Comments on HW 1 and preliminary exercises

1. Exercise 2 on p. 5 of the text: Prove that a number x is rational if, and only if, its representation by an infinite decimal fraction is eventually periodic.

Proof. The result holds easily for x=0, so we assume that $x\neq 0$. Indeed, we may reduce to the case that x>0 since for a negative number y, the result would hold if, and only if, it held for -y>0.

Assume then that x>0 and $x=\frac{a}{b}\in\mathbb{Q}$, and recall that by the division algorithm, when a positive integer c is divided by b there exist $q,\ r\in\mathbb{Z}$ with 0< r< b so that c=qb+r. Significantly, there are only a *finite* number of remainders after division by b. Now, using long division to divide a by b, we note that this process involves repeated division by b with remainders. However, since there are only a finite number of possible remainders (b to be exact), it must be that after b+1 steps in long division (or fewer), the remainder is a repetition of one seen earlier. That is, the decimal expansion of x begins to repeat.

For the converse, assume x is eventually periodic, and write $x=n.d_1d_2\cdots d_k\overline{a_1\cdots a_j}$ for $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $d_i,a_l\in\{0,1,\cdots 9\}$. Thus,

$$x = n + \frac{d_1 \cdots d_k}{10^k} + 10^{-k} \left(.\overline{a_1 \cdots a_j} \right).$$

Since n, $\frac{d_1 \cdots d_k}{10^k}$ are clearly rational, and $10^{-k}y$ is rational if y is rational, we can reduce to that case that x is periodic of the form $x = \overline{a_1 \cdots a_j}$. Consider the difference

$$10^{j}x - x = 10^{j}.\overline{a_{1} \cdots a_{j}} - .\overline{a_{1} \cdots a_{j}}$$
$$= a_{1} \cdots a_{j}.\overline{a_{1} \cdots a_{j}} - .\overline{a_{1} \cdots a_{j}}$$
$$= a_{1} \cdots a_{j}.$$

With a little algebra, we see that

$$x = \frac{a_1 \cdots a_j}{10^j - 1}$$

and $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, as desired.

- 2. Exercise 5 on p. 5 of the text:
 - (a) The closure of \mathbb{R} with the 'arctan' distance is $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$.
 - (b) The closure of \mathbb{R} with the ' e^x ' distance is $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$.
- 3. Exercise 7 on p. 5 of the text: Skyler next week.
- 4. Exercise 8 on p. 5 of the text: You need to check that the three axioms on page 2 for a metric hold. This is relatively straight forward.

Preliminary exercise. Suppose a,n are positive integers with $1 \le a < n$. Prove that if the $\gcd(a,n) = 1$, then there exists an integer b with $1 \le b \le n-1$ such that

$$ab \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$
.

John Aarhus showed us that there exists an integer b' with $ab' \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, but he did not show that b' was in the correct range. Here is the rest of the proof:

Assume that $ab' \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, and note that for any integer k, if b = b' + kn, then

$$b \equiv b' \pmod{n}$$
 with $b' \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$

and therefore

$$ab = ab' \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$
.

Thus, if b' fails to be in the range $1 \leq b' < n$, replace b' with $b \in \{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$. This is possible since the set $\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$ contains a complete set of representatives of equivalence classes mod n and b=b'+kn must be in this set for some k. Since $n \nmid b$, b satisfies $1 \leq b \leq n-1$.