


Top-down constraints on reactive nitrogen from biomass burning in Sub-Saharan Africa



Eloise Marais (UCL) with Martin Van Damme, Lieven
Clarissee, Christine Wiedinmyer, Killian Murphy, Guido van der Werf


Open Fire Emissions of Reactive Nitrogen



NO_x and NH_3 affect local air quality, regional climate, and global atmospheric composition

Global Context for Southern Africa Biomass Burning






Biomass burning carbon emissions from the Global Fire Emissions Database (GFED) inventory



Open fire emissions in southern Africa outcompete most anomalous fires in other regions, so is a potentially large global source of reactive nitrogen

Yearly Variability in Southern Africa Biomass Burning

Long-term record of annual fire emissions for select regions in Teragrams carbon





[van der Werf et al., 2017]

Dampened interannual variability in southern Africa in comparison to other fire-prone regions

Seasonality in Southern Africa Biomass Burning

Multiyear mean monthly carbon emissions from biomass burning [Tg C]




NHAF: NH Africa
SHAF: SH Africa

[van der Werf et al., 2017]

Southern Africa fire season persists for all 6 months of the dry season, peaking in July and August

Categorization of Pyrome Types

Pyrome classes derived with satellite data and clustering algorithms




Data source: <https://archibaldlab.weebly.com/datasets.html>

[Archibald et al., 2013]

Southern Africa fires mostly frequent, but near-equal contribution of **cool** (low combustion efficiency) and **intense** (high combustion efficiency) fires, affecting reactive nitrogen emissions

Persistent Model Bias for a “Traditional” Source


TROPOMI annual mean NO_2



[Nana Wei PhD thesis]

Same scale; different color scheme

Model annual mean NO_2




[Nana Wei PhD thesis]

Model annual mean more than double the observations for a seasonal source

Instruments in space measuring NH₃ and NO₂ column densities

IASI: Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer



NH₃

Resolution: 12 km (elliptical pixels) at nadir

Swath width: 2200 km

Launch date: 2012

Year used: 2019

TROPOMI: TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument



NO₂

Resolution: 5.5 km x 3.5 km at nadir


Swath width: 2600 km

Launch date: 2017

Year used: 2019


Fires Detected with Both Instruments

IASI multiyear seasonal mean NH₃



[Clarisse et al., 2023]

TROPOMI annual mean NO₂ (2018-2020)



[https://www.esa.int/Applications/Observing_the_Earth/Copernicus/Sentinel-5P/Nitrogen_dioxide_pollution_mapped]

Instruments provide constraints on NH₃ for IASI and NO_x for TROPOMI NO₂
NO_x and NH₃ account for most reactive nitrogen from open fires

Bottom-Up Biomass Burning Emissions

$$\text{Emission} = \text{DMB} \times \text{EF}$$

DMB: dry matter burned

EF: emission factor

DMB = Area burned x above-ground biomass x combustion completeness

3 prominent inventories:





GFED: Global Fire Emissions Database

FINN: FIre INventory for NCAR

GFAS: Global Fire Assimilation System (CAMS)

DMB determined using distinct satellite data products for each inventory


GFED Uses Burned Area



Small fires:
Parameterization that uses MODIS fire counts


[van der Werf, 2017]

FINN Uses Fire Counts



Data from MODIS (1 km) and more recent finer resolution VIIRS (375 m)

GFAS Uses Fire Radiative Power



Landcover Specific Emission Factors (EF)

$$\text{Emission} = \text{DMB} \times \text{EF}$$


Vegetation type	Emission factor [g kg ⁻¹] ^a		
	GFEDv4s	FINNv2.5 ^b	GFASv1.2
NO_x as NO			
Tropical forest	2.55	2.6	2.3
Savanna	3.9	3.9	2.1
Woody savanna ^c	—	3.65	—
NH₃			
Tropical forest	1.33	1.3	0.93
Savanna	0.52	0.56	0.74
Woody savanna ^c	—	1.2	—

[Marais et al., 2025]

Biggest difference for NO_x applied to savannas



FINN emits NO_x as NO and NO₂

MODIS Landcover



Reactive Nitrogen Emissions in Southern Africa

Monthly bottom-up June-October 2019 emissions



Other differences: Time and spatial resolution, injection height, landcover classes

Mostly savanna fires

In FINN, ~20-times more fuel consumed for tropical forest fires (smouldering) than the other inventories

Drive GEOS-Chem with all Three Inventories


Emissions



Driven with 3 difference
biomass burning
inventories

GEOS-Chem

Model nested over southern Africa



Chemistry, transport, deposition



NASA GEOS-FP
Meteorology


3D concentrations of NO_2 , NH_3
converted to column densities

Simulate model with each inventory turned on to compare the model to IASI and TROPOMI


Sample the model at the same overpass time as the instruments

Account for Instrument Vertical Sensitivities

**UV-visible instrument
(TROPOMI)**



**Infrared instrument
(IASI)**



Sensitivity peaks in mid troposphere

Different approach for each instrument:


TROPOMI: apply averaging kernels (quantifies vertical sensitivity) to GEOS-Chem

IASI: reprocess (re-retrieve) IASI NH₃ with local GEOS-Chem a priori profiles


Reprocess IASI with Local GEOS-Chem Priors

IASI columns for July-October 2019. Prior from GEOS-Chem using FINN


(a) Default prior



(b) GEOS-Chem prior



(b) minus (a)







Overall decline in columns with local a priori, as more NH_3 placed higher up

Less noisy over Atlantic Ocean east of Angola and northern Namibia

More retrievals pass quality checks

Evaluate Inventory NO_x Emissions with TROPOMI NO₂

NO₂ vertical column densities for Jun-Oct 2019





GC: GEOS-Chem

GFED and GFAS NO₂ spatially similar, but >50% difference due to emission factors

Low emissions in Malawi, as spread of fire suppressed by dense population

Influence of Population Density on Fires



People cause more fires, but suppress the spread of fires

Conveniently, pollution from anthropogenic activities and open fires not collocated

Impact of Different NO_x Emissions on Ozone Formation

Ozone production efficiency (**OPE**) = ozone produced per mass unit NO_x emitted

GFAS: **13 Tg O₃ (Tg NO)⁻¹**

FINN: **9.6 Tg O₃ (Tg NO)⁻¹**

GFED: **6.9 Tg O₃ (Tg NO)⁻¹**

FINN OPE > GFED OPE, as far more VOCs and CO in FINN:

FINN: 108 Tg CO and 13 Tg C for 21 NMVOCs


GFED: 82 Tg CO and 2 Tg C for 13 NMVOCs

Less NO_x from GFAS increases OPE, as O₃ more sensitive to NO_x


Evaluation of Inventories with Satellite Observations

NH₃ vertical column densities for Jul-Oct 2019 [10¹⁵ molecules cm⁻²]

IASI with
GEOS-Chem
prior:



GEOS-Chem:



June excluded, as no inventories consistent with IASI observations ($R < 0.5$)

Top-down emissions estimate

Convert atmospheric **column concentrations** to surface **emissions** using a **model**

COLUMNS




Conversion Factor




EMISSIONS

Satellite columns



Column-to-Emission ratio
(model)



Satellite-derived
surface emissions



Emission



Simple mass balance approach, as it's a first order problem (very large errors)

Use best-performing inventory (**GFAS** for NO_x, **FINN** for NH₃) for gridsquares where open fires > 50% total emissions

Top-down Emissions with Best Performing Inventories



Distribution normal for NO_x , long-tailed for NH_3


Correlation between top-down NO_x and NH_3 weak ($R < 0.4$), but strong in inventories ($R > 0.8$), as none account for the impact of combustion efficiency/pyrome regime on emission factors

Emissions peak in similar month to bottom-up: July and August for NO_x and August in NH_3


Total anthropogenic emissions in Europe in 2019: ~1.4 Tg N for NO_x and ~8 Tg for NH_3

Consistency of Top-down Emissions and Pyrome Regimes



Archibald et al. (2013) pyrome regimes



NO_x emissions coincide
with intense fires that would
tend to flame (efficient)




NH₃ emissions
coincide with cool fires
that would tend to
smoulder (inefficient)




Top-down vs Best Performing Inventory Emissions



Top-down NO_x emissions [kt NO]



Top-down NH_3 emissions [kt]



Top-down minus bottom-up emissions [kt]



Observationally constrained ozone production from biomass burning NO_x emissions in southern Africa:

25 Tg O_3

Emissions uncertainty estimate
(mostly due to instruments):

31% for NO_x , 33% for NH_3

$\text{NO}_x: 1.9 \pm 0.6 \text{ Tg NO}$

$\text{NH}_3: 1.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ Tg}$

Summary

Top-down approach could be further refined with more complex inverse modelling methods or with iteration. Regardless, highlights the large disparities between top-down and bottom-up emissions.

Inventories collocate NH_3 and NO_x emissions (smouldering and flaming fires), but these are mostly separate in the top-down estimates

Could adopt hybrid approach: FINN for smouldering fire emissions of NH_3 , VOCs, CO, organic aerosols and methane and GFAS or GFED for flaming fire emissions of NO_x , black carbon and CO_2

Choice of emission factors remains an issue without observations to constrain these

Critical need for observations to validate satellite observations and top-down estimates. Ideally in National Parks and in anticipation of geostationary Sentinel-4 IR instrument measuring NH_3 and CO (both markers of smouldering fires)

Invited contribution in Royal Society of Chemistry *Environmental Science: Atmospheres* journal (<https://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlelanding/2025/ea/d5ea00041f>)