

Unit 1 Quiz

You have 75 minutes to complete this quiz. There are 36 total points. Please round to three decimal places when necessary. Note that some sub-questions build on previous sub-questions; if you get stuck on one part, at least make a guess so you have values to apply to the following parts - you won't be penalized a second time if the numbers you carry over are incorrect.

1. (8 points) We've spent a decent amount of time talking about the zero conditional mean assumption behind our ordinary least squares estimates, that $E[u_i|X_i] = 0$. Consider one of two research questions:

- A: What is the relationship between city-level crime rates and city-level median household income in 2015?
- B: What is the relationship between quarterly CO_2 emissions and quarterly GDP in the United States, from 1980-2014.

- (a) For *each* research question, identify the dependent variable and independent variable.

[2 points]

A:

B:

- (b) Select question A *or* B and provide an example of a variable that would be captured in the error term but *would not* violate the zero conditional mean assumption. Explain your reasoning in one sentence.

[3 points]

- (c) Select question A *or* B (same or different from your choice in part b) and provide an example of a variable that would be captured in the error term but *would* violate the zero conditional mean assumption. Explain your reasoning in one sentence.

[3 points]

2. (14 points) Consider the relationship between annual per-capita cheese consumption (*cheese*, dependent variable) and unemployment rates (*unemp*, independent variable). You collect the following data:

Year	2005	2006	2007	2008
Per-capita cheese consumption (pounds):	31.7	32.6	33.1	32.7
Unemployment rate (percent):	4.9	4.4	5.0	7.3

- (a) Write a population model for the relationship between per-capita cheese consumption and unemployment rates. [2 points]

- (b) Estimate $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$. [4 points]

- (c) Interpret $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$, making sure to include appropriate units. [2 points]

(d) Calculate the residual for 2008. What does it mean?

[2 points]

(e) Calculate R^2 . How much does unemployment explain per-capita cheese consumption?

[4 points]

3. (14 points) Consider the following summary statistics and regression results from a nationally representative random sample of 1,172 mothers surveyed in the 2016 General Social Survey.

```
.
. sum _hrs1 _doesnthurt child educ age
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
_hrs1	1,172	19.40614	21.28042	0	89
_doesnthurt	1,172	.4974403	.5002069	0	1
child	1,172	2.547782	1.376366	1	8
educ	1,172	13.43686	2.996486	0	20
age	1,172	52.71672	16.84845	19	89

```
.

```

where variables are defined as follows:

- **hrs1** = hours worked last week
- **_doesnthurt** = 1 if agrees that it doesn't hurt children for their mothers to work outside of home, 0 otherwise
- **child** = number of children
- **educ** = years of completed education
- **age** = age in years

```
.
. regress _hrs1 _doesnthurt child educ age if _female == 1 & child > 0
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	1,172
Model	102760.201	4	25690.0502	F(4, 1167)	=	70.12
Residual	427534.475	1,167	366.353449	Prob > F	=	0.0000
				R-squared	=	0.1938
				Adj R-squared	=	0.1910
Total	530294.676	1,171	452.856256	Root MSE	=	19.14

_hrs1	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_doesnthurt	.5572165	1.120283	0.50	0.619	-1.640778 2.75521
child	-.8703755	.426837	-2.04	0.042	-1.707829 -.0329217
educ	1.146882	.1924429	5.96	0.000	.7693097 1.524455
age	-.4831164	.0339084	-14.25	0.000	-.5496446 -.4165883
_cons	31.4043	3.456793	9.08	0.000	24.62208 38.18653

- (a) What share of respondents believe that it doesn't hurt children for their mothers to work?
[2 points]

answer in percents (0%-100%)

- (b) Interpret $\hat{\beta}_{childs}$, the coefficient on the number of children. Exactly what does it mean, in words? Is it statistically significant? Is it large? [2 points]

- (c) Fill out the following table based on the regression results above. [2 points]

R^2		TSS	
ESS		SSR	
d.f.		SER	

- (d) Under what assumptions would it be the case that $\hat{\beta}_{childs}$ is BLUE? Which assumptions are likely to hold, which are not, and for which would you need more information? Give your reasoning for *each* assumption you list. [4 points]

- (e) Consider two friends, Drithi and Ayako. They are both 33-year-old mothers who believe that when mothers work outside of the home, it could hurt their children. However, Drithi is a high school graduate (12 years completed) with 3 children, and Ayako is a college graduate (16 years completed) with 2 children. What is the *difference* in predicted number of work hours between them? [2 points]

- (f) Marius says that since older people likely have had more children, $\hat{\beta}_{childs}$, the impact of number of children on hours of work, is biased. Do you agree? Explain. [2 points]