# An Introduction to Differential Galois Theory and the solvability of $\int e^{-x^2} dx$

Jason Baggett

University of Alaska Fairbanks

Dec. 14, 2009

1 / 27

Jason Baggett (UAF) Diff. Galois Dec. 14, 2009

• Motivation:  $\int e^{-x^2} dx = ?$ 

- Motivation:  $\int e^{-x^2} dx = ?$
- This function is fundamental to statistics

↓□▶ ↓□▶ ↓□▶ ↓□▶ ↓□ ♥ ♀○

- Motivation:  $\int e^{-x^2} dx = ?$
- This function is fundamental to statistics
- From an analytic viewpoint, we know that the antiderivative exists (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) since  $e^{-x^2}$  is analytic

◆ロト ◆卸 ト ◆差 ト ◆差 ト ・ 差 ・ 釣 Q (\*)

- Motivation:  $\int e^{-x^2} dx = ?$
- This function is fundamental to statistics
- From an analytic viewpoint, we know that the antiderivative exists (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) since  $e^{-x^2}$  is analytic
- But can  $\int e^{-x^2} dx$  be expressed in terms of elementary functions?

◆ロト ◆個ト ◆差ト ◆差ト を めなべ

- Motivation:  $\int e^{-x^2} dx = ?$
- This function is fundamental to statistics
- From an analytic viewpoint, we know that the antiderivative exists (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) since  $e^{-x^2}$  is analytic
- But can  $\int e^{-x^2} dx$  be expressed in terms of elementary functions?
- The answer is no and we will soon see why

# **Elementary Functions**

• Elementary functions are compositions of:

```
exp log \sqrt[n]{} Polynomials The usual field operations +,-,\cdot,\div
```

3 / 27

### **Elementary Functions**

Elementary functions are compositions of:

```
exp log \sqrt[n]{} Polynomials The usual field operations +, -, \cdot, \div
```

ullet Notice that working over  $\mathbb{C}$ , we also get the trigonometric functions and their inverses:

### Examples:

$$\cos(z) = \frac{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}{2}$$
$$\cos^{-1}(z) = -i \log[z + i(1 - z^2)^{1/2}]$$

• **Def:** For a field K, a **derivation**  $D: K \to K$  is a map satisfying:

(1) 
$$D(x+y) = D(x) + D(y)$$
 Additive

(2) 
$$D(xy) = xD(y) + D(x)y$$

Product Rule

• **Def:** For a field K, a **derivation**  $D: K \to K$  is a map satisfying:

(1) 
$$D(x+y) = D(x) + D(y)$$
 Additive

(2) 
$$D(xy) = xD(y) + D(x)y$$
 Product Rule

• A differential field is a field K with a derivation D.

◆ロト ◆個ト ◆差ト ◆差ト を めなべ

- **Def:** For a field K, a **derivation**  $D: K \to K$  is a map satisfying:
  - (1) D(x + y) = D(x) + D(y) Additive
  - (2) D(xy) = xD(y) + D(x)y Product Rule
- A differential field is a field K with a derivation D.
- Examples:
  - $\mathbb{C}(z)$  with the usual derivation  $\frac{d}{dz}$

- **Def:** For a field K, a **derivation**  $D: K \to K$  is a map satisfying:
  - (1) D(x + y) = D(x) + D(y) Additive
  - (2) D(xy) = xD(y) + D(x)y Product Rule
- A differential field is a field K with a derivation D.
- Examples:
  - $\mathbb{C}(z)$  with the usual derivation  $rac{d}{dz}$
- ullet  $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$  with the formal derivative  $rac{d}{dx}$

- **Def:** For a field K, a **derivation**  $D: K \to K$  is a map satisfying:
  - (1) D(x + y) = D(x) + D(y) Additive
  - (2) D(xy) = xD(y) + D(x)y Product Rule
- A **differential field** is a field K with a derivation D.
- Examples:
  - $\mathbb{C}(z)$  with the usual derivation  $\frac{d}{dz}$
- ullet  $\mathbb{F}_{
  ho}(x)$  with the formal derivative  $rac{d}{dx}$
- Any field K with  $D \equiv 0$

### Field of Constants

• For a differential field K with derivation D, let

$$\mathsf{Con}(K) = \{c \in K : D(c) = 0\}$$

### Field of Constants

• For a differential field K with derivation D, let

$$\mathsf{Con}(K) = \{c \in K : D(c) = 0\}$$

 Then Con(K) is a differential field. We call Con(K) the field of constants.

Jason Baggett (UAF)

5 / 27

### Field of Constants

• For a differential field K with derivation D, let

$$\mathsf{Con}(K) = \{c \in K : D(c) = 0\}$$

- Then Con(K) is a differential field. We call Con(K) the field of constants.
- ullet We will only consider differential fields with field of constants  ${\Bbb C}.$

4□ > 4□ > 4 = > 4 = > = 90

# Linear Differential Operators

 Analogous to considering polynomials, we will consider linear differential operators over a differential field K:

$$L(y) = y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + ... + a_1y' + a_0y$$

with the  $a_i \in K$  and y' = D(y).

# Linear Differential Operators

 Analogous to considering polynomials, we will consider linear differential operators over a differential field K:

$$L(y) = y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + ... + a_1y' + a_0y$$

with the  $a_i \in K$  and y' = D(y).

• We will study field extensions of K by adjoining solutions of L(y) = 0.

# Analog of the Splitting Field

#### Existence of Solutions:

Working over  $\mathbb C$  will guarantee the existence of solutions to  $y^{(n)}+a_{n-1}y^{(n-1)}+...+a_1y'+a_0y=0$  provided the  $a_i$  are analytic (only continuous is necessary over  $\mathbb R$ ). Notice that elementary functions are analytic (except possibly at isolated singularities and branch cuts).

### Analog of the Splitting Field

#### • Existence of Solutions:

Working over  $\mathbb C$  will guarantee the existence of solutions to  $y^{(n)}+a_{n-1}y^{(n-1)}+...+a_1y'+a_0y=0$  provided the  $a_i$  are analytic (only continuous is necessary over  $\mathbb R$ ). Notice that elementary functions are analytic (except possibly at isolated singularities and branch cuts).

• It is easy to show that there is always a field M containing K with a solution  $y_0$  to L(y) = 0 with  $y_0 \notin K$ .

# Analog of the Splitting Field

#### • Existence of Solutions:

- Working over  $\mathbb C$  will guarantee the existence of solutions to  $y^{(n)}+a_{n-1}y^{(n-1)}+...+a_1y'+a_0y=0$  provided the  $a_i$  are analytic (only continuous is necessary over  $\mathbb R$ ). Notice that elementary functions are analytic (except possibly at isolated singularities and branch cuts).
- It is easy to show that there is always a field M containing K with a solution  $y_0$  to L(y) = 0 with  $y_0 \notin K$ .

#### Proof.

Let  $R = K[y_0, y_1, ..., y_{n-1}]$  and define  $D_R(k) = k'$  for  $k \in K$ . Define  $D_R(y_i) = y_{i+1}$  for i < n-1 and  $D_R(y_{n-1}) = -a_{n-1}y_{n-1} - ... - a_1y_1 - a_0y_0$ . Notice that  $y_0 \notin K$  is a solution of  $y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + ... + a_1y' + a_0y = 0$ . But R is an integral domain, so it has a field of fractions, M. Thus,  $K \subseteq R \subseteq M$  and M contains a solution  $y_0$  to L(y) = 0 with  $y_0 \notin K$ .

Jason Baggett (UAF) Diff. Galois Dec. 14, 2009 7 / 27

• Notice what this last result implies: There are infinitely many linearly independent solutions to L(y) = 0 over K!

- Notice what this last result implies: There are infinitely many linearly independent solutions to L(y) = 0 over K!
- We would like the analog of the splitting field to have exactly n linearly independent solutions. Why are there more solutions?

- Notice what this last result implies: There are infinitely many linearly independent solutions to L(y) = 0 over K!
- We would like the analog of the splitting field to have exactly n linearly independent solutions. Why are there more solutions?
- The problem is that in the previous proof, M may have more constants than K

- Notice what this last result implies: There are infinitely many linearly independent solutions to L(y) = 0 over K!
- We would like the analog of the splitting field to have exactly *n* linearly independent solutions. Why are there more solutions?
- The problem is that in the previous proof, M may have more constants than K
- ullet Example: Consider the following ODE over  ${\mathbb R}$

$$y' = y$$

We would like to think that the "splitting field" is  $\mathbb{R}(e^x)$ . But notice that  $ie^x$  is a second solution to the ODE that is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### Picard-Vessoit Extensions

- Def: Let L be a linear differential operator of order n over a differential field K. A differential field extension M of K is the Picard-Vessoit extension of K for L if:
  - (1) The constants of M are the constants of K
  - (2) M contains a full set V of n linearly independent solutions to L
  - (3) M is the smallest differential field extension of K containing V

### Picard-Vessoit Extensions

- Def: Let L be a linear differential operator of order n over a differential field K. A differential field extension M of K is the Picard-Vessoit extension of K for L if:
  - (1) The constants of M are the constants of K
  - (2) M contains a full set V of n linearly independent solutions to L
  - (3) M is the smallest differential field extension of K containing V
- Picard-Vessoit extensions are the analog of splitting fields for linear, homogeneous ODEs. Moreover, they have normality and separability built into them.

### Picard-Vessoit Extensions

- Def: Let L be a linear differential operator of order n over a differential field K. A differential field extension M of K is the Picard-Vessoit extension of K for L if:
  - (1) The constants of M are the constants of K
  - (2) M contains a full set V of n linearly independent solutions to L
  - (3) M is the smallest differential field extension of K containing V
- Picard-Vessoit extensions are the analog of splitting fields for linear, homogeneous ODEs. Moreover, they have normality and separability built into them.
- Note: Picard-Vessoit extensions exist and are unique if the field of constants is algebraically closed. The proof of this will be omitted.
   We need not worry about this in the case of elementary functions.

# Differential Galois Group

• For a Picard-Vessoit extension M/K with derivation D, define

$$\mathsf{Gal}_{\partial}(M/K) = \{\sigma \in \mathsf{Aut}(M) : \sigma \text{ fixes } K \text{ pointwise and } D\sigma = \sigma D\}$$

# Differential Galois Group

• For a Picard-Vessoit extension M/K with derivation D, define

$$\mathsf{Gal}_{\partial}(M/K) = \{ \sigma \in \mathsf{Aut}(M) : \sigma \text{ fixes } K \text{ pointwise and } D\sigma = \sigma D \}$$

•  $Gal_{\partial}(M/K)$  is the **differential Galois group** of M/K.

# Differential Galois Group

• For a Picard-Vessoit extension M/K with derivation D, define

$$Gal_{\partial}(M/K) = \{ \sigma \in Aut(M) : \sigma \text{ fixes } K \text{ pointwise and } D\sigma = \sigma D \}$$

- $Gal_{\partial}(M/K)$  is the **differential Galois group** of M/K.
- $Gal_{\partial}(M/K)$  can be thought of as a subgroup of  $GL_n(C)$  where C is the field of constants of K (and M).

# Differential Galois Group (cont.)

• If  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}_{\partial}(M/K)$  is applied to  $L(y) = y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + ... + a_1y' + a_0y$ , then  $\sigma L(y) = \sigma(y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + ... + a_1y' + a_0y)$  $= \sigma(y^{(n)}) + a_{n-1}\sigma(y^{(n-1)}) + ... + a_1\sigma(y') + a_0\sigma(y)$  $= \sigma(y)^{(n)} + a_{n-1}\sigma(y)^{(n-1)} + ... + a_1\sigma(y)' + a_0\sigma(y)$  $= L\sigma(y)$ 

- 4 ロ ト 4 個 ト 4 差 ト 4 差 ト 9 Q (^

# Differential Galois Group (cont.)

• If  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}_{\partial}(M/K)$  is applied to  $L(y) = y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + ... + a_1y' + a_0y$ , then  $\sigma L(y) = \sigma(y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + ... + a_1y' + a_0y)$  $= \sigma(y^{(n)}) + a_{n-1}\sigma(y^{(n-1)}) + ... + a_1\sigma(y') + a_0\sigma(y)$  $= \sigma(y)^{(n)} + a_{n-1}\sigma(y)^{(n-1)} + ... + a_1\sigma(y)' + a_0\sigma(y)$  $= L\sigma(y)$ 

• Thus,  $\sigma$  must map a solution of L to another solution of L.

4 ロ ト 4 個 ト 4 差 ト 4 差 ト 2 9 9 9 0 0

# The Galois Correspondence Theorem

• **Theorem**: Let M be a Picard-Vessoit extension of a differential field K for the linear, homogeneous differential equation L(y)=0. Let  $G=\operatorname{Gal}_{\partial}(M/K)$ . Consider the two sets:  $\mathcal{G}=$  the closed (under the Tariski topology) subgroups of G  $\mathcal{F}=$  the differential subfields of M containing K. Define  $\alpha:\mathcal{G}\to\mathcal{F}$  by  $\alpha(H)=M^H$ . Define  $\beta:\mathcal{F}\to\mathcal{G}$  by  $\beta(E)=\operatorname{Gal}_{\partial}(M/E)$ . Then

# The Galois Correspondence Theorem

- **Theorem**: Let M be a Picard-Vessoit extension of a differential field K for the linear, homogeneous differential equation L(y)=0. Let  $G=\operatorname{Gal}_{\partial}(M/K)$ . Consider the two sets:  $\mathcal{G}=$  the closed (under the Tariski topology) subgroups of G  $\mathcal{F}=$  the differential subfields of M containing K. Define  $\alpha:\mathcal{G}\to\mathcal{F}$  by  $\alpha(H)=M^H$ . Define  $\beta:\mathcal{F}\to\mathcal{G}$  by  $\beta(E)=\operatorname{Gal}_{\partial}(M/E)$ . Then
- ullet (1) The maps lpha and eta are inverses of each other

# The Galois Correspondence Theorem

- **Theorem**: Let M be a Picard-Vessoit extension of a differential field K for the linear, homogeneous differential equation L(y)=0. Let  $G=\operatorname{Gal}_{\partial}(M/K)$ . Consider the two sets:  $\mathcal{G}=$  the closed (under the Tariski topology) subgroups of G  $\mathcal{F}=$  the differential subfields of M containing K. Define  $\alpha:\mathcal{G}\to\mathcal{F}$  by  $\alpha(H)=M^H$ . Define  $\beta:\mathcal{F}\to\mathcal{G}$  by  $\beta(E)=\operatorname{Gal}_{\partial}(M/E)$ . Then
- (1) The maps  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are inverses of each other
- (2)  $H \triangleleft G \Leftrightarrow M^H$  is a Picard-Vessoit field for some linear differential equation over K

• **Theorem**: Suppose f is an elementary function and K is an elementary field with constants  $\mathbb C$  containing f. Then  $\int f$  is elementary if and only if there exists  $c_1, ..., c_n \in \mathbb C$ , nonzero  $g_1, ..., g_n \in K$  and a function  $h \in K$  such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{g_i'}{g_i} + h' \tag{1}$$

in which case  $\int f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \log(g_i) + h$ .

• **Theorem**: Suppose f is an elementary function and K is an elementary field with constants  $\mathbb C$  containing f. Then  $\int f$  is elementary if and only if there exists  $c_1, ..., c_n \in \mathbb C$ , nonzero  $g_1, ..., g_n \in K$  and a function  $h \in K$  such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{g_i'}{g_i} + h' \tag{1}$$

in which case  $\int f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \log(g_i) + h$ .

• Proof:

• **Theorem**: Suppose f is an elementary function and K is an elementary field with constants  $\mathbb C$  containing f. Then  $\int f$  is elementary if and only if there exists  $c_1, ..., c_n \in \mathbb C$ , nonzero  $g_1, ..., g_n \in K$  and a function  $h \in K$  such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{g_i'}{g_i} + h' \tag{1}$$

in which case  $\int f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \log(g_i) + h$ .

- Proof:
- ◆ Trivial

• **Theorem**: Suppose f is an elementary function and K is an elementary field with constants  $\mathbb C$  containing f. Then  $\int f$  is elementary if and only if there exists  $c_1, ..., c_n \in \mathbb C$ , nonzero  $g_1, ..., g_n \in K$  and a function  $h \in K$  such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{g_i'}{g_i} + h' \tag{1}$$

in which case  $\int f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \log(g_i) + h$ .

- Proof:
- ★ Trivial
- $\Rightarrow$  Consider the tower of fields

$$K = K_0 \subseteq K_1 \subseteq ... \subseteq K_m$$

where  $K_i = K_{i-1}(t_i)$  where  $t_i$  is either (1) algebraic, (2) logarithmic, or (3) exponential over  $K_{i-1}$ .

• **Theorem**: Suppose f is an elementary function and K is an elementary field with constants  $\mathbb C$  containing f. Then  $\int f$  is elementary if and only if there exists  $c_1, ..., c_n \in \mathbb C$ , nonzero  $g_1, ..., g_n \in K$  and a function  $h \in K$  such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{g_i'}{g_i} + h' \tag{1}$$

in which case  $\int f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \log(g_i) + h$ .

- Proof:
- ← Trivial
- > Consider the tower of fields

$$K = K_0 \subseteq K_1 \subseteq ... \subseteq K_m$$

where  $K_i = K_{i-1}(t_i)$  where  $t_i$  is either (1) algebraic, (2) logarithmic, or (3) exponential over  $K_{i-1}$ .

• We will prove this by induction on *m*, the length of the tower of fields.

• **Theorem**: Suppose f is an elementary function and K is an elementary field with constants  $\mathbb C$  containing f. Then  $\int f$  is elementary if and only if there exists  $c_1, ..., c_n \in \mathbb C$ , nonzero  $g_1, ..., g_n \in K$  and a function  $h \in K$  such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{g_i'}{g_i} + h' \tag{1}$$

in which case  $\int f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \log(g_i) + h$ .

- Proof:
- ← Trivial
- ⇒ Consider the tower of fields

$$K = K_0 \subseteq K_1 \subseteq ... \subseteq K_m$$

where  $K_i = K_{i-1}(t_i)$  where  $t_i$  is either (1) algebraic, (2) logarithmic, or (3) exponential over  $K_{i-1}$ .

- We will prove this by induction on *m*, the length of the tower of fields.
- If m=0, then take  $c_i=g_i=1$  and  $h=\int f_{c_i}$

 Jason Baggett (UAF)
 Diff. Galois
 Dec. 14, 2009
 13 / 27

• Suppose that the result holds for m-1. We have that  $f \in K_1 = K(t)$ , so by the induction hypothesis

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{g_i'}{g_i} + h'$$

for  $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $g_i \in K_1$ , and  $h \in K_1$ .

• Suppose that the result holds for m-1. We have that  $f \in K_1 = K(t)$ , so by the induction hypothesis

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{g_i'}{g_i} + h'$$

for  $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $g_i \in K_1$ , and  $h \in K_1$ .

• We have that  $g_i = g_i(t)$  and h = h(t) are rational functions in t with coefficients from K.

• Suppose that the result holds for m-1. We have that  $f \in K_1 = K(t)$ , so by the induction hypothesis

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{g_i'}{g_i} + h'$$

for  $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $g_i \in K_1$ , and  $h \in K_1$ .

- We have that  $g_i = g_i(t)$  and h = h(t) are rational functions in t with coefficients from K.
- **Case 1**: Suppose *t* is algebraic over *K*.

• Suppose that the result holds for m-1. We have that  $f \in K_1 = K(t)$ , so by the induction hypothesis

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{g_i'}{g_i} + h'$$

for  $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $g_i \in K_1$ , and  $h \in K_1$ .

- We have that  $g_i = g_i(t)$  and h = h(t) are rational functions in t with coefficients from K.
- Case 1: Suppose t is algebraic over K.
- Then t has a minimal polynomial  $p(x) \in K[x]$  with roots  $t = \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_k$  and splitting field M.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豊▶ ◆豊▶ ・豊 める◆

• Suppose that the result holds for m-1. We have that  $f \in K_1 = K(t)$ , so by the induction hypothesis

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{g_i'}{g_i} + h'$$

for  $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $g_i \in K_1$ , and  $h \in K_1$ .

- We have that  $g_i = g_i(t)$  and h = h(t) are rational functions in t with coefficients from K.
- Case 1: Suppose t is algebraic over K.
- Then t has a minimal polynomial  $p(x) \in K[x]$  with roots  $t = \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_k$  and splitting field M.
- Let  $\sigma_j : t \to \alpha_j$ . Then  $\sigma_j \in \mathsf{Gal}_{\partial}(M/K)$ .

4 D > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > E 900

Then

$$f = \sigma_j(f) = \sigma_j \left( \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{g_i(t)'}{g_i(t)} + h(t)' \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{g_i(\alpha_j)'}{g_i(\alpha_j)} + h(\alpha_j)'$$

Then

$$f = \sigma_j(f) = \sigma_j \left( \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{g_i(t)'}{g_i(t)} + h(t)' \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{g_i(\alpha_j)'}{g_i(\alpha_j)} + h(\alpha_j)'$$

• Therefore,

$$f = \frac{1}{k} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{g_i(\alpha_j)'}{g_i(\alpha_j)} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} h(\alpha_j)' \right]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_i}{k} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{g_i(\alpha_j)'}{g_i(\alpha_j)} \right] + \frac{1}{k} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{k} h(\alpha_j)' \right]$$

Now

$$\frac{\left[\prod_{j=1}^{k} g_{i}(\alpha_{j})\right]'}{\prod_{j=1}^{k} g_{i}(\alpha_{j})} = \frac{g_{i}(\alpha_{1})'g_{i}(\alpha_{2})...g_{i}(\alpha_{k}) + ... + g_{i}(\alpha_{1})g_{i}(\alpha_{2})...g_{i}(\alpha_{k})'}{g_{i}(\alpha_{1})g_{i}(\alpha_{2})...g_{i}(\alpha_{k})} \\
= \frac{g_{i}(\alpha_{1})'}{g_{i}(\alpha_{1})} + \frac{g_{i}(\alpha_{2})'}{g_{i}(\alpha_{2})} + ... + \frac{g_{i}(\alpha_{k})'}{g_{i}(\alpha_{k})} \\
= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{g_{i}(\alpha_{j})'}{g_{i}(\alpha_{j})}$$

Now

$$\frac{\left[\prod_{j=1}^{k} g_{i}(\alpha_{j})\right]'}{\prod_{j=1}^{k} g_{i}(\alpha_{j})} = \frac{g_{i}(\alpha_{1})'g_{i}(\alpha_{2})...g_{i}(\alpha_{k}) + ... + g_{i}(\alpha_{1})g_{i}(\alpha_{2})...g_{i}(\alpha_{k})'}{g_{i}(\alpha_{1})g_{i}(\alpha_{2})...g_{i}(\alpha_{k})} 
= \frac{g_{i}(\alpha_{1})'}{g_{i}(\alpha_{1})} + \frac{g_{i}(\alpha_{2})'}{g_{i}(\alpha_{2})} + ... + \frac{g_{i}(\alpha_{k})'}{g_{i}(\alpha_{k})} 
= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{g_{i}(\alpha_{j})'}{g_{i}(\alpha_{i})}$$

Therefore,

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_i}{k} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{g_i(\alpha_j)'}{g_i(\alpha_j)} \right] + \frac{1}{k} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{k} h(\alpha_j)' \right]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_i}{k} \frac{\left[ \prod_{j=1}^{k} g_i(\alpha_j) \right]'}{\prod_{j=1}^{k} g_i(\alpha_j)} + \left[ \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} h(\alpha_j) \right]'.$$

• Notice that  $\prod_{j=1}^k g_i(\alpha_j) \in M^{<\sigma_j \mid 1 \le j \le k>} = K$ , and similarly  $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k h(\alpha_j) \in K$ .

 Jason Baggett (UAF)
 Diff. Galois
 Dec. 14, 2009
 17 / 27

- Notice that  $\prod_{j=1}^k g_i(\alpha_j) \in M^{<\sigma_j \mid 1 \le j \le k>} = K$ , and similarly  $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k h(\alpha_j) \in K$ .
- Thus, f has the desired form of (1).

4 ロ ト 4 個 ト 4 差 ト 4 差 ト 2 9 9 9 0 0

17 / 27

Jason Baggett (UAF) Diff. Galois Dec. 14, 2009

- Notice that  $\prod_{j=1}^k g_i(\alpha_j) \in M^{<\sigma_j \mid 1 \le j \le k>} = K$ , and similarly  $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k h(\alpha_j) \in K$ .
- Thus, f has the desired form of (1).
- Case 2: Now suppose that t is logarithmic over K, i.e.  $t' = \frac{k'}{k}$  for some  $k \in K$ .

4 ロ ト 4 個 ト 4 差 ト 4 差 ト 2 9 9 9 0 0

- Notice that  $\prod_{j=1}^k g_i(\alpha_j) \in M^{<\sigma_j \mid 1 \le j \le k>} = K$ , and similarly  $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k h(\alpha_j) \in K$ .
- Thus, f has the desired form of (1).
- Case 2: Now suppose that t is logarithmic over K, i.e.  $t' = \frac{k'}{k}$  for some  $k \in K$ .
- We may assume that the  $g_i$  are distinct, irreducible, monic polynomials in K[t] (consider  $\int f$  and break up the log's). Taking derivatives, we see that  $\deg(g_i') < \deg(g_i)$  since

$$(t^{m} + ... + a_{1}t + a_{0})' = m\frac{k'}{k}t^{m-1} + ... + (a_{1}\frac{k'}{k} + a'_{0})$$

Thus,  $g'_i$  is not divisible by  $g_i$ .

(ロ) (部) (注) (注) 注 り(0)

• Furthermore, we may assume h is decomposed into partial fractions, so h is a polynomial plus a sum of fractions of the form  $\frac{a(t)}{b(t)^{\ell}}$  where b(t) is irreducible and  $\deg(a) < \deg(b)$ . Moreover, we may assume that the b are distinct from the  $g_i$  and each other.

- Furthermore, we may assume h is decomposed into partial fractions, so h is a polynomial plus a sum of fractions of the form  $\frac{a(t)}{b(t)^\ell}$  where b(t) is irreducible and  $\deg(a) < \deg(b)$ . Moreover, we may assume that the b are distinct from the  $g_i$  and each other.
- Then h' is a polynomial plus a sum of the form  $\frac{-\ell ab'}{b^{\ell+1}} + \frac{a'}{b^{\ell}}$ . In particular,  $b^{\ell+1}$  does not divide ab'.

- Furthermore, we may assume h is decomposed into partial fractions, so h is a polynomial plus a sum of fractions of the form  $\frac{a(t)}{b(t)^\ell}$  where b(t) is irreducible and  $\deg(a) < \deg(b)$ . Moreover, we may assume that the b are distinct from the  $g_i$  and each other.
- Then h' is a polynomial plus a sum of the form  $\frac{-\ell ab'}{b^{\ell+1}} + \frac{a'}{b^{\ell}}$ . In particular,  $b^{\ell+1}$  does not divide ab'.
- But no  $\frac{g_i'}{g_i}$  terms cancels with  $\frac{-\ell ab'}{b^{\ell+1}}$  since the b and  $g_i$  are distinct and irreducible. Since the sum of these fractions is  $f \in K$ , the fractions of the form  $\frac{a(t)}{b(t)^{\ell}}$  in h must be in K. But  $\deg(a) < \deg(b)$  implies that  $\frac{a(t)}{b(t)^{\ell}} = 0$ . Thus, h is a polynomial in t with coefficients from K.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豊▶ ◆豊▶ ・豊 める◆

- Furthermore, we may assume h is decomposed into partial fractions, so h is a polynomial plus a sum of fractions of the form  $\frac{a(t)}{b(t)^{\ell}}$  where b(t) is irreducible and  $\deg(a) < \deg(b)$ . Moreover, we may assume that the b are distinct from the  $g_i$  and each other.
- Then h' is a polynomial plus a sum of the form  $\frac{-\ell ab'}{b^{\ell+1}} + \frac{a'}{b^{\ell}}$ . In particular,  $b^{\ell+1}$  does not divide ab'.
- But no  $\frac{g_i'}{g_i}$  terms cancels with  $\frac{-\ell ab'}{b^{\ell+1}}$  since the b and  $g_i$  are distinct and irreducible. Since the sum of these fractions is  $f \in K$ , the fractions of the form  $\frac{a(t)}{b(t)^\ell}$  in h must be in K. But  $\deg(a) < \deg(b)$  implies that  $\frac{a(t)}{b(t)^\ell} = 0$ . Thus, h is a polynomial in t with coefficients from K.
- Similarly, the  $\frac{g_i'}{g_i}$  must be in K since nothing can cancel with them. But  $\deg(g_i') < \deg(g_i)$  implies  $g_i \in K$ .

4 D > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > E 900

• Therefore,  $h' = f - \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{g'_i}{g'_i}$  is in K.

◄□▶◀圖▶◀불▶◀불▶ 불 쒸٩○

 Jason Baggett (UAF)
 Diff. Galois
 Dec. 14, 2009
 19 / 27

- Therefore,  $h' = f \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{g'_i}{g_i}$  is in K.
- Since h is a polynomial in t, the only way this can be is if h = at + b where  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $b \in K$ .

◆ロト ◆部ト ◆差ト ◆差ト 差 めなべ

- Therefore,  $h' = f \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{g'_i}{g_i}$  is in K.
- Since h is a polynomial in t, the only way this can be is if h = at + b where  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $b \in K$ .
- Then

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{g_i'}{g_i} + a \frac{k'}{k} + b'$$

is of the desired form (1).

• Case 3: Now suppose t is exponential over K, i.e.  $\frac{t'}{t} = s'$  for some  $s \in K$ . We proceed similarly as in Case 2. In this case,  $\deg(g_i') = \deg(g_i)$  since

$$(t^m + ... + a_1t + a_0)' = mst^m + ... + a_1st + a_0'$$

However,  $g_i$  still does not divide  $g_i'$ , unless  $g_i = t$ . In any case, we have  $\frac{g_i'}{g_i}$  must be in K as before.

• Case 3: Now suppose t is exponential over K, i.e.  $\frac{t'}{t} = s'$  for some  $s \in K$ . We proceed similarly as in Case 2. In this case,  $\deg(g_i') = \deg(g_i)$  since

$$(t^m + ... + a_1t + a_0)' = mst^m + ... + a_1st + a_0'$$

However,  $g_i$  still does not divide  $g'_i$ , unless  $g_i = t$ . In any case, we have  $\frac{g'_i}{g_i}$  must be in K as before.

• If  $g_i \neq t$ , then this implies  $g_i \in K$ .

• Case 3: Now suppose t is exponential over K, i.e.  $\frac{t'}{t} = s'$  for some  $s \in K$ . We proceed similarly as in Case 2. In this case,  $\deg(g_i') = \deg(g_i)$  since

$$(t^m + ... + a_1t + a_0)' = mst^m + ... + a_1st + a_0'$$

However,  $g_i$  still does not divide  $g'_i$ , unless  $g_i = t$ . In any case, we have  $\frac{g'_i}{g_i}$  must be in K as before.

- If  $g_i \neq t$ , then this implies  $g_i \in K$ .
- Also as before, we must have that  $h \in K[t]$  and  $h' \in K$ .

4□ > 4□ > 4 = > 4 = > = 90

• Case 3: Now suppose t is exponential over K, i.e.  $\frac{t'}{t} = s'$  for some  $s \in K$ . We proceed similarly as in Case 2. In this case,  $\deg(g_i') = \deg(g_i)$  since

$$(t^m + ... + a_1t + a_0)' = mst^m + ... + a_1st + a_0'$$

However,  $g_i$  still does not divide  $g'_i$ , unless  $g_i = t$ . In any case, we have  $\frac{g'_i}{g_i}$  must be in K as before.

- If  $g_i \neq t$ , then this implies  $g_i \in K$ .
- Also as before, we must have that  $h \in K[t]$  and  $h' \in K$ .
- This implies that  $h \in K$  since deg(h') = deg(h).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆필▶ ◆필▶ ○

• If all of the  $g_i \neq t$ , then all the  $g_i \in K$  and

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{g_i'}{g_i} + h'$$

is of the desired form (1).

• If all of the  $g_i \neq t$ , then all the  $g_i \in K$  and

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{g_i'}{g_i} + h'$$

is of the desired form (1).

• Otherwise, a single  $g_i = t$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $g_n = t$ . Then  $\frac{g'_n}{g_n} = s'$ , so

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i \frac{g_i'}{g_i} + (c_n s + h)'$$

is of the desired form (1). This completes the proof.

→□▶ →□▶ → □▶ → □ ♥ ♀○

• Corollary: Let K be an elementary differential field with field of constants  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let  $f,g\in K$ . Suppose  $e^g$  is transcendental over K. Then  $\int fe^g$  is elementary if and only if there exists  $r\in K$  such that f=r'+rg'.

- **Corollary:** Let K be an elementary differential field with field of constants  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let  $f,g\in K$ . Suppose  $e^g$  is transcendental over K. Then  $\int fe^g$  is elementary if and only if there exists  $r\in K$  such that f=r'+rg'.
- **Proof:**  $\Leftarrow$  Suppose f = r' + rg' for some  $r \in K$ . Then  $fe^g = r'e^g + rg'e^g = (re^g)'$ . Thus,  $\int fe^g = re^g$ , which is elementary.

- **Corollary:** Let K be an elementary differential field with field of constants  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let  $f,g\in K$ . Suppose  $e^g$  is transcendental over K. Then  $\int fe^g$  is elementary if and only if there exists  $r\in K$  such that f=r'+rg'.
- **Proof:**  $\Leftarrow$  Suppose f = r' + rg' for some  $r \in K$ . Then  $fe^g = r'e^g + rg'e^g = (re^g)'$ . Thus,  $\int fe^g = re^g$ , which is elementary.
- ullet  $\Rightarrow$  Suppose  $\int f e^g$  is elementary. By Liouville's Theorem,

$$fe^g = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{g_i'}{g_i} + h'$$

for some  $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $g_i$ ,  $h \in K(e^g)$ .

- **Corollary:** Let K be an elementary differential field with field of constants  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let  $f,g\in K$ . Suppose  $e^g$  is transcendental over K. Then  $\int fe^g$  is elementary if and only if there exists  $r\in K$  such that f=r'+rg'.
- **Proof:**  $\Leftarrow$  Suppose f = r' + rg' for some  $r \in K$ . Then  $fe^g = r'e^g + rg'e^g = (re^g)'$ . Thus,  $\int fe^g = re^g$ , which is elementary.
- ullet  $\Rightarrow$  Suppose  $\int f e^g$  is elementary. By Liouville's Theorem,

$$fe^g = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{g_i'}{g_i} + h'$$

for some  $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $g_i$ ,  $h \in K(e^g)$ .

• The  $g_i$  and h can be viewed as rational functions of  $e^g$  with coefficients from K.

4□ > 4□ > 4□ > 4 = > = = 90

## A Useful Corollary

- **Corollary:** Let K be an elementary differential field with field of constants  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let  $f,g\in K$ . Suppose  $e^g$  is transcendental over K. Then  $\int fe^g$  is elementary if and only if there exists  $r\in K$  such that f=r'+rg'.
- **Proof:**  $\Leftarrow$  Suppose f = r' + rg' for some  $r \in K$ . Then  $fe^g = r'e^g + rg'e^g = (re^g)'$ . Thus,  $\int fe^g = re^g$ , which is elementary.
- ullet  $\Rightarrow$  Suppose  $\int f e^g$  is elementary. By Liouville's Theorem,

$$fe^g = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{g_i'}{g_i} + h'$$

for some  $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $g_i$ ,  $h \in K(e^g)$ .

- The  $g_i$  and h can be viewed as rational functions of  $e^g$  with coefficients from K.
- Moreover, just as before, we can assume that the  $g_i$  are irreducible, monic polynomials.

• Just as in Case 3 of the proof of Liouville's Theorem,  $\deg(g_i') = \deg(g_i)$ , and  $g_i$  does not divide  $g_i'$  unless  $g_i = e^g$ .

- Just as in Case 3 of the proof of Liouville's Theorem,  $\deg(g_i') = \deg(g_i)$ , and  $g_i$  does not divide  $g_i'$  unless  $g_i = e^g$ .
- Suppose  $g_i \neq e^g$ . Then there is nothing to cancel with  $\frac{g_i'}{g_i}$  in the sum to acquire  $fe^g$ . So  $\frac{g_i'}{g_i}$  must be 0, or otherwise we would have a leftover fraction in the sum and not  $fe^g$ .

- Just as in Case 3 of the proof of Liouville's Theorem,  $\deg(g_i') = \deg(g_i)$ , and  $g_i$  does not divide  $g_i'$  unless  $g_i = e^g$ .
- Suppose  $g_i \neq e^g$ . Then there is nothing to cancel with  $\frac{g_i'}{g_i}$  in the sum to acquire  $fe^g$ . So  $\frac{g_i'}{g_i}$  must be 0, or otherwise we would have a leftover fraction in the sum and not  $fe^g$ .
- If  $g_i = e^g$ , then  $\frac{g_i'}{g_i} = g'$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $g_n = e^g$ .

- Just as in Case 3 of the proof of Liouville's Theorem,  $\deg(g_i') = \deg(g_i)$ , and  $g_i$  does not divide  $g_i'$  unless  $g_i = e^g$ .
- Suppose  $g_i \neq e^g$ . Then there is nothing to cancel with  $\frac{g_i'}{g_i}$  in the sum to acquire  $fe^g$ . So  $\frac{g_i'}{g_i}$  must be 0, or otherwise we would have a leftover fraction in the sum and not  $fe^g$ .
- If  $g_i=e^g$ , then  $\frac{g_i'}{g_i}=g'$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $g_n=e^g$ .
- Then

$$fe^g = c_n g' + h'$$

- Just as in Case 3 of the proof of Liouville's Theorem,  $\deg(g_i') = \deg(g_i)$ , and  $g_i$  does not divide  $g_i'$  unless  $g_i = e^g$ .
- Suppose  $g_i \neq e^g$ . Then there is nothing to cancel with  $\frac{g_i'}{g_i}$  in the sum to acquire  $fe^g$ . So  $\frac{g_i'}{g_i}$  must be 0, or otherwise we would have a leftover fraction in the sum and not  $fe^g$ .
- If  $g_i = e^g$ , then  $\frac{g_i'}{g_i} = g'$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $g_n = e^g$ .
- Then

$$fe^g = c_n g' + h'$$

• Arguing as in Cases 2 and 3 of the proof of Liouville's Theorem, h must be a polynomial in  $e^g$  with coefficients from K.

• Moreover,  $\deg(h) = \deg(h') = \deg(h' + c_n g') = \deg(f e^g) = 1$ , and  $e^g$  divides  $c_n g' + h'$ .

- Moreover,  $\deg(h) = \deg(h') = \deg(h' + c_n g') = \deg(f e^g) = 1$ , and  $e^g$  divides  $c_n g' + h'$ .
- Therefore,  $h = re^g c_n g$  for some  $r \in K$ .

Jason Baggett (UAF)

- Moreover,  $deg(h) = deg(h') = deg(h' + c_n g') = deg(fe^g) = 1$ , and  $e^g$  divides  $c_n g' + h'$ .
- Therefore,  $h = re^g c_n g$  for some  $r \in K$ .
- Therefore,

$$fe^g = c_n g' + r' e^g + r g' e^g - c_n g'$$
$$= r' e^g + r g' e^g$$

- Moreover,  $\deg(h) = \deg(h') = \deg(h' + c_n g') = \deg(f e^g) = 1$ , and  $e^g$  divides  $c_n g' + h'$ .
- Therefore,  $h = re^g c_n g$  for some  $r \in K$ .
- Therefore,

$$fe^g = c_n g' + r' e^g + r g' e^g - c_n g'$$
  
=  $r' e^g + r g' e^g$ 

• Dividing through by  $e^g$ , we obtain

$$f = r' + rg'$$
.

This completes the proof.

- 4 ロ ト 4 昼 ト 4 差 ト - 差 - 夕 Q @

• **Prop:**  $\int e^{-x^2} dx$  is not solvable by elementary functions.

Jason Baggett (UAF)

- **Prop:**  $\int e^{-x^2} dx$  is not solvable by elementary functions.
- **Proof:** Suppose  $\int e^{-x^2} dx$  is elementary. Let  $K = \mathbb{C}(x)$ , f = 1, and  $g = -x^2$  and apply the previous corollary. Thus, there exists  $r \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  such that

$$1=r'-2xr.$$

- **Prop:**  $\int e^{-x^2} dx$  is not solvable by elementary functions.
- **Proof:** Suppose  $\int e^{-x^2} dx$  is elementary. Let  $K = \mathbb{C}(x)$ , f = 1, and  $g = -x^2$  and apply the previous corollary. Thus, there exists  $r \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  such that

$$1=r'-2xr.$$

• Solving for *r*, we find that

$$r = e^{x^2} \int e^{-x^2} dx$$

- **Prop:**  $\int e^{-x^2} dx$  is not solvable by elementary functions.
- **Proof:** Suppose  $\int e^{-x^2} dx$  is elementary. Let  $K = \mathbb{C}(x)$ , f = 1, and  $g = -x^2$  and apply the previous corollary. Thus, there exists  $r \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  such that

$$1=r'-2xr.$$

• Solving for *r*, we find that

$$r = e^{x^2} \int e^{-x^2} dx$$

• But  $e^{x^2} \int e^{-x^2} dx$  is an entire function, so r must be a nonconstant polynomial.

◆ロト ◆部 ト ◆ 差 ト ◆ 差 ・ 夕 Q ○

- **Prop:**  $\int e^{-x^2} dx$  is not solvable by elementary functions.
- **Proof:** Suppose  $\int e^{-x^2} dx$  is elementary. Let  $K = \mathbb{C}(x)$ , f = 1, and  $g = -x^2$  and apply the previous corollary. Thus, there exists  $r \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  such that

$$1=r'-2xr.$$

• Solving for *r*, we find that

$$r = e^{x^2} \int e^{-x^2} dx$$

- But  $e^{x^2} \int e^{-x^2} dx$  is an entire function, so r must be a nonconstant polynomial.
- But deg(r') < deg(2xr) and so deg(r'-2xr) = deg(2xr) > 1.

- **Prop:**  $\int e^{-x^2} dx$  is not solvable by elementary functions.
- **Proof:** Suppose  $\int e^{-x^2} dx$  is elementary. Let  $K = \mathbb{C}(x)$ , f = 1, and  $g = -x^2$  and apply the previous corollary. Thus, there exists  $r \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  such that

$$1=r'-2xr.$$

• Solving for *r*, we find that

$$r = e^{x^2} \int e^{-x^2} dx$$

- But  $e^{x^2} \int e^{-x^2} dx$  is an entire function, so r must be a nonconstant polynomial.
- But deg(r') < deg(2xr) and so deg(r'-2xr) = deg(2xr) > 1.
- Therefore,  $r' 2xr \neq 1$ , which is a contradiction.

### But wait, there's more...

Using that same corollary, we can show that

$$\mathsf{Ei}(x) = \int \frac{\mathsf{e}^x}{x} dx$$

is not elementary.

### But wait, there's more...

Using that same corollary, we can show that

$$\mathsf{Ei}(x) = \int \frac{e^x}{x} dx$$

is not elementary.

 This immediately gives us that three other important antiderivatives are not elementary:

$$Li(x) = \int \frac{1}{\ln x} dx,$$

$$Si(x) = \int \frac{\sin x}{x} dx,$$

$$Ci(x) = \int \frac{\cos x}{x} dx.$$

### But wait, there's more...

Using that same corollary, we can show that

$$\mathsf{Ei}(x) = \int \frac{e^x}{x} dx$$

is not elementary.

 This immediately gives us that three other important antiderivatives are not elementary:

$$\operatorname{Li}(x) = \int \frac{1}{\ln x} dx,$$

$$\operatorname{Si}(x) = \int \frac{\sin x}{x} dx,$$

$$\operatorname{Ci}(x) = \int \frac{\cos x}{x} dx.$$

The details are left to the audience.

#### References

- [1] Marius van der Put and Michael F. Singer, *Galois Theory of Linear Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2003.
- [2] R.C. Churchill, *Liouville's Theorem on Integration in Terms of Elementary Functions* (September 2006). http://www.sci.ccny.cuny.edu/~ksda/PostedPapers/liouv06.pdf.
- [3] Matthew P. Wiener, Functions without elementary antiderivatives, University of Pennsylvania, November 30, 1997. http://www.math.niu.edu/~rusin/known-math/97/nonelem\_integr2.
- [4] Andy R. Magid, Differential Galois Theory, Notices of the AMS 46 (October 1999), no. 9, 1041-1049.
- [5] Moshe Kamensky, Differential Galois Theory. http://www.nd.edu/ mkamensk/lectures/diffgalois.pdf.
- [6] T. Dyckerhoff, *Tutorial on Differential Galois Theory I*, University of Pennsylvania. http://www.math.upenn.edu/ tdyckerh/lecture1.pdf.