

Lipschitz estimates in Operator ideals

Edward McDonald (Penn State University)

George Mason University, January 2025

January 28, 2025

This talk is mostly based on the paper

M., Sukochev, Lipschitz estimates in quasi-Banach Schatten ideals. *Math. Ann.* 383 (2022), no.1–2, 571–619.

Plan for this talk

- ① Operator Lipschitz functions: some basic concepts and history.
- ② Some very light background on Schatten ideals
- ③ Schur multipliers and the Löwner identity
- ④ Approximation methods (Besov spaces and wavelets)

Operator Lipschitz functions

Let H be a (complex and separable) Hilbert space, and denote the operator norm by $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. A function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be *operator Lipschitz* if there exists a constant C_f such that

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_\infty \leq C_f \|A - B\|_\infty, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H)$$

Question (from Krein)

Is every Lipschitz function operator Lipschitz?

Operator Lipschitz functions

Let H be a (complex and separable) Hilbert space, and denote the operator norm by $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. A function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be *operator Lipschitz* if there exists a constant C_f such that

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_\infty \leq C_f \|A - B\|_\infty, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H)$$

Question (from Krein)

Is every Lipschitz function operator Lipschitz? That is, does $|f(t) - f(s)| \lesssim |t - s|$ imply that $\|f(A) - f(B)\|_\infty \lesssim \|A - B\|_\infty$?

Operator Lipschitz functions

Answer

No.

Operator Lipschitz functions

Answer

No.

Farforovskaya (1968): There exist Lipschitz functions that are not operator Lipschitz

Operator Lipschitz functions

Answer

No.

Farforovskaya (1968): There exist Lipschitz functions that are not operator Lipschitz

Kato (1973): The absolute value function $f(t) = |t|$ is not operator Lipschitz

Operator Lipschitz functions

Answer

No.

Farforovskaya (1968): There exist Lipschitz functions that are not operator Lipschitz

Kato (1973): The absolute value function $f(t) = |t|$ is not operator Lipschitz

Johnson & Williams (1975): An operator Lipschitz function is differentiable.

If H is N -dimensional, then

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_{\infty} \leq C_{\text{abs}} \log(1 + N) \|f\|_{\text{Lip}} \|A - B\|_{\infty}$$

where C_{abs} is an absolute constant. This is sharp in the order of growth as $N \rightarrow \infty$. I do not know if a sharp estimate for C_{abs} is known.

Operator Lipschitz functions

It is easy to check that sufficiently good functions are operator Lipschitz. Let's check the function $f(x) = e^{i\xi x}$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B} = i\xi \int_0^1 e^{i\xi(1-\theta)A} (A - B) e^{i\xi\theta B} d\theta.$$

The integral converges in the Bochner sense. The triangle inequality implies

$$\|e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}\|_\infty \leq |\xi| \|A - B\|_\infty.$$

Operator Lipschitz functions

It is easy to check that sufficiently good functions are operator Lipschitz. Let's check the function $f(x) = e^{i\xi x}$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B} = i\xi \int_0^1 e^{i\xi(1-\theta)A} (A - B) e^{i\xi\theta B} d\theta.$$

The integral converges in the Bochner sense. The triangle inequality implies

$$\|e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}\|_\infty \leq |\xi| \|A - B\|_\infty.$$

By Fourier inversion,

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_\infty \leq \|A - B\|_\infty \cdot 2\pi \|\widehat{\partial f}\|_1.$$

Operator Lipschitz functions

It is easy to check that sufficiently good functions are operator Lipschitz. Let's check the function $f(x) = e^{i\xi x}$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B} = i\xi \int_0^1 e^{i\xi(1-\theta)A} (A - B) e^{i\xi\theta B} d\theta.$$

The integral converges in the Bochner sense. The triangle inequality implies

$$\|e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}\|_\infty \leq |\xi| \|A - B\|_\infty.$$

By Fourier inversion,

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_\infty \leq \|A - B\|_\infty \cdot 2\pi \|\widehat{\partial f}\|_1.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz, $\|\widehat{\partial f}\|_1 \leq \|f'\|_2 + \|f''\|_2$. This is a “good enough” sufficient condition for most purposes.

Peller's theorem

The previous computation was based on Fourier inversion of f and a description of $e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}$ as an integral (Duhamel's integral).

Peller's theorem

The previous computation was based on Fourier inversion of f and a description of $e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}$ as an integral (Duhamel's integral). Using a more subtle description of $e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}$, and handling the Littlewood-Paley components of f individually, V. V. Peller has proved the following:

Theorem (Peller (1990))

If f is Lipschitz and belongs to the homogeneous Besov class $\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R})$ then f is operator Lipschitz.

Peller's theorem

The previous computation was based on Fourier inversion of f and a description of $e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}$ as an integral (Duhamel's integral). Using a more subtle description of $e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}$, and handling the Littlewood-Paley components of f individually, V. V. Peller has proved the following:

Theorem (Peller (1990))

If f is Lipschitz and belongs to the homogeneous Besov class $\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R})$ then f is operator Lipschitz.

In other words, if f is Lipschitz and

$$\int_0^\infty \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|f(t-h) - 2f(t) + f(t+h)|}{h^2} dh < \infty$$

then f is operator Lipschitz.

Peller's theorem

The previous computation was based on Fourier inversion of f and a description of $e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}$ as an integral (Duhamel's integral). Using a more subtle description of $e^{i\xi A} - e^{i\xi B}$, and handling the Littlewood-Paley components of f individually, V. V. Peller has proved the following:

Theorem (Peller (1990))

If f is Lipschitz and belongs to the homogeneous Besov class $\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R})$ then f is operator Lipschitz.

In other words, if f is Lipschitz and

$$\int_0^\infty \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|f(t-h) - 2f(t) + f(t+h)|}{h^2} dh < \infty$$

then f is operator Lipschitz. For example, if $f', f'' \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ then f is operator Lipschitz.

Peller's operator Bernstein inequality

The classical Bernstein inequality states that if $f \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ has Fourier transform supported in the interval $[-\sigma, \sigma]$, then

$$\|f\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq C\sigma \|f\|_\infty.$$

Peller's operator Bernstein inequality

The classical Bernstein inequality states that if $f \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ has Fourier transform supported in the interval $[-\sigma, \sigma]$, then

$$\|f\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq C\sigma \|f\|_\infty.$$

Peller's theorem is a consequence of his *operator Bernstein inequality*.

Theorem (Peller (1990))

If $f \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ has Fourier transform supported in the interval $[-\sigma, \sigma]$, then

$$\|f\|_{\text{O-Lip}} \leq C\sigma \|f\|_\infty.$$

Here $\|f\|_{\text{O-Lip}}$ is the operator Lipschitz seminorm, i.e.

$$\|f\|_{\text{O-Lip}} := \sup_{A=A^*, B=B^* \in \mathcal{B}(H)} \frac{\|f(A) - f(B)\|_\infty}{\|A - B\|_\infty}.$$

If T is a compact operator on H , the singular value sequence of T is defined as

$$\mu(k, T) := \inf\{\|T - R\|_\infty : \text{rank}(R) \leq k\}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

(Equivalently, $\mu(T) = \{\mu(k, T)\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is the sequence of eigenvalues of the absolute value $|T|$ arranged in non-increasing order with multiplicities.)

If T is a compact operator on H , the singular value sequence of T is defined as

$$\mu(k, T) := \inf\{\|T - R\|_\infty : \text{rank}(R) \leq k\}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

(Equivalently, $\mu(T) = \{\mu(k, T)\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is the sequence of eigenvalues of the absolute value $|T|$ arranged in non-increasing order with multiplicities.)

Note that $\|T\|_\infty = \mu(0, T) = \|\mu(T)\|_{\ell_\infty}$.

If T is a compact operator on H , the singular value sequence of T is defined as

$$\mu(k, T) := \inf\{\|T - R\|_\infty : \text{rank}(R) \leq k\}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

(Equivalently, $\mu(T) = \{\mu(k, T)\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is the sequence of eigenvalues of the absolute value $|T|$ arranged in non-increasing order with multiplicities.)

Note that $\|T\|_\infty = \mu(0, T) = \|\mu(T)\|_{\ell_\infty}$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the Schatten \mathcal{L}_p -norm of a compact operator T is

$$\|T\|_p := \|\mu(T)\|_{\ell_p} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu(k, T)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Equivalently, $\|T\|_p = \text{Tr}(|T|^p)^{1/p}$. It is not obvious, but this is a norm (i.e. $\|T + S\|_p \leq \|T\|_p + \|S\|_p$).

\mathcal{L}_p -operator Lipschitz functions

A function f on \mathbb{R} is said to be \mathcal{L}_p -operator Lipschitz if there exists a constant $C_f > 0$ such that

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p \leq C_f \|A - B\|_p, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H).$$

By a duality argument, \mathcal{L}_1 -operator Lipschitz is the same thing as operator Lipschitz.

\mathcal{L}_p -operator Lipschitz functions

A function f on \mathbb{R} is said to be \mathcal{L}_p -operator Lipschitz if there exists a constant $C_f > 0$ such that

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p \leq C_f \|A - B\|_p, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H).$$

By a duality argument, \mathcal{L}_1 -operator Lipschitz is the same thing as operator Lipschitz.

What about $1 < p < \infty$?

\mathcal{L}_p -operator Lipschitz functions

A function f on \mathbb{R} is said to be \mathcal{L}_p -operator Lipschitz if there exists a constant $C_f > 0$ such that

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p \leq C_f \|A - B\|_p, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H).$$

By a duality argument, \mathcal{L}_1 -operator Lipschitz is the same thing as operator Lipschitz.

What about $1 < p < \infty$?

Theorem (Potapov and Sukochev (2010))

For $1 < p < \infty$, all Lipschitz functions are \mathcal{L}_p -operator Lipschitz.

\mathcal{L}_p -operator Lipschitz functions

A function f on \mathbb{R} is said to be \mathcal{L}_p -operator Lipschitz if there exists a constant $C_f > 0$ such that

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p \leq C_f \|A - B\|_p, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H).$$

By a duality argument, \mathcal{L}_1 -operator Lipschitz is the same thing as operator Lipschitz.

What about $1 < p < \infty$?

Theorem (Potapov and Sukochev (2010))

For $1 < p < \infty$, all Lipschitz functions are \mathcal{L}_p -operator Lipschitz.

For $p = 2$ this is almost trivial and has been known for approx. 110 years. For $p \neq 2$, this requires some very deep harmonic analysis.

\mathcal{L}_p -operator Lipschitz functions

A function f on \mathbb{R} is said to be \mathcal{L}_p -operator Lipschitz if there exists a constant $C_f > 0$ such that

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p \leq C_f \|A - B\|_p, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H).$$

By a duality argument, \mathcal{L}_1 -operator Lipschitz is the same thing as operator Lipschitz.

What about $1 < p < \infty$?

Theorem (Potapov and Sukochev (2010))

For $1 < p < \infty$, all Lipschitz functions are \mathcal{L}_p -operator Lipschitz.

For $p = 2$ this is almost trivial and has been known for approx. 110 years. For $p \neq 2$, this requires some very deep harmonic analysis. There are now essentially four proofs of Potapov-Sukochev. The most recent is due to Conde-Alonso, González-Pérez, Parcet and Tablate and uses operator-valued harmonic analysis.

What about $0 < p < 1$?

For $0 < p < 1$, we can still define

$$\|T\|_p := \|\mu(T)\|_{\ell_p} = \text{Tr}(|T|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This is not a norm, merely a quasi-norm. There is no triangle inequality, merely a quasi-triangle inequality

$$\|T + S\|_p \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}(\|T\|_p + \|S\|_p).$$

What about $0 < p < 1$?

For $0 < p < 1$, we can still define

$$\|T\|_p := \|\mu(T)\|_{\ell_p} = \text{Tr}(|T|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This is not a norm, merely a quasi-norm. There is no triangle inequality, merely a quasi-triangle inequality

$$\|T + S\|_p \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}(\|T\|_p + \|S\|_p).$$

Nonetheless, we have

$$\|T + S\|_p^p \leq \|T\|_p^p + \|S\|_p^p.$$

Geometry in \mathcal{L}_p .

The unit ball $B = \{T : \|T\|_p \leq 1\}$ in \mathcal{L}_p is not convex.
i.e., if $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in B$ then it might happen that

$$\theta_1 \xi_1 + \dots + \theta_n \xi_n \notin B, \quad |\theta_1| + \dots + |\theta_n| \leq 1.$$

For this reason the theory of integration \mathcal{L}_p -valued functions is not straightforward. We could have continuous functions $f \in C([0, 1], \mathcal{L}_p)$ whose integral is not in \mathcal{L}_p .

Geometry in \mathcal{L}_p .

The unit ball $B = \{T : \|T\|_p \leq 1\}$ in \mathcal{L}_p is not convex.
i.e., if $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in B$ then it might happen that

$$\theta_1 \xi_1 + \dots + \theta_n \xi_n \notin B, \quad |\theta_1| + \dots + |\theta_n| \leq 1.$$

For this reason the theory of integration \mathcal{L}_p -valued functions is not straightforward. We could have continuous functions $f \in C([0, 1], \mathcal{L}_p)$ whose integral is not in \mathcal{L}_p .

Instead, B is only closed under p -convex combinations, i.e.

$$\theta_1 \xi_1 + \dots + \theta_n \xi_n \in B, \quad |\theta_1|^p + \dots + |\theta_n|^p \leq 1.$$

\mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz functions for $0 < p < 1$.

Which functions are Lipschitz in \mathcal{L}_p when $0 < p < 1$?

\mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz functions for $0 < p < 1$.

Which functions are Lipschitz in \mathcal{L}_p when $0 < p < 1$?

At least some functions are, for example $f(t) = (t + \lambda)^{-1}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

\mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz functions for $0 < p < 1$.

Which functions are Lipschitz in \mathcal{L}_p when $0 < p < 1$?

At least some functions are, for example $f(t) = (t + \lambda)^{-1}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

What about $f(t) = \exp(it\xi)$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$?

Schur multipliers

The usual method to prove \mathcal{L}_p -estimates in $0 < p < 1$ is the same as for $p \geq 1$, to use Schur multipliers.

If $A = \{A_{j,k}\}_{j,k}$ and $B = \{B_{j,k}\}_{j,k}$ are matrices of the same size, then $A \circ B := \{A_{j,k} B_{j,k}\}_{j,k}$.

Definition

Let $m = \{m_{j,k}\}_{j,k=1}^n$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. Define

$$\|m\|_{\mathfrak{m}_p} := \sup_{\|B\|_p \leq 1} \|m \circ B\|_p.$$

In general, let $m : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be bounded and define

$$\|m\|_{\mathfrak{m}_p} := \sup_{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{R}} \|\{m(x_j, y_k)\}_{j,k=1}^n\|_{\mathfrak{m}_p}.$$

Schur multipliers and operator-Lipschitz functions

A folk result:

Theorem (Hadamard(?), Schur(?), Löwner(?), Daletskii-Krein(?))

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and let f be a measurable function on \mathbb{R} . Define

$$f^{[1]}(\lambda, \mu) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\lambda) - f(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu}, & \lambda \neq \mu \\ f'(\lambda), & \lambda = \mu. \end{cases}$$

Then f is \mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz if and only if $f^{[1]}$ is a bounded Schur multiplier.

Schur multipliers and operator-Lipschitz functions

A folk result:

Theorem (Hadamard(?), Schur(?), Löwner(?), Daletskii-Krein(?))

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and let f be a measurable function on \mathbb{R} . Define

$$f^{[1]}(\lambda, \mu) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\lambda) - f(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu}, & \lambda \neq \mu \\ f'(\lambda), & \lambda = \mu. \end{cases}$$

Then f is \mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz if and only if $f^{[1]}$ is a bounded Schur multiplier.

If f is not differentiable then $f'(\lambda)$ does not make sense everywhere, but this is no big deal. Bounded diagonal matrices are Schur multipliers in \mathcal{L}_p for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ so we can make $f^{[1]}(\lambda, \lambda)$ any bounded function of λ without changing the result.

Schur multipliers in \mathcal{L}_p

One noteworthy difference between $p = 1$ and $p < 1$ is the following example:

Example

Let $I_n = \{\delta_{j,k}\}_{j,k=0}^{n-1}$ be the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Then

$$\|I_n\|_{\mathfrak{M}_p} = n^{\frac{1}{p}-1}.$$

To see this, compute $I_n \circ (\xi \otimes \xi)$ where $\xi = (1, \dots, 1)$.

Schur multipliers in \mathcal{L}_p

One noteworthy difference between $p = 1$ and $p < 1$ is the following example:

Example

Let $I_n = \{\delta_{j,k}\}_{j,k=0}^{n-1}$ be the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Then

$$\|I_n\|_{\mathfrak{M}_p} = n^{\frac{1}{p}-1}.$$

To see this, compute $I_n \circ (\xi \otimes \xi)$ where $\xi = (1, \dots, 1)$.

What this means is that restriction to the diagonal

$$\{A_{j,k}\}_{j,k \geq 0} \mapsto \{A_{j,j} \delta_{j,k}\}_{j,k=0}^{\infty}$$

is not bounded in \mathcal{L}_p for any $0 < p < 1$!

Schur multipliers and operator-Lipschitz functions in \mathcal{L}_p for $0 < p < 1$.

Theorem

Let $0 < p \leq \infty$. and let f be a measurable function on \mathbb{R} . Then f is \mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz if and only if

$$\sup_{\{\lambda_j\}, \{\mu_j\}} \|\{f^{[1]}(\lambda_j, \mu_k)\}_{j,k}\|_{\mathfrak{m}_p} < \infty$$

where the supremum is over all disjoint sequences $\{\lambda_j\}_j$ and $\{\mu_k\}_k$.

Since we only consider disjoint sequences, the diagonal does not enter the picture.

An example

Consider the function

$$f(x) = \sin(x)$$

and $\mu_j = \lambda_j = 2\pi j$. Then

$$f^{[1]}(\lambda_j, \mu_k) = \delta_{j,k}.$$

But this is not a Schur multiplier of \mathcal{L}_p ! The same reasoning applies to any periodic function f with $f'(\lambda) \neq 0$ for some λ .

An example

Consider the function

$$f(x) = \sin(x)$$

and $\mu_j = \lambda_j = 2\pi j$. Then

$$f^{[1]}(\lambda_j, \mu_k) = \delta_{j,k}.$$

But this is not a Schur multiplier of \mathcal{L}_p ! The same reasoning applies to any periodic function f with $f'(\lambda) \neq 0$ for some λ . This argument is obviously flawed, we are supposed to consider *disjoint* sequences.

A corrected example

We can fix the previous (wrong) argument by shifting one of the sequences by $\varepsilon > 0$. Let f be a 1-periodic function. Consider the sequences

$$\lambda_j = j + \varepsilon, \mu_k = k, \quad j, k \geq 0.$$

Then

$$f^{[1]}(\lambda_j, \mu_k) = \frac{f(j + \varepsilon) - f(k)}{j - k + \varepsilon} = (f(\varepsilon) - f(0)) \frac{1}{j - k + \varepsilon}, \quad j, k \geq 0.$$

If $f(\varepsilon) \neq f(0)$, then we need to consider the matrix

$$\{(j - k + \varepsilon)^{-1}\}_{j, k \geq 0}.$$

This matrix is not diagonal, but a straightforward modification of the argument for $\{\delta_{j, k}\}_{j, k \geq 0}$ shows that it is not a Schur multiplier of \mathcal{L}_p for any $0 < p < 1$.

A corrected example

We can fix the previous (wrong) argument by shifting one of the sequences by $\varepsilon > 0$. Let f be a 1-periodic function. Consider the sequences

$$\lambda_j = j + \varepsilon, \mu_k = k, \quad j, k \geq 0.$$

Then

$$f^{[1]}(\lambda_j, \mu_k) = \frac{f(j + \varepsilon) - f(k)}{j - k + \varepsilon} = (f(\varepsilon) - f(0)) \frac{1}{j - k + \varepsilon}, \quad j, k \geq 0.$$

If $f(\varepsilon) \neq f(0)$, then we need to consider the matrix

$$\{(j - k + \varepsilon)^{-1}\}_{j, k \geq 0}.$$

This matrix is not diagonal, but a straightforward modification of the argument for $\{\delta_{j, k}\}_{j, k \geq 0}$ shows that it is not a Schur multiplier of \mathcal{L}_p for any $0 < p < 1$. Aleksandrov and Peller have characterised Schur multipliers of \mathcal{L}_p of the Herz-Toeplitz form $m(j - k)$, so we could also use their result.

Periodic functions are not \mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz for $0 < p < 1$.

Summarising the preceding reasoning:

Lemma (M. and Sukochev (2022))

Let $0 < p < 1$, and let f be a periodic function on \mathbb{R} . Then f is \mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz if and only if it is constant.

What does this imply?

Periodic functions are not \mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz for $0 < p < 1$.

Summarising the preceding reasoning:

Lemma (M. and Sukochev (2022))

Let $0 < p < 1$, and let f be a periodic function on \mathbb{R} . Then f is \mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz if and only if it is constant.

What does this imply?

- Even C^∞ functions with all derivatives bounded may not be \mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz;
- In particular $f(t) = \exp(it\xi)$, $\xi \neq 0$ is not \mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz for any $0 < p < 1$. This means that methods based on a Fourier decomposition are unlikely to work.

An idea

Consider the matrix

$$\left\{ \frac{c_j - c_k}{j - k + \varepsilon} \right\}_{j,k \geq 0} \quad (0.1)$$

where c_j is a scalar sequence. This is approximately a model for $f^{[1]}(j + \varepsilon, k)$ where f is a function of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \psi(x - k)$$

where ψ is some bump function.

Lemma

If $\sum_j |c_j|^{\frac{p}{1-p}} < \infty$ then (0.1) is a Schur multiplier of \mathcal{L}_p .

An idea

Consider the matrix

$$\left\{ \frac{c_j - c_k}{j - k + \varepsilon} \right\}_{j,k \geq 0} \quad (0.1)$$

where c_j is a scalar sequence. This is approximately a model for $f^{[1]}(j + \varepsilon, k)$ where f is a function of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \psi(x - k)$$

where ψ is some bump function.

Lemma

If $\sum_j |c_j|^{\frac{p}{1-p}} < \infty$ then (0.1) is a Schur multiplier of \mathcal{L}_p .

Why is it $\frac{p}{1-p}$? It comes down to the inequality

$$\left(\sum_j |a_j b_j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\sum_j |a_j|^{\frac{p}{1-p}} \right)^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \left(\sum_j |b_j| \right)$$

Sums of shifted bump functions

With considerably more effort, it is possible to prove the following:

Theorem

Let $\psi \in C_c^k(\mathbb{R})$, where $k > \frac{2}{p} - 1$. If $\{c_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is some scalar sequence, and

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \psi(x - k)$$

then

$$\|f^{[1]}\|_{m_p} \lesssim \|\{c_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell_{\frac{p}{1-p}}}.$$

What kind of functions can we build out of functions like this?

What is a good way of approximating a general function from compactly supported C^k -functions?

What is a good way of approximating a general function from compactly supported C^k -functions?

Theorem (Daubechies (1988))

For all $k > 0$, there exists a compactly supported C^k function ψ such that the system of translations and dilations

$$\psi_{j,k}(t) := 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \psi(2^j t - k), \quad j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

forms an orthonormal basis of $L_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Wavelets are analogous to Fourier series, in the sense that if

$$f(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{j,k} \psi_{j,k}(t)$$

then the coefficients $c_{j,k}$ for $j > N$ represent oscillations of f on the scale $\sim 2^{-N}$. A function of the form

$$f(t) = \sum_{j < N} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{j,k} \psi_{j,k}(t)$$

does not oscillate greatly on scales smaller than 2^{-N} . This is similar to functions with Fourier transform supported in $[-2^N, 2^N]$.

An \mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz Bernstein inequality

Theorem (M.-Sukochev (2022))

Let $f \in L_{\frac{p}{1-p}}(\mathbb{R})$ have Wavelet expansion

$$f(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{j,k} \psi_{j,k}(t)$$

where $c_{j,k} = 0$ for $k > N$. Then

$$\|f^{[1]}\|_{\mathfrak{m}_p} \leq C 2^{\frac{N}{p}} \|f\|_{\frac{p}{1-p}}.$$

With $p = 1$, this is the wavelet analogy of Peller's operator Bernstein inequality. For $p < 1$ it is new.

Wavelets and Besov spaces

It follows from the Wavelet Bernstein inequality that Besov spaces have a very simple characterisation in terms of wavelet coefficients.

Theorem (Meyer (1986))

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p, q \in (0, \infty]$. Let ψ be a compactly supported C^k wavelet where $k > -s$. Then a distribution $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ belongs to the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} \approx \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jq(s + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, \psi_{j,k} \rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} < \infty.$$

A new result

Using the p -triangle inequality and the \mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz Bernstein inequality, we get the following:

Theorem (M. and Sukochev (2022))

Let $0 < p < 1$. Let $f \in \dot{B}_{\frac{p}{1-p}, p}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\mathbb{R})$ be Lipschitz continuous. Then f is \mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz and

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p \leq C_p(\|f'\|_\infty + \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\frac{p}{1-p}, p}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\mathbb{R})})\|A - B\|_p, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H).$$

A new result

Using the p -triangle inequality and the \mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz Bernstein inequality, we get the following:

Theorem (M. and Sukochev (2022))

Let $0 < p < 1$. Let $f \in \dot{B}_{\frac{p}{1-p}, p}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\mathbb{R})$ be Lipschitz continuous. Then f is \mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz and

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p \leq C_p(\|f'\|_\infty + \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\frac{p}{1-p}, p}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\mathbb{R})})\|A - B\|_p, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H).$$

In other words, we require that f be Lipschitz and for some $n > \frac{1}{p}$ that

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} f(t + kh) \right|^{\frac{p}{1-p}} dt \right)^{1-p} \frac{dh}{h^2} < \infty.$$

A new result

Using the p -triangle inequality and the \mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz Bernstein inequality, we get the following:

Theorem (M. and Sukochev (2022))

Let $0 < p < 1$. Let $f \in \dot{B}_{\frac{p}{1-p}, p}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\mathbb{R})$ be Lipschitz continuous. Then f is \mathcal{L}_p -Lipschitz and

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p \leq C_p(\|f'\|_\infty + \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\frac{p}{1-p}, p}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\mathbb{R})})\|A - B\|_p, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{sa}}(H).$$

In other words, we require that f be Lipschitz and for some $n > \frac{1}{p}$ that

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} f(t + kh) \right|^{\frac{p}{1-p}} dt \right)^{1-p} \frac{dh}{h^2} < \infty.$$

For example, $f', \dots, f^{(k)} \in L_{\frac{p}{1-p}}(\mathbb{R})$ where $k > \frac{1}{p} - 1$ is sufficient.

Thank you for listening!