

Inductive types, are intuitively viewed as types which are completely "determined" by their constructors, of which map into the inductive type being formed as *points*. They are positive types which contain no higher homotopical data. We would similarly like to state the same for sHoTT, that inductive types are objects without any higher *simplicial data*. We observe that this is trivially the case for the Unit type. Since all of its terms are definitionally \star , the morphism space of Unit is

$$\left\langle \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Unit} \middle| \frac{\partial \Delta^1}{[\star, \star]} \right\rangle \quad (1)$$

which clearly has contractible fibers. Since we've assumed relative functional extensionality, the entire type $(??)$ will be contractible.

As a less degenerate task, we might want to do the same thing for the natural numbers. In service of that goal, we can define a type family

$$\text{code} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\text{cov}}$$

recursively, with defining equations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{code } 0 \ 0 &\equiv \text{Unit} \\ \text{code } 0 \ S(n) &\equiv \text{Void} \\ \text{code } S(n) \ 0 &\equiv \text{Void} \\ \text{code } S(n) \ S(m) &\equiv \text{code } n \ m \end{aligned}$$

We also define a map $r : \prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} \text{code } n \ n$ by induction as

$$\begin{aligned} r \ 0 &\equiv \star \\ r \ S(n) &\equiv r(n) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 0.1. *For all $n, m : \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\text{hom}_{\mathbb{N}}(n, m) \simeq \text{code } n \ m \quad (2)$$

Proof. We define $\text{encode} : \prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} \prod_{(m:\mathbb{N})} \text{hom}_{\mathbb{N}}(n, m) \rightarrow \text{code } n \ m$ as

$$\text{encode } n \equiv \text{yon}_n^{\lambda m. \text{code } n \ m}(r(n)) \quad (3)$$

and $\text{decode} : \prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} \prod_{(m:\mathbb{N})} \text{code } n \ m \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathbb{N}}(n, m)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{decode } 0 \ 0 \ _ &\equiv \text{idhom}_0 \\ \text{decode } 0 \ S(m) \ u &\equiv \text{abort}(u) \\ \text{decode } S(n) \ 0 \ u &\equiv \text{abort}(u) \\ \text{decode } S(n) \ S(m) \ u &\equiv \lambda i. S((\text{decode } n \ m \ u)i) \end{aligned}$$

□

encode and decode, we define a map $\text{pred} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\text{pred } 0 &::= 0 \\ \text{pred } S(n) &::= n\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, there is a map $\phi : \prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} \prod_{(m:\mathbb{N})} \text{hom}_{\mathbb{N}}(S(n), S(m)) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathbb{N}}(n, m)$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi \, 0 \, 0 \, _ &::= \text{idhom}_0 \\ \phi \, S(n) \, S(m) \, f &::= \lambda i. \text{pred}(f(i))\end{aligned}$$

and we can also show that the fibers of code are propositional by defining a type $\psi : \prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} \prod_{(m:\mathbb{N})} \text{code } n \, m \rightarrow (\text{code } n \, m = \text{Unit})$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\psi \, 0 \, 0 \, _ &::= \text{refl}_{\text{Unit}} \\ \psi \, S(n) \, S(m) \, u &::= \psi \, n \, m \, u\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we can show that these functions form a bi invertible equivalence by defining a map

$$\epsilon : \prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} \prod_{(m:\mathbb{N})} \prod_{(u:\text{code } n \, m)} \text{encode } n \, m \, (\text{decode } n \, m \, u) = u$$

as

$$\epsilon \, n \, m \, u ::= \text{ap}_{((\psi \, n \, m \, u)^{-1})_*}(\text{refl}_*)$$

Noting that

$$\text{encode } n \, m \, (\text{decode } n \, m \, u) =_{\text{code } n \, m} u \simeq \star =_{\text{Unit}} \star \quad (4)$$

since $\text{ap}_{(\psi \, n \, m \, u)_*} : \text{encode } n \, m \, (\text{decode } n \, m \, u) =_{\text{code } n \, m} u \rightarrow \star =_{\text{Unit}} \star$ is an equivalence with inverse as $\text{ap}_{((\psi \, n \, m \, u)^{-1})_*}$ as transporting is an equivalence.

On the other hand, if we try to define

$$\eta : \prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} \prod_{(m:\mathbb{N})} \prod_{(f:\text{hom}_{\mathbb{N}}(n, m))} \text{decode } n \, m \, (\text{encode } n \, m \, f) = f,$$

we run into issues as we have no way of showing that, in the base case, that all $f : \text{hom}_{\mathbb{N}}(0, 0)$ have the property that

$$f = \text{refl}_0.$$

In fact, we will ultimately have to inhabit the type

$$\prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} \prod_{(m:\mathbb{N})} \text{hom}_{\mathbb{N}}(n, m) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathbb{N}}(n, m) = \text{Unit}.$$

That is, we want to show that the two-sided representable for the naturals also has propositional fibers. From there, we can easily complete η . To do so, though, is equivalent to a proof that \mathbb{N} is Segal, which ultimately requires us to have a characterization of the morphism types in the naturals.

Lemma 0.2. $\prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} \prod_{(m:\mathbb{N})} \text{hom}_{\mathbb{N}}(n, m) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathbb{N}}(n, m) = \text{Unit}$ if and only if \mathbb{N} is Segal.

Proof. In the forward direction, we assume there is a term

$$\zeta : \prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} \prod_{(m:\mathbb{N})} \text{hom}_{\mathbb{N}}(n, m) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathbb{N}}(n, m) = \text{Unit}.$$

Then, we can complete the definition of η very quickly:

$$\epsilon n m f := \text{ap}_{((\zeta n m f)^{-1})_*}(\text{refl}_*) \quad (5)$$

So, we conclude that for all $n, m : \mathbb{N}$,

$$\text{hom}_{\mathbb{N}}(n, m) \simeq \text{code } n m.$$

The astute reader will notice that code defined above is exactly code defined in theorem 2.13.1. Hence,

$$(n = m) \simeq \text{code}(n, m) \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathbb{N}}(n, m).$$

That is, \mathbb{N} is discrete, so it is Segal. On the other hand, given a proof that \mathbb{N} is Segal, we can characterize the morphism space in a way that looks much more similar to book HoTT. Define a map

$$\text{code}' : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\text{cov}}$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{code}' 0 0 &:= \text{Unit} \\ \text{code}' 0 S(n) &:= \text{Void} \\ \text{code}' S(n) 0 &:= \text{Void} \\ \text{code}' S(n) S(m) &:= \text{code}' n m \end{aligned}$$

□

We start with Unit.

Lemma 0.3. *Unit is discrete*

define Unit
in section 2

Proof. It suffices to show that the map induced by idtoarr between total spaces

$$\sum_{v:\text{Unit}} u = v \rightarrow \sum_{v:\text{Unit}} \text{hom}_{\text{Unit}}(u, v) \quad (6)$$

is an equivalence. The domain is contractible as it is a based path space. In the codomain, both

□

We also expect the type of natural numbers to be discrete too.

Lemma 0.4. *\mathbb{N} is discrete.*

define natural numbers

Proof. To show this

□

The unit type corresponds to the category with one object and one morphism (the identity).