





Bird recognition in the city of Peacetopia (case study)

LATEST SUBMISSION GRADE 100%

1 Problem Statement

1/1 point

This example is adapted from a real production application, but with details disguised to protect confidentiality.



You are a famous researcher in the City of Peacetopia. The people of Peacetopia have a common characteristic: they are afraid of birds. To save them, you have to build an algorithm that will detect any bird flying over Peacetopia and alert the population.

The City Council gives you a dataset of 10,000,000 images of the sky above Peacetopia, taken from the city's security cameras. They are labelled:

- y = 0: There is no bird on the image
- y = 1: There is a bird on the image

Your goal is to build an algorithm able to classify new images taken by security cameras from Peacetopia.

There are a lot of decisions to make:

- What is the evaluation metric?
- How do you structure your data into train/dev/test sets?

Metric of success

The City Council tells you that they want an algorithm that

- 1. Has high accuracy
- 2. Runs quickly and takes only a short time to classify a new image.
- 3. Can fit in a small amount of memory, so that it can run in a small processor that the city will attach to many different security cameras.

 $\underline{Note}{:} \ Having three evaluation metrics makes it harder for you to quickly choose between two different algorithms, and will slow down the speed with which your team can iterate. True/False?$



O False



2. After further discussions, the city narrows down its criteria to:

1 / 1 point

- "We need an algorithm that can let us know a bird is flying over Peacetopia as accurately as possible."
- "We want the trained model to take no more than 10sec to classify a new image."
- "We want the model to fit in 10MB of memory."

If you had the three following models, which one would you choose?

0	Test Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size
	97%	1 sec	3MB
0	Test Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size
	99%	13 sec	9MB
0	Test Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size
	97%	3 sec	2MB
•	Test Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size
	98%	9 sec	9MB



Correct

Correct! As soon as the runtime is less than 10 seconds you're good. So, you may simply maximize the test accuracy after you made sure the runtime is <10 sec.

		size are all satisficing metrics becau	use you want to do well on all three. se you have to do sufficiently well on all			
`	/ Correct					
	<u>Structuring your data</u>					
	Before implementing your algorithm, you need to split your data into train/dev/test sets. Which of these do you think is the best choice?					
0	Train	Dev	Test			
	6,000,000	1,000,000	3,000,000			
•	Train	Dev	Test			
	9,500,000	250,000	250,000			
0	Train	Dev	Test			
	6,000,000	3,000,000	1,000,000			
0	Train	Dev	Test			
	3,333,334	3,333,333	3,333,333			
`	Yes.					
your Notic from Is the	different from the distribution of images the City Council had originally given you, but you think it could help your algorithm. Notice that adding this additional data to the training set will make the distribution of the training set different from the distributions of the dev and test sets. Is the following statement true or false? "You should not add the citizens' data to the training set, because if the training distribution is different from the dev and test sets, then this will not allow the model to perform well on the test set."					
the o	dev and test sets, then this will not all	ow the model to perform well on th	e test set."			
_	True					
•	False					
`	not be the same as the data that	will occur in production. Also, addin	that is available, and its distribution ma g training data that differs from the dev matters is that the dev and test set have	set		
One	One member of the City Council knows a little about machine learning, and thinks you should add the 1,000,000 (1/1 point littens' data images to the test set. You object because:					
citize	ens' data images to the test set. You o		ninks you should add the 1,000,000	1/1 point		
	ens' data images to the test set. You on A bigger test set will slow down the si models on the test set.	bject because:		1/1 point		
_	A bigger test set will slow down the simodels on the test set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images d New York City/Detroit housing prices	peed of iterating because of the cor o not have a consistent xy mappi example from lecture).	nputational expense of evaluating	1/1 point		
_	A bigger test set will slow down the sy models on the test set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images d	peed of iterating because of the cor o not have a consistent xy mappi example from lecture).	nputational expense of evaluating	1 / 1 point		
_	A bigger test set will slow down the simodels on the test set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images d New York City/Detroit housing prices	peed of iterating because of the cor o not have a consistent xy mappi example from lecture).	nputational expense of evaluating	1/1 point		
	A bigger test set will slow down the si models on the test set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images d New York City/Detroit housing prices The test set no longer reflects the dis	peed of iterating because of the cor o not have a consistent x>y mappl example from lecture). tribution of data (security cameras)	nputational expense of evaluating ng as the rest of the data (similar to the you most care about.	1/1 point		
	A bigger test set will slow down the simodels on the test set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images d New York City/Detroit housing prices The test set no longer reflects the dis Correct This would cause the dev and test set	peed of iterating because of the cor o not have a consistent x>y mappl example from lecture). tribution of data (security cameras)	nputational expense of evaluating ng as the rest of the data (similar to the you most care about.	1/1 point		
	A bigger test set will slow down the simodels on the test set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images d New York City/Detroit housing prices The test set no longer reflects the dis Correct This would cause the dev and test set aiming where you want to hit.	peed of iterating because of the cor o not have a consistent x>y mappi example from lecture). tribution of data (security cameras)	nputational expense of evaluating ng as the rest of the data (similar to the you most care about.	1/1 point		
You	A bigger test set will slow down the simodels on the test set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images d New York City/Detroit housing prices The test set no longer reflects the dis Correct This would cause the dev and test set aiming where you want to hit. Correct	peed of iterating because of the cor o not have a consistent x>y mappi example from lecture). tribution of data (security cameras)	nputational expense of evaluating ng as the rest of the data (similar to the you most care about.			
You	A bigger test set will slow down the simodels on the test set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images diversity for the solution of the solution	peed of iterating because of the cor o not have a consistent x>y mappi example from lecture). tribution of data (security cameras)	nputational expense of evaluating ng as the rest of the data (similar to the you most care about. This is a bad idea because you're not			
You This	A bigger test set will slow down the simodels on the test set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images divide the street set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images divide set set on longer reflects the district set of set o	peed of iterating because of the cor o not have a consistent x>y mappl example from lecture). tribution of data (security cameras) t distributions to become different.	nputational expense of evaluating ng as the rest of the data (similar to the you most care about. This is a bad idea because you're not 4.0% 4.5%			
You This the	A bigger test set will slow down the simodels on the test set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images divide the set set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images divide set set no longer reflects the district of the set set no longer reflects the district of the set set no longer reflects the district of the set set are set of the set set aiming where you want to hit. Correct train a system, and its errors are as for raining set error suggests that one good avenue for in 1,0% training serror. Do you agree?	peed of iterating because of the cor o not have a consistent x>y mappi example from lecture). tribution of data (security cameras) t distributions to become different.	nputational expense of evaluating ng as the rest of the data (similar to the you most care about. This is a bad idea because you're not 4.0% 4.5%			
You This the	A bigger test set will slow down the simodels on the test set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images diversity of the simodels of the set set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images diversity of the set set aiming where you want to hit. Correct Train a system, and its errors are as for raining set error suggests that one good avenue for in 1,0% training error. Do you agree? Yes, because having 4.0% training error.	peed of iterating because of the cor o not have a consistent x>y mappl example from lecture). tribution of data (security cameras) t distributions to become different. ollows (error = 100%-Accuracy):	nputational expense of evaluating ng as the rest of the data (similar to the you most care about. This is a bad idea because you're not 4.0% 4.5%			
You This the	A bigger test set will slow down the simodels on the test set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images diversely of the set set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images diversely of the set set no longer reflects the district of the set set no longer reflects the district of the set set aiming where you want to hit. Correct This would cause the deviand test set aiming where you want to hit. Correct train a system, and its errors are as for raining set error suggests that one good avenue for in 1,0% training error. Do you agree? Yes, because having 4,0% training errors, because this shows your blas is 1	peed of iterating because of the cor o not have a consistent x->y mappl example from lecture). tribution of data (security cameras) t distributions to become different. ollows (error = 100%-Accuracy): mproving performance is to train a base or shows you have high bias. higher than your variance.	nputational expense of evaluating ng as the rest of the data (similar to the you most care about. This is a bad idea because you're not 4.0% 4.5%			
You This the	A bigger test set will slow down the simodels on the test set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images diversity of the 1,000,000 citizens' data images diversity of the 1,000,000 citizens' data images diversity of the 1,000 citizens' of the 1,000 citizens	peed of iterating because of the cor o not have a consistent x>y mappl example from lecture). tribution of data (security cameras) t distributions to become different. billows (error = 100%-Accuracy): nproving performance is to train a terror shows you have high bias. higher than your variance. e is higher than your blas.	nputational expense of evaluating ng as the rest of the data (similar to the you most care about. This is a bad idea because you're not 4.0% 4.5%			
You This the	A bigger test set will slow down the simodels on the test set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images diversely of the set set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images diversely of the set set no longer reflects the district of the set set no longer reflects the district of the set set aiming where you want to hit. Correct This would cause the deviand test set aiming where you want to hit. Correct train a system, and its errors are as for raining set error suggests that one good avenue for in 1,0% training error. Do you agree? Yes, because having 4,0% training errors, because this shows your blas is 1	peed of iterating because of the cor o not have a consistent x>y mappl example from lecture). tribution of data (security cameras) t distributions to become different. billows (error = 100%-Accuracy): nproving performance is to train a terror shows you have high bias. higher than your variance. e is higher than your blas.	nputational expense of evaluating ng as the rest of the data (similar to the you most care about. This is a bad idea because you're not 4.0% 4.5%			

0.3% error

Bird watching expert #1

	Bird watching expert #2	0.5% error			
	Normal person #1 (not a bird watching expert)	1.0% error			
	Normal person #2 (not a bird watching expert)	1.2% error			
de	If your goal is to have "human-level performance" be a proxy (or estimate) for Bayes error, how would you define "human-level performance"?				
_	0.0% (because it is impossible to do better than this)				
•	0.3% (accuracy of expert #1)				
C	0.4% (average of 0.3 and 0.5)				
C	0.75% (average of all four numbers above)				
	✓ Correct				
. Wi	Which of the following statements do you agree with?				
(A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performar	nce but it can never be better t	han		
	Bayes error.	formance but it can be better t	han		
) A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level perf Bayes error.	ormance but it can be better t	nan		
С) A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level perf error.	formance nor better than Baye	rs.		
С) A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performan	nce and better than Bayes erro	r.		
	✓ Correct				
so	ou find that a team of ornithologists debating and discussing an image gets an ev you define that as "human-level performance." After working further on your alg llowing:	en better 0.1% performance, gorithm, you end up with the	1/1 point		
	Human-level performance	0.1%			
	Training set error	2.0%			
	Dev set error	2.1%			
~	✓ Correct ☐ Train a bigger model to try to do better on the training set.				
	✓ Correct				
	Get a bigger training set to reduce variance.				
	Get a bigger training set to reduce variance. Dualso evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following:		1/1 point		
		0.1%	1/1 point		
	ou also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following: Human-level performance Training set error	2.0%	1/1 point		
	ou also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following: Human-level performance Training set error Dev set error	2.0%	1/1 point		
	ou also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following: Human-level performance Training set error	2.0%	1/1 point		
1. Yo	ou also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following: Human-level performance Training set error Dev set error	2.0%	1/1 point		
1. Yo	ou also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following: Human-level performance Training set error Dev set error Test set error	2.0%	1/1 point		
vi	ou also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following: Human-level performance Training set error Dev set error Test set error hat does this mean? (Check the two best options.)	2.0%	1/1 point		
wi	bu also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following: Human-level performance Training set error Dev set error Test set error hat does this mean? (Check the two best options.) You should get a bigger test set.	2.0%	1/1 point		
wi	bu also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following: Human-level performance Training set error Dev set error Test set error hat does this mean? (Check the two best options.)] You should get a bigger test set.	2.0%	1/1 point		
wi	bu also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following: Human-level performance Training set error Dev set error Test set error Test set error Aut does this mean? (Check the two best options.) You should get a bigger test set. You have underfit to the dev set.	2.0%	1/1 point		
wi	Human-level performance Training set error Dev set error Test set error Tous should get a bigger test set. You have underfit to the dev set. You have overfit to the dev set.	2.0%	1/1 point		
wi	Human-level performance Training set error Dev set error Test set error Test set error Aut does this mean? (Check the two best options.) You should get a bigger test set. You have underfit to the dev set. You have overfit to the dev set. You should try to get a bigger dev set.	2.0%	1/1 point		
1. Yo	Human-level performance Training set error Dev set error Test set error Test set error Aut does this mean? (Check the two best options.) You should get a bigger test set. You have underfit to the dev set. You have overfit to the dev set. You should try to get a bigger dev set.	2.0%			
1. Yo	bu also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following: Human-level performance Training set error Dev set error Test set error hat does this mean? (Check the two best options.) You should get a bigger test set. You have underfit to the dev set. You have overfit to the dev set. ✓ correct You should try to get a bigger dev set.	2.0%	1/1 point		
1. Yo	bu also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following: Human-level performance Training set error Dev set error Test set error That does this mean? (Check the two best options.) You should get a bigger test set. You have underfit to the dev set. You have overfit to the dev set. You should try to get a bigger dev set. Correct ter working on this project for a year, you finally achieve:	2.0% 2.1% 7.0%			
1. Yo	bu also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following: Human-level performance Training set error Dev set error Test set error Tast set error Tour should get a bigger test set. You should get a bigger test set. You have underfit to the dev set. You have overfit to the dev set. You should try to get a bigger dev set. Correct Terrorect Human-level performance	2.0%			
11. You	bu also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following: Human-level performance Training set error Dev set error Test set error Tast set error Tour set set error Tour set set error And does this mean? (Check the two best options.) You should get a bigger test set. You have underfit to the dev set. You have overfit to the dev set. You should try to get a bigger dev set. Correct Tour set error Human-level performance Training set error	2.0% 2.1% 7.0%			
will will will will will will will will	bu also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following: Human-level performance Training set error Dev set error Test set error hat does this mean? (Check the two best options.) You should get a bigger test set. You have underfit to the dev set. You have overfit to the dev set. You have overfit to the dev set. Torrect ter working on this project for a year, you finally achieve: Human-level performance Training set error Dev set error	2.0% 2.1% 7.0% 0.10% 0.05%			

Mith only 0.00% further progress to make you should quickly be able to close the remaining gap to 00%

