## **Unit 3 Capstone - Grading Rubric**

6 poir	<u>ts total</u>	4 = Excellent	3 = Satisfactory	2 = Marginal	1 = Unacceptable
Лах.					
pts	Content				
		Specific policy problem explicitly	Specific policy problem linked to	Specific policy problem linked to	
		linked to climate change/Covid-19,	climate change/Covid-19, and to on	EITHER climate change/Covid-19 OR	Difficult to find link between topic ar
4	Topic selection	and to on SDG	SDG, but connection not clear	to one SDG	Covid-19/Climate change and to SD
			Adequate summary of policy issue	Partial or vague summary of policy	
2	Executive summary	Clear summary, relevant info included	and potential solutions	issue	No executive summary
		Specific, clear problem stated,		Problem stated but inadequately	Problem is unclear or never stated,
	Statement of problem/	motivated and supported with outside	Problem stated, motivated with some	motivated, marginally related to	minimal use of sources, does not
6	background	sources	sources	prompt	address prompt
			Brief appropriate to audience, but		
		Audience's interest in problem clearly	interest not clearly stated or not well	Brief poorly linked to audience's	Brief broadly inappropriate to
2	Audience	stated, brief tailored to audience	thought out	interests	audience
		Concise description of related pre-	Limited discussion of related pre-		
		existing policies or evidence, sourced	existing policies, or extraneous policies	Minimal discussion of related pre-	No discussion of related pre-existing
6	Pre-existing policies/evidence	appropriately	included	existing policies	policies
		At least 3 academic articles used to	2 academic articles used to support	1 academic articles used to support	No academic articles used to suppor
		support motivation and or discussion	motivation and/or discussion of	motivation and/pr discussion of	motivation and/or discussion of
4	Academic evidence	of evidence/policies	evidence/policies	evidence/policies	evidence/policies
				Policy options listed but not explained,	
		2-3 relevant policy options,	Policy options unclear or not fully	or unrelated options, Policy	No discussion of policy options or
		advantages/disadvantages, with	thought out, policy recommendatioin	recommendation stated, but unclear	advantages/disadvantages, no
4	Policy options	clear policy recommendation	not fully justified or supported	and/or with no justification	recommendation
			Demonstrates some independent and		
		Key proposal elements reflect critical	critical thinking and understanding of	Limited evidence of independent and	No evidence of independent and
4	Critical thinking	thinking and understanding of issues	issues	critical thinking	critical thinking
		All references cited appropriately and			
		consistently in text and in references	References cited inconsistently, some	Minimal use of citations when	No outside sources used, possible
2	References	section	references missing	needed, incomplete reference section	plagarism

46 points total		4 = Excellent	3 = Satisfactory	2 = Marginal	1 = Unacceptable
				Readable but difficult to follow,	
			Awkward or wordy writing, clear	organizational issues impede	
4	Writing style and organization	Clear and easy to read, well organized	planning, some areas out of place	understanding	Difficult to understand
		Few grammatical and typographical	Some errors, but do not impede		
4	Grammar	errors	understanding	Moderate grammatical errors/typos	Frequent errors impede understanding
		Attractive policy brief appropriate for			
		policy audience, appropriate use of	Easy to read but largely unformatted	Largely unformatted document that	
4	Appearance	boxes, graphics, and pull-quotes	document	makes finding points difficult	Sloppy appearance, unprofessional