

Comments on HW 3

Overall the homeworks were good, but I want to make sure I clarified a few things. See comments below and look at some of the proofs.

p. 14, Ex 10: For a normed field $(F, \|\cdot\|)$, prove that

$$\left| \|x\| - \|y\| \right| \leq \|x \pm y\| \text{ for all } x, y \in F.$$

Recall that the *definition of absolute value* means you must prove the two inequalities

$$-\|x \pm y\| \leq \|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|x \pm y\| \text{ for all } x, y \in F.$$

To do this, note that by the triangle inequality

$$\|x\| = \|(x - y) + y\| \leq \|x - y\| + \|y\|, \quad (1)$$

and

$$\|y\| = \|(y - x) + x\| \leq \|x - y\| + \|x\|. \quad (2)$$

Here we used that $\|z\| = \|-z\|$ in Equation 2.

Performing some algebra, from (1) we get

$$\|y\| - \|x\| \geq -\|x - y\|,$$

and from (2)

$$\|y\| - \|x\| \leq \|x - y\|.$$

These are the two inequalities we need to prove the result for the norm of $x - y$. For the analogous result for $\|x + y\|$, simply replace y with $-y$ □

11 (3), (4): Good proofs.

12: The hint in the back of the book essentially gave it all away.

13: The hint here also essentially completes the problem, but I include a proof since handling the three cases was a tad delicate.

Prove that if two norms are equivalent $\|\cdot\|_1 \sim \|\cdot\|_2$ and $x \in F$, then $\|x\|_1 < 1$ if, and only if, $\|x\|_2 < 1$ if, and only if, $\|x\|_1 > 1$ if, and only if, $\|x\|_2 > 1$ if, and only if, $\|x\|_1 = 1$ if, and only if, $\|x\|_2 = 1$.

Proof. To begin, note that the result holds for $x = 0$ since $\|0\| = 0$ for any norm, so we may assume that $x \neq 0$. We first show that $\|x\|_1 < 1$ if, and only if, $\|x\|_2 < 1$. For the sake of contradiction, assume that $\|x\|_1 < 1$ and $\|x\|_2 \geq 1$. Note that $x \neq 1$, since for any norm $\|1\| = 1$ by Proposition 1.6, yet by assumption $\|x\|_1 < 1$. That is, $x \neq 0, 1$.

Consider $\|\cdot\|_1$ and note that the sequence $\{x^n\}$ is Cauchy with respect to this norm. This follows from Proposition 1.8 since that the proof of that Proposition shows that $\{x^n\}$ converges to 0 and any convergent sequence in F is Cauchy. (Alternatively, prove this directly: for any $\epsilon > 0$, choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m > N$, $\|x^m\|_1 < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Then by the triangle inequality, if $m, k > N$, it follows that $\|x^m - x^k\|_1 < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$.)

Now consider the sequence $\{x^n\}$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_2$. Since $x \neq 1$, $\|x - 1\|_2 > 0$. Let $\delta = \|x - 1\|_2$ be this positive number. Because norms are multiplicative, for all indices n ,

$$\|x^{n+1} - x^n\|_2 = \|x^n(x - 1)\|_2 = \|x^n\|_2 \|x - 1\|_2 \geq \delta > 0,$$

and $\{x^n\}$ is not Cauchy with respect to $\|\cdot\|_2$. This contradicts that the two norms are equivalent and establishes that $\|x\|_1 < 1$ implies $\|x\|_2 < 1$. The converse holds by the identical argument after interchanging the role of the two norms, and the first equivalence is established.

Now assume that $\|x\|_1 > 1$. Since norms are multiplicative and $x \neq 0$, $\|\frac{1}{x}\|_1 < 1$. By the previous equivalence, $\|\frac{1}{x}\|_2 < 1$. By the multiplicative property of norms, $\|x\|_2 > 1$, establishing the second equivalence.

Finally, if $\|x\|_1 = 1$, then $\|x\|_1 \not< 1$ and $\|x\|_1 \not> 1$. By the equivalences already established, the only possibility is that $\|x\|_2 = 1$. \square