## Maximum Likelihood and GMM

1. You plan to study the relationship between work and schooling. using the following parametric nonlinear model:

$$Pr(work = 1 | educ) = \frac{1}{1 + exp(-\beta_0 - \beta_1 educ)}$$

where *work* is a binary variable that takes value 1 if someone participates in the labor market and 0 otherwise, and *educ* represents the years of education and can take values between 6 and 20.

- (a) Write down the log likelihood function and derive the score.
- (b) Simulate a random *i.i.d.* sample  $\{work_i, educ_i\}$ , i = 1, ..., 1000, where  $educ_i \sim U(6, 20)$  and  $\beta_0 = -2$ ,  $\beta_1 = 0.2$  and

$$work_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u_i < \Pr(work_i = 1 | educ_i) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $u_i \sim U(0,1)$ .

- (c) Estimate  $\beta$  using ML.
- (d) Explain why the estimator computed by the following Stata command

is not consistent.

- (e) Propose a consistent and asymptotically normal estimator of  $\beta$  other than the MLE.
- (f) Explain how you would implement your estimator in (b) in Stata.
- (g) Repeat (a)-(f), but now assuming that

$$Pr(work = 1|educ) = \Phi(\beta_0 + \beta_1 educ)$$

where  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is the standard normal CDF.

(h) Compute the Wald, LR and LM test for  $H_0$ :  $\beta_1 = 0$ .

2. Consider the following special one parameter case of the gamma distribution,

$$f(y) = (y/\lambda^2) \exp(-y/\lambda)$$

where y > 0 and  $\lambda > 0$ .

- (a) Show that  $E[y_i] = 2\lambda$  and  $V(y_i) = 2\lambda^2$ . Suppose that  $\lambda_i = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i})/2 = \exp(x_i'\beta)/2$ , then it follows from (a) that  $E[y_i|x_i] = \exp(x_i'\beta)$  and  $V[y_i|x_i] = \exp(x_i'\beta)^2/2$ .
- (b) Show that the moment conditions in (a) imply that

$$E[x_i((y_i - \exp(x_i'\beta))^2 - \exp(x_i'\beta)^2/2)] = 0$$

- (c) Assume the structure above and  $x_{1i} \sim U(0,1)$ ,  $\beta_0 = 1$ ,  $\beta_1 = 2$ . Draw N = 1000 observations from this data generating process and estimate  $\beta$  using the moment condition in (b).
- (d) Can you find another set of moment conditions other than those in (b)? Use these to estimate  $\beta$ .
- (e) Which set of moment conditions you prefer? Tip: Compare the performance of the estimators in (c) and (d) using a Monte Carlo simulation (see -help simulate-).