Sprint Retrospective Meeting Minutes

Attendees: Emre, Juan, David, Carlos, Henry
Start time: 8:09 pm
End time: 8:41 pm

What went wrong?

- Did we do a good job estimating our team's velocity?

Yes. Our estimate of 25 story points aligned well with what we completed. Both selected user stories were finished, though the review process for the tutorial pop-up took slightly longer due to UI refinement and feedback adjustments. In future sprints we will factor in review time more accurately.

- Did we do a good job estimating the points (time required) for each user story?

For the most part, yes. The Password Reset Feature required more debugging than expected during email verification setup, while the Welcome Tutorial Pop-Up stayed on track. We will continue refining acceptance criteria and identifying dependencies earlier.

- Did each team member work as scheduled?

Yes. Everyone contributed consistently, and any scheduling conflicts were communicated early. Work was redistributed smoothly when needed and the sprint stayed on schedule.

What went right?

The team collaborated effectively across both user stories. Communication was strong, and all members stayed engaged. The tutorial pop-up design improved through quick iterations, and the password reset functionality passed testing with solid reliability. Overall the sprint demonstrated strong teamwork and steady progress.

How to address the issues in the next sprint?

- How to improve the process?

We can improve our workflow by identifying technical blockers earlier, especially those involving external services like email verification. Adding brief mid-sprint check-ins will help ensure obstacles are spotted sooner and resolved faster.

- How to improve the product?

Increasing early testing, especially UI previews and backend integration checks, will help us

catch issues before review. Gathering quick user feedback on tutorial clarity and password reset flow will also support smoother future iterations.