## Chapter 4.5

7. Exhibit all Sylow 2-subgroups of  $S_4$  and find elements of  $S_4$  which conjugate one of these into each of the others.

*Proof.* (Gillispie) Since  $|S_4| = 4! = 2^3 \cdot 3$ , if  $P \in Syl_2(S_4)$ , then |P| = 8. Notice that

$$\langle (1\,2\,3\,4), (1\,2)(3\,4) \rangle = \{(1), (1\,2)(3\,4), (1\,2\,3\,4), (1\,3)(2\,4), (1\,4\,3\,2), (2\,4), (1\,3), (1\,4)(2\,3) \}$$

is of order 8. Also

$$\langle (1342), (13)(42) \rangle = \{(1), (13)(24), (1342), (14)(23), (1243), (23), (14), (12)(34) \}$$
and
$$\langle (1423), (14)(23) \rangle = \{(1), (14)(23), (1423), (12)(34), (1324), (34), (12), (13)(24) \}$$

are distinct and of order 8.

Sylow's Theorem states that  $n_2 \mid 3$ . Either  $n_2 = 1$ , which we've shown to be untrue, or  $n_2 = 3$ , and so we have described every Sylow 2-subgroup.

Now, notice that

$$(234)(1234)(243) = (1342)$$
 and  $(234)(12)(34)(243) = (13)(24)$ .

And so  $(234)\langle (1234), (12)(34)\rangle (234)^{-1} = \langle (1342), (13)(42)\rangle$ .

Also,

$$(234)(1342)(243) = (1423)$$
 and  $(234)(13)(24)(243) = (14)(23)$ .

So 
$$(234)\langle (1342), (13)(24)\rangle (234)^{-1} = \langle (1423), (14)(23)\rangle$$
.

Finally notice that

$$(234)(1423)(243) = (1234)$$
 and  $(234)(14)(23)(243) = (12)(34)$ .

And so 
$$(2\,3\,4)\langle(1\,4\,2\,3), (1\,4)(2\,3)\rangle(2\,3\,4)^{-1} = \langle(1\,2\,3\,4), (1\,2)(3\,4)\rangle$$
.

We've shown that powers of  $(2\,3\,4)$  conjugate the elements of  $Syl_2(S_4)$  into other elements of  $Syl_2(S_4)$ , and that every element of  $Syl_2(S_4)$  can be taken into any other element of  $Syl_2(S_4)$  by conjugation by  $(2\,3\,4)$ .

8. Exhibit two distinct Sylow 2-subgroups of  $S_5$  and an element of  $S_5$  that conjugates one into the other.

*Proof.* (Mobley) The order of  $S_5$  is 120 or  $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ . Since we are looking for Sylow 2-subgoups of  $S_5$ , we need the highest power of 2 that divides 120. The highest power of 2 is  $2^3 = 8$ . Recognizing that each of the subgroups from #7 is also contained in  $S_5$ , let our first Sylow 2-subgroup be

$$A = \{e, (12), (12)(34), (34), (13)(24), (14)(23), (1324), (1423)\}$$

from the previous problem. Another subgroup is

$$B = \{e, (15), (12)(35), (23), (13)(25), (15)(23), (1253), (1352)\}.$$

(Note that this is just one of the subgroups from #7 with fives replacing the fours.) The element of  $S_5$  that conjugates one into the other is  $\sigma = (123)(45)$  with  $\sigma A \sigma^{-1} \subset B$ .

14. Prove that a group of order 312 has a normal Sylow p-subgroup for some prime p dividing its order.

*Proof.* (Hazlett) Note,  $n_{13} \equiv 1 \mod 13$  and  $n_{13}|24$ . Hence  $n_{13} = 1$ . Then there exists a unique Sylow 13-subgroup P. Therefore  $P \subseteq G$  and G is not simple.

17. Prove that if |G| = 105, then G has a normal Sylow 5-subgroup and a normal Sylow 7-subgroup.

Proof. (Baggett) We have that  $|G| = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ . From Sylow's Theorem, we have that  $n_5 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  and  $n_5 \mid 21$ . Thus,  $n_5 = 1$  or 21. Similarly, we have that  $n_7 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$  and  $n_7 \mid 15$ . Thus,  $n_7 = 1$  or 15. We will show that  $n_5 = 1$  or  $n_7 = 1$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $n_5 = 21$  and  $n_7 = 15$ . Then there are 21(4) = 84 elements of order 5 in G and 15(6) = 90 elements of order 7 in G. This is a contradiction since 84 + 90 > 105. Thus, either  $n_5 = 1$  or  $n_7 = 1$ .

Suppose that  $n_5 = 1$ . Then there is a unique Sylow 5-subgroup  $P \triangleleft G$ . Let  $Q \in Syl_7(G)$ . Then  $Q \leq N_G(P) = G$ , so  $PQ \leq G$ . Furthermore,  $|PQ| = \frac{|P||Q|}{|P \cap Q|} = 35$  and [G:PQ] = 3, the smallest prime dividing |G|. Thus,  $PQ \triangleleft G$ . Moreover,  $Q \leq PQ$ ,  $n_7(PQ) \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ , and  $n_7(PQ) \mid 5$ . Thus,  $n_7(PQ) = 1$  and Q is the unique Sylow 7-subgroup of PQ. Therefore, Q is characteristic in Q. Since Q char Q and Q and Q is the inique Sylow 5-subgroup Q in Q and a normal Sylow 7-subgroup Q in Q. The proof for the case when Q is similar.

20. Prove that if |G| = 1365 then G is not simple.

*Proof.* (Buchholz) Let  $|G| = 1365 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$ .

Then  $n_{13} \equiv 1 \mod 13$  and  $n_{13} \mid 105$ , implies that  $n_{13} = 1$  or 105.

Then  $n_7 \equiv 1 \mod 7$  and  $n_7 \mid 195$ , implies that  $n_7 = 1$  or 15.

Then  $n_5 \equiv 1 \mod 5$  and  $n_5 \mid 273$ , implies that  $n_5 = 1, 21$  or 91.

First consider  $n_{13} = 105$ ,  $n_7 = 15$ , and  $n_5 = 21$ . Then there are 12(105) = 1260 elements of order 13, 6(15) = 90 elements of order 7, and 4(21) = 84 elements of order 5. But 1260 + 90 + 84 = 1434, which is larger than the order of G. Hence either  $n_{13} = 1, n_7 = 1$ , or  $n_5 = 1$  and so there is a normal subgroup. (We have either  $P_{13} \in \text{Syl}_{13}(G)$ ,  $P_7 \in \text{Syl}_7(G)$ , or  $P_5 \in \text{Syl}_5(G)$  is a non-trivial, proper normal subgroup of G.)

21. Prove that if |G| = 2907, then G is not simple.

*Proof (Granade).* Let G be a group such that |G| = 2907. Then, note that  $2907 = 3^2 \cdot 17 \cdot 19$ . Using the Sylow theorems, we list the possible candidates for  $n_3$ ,  $n_{17}$  and  $n_{19}$ :

Next, note that if any of  $n_3$ ,  $n_{17}$ ,  $n_{19}$  is 1, then by Corollary 20 in the text, we have that there exists a normal subgroup of order 9, 17 or 19, respectively. Thus, in that case, we are done.

On the other hand, suppose that  $n_3, n_{17}, n_{19} \neq 1$ . Then, by the list of candidates above, we have that  $n_3 = 19$ ,  $n_{17} = 171$  and  $n_{19} = 153$ . Since 17 and 19 are both prime, we have that the intersection between two arbitrary elements of  $\operatorname{Syl}_{17}(G) \cup \operatorname{Syl}_{19}(G)$  is  $\{e\}$ . Thus, G contains  $16 \cdot 171 = 2736$  elements of order 17 and  $18 \cdot 153 = 2754$  elements of order 19. Thus,  $|G| \geq 2736 + 2754 + 1 = 5491$ . This contradicts that |G| = 2907, and so we conclude that at least one of  $n_3, n_{17}, n_{19}$  is 1.

*Proof (Granade).* Let G be a group such that |G| = 132. Then, note that  $132 = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 11$ . Using the Sylow theorems, we list the possible candidates for  $n_2$ ,  $n_3$  and  $n_{11}$ :

Next, note that if any of  $n_2$ ,  $n_3$ ,  $n_{11}$  is 1, then by Corollary 20 in the text, we have that there exists a normal subgroup of order 4, 3 or 11, respectively. Thus, in that case, we are done.

On the other hand, suppose that  $n_2, n_3, n_{11} \neq 1$ . Then, by the list of candidates above, we have that  $n_{11} = 12$ . By the same counting argument as in Problem 21, we thus have that there are  $10 \cdot 12 = 120$  elements of order 11 in G. We can therefore exclude exclude that  $n_3 = 22$ , since that would imply that  $|G| \geq 2 \cdot 22 + 120 = 142$ . Thus,  $n_3 = 4$ , and so we have 8 elements of order 3, leaving 3 non-identity elements to choose from for elements in our Sylow 2-subgroups. Since each Sylow 2-subgroup has order 4, that implies that we have a unique subgroup of order 4, contradicting that  $n_2 \neq 1$ . We can therefore conclude that at least one of  $n_2, n_3, n_{11}$  is 1, and so we are done.

25. Prove that if G is a group of order 385 then Z(G) contains a Sylow 7-subgroup of G and a Sylow 11-subgroup is normal in G.

*Proof.* (Bastille) We note that  $|G| = 385 = 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$  and for p = 5, 7, 11, since  $|\text{Syl}_p(G)| = n_p$  must satisfy  $n_p \equiv 1 \mod p$  and  $n_p \mid \frac{385}{p}$  (because each prime divisor divides exactly |G|), we have the following table of possibilities:

p	$1 \bmod p$	divisors of $\frac{385}{p}$
5	1,6,11,16,21,26,31,36,41,46,51,56,61,66,71,76	1,7,11,77
7	1,8,15,22,29,36,43,50,57	1,5,11,55
		1,5,7,35

Hence our choices for  $n_p$  are:

$$n_5 = 1, 11;$$
  $n_7 = 1;$   $n_{11} = 1.$ 

Since  $n_7 = 1$ ,  $\operatorname{Syl}_7(G) = \{P_7\}$  and  $P_7$  must be cyclic since  $|P_7| = 7$ , a prime. Let  $a \in P_7$  such that  $P_7 = \langle a \rangle$ . Let G act on  $P_7$  by conjugation. Then the associated homomorphism:

$$\varphi: G \to S_{P_7} \cong S_7$$

is well-defined (since  $gP_7g^{-1}=P_7$  for all  $g\in G$  by Corollary 20). Furthermore,  $S_7$  can be viewed as the group of automorphisms of  $P_7$ , and since  $P_7$  is cyclic,  $\operatorname{Aut}(P_7)\cong \mathbb{Z}/(7-1)\mathbb{Z}$  by Proposition 17 (1) p. 136. Therefore, if K denotes the kernel of  $\varphi$ , we have

$$G/K \cong \varphi(G) \leq \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}.$$

So

$$\frac{|G|}{|K|} \, \big| \, 6 \quad \Rightarrow \quad K = G \quad \text{ since } 2, 3 \nmid |G|.$$

But by definition,  $K = \ker \varphi = \{g \in G \mid ga^kg^{-1} = a^k \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \text{ so}$ 

$$\forall a^k \in P_7: \quad ga^k = a^k g \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

Hence  $P_7 \leq Z(G)$ .

We also have that  $n_{11} = 1$ , so the unique Sylow 11-subgroup of G is normal in G (by Corollary 20).  $\square$ 

- 26. Let G be a group of order 105. Prove that if a Sylow 3-subgroup of G is normal then G is abelian. (Schamel) Note that  $|G| = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ . Let  $P_3$  be our unique Sylow 3-subgroup of G. Since 3 is the smallest prime dividing the order of G and  $|P_3| = 3$  so  $P_3$  is cyclic, by problem 4.5.44 we have that  $N_G(P_3) = C_G(P_3)$ . Since  $P_3$  is normal in G, we conclude  $C_G(P_3) = G$  and hence  $P_3 \in Z(G)$ . But then |G/Z(G)| divides 35. If |G/Z(G)| is one of 1,5, or 7, then G/Z(G) is cyclic. If |G/Z(G)| = 35, we also have the  $G\mathbb{Z}(G)$  is cyclic, since this quotient group is of order pq for  $p \nmid q 1$ . Thus G/Z(G) is cyclic. Hence, by 3.1.36, G is abelian.
- 44. Let p be the smallest prime dividing the order of a group G. If  $P \in Syl_p(G)$  and P is cyclic, prove that  $N_G(P) = C_G(P)$ .

*Proof.* (Lawless) Let  $|G| = p^{\alpha}m$  where p is the smallest prime dividing |G|, and  $p \nmid m$ . Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G, and let P be cyclic. Notice  $|P| = p^{\alpha}$ .

Consider the action of  $N_P(G)$  on P by conjugation. Notice this gives rise to a homomorphism  $\psi$ :  $N_G(P) \to S_P$ , with  $ker(\psi) = C_G(P)$ . By the first isomorphism theorem,  $N_G(P)/C_G(P) \cong K = \text{Im}(\psi)$ . Since conjugation by elements of  $N_G(P)$  induce a subgroup of the automorphism group of P, then we know  $K \leq Aut(P)$ , and so |K| | |Aut(P)|. Since P is cyclic, we know  $|Aut(P)| = \varphi(|P|) = p^{\alpha} - p^{\alpha-1}$ . So  $|K| | p^{\alpha-1}(p-1)$ .

Since P is cyclic, we know P is abelian, and so  $P \leq C_G(P)$ . Moreover, we know  $C_G(P) \leq N_G(P) \leq G$ . Thus, there exist some integers  $m_1, m_2$  such that  $p^{\alpha}m_2 = C_G(P)$  and  $p^{\alpha}m_1 = N_G(P)$ , and so

$$|N_G(P)/C_G(P)| = \frac{m_1}{m_2} |p^{\alpha-1}(p-1).$$

If  $m_1/m_2 = 1$ , then we know  $C_G(P) = N_G(P)$ . Assume that  $m_1/m_2 \neq 1$ . However, since  $p^{\alpha}$  was the highest power of p dividing the order of G, then we know  $m_1/m_2 \nmid p^{\alpha-1}$ . And since p was the smallest prime dividing the order of G, then we know  $m_1/m_2 \nmid (p-1)$ . So  $m_1/m_2 \nmid p^{\alpha-1}(p-1)$ , a contradiction.

Therefore,  $m_1/m_2 = 1$ , and thus  $|C_G(P)| = |N_G(P)|$ . Thus,  $C_G(P) = N_G(P)$ , as desired.