. However, risk of high self-reported fatigue ratings is reduced with use of CPAP.

Risk ratios for high self-reported fatigue ratings by time period when starting driving are shown in Table IV. Risk ratios in each time period are presented relative to ratings for drivers starting between 1801 and 2400 hours, when prevalence of high self-reported fatigue ratings was lowest. The greatest risk for high ratings of self-reported fatigue was for drivers starting driving between 0001 and 0600 hours.

Characteristic	Percent of Drivers	Number of <sup>2</sup> Drivers
Gender:	Bilvers	3
Male	93.8	844
Female	6.2	56 4
Age Range (Years)		5
20-29	5.1	46
30-39	21.8	196 6
40-49	32.0	288
50-59	30.4	274 7
60-69	9.9	89
70-80	.8	7 8
Hispanic or Latino <sup>1</sup>	7.9	71
Race		9
White	74.8	673
African American	14.2	227 10
Other or multiple race <sup>2</sup>	11.0	99 11
Felt very drowsy when driving		11
Once per month or less	51.9	467 <sub>12</sub>
About once per week	21.8	196
2-5 times per week	18.2	164 <sub>13</sub>
Almost every day	8.1	73 14
Nodded off or fell asleep while driving in the last 3		15
months		16
Never	86.2	776
1-2 times	10,2	92
Once per week	1.8	15
2-3 times per week	1.2	11 <sub>19</sub>
More than 3 times per week	0.8	6
Self-Reported Fatigue Rating $\geq 6$ in Past 7 Days <sup>3</sup>		20
On the Day Driver Felt Most Fatigued	44.2	398 21
On the Day Driver Felt Least Fatigued	1.3	12 22
Average Level of Fatigue	6.4	58 23

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Hispanic ethnicity includes Spanish, Mexican, Mexican American, Chicano, Puerto-Rican, Cuban, Dominican (Republic), Central or South American, Other Latin American, or another Hispanic/Latino.

Table I. Demographic and Self-Reported Driving Characteristics from Personal Interview, Survey of U.S. Long-haul Truck Drivers, Fall 2010

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Other race Includes Asian, Native American, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, and unknown.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Self-reported fatigue was defined as being so tired that the driver needed to take a break or sleep. Elevated self-reported fatigue level is fatigue level reported greater than or equal to 6.

Characteristic Mean or Percent of Drivers Number of Drivers Total number driving<sup>1</sup> 900 900 Number of hours 10.0 (2,8) 900 Driving or on-duty (SD)<sup>2</sup> Number of hours 5.3 (3.0) Off duty or not working 900 Number of hours 8.7 (2.5) 900 Sleeping or napping (SD) Number of drivers averaging 6 12.6% or less hours of sleep in a work 113 Number of Drivers beginning driving shift between: 0001 - 0600 32.0% 288 0601 - 1200 54.0% 486 1201 - 1800 11.2% 101

1801 - 2400

4 5

3

Table II. Self-Reported Driving and Sleep Characteristics from Sleep and Activity Diary, Survey of
Long-Haul Truck Drivers, Fall 2010

2.8%

25

Entries are for those driving 24-hour periods prior to interview.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Abbreviation SD = Standard Deviation.

1.

		1
	Risk Ratio	
		95% Confidencé
Factor	Estimate (SE) <sup>1</sup>	Interval 3
Working/Driving Factors:		4
Number of Hours driving or on duty <sup>2</sup> :		
1	1.1 (0.03)	(1.0, 1.1)
2	1.2 (0.06)	(1.1, 1.3)
4	1.4 (0.12)	(1.1, 1.6)
6	1.6 (0.20)	(1.2, 2.0)
8	1.8 (0.30)	(1.3, 2.4)
10	2.0 (0.39)	(1.3, 2.9)
11	2.1 (0.44)	(1.4, 3.2)
Interrupted vs. continuous sleep	1.2 (0.09).	(1.0, 1.3)
Driving alone vs. having passenger	1.1 (0.11)	(0.9, 1.4)
Years worked in job as a long-haul driver <sup>3</sup>		
5	1.0 (0.0)	(1.0, 1.0)
10	1.0 (0.01)	(1.0, 1.0)
15	0.9 (0.02)	(0.9, 1.0)
20	0.9 (0.03)	(0.8, 0.9)
	, ,	, ,
Health/Demographic Factors:		
Heart Disease <sup>4</sup>	1.4 (0.16)	(1.1, 1.7)
Back pain lasting all day	1.2 (0.10)	(1.0, 1.4)
Emphysema	1.5 (0.30)	(1.0, 2.18)
Use of Continuous Positive Air Pressure (CPAP)	0.6 (0.14)	(0.4, 1.0)
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>5</sup>		
25	1.1 (0.03)	(1.0, 1.1)
30	1.1 (0.07)	(1.0, 1.3)
35	1.2 (0.10)	(1.0, 1.4)
40	1.3 (0.1)	(1.0, 1.6)
45	1.4 (0.18)	(1.0, 1.7)

Abbreviation SE=Standard Error.

- <sup>2</sup> Predicted marginal risk compared to that from no driving.
- <sup>3</sup> Predicted marginal risk compared to that from 1-year driving experience.
- Heart disease was defined as having coronary heart disease, angina, or any other heart condition.

1.2 (0.13)

(1.0, 1.5)

- <sup>5</sup> Predicted marginal risk compared that at BMI=20 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.
- <sup>6</sup> Predicted marginal risk for race=white vs. other race.

12

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Race<sup>6</sup>

13 14

15

Table III. Standardized Marginal Risk Ratios for Variables Associated with High Self-Reported Fatigue Ratings, Survey of Long-Haul Truck Drivers, Fall 2010

	Risk I	Risk Ratio	
		95% Confidence	
Start of drive time <sup>1</sup>	Estimate (SE) <sup>2</sup>	Interval	
Between 0001 and 0600	1.2 (0.35)	(0.7, 2.2)	
Between 0601 and 1200	1.1 (0.31)	(0.6, 2.0)	
Between 1201 and 1800	1.1 (0.33)	(0.6, 2.0)	
Between 1801 and 2400	Reference		

Table IV. Standardized Marginal Risk Ratios for High Self-Reported Fatigue Ratings by Time when Driving Begins, Survey of Long-Haul Truck Drivers, Fall 2010

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Predicted marginal risk compared to that if driving begun between 1801 and 2400 hours  $^2$  Abbreviation S.E. – Standard Error.

#### DISCUSSION

Our analysis combines self-reported health data with daily Sleep and Activity logs to examine the extent to which work and health conditions of. long-haul truck drivers influence self-reported driver fatigue ratings.

Fatigue is a subjective term often used to describe the experience of being 'tired' or 'exhausted' (41, 12). In this study self-reported fatigue was characterized using a 10-point Likert scale. Subjective assessment of fatigue was used in this study to collect both health and driving data from a large number of long-haul truck drivers during work times (42).

Objective measures to assess fatigue and sleepiness while driving include: physiologic measures of brain activity or cardiac activity, ocular measures such as Percent Eye Closure (PERCLOS) (43), vigilance attention tests such as the Psychomotor Vigilance Test (PVT) (44,45), or driver performance tests such as lane departures while driving (46). Subjective and objective measures of individual fatigue may not necessarily agree although they may correlate at a group level (16,42). Since some objective methods may require specialized equipment, subjective assessments of sleepiness have been advocated as indicators of potential fatigue (47).

Environmental and health factors may contribute to fatigue among long haul truck drivers. Driving at night, driving for long duration, and organizational factors may impact fatigue (48,49,50). The linkage between fatigue and health conditions such as sleep apnea, obesity, and heart disease has been recognized (10). Fatigue management programs have been developed in some cases to address some of the factors indicated above (10,51,52,53).

# **Self-Reported Fatigue and Driving**

Driver fatigue and sleepiness have been shown to play a significant role in increasing the risk of road crashes (9,11,13). In this study, high self-reported fatigue ratings increased 10% for each hour driven or on duty. Those driving or on duty during 24-hour periods prior to the LHTDS interview reported an average of 10.0 hours driving or on duty. Driving 10 hours continuously would represent a 2-fold increase in high self-reported fatigue rating. Current FMCSA regulations limit maximum driving time to 11 hours within a 14-hour duty period, after 10 consecutive hours off duty (54). It should be noted that driving time alone (time on task) has not been found to be a consistent predictor of fatigue (16).

About half of drivers in the LHTDS study (48%) reported stopping for a break or fuel at least every 4 hours, 44% reported stopping between 5 and 8 hours and 9% over 8 hours (data not shown). Rest breaks might help to limit the increase in higher ratings of self-reported fatigue with driving times. Two rest breaks of 30 minutes each during a 10-hour trip have been reported to be adequate to reduce crash risk with fatigue involvement (55). Bunn et al., (56) found an association between distance from rest havens/truck stop locations and commercial vehicle driver at-fault crashes involving sleepiness/fatigue.

Risk of high self-reported fatigue ratings increased when driving alone, but decreased with more years working in a long-haul driving job. This effect of years may be attributed to older, more experienced drivers who may have developed coping strategies such as smoking or taking caffeine, taking breaks and driving during daytime hours to reduce the effects of fatigue, or to a healthy worker survival bias, where drivers who could not cope with fatigue or other aspects of the job, self-selected out of the industry. In this study years worked was used as a surrogate for age of driver. Other studies have not found significant or consistent relationships between age and fatigue (57,16).

Fatigue may not necessarily lead to loss of driving performance. Many truck drivers report that they are able to cope with fatigue while driving, even though acknowledging some level of fatigue. Risk ratios for increased ratings of self-reported fatigue less than 2.0, and in many cases near to 1.0, were found in this study. In a study on perception of fatigue by truck drivers, 60% of drivers and 88% of managers

reported that fatigue is rarely or never a problem for the drivers (58). It should be noted that, given the many factors affecting self-reported fatigue levels, truck drivers do not experience higher levels of fatigue. Only 44% of drivers interviewed indicated high fatigue ratings even on the day when they felt most fatigued. It is remarkable how drivers are able to cope with fatigue given the many contributing factors to it in their working environment.

Truck crashes and accidents are more prevalent during the period 0001-0600 (59,60,61). In this study, drivers who begin driving between 0001 and 0600 had a greater risk for high self-reported fatigue ratings compared to drivers who started driving during later periods of the day. Available light and visibility between the pre-dawn and later starting times may be factors in this finding.

### Sleep

Those driving or on duty for at least one 24-hour period reported sleeping or napping an average 8.7 hours per 24-hour interval. The average number of hours of sleeping or napping per 24-hour interval in this study was more than the 6.6 hours per night reported by Ursin et al. (62) and the 6.3 hours per 24-hour interval reported by Hanowski et al. (63), and almost 4 hours longer than 4.8 hours per sleep period in a study by Mitler et al. (64). Most drivers in the LHTDS slept within the recommended 7-9 hours by the National Sleep Foundation (22,65). However, 13% of LHTDS drivers reported averaging six hours or less of sleep, compared to 30% reported by all workers in the 2010 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) (p<0.001) and 33% among all workers in the transportation and warehousing sector (6,22). Prevalence of short sleep duration of less than 7 hours among workers in transportation and material moving occupations has increased from 32.0% in 2010 to 41.0% in 2018 (66). Short sleep duration is also associated with increased mortality prevalence, diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, coronary heart disease, sleep disorders, depression, and obesity (67,68).

Drivers in this study reported high ratings of fatigue when sleep was interrupted compared to drivers who reported continuous sleep. Short-term consequences of sleep disruption include increased stress responsivity, somatic pain, reduced quality of life, emotional distress and mood disorders, and cognitive, memory, and performance deficits. Long-term consequences may include hypertension, dyslipidemia, cardiovascular disease, weight issues, metabolic syndrome, diabetes mellitus, and certain cancers (69). Sleep loss from sleep disruption has been found to be more detrimental to positive mood than sleep loss from delaying bedtime (70).

### **Health Conditions**

Our regression model included conditions reported to be associated with fatigue and sleepiness: heart disease, emphysema, back pain, BMI, and use of CPAP therapy as a potential indicator of diagnosis of a sleep disorder (26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34). Risks for high fatigue ratings for drivers with reported heart disease or emphysema are both comparable to those who reported 4 or more hours of driving or on duty time. Certain heart conditions and respiratory disorders may also compromise the ability to drive safely and result in medical disqualification for commercial driving licensure (71). In a review of obstructive sleep apnea (OSA)-related motor vehicle crashes, Tregear et al. found that drivers with OSA are at increased risk for crash compared to drivers without OSA (10,14). OSA may result in excessive daytime sleepiness and may be treated by use of CPAP. In this study high ratings of self-reported fatigue decreased for drivers using CPAP.

Lower back pain is reported to be associated with fatigue (72), and in this study risk of high self-reported fatigue for drivers experiencing lower back pain lasting an entire day or more during the previous 3 months was increased. Back pain among drivers may be minimized with proper seat design and ergonomic considerations in truck cab design and adoption of safe lifting and material handling guidelines while loading and unloading. Anthropometric considerations in truck cabs have been discussed by Guan

- 1 (73), and recommended weight limits on manual material handling and lifting are available in the revised
- 2 NIOSH lifting equation (74).
- 3 Self-reported fatigue has been associated with higher BMI (75). In this study, risk for high self-reported
- 4 fatigue ratings increased with BMI. The average BMI of drivers in this study was 32.1 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Since both
- fatigue and obesity have been associated with crash risk (76), these findings and the known relationship
- 6 between obesity and other adverse health conditions may provide additional impetus for public health
- 7 efforts to reduce the prevalence of obesity among truck drivers.

# **8 Strengths and Limitations**

- 9 Study population
- 10 This analysis is based on results from the NIOSH LHTDS, a representative national survey. Results from
- the 1265 drivers completing the LHTDS interview are applicable to the U.S. long-haul truck driver
- population. However, the 900 respondents who completed both the interview and sleep and activity diary
- may not be nationally representative. No statistically significant difference was found between prevalence
- of self-reported fatigue ratings of 6 or greater in the 900 drivers completing both diary and interview and
- the 365 drivers who did not (p=0.10).

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

Fatigue Measures

This analysis was limited to health and working/driving factors affecting the extent of self-reported driver fatigue on the day when the driver felt most tired during the previous 7 days. In this study it was assumed that high ratings of self-reported fatigue resulted from the effects of short-term sleep loss or periods of overwork. Extreme fatigue over time not relieved by rest, or chronic fatigue syndrome, was not assessed in the LHTDS. Prevalence of self-reported chronic fatigue syndrome has been found to be relatively low at 3.28% (77). Factors such as condition of the roadway or time of day were also not considered. Current Hours of Service (HOS) regulations are meant to minimize the type of drowsiness that can lead to crashes (54).

The presence and rating of self-reported fatigue to the extent that it affected work was determined using questions included in the subjective Fatigue Symptom Inventory (FSI) (78,79), which was administered as part of the LHTDS interview. A Likert scale for levels of self-reported fatigue between 0 and 10 was used. Self-reported fatigue levels may not be consistent with objective measures of fatigue,

Collecting self-reported fatigue data enabled collection on both health and working conditions from a large sample of truck drivers. Self-reported ratings at which at least 50% of drivers reported feeling drowsiness while driving a truck, and where 69% of drivers reported nodding off or falling asleep more than once per week while driving a truck were used to determine the fatigue rating of 6 or higher to indicate higher levels of self-reported fatigue. Drowsiness and nodding off frequencies were collected by questionnaire. The cutoff rating of 6 was further checked against a validated index of daytime sleepiness, the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) (80). The ESS is a self-assessment tool for measuring the likelihood of the respondent falling asleep in different situations, and is accepted as reliable, internally consistent which has been externally validated in different populations and with other measures of sleepiness (81). Sixty-six percent of drivers with elevated levels of self-reported fatigue showed high Epworth values above 10 (indicating excessive daytime sleepiness), for a sensitivity of 66% and specificity of 60%. Since fatigue levels are subjective and not uniform across respondents, the analysis was limited to the logistic analysis using cutoff values to indicate the presence or absence of higher ratings of self-reported fatigue.

Since the LHTDS was a cross-sectional study, causality cannot be determined. Results can best be used to examine associations between health and working conditions, and self-reported fatigue.

Respondents to this cross-sectional survey were drivers on the road who visited truck stops during the fall of 2010.

Self-reported data collected in this study were subject to recall and interviewer bias. Bias was limited using standard interview protocols and survey-specific training, including use of probes. The format of the Sleep and Activity diary was similar to that of the FMCSA Hours of Service log (24) for easier completion by drivers. It is possible, however, that some drivers might have reported total time in a sleeper berth rather than actual time sleeping or napping.

### **CONCLUSION**

This study documents the association between long-haul truck drivers' self-reported fatigue, work and driving factors, and health conditions. Higher ratings of self-reported fatigue increase with number of hours driving or on duty, poor quality of sleep, driving alone, and BMI. Risks for higher ratings of self-reported fatigue were greater for driver health conditions such as heart disease, back pain, and emphysema. Use of CPAP had a protective effect, as did years worked as a driver in a job requiring a mandatory rest period away from home during each run. Many of the factors found here to influence high ratings of self-reported fatigue can be addressed by work organization changes such as engineering controls, fatigue management and health programs, or other administrative practices. This study suggests that development of trucking policy and programs to minimize long-haul truck driver fatigue should include consideration of working, sleeping, and health conditions.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This work was supported by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health with partial funding from the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation. The authors would like to thank Tao Phan and Christina Crosby for their help with data entry and verification, Akinori Nakata, Jan Birdsey, and the numerous stakeholders and partners that provided helpful comments and insights in development of the NIOSH LHTDS questionnaire, and the Westat Inc. personnel that provided data collection and statistical support. We particularly wish to thank the participating truck stops and drivers, without whom this research would not have been possible. The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Mention of any company or product does not constitute endorsement by NIOSH. In addition, citations to websites external to NIOSH do not constitute NIOSH endorsement of the sponsoring organizations or their programs or products.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

All authors confirm contribution to the paper as follows: study conception and design, data collection, draft manuscript preparation. Dr. Sieber prepared analysis and interpretation of results. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- 2 1. Johnson JV, Lipscomb J. Long working hours, occupational health and the changing nature of work
- 3 organization. Am J Ind Med. 2006; 43:921-929.
- 4 2. Caruso CC, Hitchcock EM, Dick RB, Russo JM, Schmidt JM. Overtime and Extended Work Shifts:
- Recent Findings on Illnesses, Injuries, and Health Behaviors. Cincinnati, Ohio: DHHS (NIOSH)
- 6 Publication No. 2004-143; 2004.
- 7 3. Caruso CC. Possible broad impacts of long work hours. Industrial Health. 2006; 44:531-36.
- 4. Caruso CC. Shift work and long work hours. In: Bhattacharya AJ, McGlothlin J, (ed.) Occupational
- 9 Ergonomics Principles and Applications. New York: Taylor & Francis Group; 2012:451-476.

10

1

- 5. Caruso CC. Negative impacts of shiftwork and long work hours. Rehabilitation Nursing. 2014;
- 12 39:16-35.

13

- 6. Luckhaupt S. Short sleep duration among workers-United States, 2010. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly
- 15 Rep. 2010; 61(16):281-285. <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/wk/mm6116.pdf">http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/wk/mm6116.pdf</a>. Accessed August 12,
- 16 2017.

17

- 7. Hege A, Lemke MK, Apostolopoulos Y, Whitaker B, Sonmez S. Work-life conflict among U.S.
- 19 long-haul truck drivers: Influences of work organization, perceived job stress, sleep, and
  - organizational support. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2019; 16: 984. DOI:
- 21 10.3390/ijerph16060984.
- 8. Burks SV, Anderson JE, Bombyk M, Haider R, Ganzhorn D, Jiao X, Lewis C, Lexvold A, Liu H,
- Ning J, Toll A, Hickman JS, Mabry E, Berger M, Malhotra A, Czeisler CA, Kales SN.
- Nonadherence with employer-mandated sleep apnea treatment and increased risk of serious truck
- 25 Crashes. Sleep 2016: 39(5): 967-975.
- 9. Folkard S, Lombardi DA. Modeling the impact of the components of long work hours on injuries
- and "accidents". Am J Ind Med. 2006; 49:953–963.
- 28 10. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Commercial Motor Vehicle Driver
- Fatigue, Long-Term Health, and Highway Safety: Research Needs. Washington, DC: The National
- 30 Academies Press; 2016. Doi: 10.17226/21921.
- 31 11. Pack A, Maislin G, Staley BFM, Rogers W C. Impaired performance in commercial drivers Role
- of sleep apnea and short sleep duration. Amer J Resp Critl Care Med. 2006; 174(4):446-454.
- 12. Stern HS, Blower D, Cohen ML, Czeisler CA, Dinges DF, Greenhouse JB, Guo F, Hanowski RJ,
- Hartenbaum N, Krueger GP, Mallis MM, Pain RF, Rizzo M, Sinha E, Small DS, Stuart EA, Wegman
- 35 DH. 2018. Data and methods for studying commercial motor vehicle driver fatigue, highway safety
- and long-term health. Accid Anal Prev. 2019 May: 126: 37-42, DOI: 10.1016/j.aap.2018.02.021.
- 13. Tucker P, Folkard S, Macdonald I. Rest breaks and accident risk. Lancet. 2003; 361:680.
- 38 14. Tregear S, Reston J, Schoelles K, Phillips B. Obstructive sleep apnea and risk of motor vehicle
- crash: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Sleep Med 2009: 5(6), 573–581.

- 1 15. Williamson A, Lombardi DA, Folkard S, Stutts J, Courtney TK, Connor JL. The link between
- 2 fatigue and safety. Accid Anal Prev 2011; 43(2):498-515.
- 3 16. Wylie CD, Shultz T, Metler MM, Mackie RR. Commercial Truck Driver Fatigue and Alertness
- 4 Study. Washington, D.C.: Department of Transportation Publication no. FHWA-MC-97-
- 5 001. Available at: HTTPs . Accessed February 13, 2018.
- 6 17. Harma M. Work hours in relation to work stress, recovery and health. Scand J Work Environ
- 7 Health. 2006; 32(6): 502-514.
- 8 18. Bioulac S, Micouland-Franchi J-A, Arnaud M, Sagaspe P, Moore N, Salvo F, Philip P. Risk of
- 9 motor vehicle accidents related to sleepiness at the wheel: A systematic review and meta-analysis.
- Sleep 2017: 40(10). <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsy075">https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsy075</a>.
- 19. Stutts JC, Wilkins JW, Osberg J, Vaughn BV. Driver risk factors for sleep-related crashes. Accid
- 12 Anal Prev. 2003; 35(3):321-331.
- 20. FMCSA. The large truck crash causation study-Analysis brief. Office of Research and Analysis
- Publication No. FMCSA-RRA-07-017 (July 2007). <a href="https://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/safety/research-and-decomposition">https://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/safety/research-and-decomposition</a>
- analysis/large-truck-crash-causation-study-analysis-brief. Accessed November 28, 2016.
- 16 21. Marcus JH, Rosekind MR. Fatigue in transportation: NTSB investigations and safety
- 17 recommendations. Inj Prev 2017; 23:232-238.
- 18 22. Sieber WK, Robinson C, Birdsey J, et al. Obesity and other risk factors: The National Survey of
- Long-haul Truck Driver Health and Injury. Am J Ind Med. 2014; 57:615-626.
- 23. Caruso CC, Rosa R, Lee S. One page sleep activity diary for persons on irregular schedules.
- 21 Hoffddorp, The Netherlands: 17th International Symposium on Shiftwork and Working Time; 2005.
- 24. FMCSA. Hours of Service Logbook Examples https://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/regulations/hours-
- service/logbook-examples. Accessed May 5, 2017.
- 24 25. Mickey RM and Greenland S. The impact of confounder selection criteria on effect estimation.
- 25 Am J Epid 129(1): 125-137. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a115101.
- 26. Mayo Clinic. Fatigue. http://www.mayoclinic.org/symptoms/fatigue/basics/causes/sym-
- 27 20050894. Accessed November 22, 2016.
- 27. Craig A, Cooper RE. Symptoms of acute and chronic fatigue. In: Smith AP, Jones DM (ed.),
- 29 Handbook of Human Performance Vol. 3: Trait and State. London: Academic Press; 1992:289-339.
- 30 28. DiMilia L, Smolensky MH, Costa G, Howarth HD, Ohayon MM, Philip P. Demographic factors,
- fatigue, and driving accidents: An examination of the published literature. Accid Anal Prev. 2011;
- 32 43:516-532.
- 29. Dinos S, Khoshaba B, Ashby D, et al. A systematic review of chronic fatigue, its syndromes and
- ethnicity: Prevalence, severity co-morbidity and coping. Int J Epid. 2009; 8:1554-1570.
- 35. Dittmer AJ, Wessely SC, Brown RG. The assessment of fatigue: A practical guide for clinicians
- and researchers. J Psychosomatic Research. 2004; 56:157-170.

- 1 31. Hewlett S, Nicklin J, Treharne GJ. Fatigue in musculoskeletal conditions. Topical Reviews
- Number 1. http://www.arthritisresearchuk.org/health-professionals-and-students/reports/topical-
- 3 reviews/topical-reviews-autumn-2008.aspx. Accessed November 22, 2016.
- 4 32. Lal SKL, Craig A. A critical review of the psychophysiology of driver fatigue. Biological
- 5 Psychology. 2011; 55:173-194.
- 6 33. Ricci JA, Chee E, Lorandeau AL, Berger J. Fatigue in the U.S. workforce: Prevalence and
- 7 implications for lost productive work time. JOEM. 2007; 48(1):1-10.
- 8 34. Torres-Harding S, Jason LA. What is fatigue? History and Epidemiology. In: DeLuca J (ed.),
- 9 Fatigue as a Window to the Brain. Boston: MIT Press; 2005.
- 35. HHS. Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Washington, D.C.: U.S.
- Department of Health and Human Services, 2018. https://health.gov/paguidelines/second-
- edition/pdf/Physical\_Activity\_Guidelines\_2nd\_edition.pdf.
- 36. Muller CJ, MacLehose RF. Estimating predicted probabilities from logistic regression: different
- methods correspond to different target populations. Int J Epid 2014; 962-970. DOI:
- 15 <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyu029</u>.
- 37. Cummings P. The relative merits of risk ratios and odds ratios. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2009;
- 17 163(5):438-445. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpediatrics.2009.31.
- 38. SAS Institute, Inc. Statistical Analysis System V. 9.3. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.; 2011.
- 19 39. Bieler GS, Brown GG, Williams RL, Brogan DJ. Estimating model-adjusted risks, risk
- differences, and risk ratios from complex survey data. Am J Epidemiol 2010; 17:618-623.
- 21 DOI:<u>10.1093/aje/kwp440</u>
- 40. Research Triangle Institute. SUDAAN Language Manual, Release 10.0. Research Triangle Park,
- NC: Research Triangle Institute; 2008.
- 41. National Sleep Foundation. Sleep Drive and Your Body Clock. https://sleepfoundation.org/sleep-
- 25 <u>topics/sleep-drive-and-your-body-clock</u>. Accessed November 22, 2016.
- 42 Sparrow AR, LaJambe CM, Van Dongen H.P.A. Drowsiness measures for commercial motor
- vehicle operations. Accid Anal Prev. 2019; 126:146-159. DOI: 10.1016/j.aap.2018.04.020.
- 43. Sommer D, Golz M. Evaluation of PERCLOS based current fatigue monitoring technologies.
- 29 Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2010: 2010:4456-9. DOI: 10.1109/IEMBS.2010.5625960.
- 30 44. Dinges DF, Powell JW. Microcomputer analyses of performance on a portable, simple visual RT
- task during sustained operations. Behavior research methods, instruments, & computers 1985
- 32 17(6):652-655.
- 45. Zhang C, Varvarigou V, Parks PD, Gaulam S, Vela Bueno A, Malhotra A, Kales SN. Psychomotor
- 34 vigilance testing of professional drivers in the occupational health clinic: a potential objective screen
- for daytime sleepiness. J Occup Environ Med 2012 Mar; 54(3):296-302. DOI:
- 36 10.1097/JOM.0b013e318223d3d6.

- 46. Assuncao AN, Aquino ALL, Camara de M. Santos RC, Guimaraes RLM, Oliveira RAR. Vehicle
- driver monitoring through the statistical process control. Sensors (Basel) 2019 Jul: 19(14) 3059. DOI:
- 3 10.3390/s19143059.
- 4 47. Duffy JF, Zitting K-M, Czeisler CA. The case for addressing operator fatigue Hum Factors Ergon.
- 5 2015 Jun; 10(1) 29-78. DOI: 10.1177/1557234X15573949.
- 6 48. Matthews G. Levels of transaction: A cognitive science framework for operator stress. In: Hancock
- PA, Desmond PA (ed.), Stress, Workload and Fatigue. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum; 2001:5-53.
- 8 49. Sabbagh-Ehrlich S, Friedman L, Richter E. Working conditions and fatigue in professional truck
- 9 drivers at Israeli ports. Inj Prev 2005; 11(2):110-114. DOI 10.113/ip.2004.007682.
- 10 50. FMCSA. Truck Driver Fatigue Management Survey
- https://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/sites/fmcsa.dot.gov/files/docs/Dinges\_D\_F\_Maislin\_G\_\_\_Truck\_Driver
- 12 \_\_Fatigue\_Management\_Survey\_\_2006.pdf. Accessed November 16, 2016.
- 13 51. Satterfield BC, Van Dongen HPA Occupational fatigue, underlying sleep and circadian
- mechanisms, and approaches to fatigue risk management. Fatigue, Biomedicine, Health & Behavior
- 15 2013; 1(3):118-136. DOI:10.1080/216418846.2013.798923.
- 52. North American Fatigue Management Program (NAFMP). 2014. Accessed at:
- 17 https://www.nafmp.com/.
- 18 53. National Safety Council. Fatigue at Work Employer Toolkit. Available at:
- 19 https://safety.nsc.org/fatigue-risk-management-toolkit. Accessed December 18, 2019.
- 20 54. FMCSA. Hours of Service https://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/regulations/hours-of-service. Accessed
- 21 February 13, 2018.
- 55. Chen C, Xie Y. The impact of multiple rest-break periods on commercial truck driver's crash risk.
- J Safety Research. 2014; 48:87-93. DOI: 10.1016/j.jsr.2013.12.003.
- 56. Bunn TL, Slavova S, Rock PJ. Association between commercial vehicle driver at-fault crashes
- involving sleepiness/fatigue and proximity to rest areas and truck stops. Accid Anal Prev 2017:
- 26 https://doi.org/v10.1016/j.aap.2017.11.022.
- 57. Chen G-X, Fang Y, Guo F, Hanowski RJ. The influence of daily sleep patterns of commercial
- truck drivers on driving performance. Accid Anal Prev. 2016; 91:55-63.
- 58. Arnold PK, Hartley LR, Corry A, Hochstadt D, Penna F, Feyer AM. Hours of work, and
- perceptions of fatigue among truck drivers. Accid Anal Prev. 1997; 29(4): 471-477.
- 31 59. Institute of Medicine. Sleep Disorders and Sleep Deprivation: An Unmet Public Health Problem.
- Washington DC: The National Academies Press; 2006.
- 60. Pack AI, Pack AM, Rodgman E, Cucchiara A, Dinges DF, Schwab CS. Characteristics of crashes
- attributed to the driver having fallen asleep. Accid Anal Prev. 1995; 27(6):769-775.
- 35 61. Connor J, Norton R, Ameratunga S, et al. Driver sleepiness and risk of serious injury to car
- occupants: Population-based case control study. Brit Med J. 2002; 324(7346):1125.

- 1 62. Ursin R, Baste V, Moen BE. Sleep duration and sleep-related problems in different occupations in
- the Hordaland Health Study. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2009; 35:193–202.
- 3 63. Hanowski RJ, Hickman J, Fumero MC, Olson RL, Dingus TA. The sleep of commercial vehicle
- drivers under the 2003 hours-of-service regulations. Accid Anal Prev. 2007; 39:1140–1145.
- 5 64. Mitler MM, Miller JC, Lipsitz JJ, Walsh JK, Wylie BA. The sleep of long-haul drivers. N Engl J
- 6 Med. 1997; 337(11):755-761.
- 7 65. Hirshkowitz M, Whiton K, Albert SM, et al. National Sleep Foundation's sleep time duration
- 8 recommendations: methodology and results summary. Sleep Health. 2015; 1(1):40-43.
- 9 66. Khubchandani J and Price JH. Short sleep duration in working American adults, 2010-2018. J
- 10 Community Health 2019; 1-9. DOI: 10.1007/s10900-019-00731-9.
- 11 67. Itani O, Jike M, Watanabe N, Kaneita Y. Short sleep duration and health outcomes: A systematic
- review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression. Sleep Med. 2017; 32:246-256.
- 68. Watson NF, Badr MS. Belenky Gliwise DL. Buxton OM, Buysse D, Dinges DF, Gangwisch J,
- Grandner M, Kushida C, Malholtra RK, Martin JL, Patel SR, Quan ST, Tasali E. Recommended
- amount of sleep for a healthy adult: a joint consensus statement of the American Academy of Sleep
- Medicine and Sleep Research Society. Sleep 2015; 38(6):833-844.
- 17 69. Medic G, Wille M, Hemels MEH. Short-and long-term health consequences of sleep disruption.
- Nat Sci Sleep 2017; 9:151-161. DOI: 10.2147/NSS.S134864.
- 19 70. Finan PH, Quartana PJ, Smith MT. The effects of sleep continuity disruption on positive mood
- and sleep architecture in healthy adults. SLEEP 2015;38(11):1735-1742. DOI: 10.5665/sleep.5154
- 21 71. FMCSA Medical Requirements for Drivers https://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/regulations/medical.
- Accessed November 22, 2019.
- 23 72. Christensen A, Petersen F, Soret S, Spencer-Hwang R. The association between low back pain
- 24 and fatigue among commercial drivers. Occup Med Health AFF. 2013; 1:1
- 25 https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-6879.1000101.
- 26 73. Guan J, Hsiao H, Bradtmiller B, et al. U.S. truck driver anthropometric study and multivariate
- anthropometric models for cab designs. Human Factors. 2012; 54(5):859-870.
- 28 74. Waters TR, Putz-Anderson V. Revised NIOSH lifting equation. In: Bhattacharya A, McGlothlin
- JD (ed.). Occupational Ergonomics. Theory and Applications. New York: Marcel Dekker; 1996:627-
- 30 653.
- 31 75. Resnick HE, Carter EA, Aloia M, Phillips B. Cross-sectional relationship of reported fatigue to
- obesity, diet, and physical activity: Results from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination
- Survey. J Clinical Sleep Med. 2006, 2(2):163-169. http://jcsm.aasm.org/Articles/020209.pdf.
- 34 Accessed November 22, 2019.
- 35 76. Anderson JE, M Govada, TK Steffen, et al. Obesity is associated with the future risk of heavy
- truck crashes among newly recruited commercial drivers. Accid Anal Prev. 2012; 49:378-384.
- 37 dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2012.02.018.

- 77. Johnston S, Brenua EW, Staines D, and Marshall-Gradisink. The prevalence of chronic fatigue syndrome/myalgic encephalomyelitis: a meta-analysis. Clin Epidemiol. 2013: 5:105-110.
- 3 78. Hann DM, Jacobsen, PB, Azzarelo LM, et al. Measurement of fatigue in cancer patients:
- Development and validation of the Fatigue Symptom Inventory. Qual Life Res. 1998; 7(4): 301-310.
- 5 79. Hann DM, Denniston MM, Baker F. Measurement of fatigue in cancer patients: Further validation
- of the Fatigue Symptom Inventory. Qual Life Res. 2000; 9:847-854.
- 7 80. Johns MW. A new method for measuring daytime sleepiness: the Epwortth sleepiness scale. Sleep
- 8 1991 Dec; 14(6):540-545. <a href="http://epworthsleepinessscale.com/about-the-ess/">http://epworthsleepinessscale.com/about-the-ess/</a>
- 9 81. Johns MW. Sensitivity and specificity of the multiple slep latency test (MSLT), the maintenance
- of wakefulness test and the Epworth sleepiness scale: Failure of the MSLT as a gold standard. J Sleep
- 11 Res. 2000; 9:5-11.

13

14