

# Lipschitz estimates in quasi-Banach Schatten ideals

Ed McDonald  
Joint with F. Sukochev.

Penn State University

August 20, 2023

This talk is mostly about the following paper

M. , Sukochev, Lipschitz estimates in quasi-Banach Schatten ideals.  
*Math. Ann.* 383 (2022), no.1–2, 571–619.

# Plan for this talk

- ① Operator Lipschitz functions: some basic concepts and history.
- ② Some very light background on Schatten ideals: the problem with  $p < 1$ .
- ③ Besov spaces and wavelets
- ④ Some further directions

# Operator Lipschitz functions

Let  $H$  be a (complex and separable) Hilbert space, and denote the operator norm by  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be *operator Lipschitz* if there exists a constant  $C_f$  such that

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_\infty \leq C_f \|A - B\|_\infty, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H)$$

## Question (from Krein)

Is every Lipschitz function operator Lipschitz?

# Operator Lipschitz functions

Let  $H$  be a (complex and separable) Hilbert space, and denote the operator norm by  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be *operator Lipschitz* if there exists a constant  $C_f$  such that

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_\infty \leq C_f \|A - B\|_\infty, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H)$$

## Question (from Krein)

Is every Lipschitz function operator Lipschitz? That is, does  $|f(t) - f(s)| \lesssim |t - s|$  imply that  $\|f(A) - f(B)\|_\infty \lesssim \|A - B\|_\infty$ ?

# Operator Lipschitz functions

Answer

No.

# Operator Lipschitz functions

## Answer

No.

Farforovskaya (1968): There exist Lipschitz functions that are not operator Lipschitz

# Operator Lipschitz functions

## Answer

No.

Farforovskaya (1968): There exist Lipschitz functions that are not operator Lipschitz

Kato (1973): The absolute value function  $f(t) = |t|$  is not operator Lipschitz



# Operator Lipschitz functions

## Answer

No.

Farforovskaya (1968): There exist Lipschitz functions that are not operator Lipschitz

Kato (1973): The absolute value function  $f(t) = |t|$  is not operator Lipschitz

Johnson & Williams (1975): An operator Lipschitz function is differentiable.

If  $H$  is  $N$ -dimensional, then

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_{\infty} \leq C_{\text{abs}} \log(1 + N) \|f\|_{\text{Lip}} \|A - B\|_{\infty}$$

where  $C_{\text{abs}}$  is an absolute constant. This is sharp in the order of growth as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . I do not know if a sharp estimate for  $C_{\text{abs}}$  is known.

# Operator Lipschitz functions

It is easy to check that sufficiently good functions are operator Lipschitz. Let's check the function  $f(x) = e^{i\xi x}$  for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have

$$e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B} = i\xi \int_0^1 e^{i\xi(1-\theta)A} (A - B) e^{i\xi\theta B} d\theta.$$

The integral converges in the Bochner sense. The triangle inequality implies

$$\|e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}\|_\infty \leq |\xi| \|A - B\|_\infty.$$

# Operator Lipschitz functions

It is easy to check that sufficiently good functions are operator Lipschitz. Let's check the function  $f(x) = e^{i\xi x}$  for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have

$$e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B} = i\xi \int_0^1 e^{i\xi(1-\theta)A} (A - B) e^{i\xi\theta B} d\theta.$$

The integral converges in the Bochner sense. The triangle inequality implies

$$\|e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}\|_\infty \leq |\xi| \|A - B\|_\infty.$$

By Fourier inversion,

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_\infty \leq \|A - B\|_\infty \cdot 2\pi \|\widehat{\partial f}\|_1.$$

# Operator Lipschitz functions

It is easy to check that sufficiently good functions are operator Lipschitz. Let's check the function  $f(x) = e^{i\xi x}$  for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have

$$e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B} = i\xi \int_0^1 e^{i\xi(1-\theta)A} (A - B) e^{i\xi\theta B} d\theta.$$

The integral converges in the Bochner sense. The triangle inequality implies

$$\|e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}\|_\infty \leq |\xi| \|A - B\|_\infty.$$

By Fourier inversion,

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_\infty \leq \|A - B\|_\infty \cdot 2\pi \|\widehat{\partial f}\|_1.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz,  $\|\widehat{\partial f}\|_1 \leq \|f'\|_2 + \|f''\|_2$ . This is a “good enough” sufficient condition for most purposes.

# Peller's theorem

The previous computation was based on Fourier inversion of  $f$  and a description of  $e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}$  as an integral (Duhamel's integral).

# Peller's theorem

The previous computation was based on Fourier inversion of  $f$  and a description of  $e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}$  as an integral (Duhamel's integral). Using a more subtle description of  $e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}$ , and handling the Littlewood-Paley components of  $f$  individually, V. V. Peller has proved the following:

## Theorem (Peller (1990))

*If  $f$  is Lipschitz and belongs to the homogeneous Besov class  $\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R})$  then  $f$  is operator Lipschitz.*

# Peller's theorem

The previous computation was based on Fourier inversion of  $f$  and a description of  $e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}$  as an integral (Duhamel's integral). Using a more subtle description of  $e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}$ , and handling the Littlewood-Paley components of  $f$  individually, V. V. Peller has proved the following:

## Theorem (Peller (1990))

*If  $f$  is Lipschitz and belongs to the homogeneous Besov class  $\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R})$  then  $f$  is operator Lipschitz.*

In other words, if

$$\int_0^\infty \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|f(t-h) - 2f(t) + f(t+h)|}{h^2} dh + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}, h > 0} \frac{|f(t+h) - f(t)|}{h} < \infty$$

then  $f$  is operator Lipschitz.



# Peller's theorem

The previous computation was based on Fourier inversion of  $f$  and a description of  $e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}$  as an integral (Duhamel's integral). Using a more subtle description of  $e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}$ , and handling the Littlewood-Paley components of  $f$  individually, V. V. Peller has proved the following:

## Theorem (Peller (1990))

*If  $f$  is Lipschitz and belongs to the homogeneous Besov class  $\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R})$  then  $f$  is operator Lipschitz.*

In other words, if

$$\int_0^\infty \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|f(t-h) - 2f(t) + f(t+h)|}{h^2} dh + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}, h > 0} \frac{|f(t+h) - f(t)|}{h} < \infty$$

then  $f$  is operator Lipschitz. For example, if  $f' \in W_\infty^1(\mathbb{R})$  then  $f$  is operator Lipschitz.

# Peller's operator Bernstein inequality

The classical Bernstein inequality states that if  $f \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$  has Fourier transform supported in the interval  $[-\sigma, \sigma]$ , then

$$\|f\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq C\sigma \|f\|_\infty.$$

## Theorem (Peller (1990))

*If  $f \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$  has Fourier transform supported in the interval  $[-\sigma, \sigma]$ , then*

$$\|f\|_{\text{O-Lip}} \leq C\sigma \|f\|_\infty.$$

Here  $\|f\|_{\text{O-Lip}}$  is the operator Lipschitz seminorm, i.e.

$$\|f\|_{\text{O-Lip}} := \sup_{A=A^*, B=B^* \in \mathcal{B}(H)} \frac{\|f(A) - f(B)\|_\infty}{\|A - B\|_\infty}.$$

If  $T$  is a compact operator on  $H$ , the singular value sequence of  $T$  is defined as

$$\mu(k, T) := \inf\{\|T - R\|_\infty : \text{rank}(R) \leq k\}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

(Equivalently,  $\mu(T) = \{\mu(k, T)\}_{k=0}^\infty$  is the sequence of eigenvalues of the absolute value  $|T|$  arranged in non-increasing order with multiplicities.)

If  $T$  is a compact operator on  $H$ , the singular value sequence of  $T$  is defined as

$$\mu(k, T) := \inf\{\|T - R\|_\infty : \text{rank}(R) \leq k\}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

(Equivalently,  $\mu(T) = \{\mu(k, T)\}_{k=0}^\infty$  is the sequence of eigenvalues of the absolute value  $|T|$  arranged in non-increasing order with multiplicities.)

Note that  $\|T\|_\infty = \mu(0, T) = \|\mu(T)\|_{\ell_\infty}$ .

If  $T$  is a compact operator on  $H$ , the singular value sequence of  $T$  is defined as

$$\mu(k, T) := \inf\{\|T - R\|_\infty : \text{rank}(R) \leq k\}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

(Equivalently,  $\mu(T) = \{\mu(k, T)\}_{k=0}^\infty$  is the sequence of eigenvalues of the absolute value  $|T|$  arranged in non-increasing order with multiplicities.)

Note that  $\|T\|_\infty = \mu(0, T) = \|\mu(T)\|_{\ell_\infty}$ . For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the Schatten  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -norm of a compact operator  $T$  is

$$\|T\|_p := \|\mu(T)\|_{\ell_p} = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu(k, T)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Equivalently,  $\|T\|_p = \text{Tr}(|T|^p)^{1/p}$ . It is not obvious, but this is a norm (i.e.  $\|T + S\|_p \leq \|T\|_p + \|S\|_p$ ).

# $\mathcal{L}_p$ -operator Lipschitz functions

A function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is said to be  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -operator Lipschitz if there exists a constant  $C_f > 0$  such that

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p \leq C_f \|A - B\|_p, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H).$$

By a duality argument,  $\mathcal{L}_1$ -operator Lipschitz is the same thing as operator Lipschitz.

# $\mathcal{L}_p$ -operator Lipschitz functions

A function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is said to be  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -operator Lipschitz if there exists a constant  $C_f > 0$  such that

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p \leq C_f \|A - B\|_p, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H).$$

By a duality argument,  $\mathcal{L}_1$ -operator Lipschitz is the same thing as operator Lipschitz.

What about  $1 < p < \infty$ ?

# $\mathcal{L}_p$ -operator Lipschitz functions

A function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is said to be  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -operator Lipschitz if there exists a constant  $C_f > 0$  such that

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p \leq C_f \|A - B\|_p, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H).$$

By a duality argument,  $\mathcal{L}_1$ -operator Lipschitz is the same thing as operator Lipschitz.

What about  $1 < p < \infty$ ?

**Theorem (Potapov and Sukochev (2010))**

*For  $1 < p < \infty$ , all Lipschitz functions are  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -operator Lipschitz.*



# $\mathcal{L}_p$ -operator Lipschitz functions

A function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is said to be  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -operator Lipschitz if there exists a constant  $C_f > 0$  such that

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p \leq C_f \|A - B\|_p, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H).$$

By a duality argument,  $\mathcal{L}_1$ -operator Lipschitz is the same thing as operator Lipschitz.

What about  $1 < p < \infty$ ?

Theorem (Potapov and Sukochev (2010))

*For  $1 < p < \infty$ , all Lipschitz functions are  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -operator Lipschitz.*

For  $p = 2$  this is almost trivial and has been known for approx. 110 years.  
For  $p \neq 2$ , this requires some very deep harmonic analysis.

# $\mathcal{L}_p$ -operator Lipschitz functions

A function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is said to be  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -operator Lipschitz if there exists a constant  $C_f > 0$  such that

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p \leq C_f \|A - B\|_p, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H).$$

By a duality argument,  $\mathcal{L}_1$ -operator Lipschitz is the same thing as operator Lipschitz.

What about  $1 < p < \infty$ ?

Theorem (Potapov and Sukochev (2010))

*For  $1 < p < \infty$ , all Lipschitz functions are  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -operator Lipschitz.*

For  $p = 2$  this is almost trivial and has been known for approx. 110 years. For  $p \neq 2$ , this requires some very deep harmonic analysis. Last year, Conde-Alonso, González-Pérez, Parcet and Tablate have a new proof using operator-valued harmonic analysis.

# What about $0 < p < 1$ ?

For  $0 < p < 1$ , we can still define

$$\|T\|_p := \|\mu(T)\|_{\ell_p} = \text{Tr}(|T|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This is not a norm, merely a quasi-norm. There is no triangle inequality, merely a quasi-triangle inequality

$$\|T + S\|_p \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}(\|T\|_p + \|S\|_p).$$

# What about $0 < p < 1$ ?

For  $0 < p < 1$ , we can still define

$$\|T\|_p := \|\mu(T)\|_{\ell_p} = \text{Tr}(|T|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This is not a norm, merely a quasi-norm. There is no triangle inequality, merely a quasi-triangle inequality

$$\|T + S\|_p \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}(\|T\|_p + \|S\|_p).$$

While it still has a complete translation-invariant metric,  $\mathcal{L}_p$  for  $0 < p < 1$  can seem quite pathological:

# What about $0 < p < 1$ ?

For  $0 < p < 1$ , we can still define

$$\|T\|_p := \|\mu(T)\|_{\ell_p} = \text{Tr}(|T|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This is not a norm, merely a quasi-norm. There is no triangle inequality, merely a quasi-triangle inequality

$$\|T + S\|_p \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}(\|T\|_p + \|S\|_p).$$

While it still has a complete translation-invariant metric,  $\mathcal{L}_p$  for  $0 < p < 1$  can seem quite pathological:

- There is *no* Hahn-Banach theorem for  $\mathcal{L}_p$ ;

# What about $0 < p < 1$ ?

For  $0 < p < 1$ , we can still define

$$\|T\|_p := \|\mu(T)\|_{\ell_p} = \text{Tr}(|T|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This is not a norm, merely a quasi-norm. There is no triangle inequality, merely a quasi-triangle inequality

$$\|T + S\|_p \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}(\|T\|_p + \|S\|_p).$$

While it still has a complete translation-invariant metric,  $\mathcal{L}_p$  for  $0 < p < 1$  can seem quite pathological:

- There is *no* Hahn-Banach theorem for  $\mathcal{L}_p$ ;
- The unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}_p$  is not convex;

# What about $0 < p < 1$ ?

For  $0 < p < 1$ , we can still define

$$\|T\|_p := \|\mu(T)\|_{\ell_p} = \text{Tr}(|T|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This is not a norm, merely a quasi-norm. There is no triangle inequality, merely a quasi-triangle inequality

$$\|T + S\|_p \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}(\|T\|_p + \|S\|_p).$$

While it still has a complete translation-invariant metric,  $\mathcal{L}_p$  for  $0 < p < 1$  can seem quite pathological:

- There is *no* Hahn-Banach theorem for  $\mathcal{L}_p$ ;
- The unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}_p$  is not convex;
- There is *no* Bochner integration theory for  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -valued functions.

# $\mathcal{L}_p$ -Lipschitz functions for $0 < p < 1$ .

Which functions are Lipschitz in  $\mathcal{L}_p$  when  $0 < p < 1$ ?



## $\mathcal{L}_p$ -Lipschitz functions for $0 < p < 1$ .

Which functions are Lipschitz in  $\mathcal{L}_p$  when  $0 < p < 1$ ?

At least some functions are, for example  $f(t) = (t + \lambda)^{-1}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ .

# $\mathcal{L}_p$ -Lipschitz functions for $0 < p < 1$ .

Which functions are Lipschitz in  $\mathcal{L}_p$  when  $0 < p < 1$ ?

At least some functions are, for example  $f(t) = (t + \lambda)^{-1}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ .

What about  $f(t) = \exp(it\xi)$  for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ ?

Periodic functions are not  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -Lipschitz for  $0 < p < 1$ .

A first hint that the  $0 < p < 1$  case is interesting comes from the following:

**Lemma (M. and Sukochev (2022))**

*Let  $0 < p < 1$ , and let  $f$  be a periodic function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -Lipschitz if and only if it is constant.*

What does this imply?

# Periodic functions are not $\mathcal{L}_p$ -Lipschitz for $0 < p < 1$ .

A first hint that the  $0 < p < 1$  case is interesting comes from the following:

## Lemma (M. and Sukochev (2022))

*Let  $0 < p < 1$ , and let  $f$  be a periodic function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -Lipschitz if and only if it is constant.*

What does this imply?

- Even  $C^\infty$  functions with all derivatives bounded may not be  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -Lipschitz;
- In particular  $f(t) = \exp(it\xi)$ ,  $\xi \neq 0$  is not  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -Lipschitz for any  $0 < p < 1$ . This means that methods based on a Fourier decomposition are unlikely to work.

# Strategies to get $\mathcal{L}_p$ -operator Lipschitz estimates

In the  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$  case, we started with a class of functions  $\{\exp(i\xi x)\}_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}}$  for which Lipschitz estimates are easy, and derived a more general class by taking convex combinations.

If we could find some set  $\{\psi_j\}$  of functions which we know are  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -Lipschitz, then we could conclude that functions of the form

$$\sum_j c_j \psi_j$$

are also  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -operator Lipschitz.

# Strategies to get $\mathcal{L}_p$ -operator Lipschitz estimates

We know that if  $f_\lambda(t) = (t + \lambda)^{-1}$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\|f_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{L}_p\text{-Lip}} \leq |\Im(\lambda)|^{-2}.$$

Essentially every smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}$  belongs to the closed convex hull of  $\{f_\lambda\}_{\Im(\lambda) \neq 0}$ .

# Strategies to get $\mathcal{L}_p$ -operator Lipschitz estimates

We know that if  $f_\lambda(t) = (t + \lambda)^{-1}$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\|f_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{L}_p\text{-Lip}} \leq |\Im(\lambda)|^{-2}.$$

Essentially every smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}$  belongs to the closed convex hull of  $\{f_\lambda\}_{\Im(\lambda) \neq 0}$ .

I tried for a long time to characterize functions  $f$  having a decomposition like

$$f(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j |\Im(\lambda_j)|^2 f_{\lambda_j}(t)$$

where  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |c_j|^p < \infty$ , but with no success.

It is possible to prove that if  $f$  is a compactly supported  $C^k$  function where  $k > \frac{1}{p}$  then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -Lipschitz.



It is possible to prove that if  $f$  is a compactly supported  $C^k$  function where  $k > \frac{1}{p}$  then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -Lipschitz. What is a good way of approximating a general function from compactly supported  $C^k$ -functions?

It is possible to prove that if  $f$  is a compactly supported  $C^k$  function where  $k > \frac{1}{p}$  then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -Lipschitz. What is a good way of approximating a general function from compactly supported  $C^k$ -functions?

## Theorem (Daubechies (1988))

*For all  $k > 0$ , there exists a compactly supported  $C^k$  function  $\psi$  such that the system of translations and dilations*

$$\psi_{j,k}(t) := 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \psi(2^j t - k), \quad j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

*forms an orthonormal basis of  $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ .*

# A new result

Using wavelet methods we can get the following:

## Theorem (M. and Sukochev (2022))

Let  $0 < p < 1$ . Let  $f \in \dot{B}_{\frac{p}{1-p}, p}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\mathbb{R})$  be Lipschitz continuous. Then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -Lipschitz and

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p \leq C_p(\|f'\|_\infty + \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\frac{p}{1-p}, p}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\mathbb{R})})\|A - B\|_p, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H).$$

# A new result

Using wavelet methods we can get the following:

## Theorem (M. and Sukochev (2022))

Let  $0 < p < 1$ . Let  $f \in \dot{B}_{\frac{p}{1-p}, p}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\mathbb{R})$  be Lipschitz continuous. Then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -Lipschitz and

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p \leq C_p(\|f'\|_\infty + \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\frac{p}{1-p}, p}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\mathbb{R})})\|A - B\|_p, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H).$$

In other words, we require that  $f$  be Lipschitz and for some  $n > \frac{1}{p}$  that

$$\int_0^\infty \left( \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} f(t + kh) \right|^{\frac{p}{1-p}} dt \right)^{1-p} \frac{dh}{h^2} < \infty.$$

# A new result

Using wavelet methods we can get the following:

## Theorem (M. and Sukochev (2022))

Let  $0 < p < 1$ . Let  $f \in \dot{B}_{\frac{p}{1-p}, p}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\mathbb{R})$  be Lipschitz continuous. Then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -Lipschitz and

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p \leq C_p(\|f'\|_\infty + \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\frac{p}{1-p}, p}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\mathbb{R})})\|A - B\|_p, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H).$$

In other words, we require that  $f$  be Lipschitz and for some  $n > \frac{1}{p}$  that

$$\int_0^\infty \left( \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} f(t + kh) \right|^{\frac{p}{1-p}} dt \right)^{1-p} \frac{dh}{h^2} < \infty.$$

For example, if  $f' \in W_{\frac{p}{p-1}}^k(\mathbb{R})$  where  $k > \frac{1}{p} - 1$  then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -Lipschitz.

# What else can we do?

Wavelets are not new, but their application to this theory is.

# What else can we do?

Wavelets are not new, but their application to this theory is. Some other things we can achieve:

- For all  $n \geq 0$ , the inequality

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \mu(k, f(A) - f(B))^p \lesssim (\|f'\|_\infty + \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\frac{p}{p-1}, p}^{\frac{1}{p}}}) \sum_{k=0}^n \mu(k, A - B)^p$$

(this recovers the previous result with  $n = \infty$ .)

# What else can we do?

Wavelets are not new, but their application to this theory is. Some other things we can achieve:

- For all  $n \geq 0$ , the inequality

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \mu(k, f(A) - f(B))^p \lesssim (\|f'\|_\infty + \|f\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{p}, p}_{\frac{p}{p-1}}}) \sum_{k=0}^n \mu(k, A - B)^p$$

(this recovers the previous result with  $n = \infty$ .)

- Hölder-type estimates of the form

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p \lesssim_f \| |A - B|^\alpha \|_p$$

for  $f$  in some Besov space.



Wavelets are analogous to Fourier series, in the sense that if

$$f(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{j,k} \psi_{j,k}(t)$$

then the coefficients  $c_{j,k}$  for  $j > N$  represent oscillations of  $f$  on the scale  $\sim 2^{-N}$ . A function of the form

$$f(t) = \sum_{j < N} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{j,k} \psi_{j,k}(t)$$

does not oscillate greatly on scales smaller than  $2^{-N}$ . This is similar to functions with Fourier transform supported in  $[-2^N, 2^N]$ .

# Wavelet Bernstein inequality

## Theorem

Let  $f \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$  have Wavelet expansion

$$f(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{j,k} \psi_{j,k}(t)$$

where  $c_{j,k} = 0$  for  $k > N$ . Then

$$\|f\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq C 2^N \|f\|_\infty.$$

# An $\mathcal{L}_p$ -Lipschitz Bernstein inequality

## Theorem

Let  $f \in L_{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\mathbb{R})$  have Wavelet expansion

$$f(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{j,k} \psi_{j,k}(t)$$

where  $c_{j,k} = 0$  for  $k > N$ . Then

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_p\text{-Lip}} \leq C 2^{\frac{N}{p}} \|f\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}}.$$

With  $p = 1$ , this is the wavelet analogy of Peller's Bernstein inequality. For  $p < 1$  it is new.

# Wavelets and Besov spaces

It follows from the Wavelet Bernstein inequality that Besov spaces have a very simple characterisation in terms of wavelet coefficients.

## Theorem (Meyer (1986))

*Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p, q \in (0, \infty]$ . Let  $\psi$  be a compactly supported  $C^k$  wavelet where  $k > -s$ . Then a distribution  $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$  belongs to the homogeneous Besov space  $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R})$  if and only if*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} \approx \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jq(s + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, \psi_{j,k} \rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} < \infty.$$

Thank you for listening!