Push-Pull Signal-Function Functional Reactive Programming

Edward Amsden

Rochester Institute of Technology

August 27, 2012

R·I·T B. THOMAS GOLISANO College of COMPUTING AND INFORMATION SCIENCES

Push-Pull Signal-Function Functional Reactive Programming Introduction

Introduction

Functional Reactive Programming (FRP)

- Functional First-class and higher-order functions.
- Reactive Behavior changes in response to temporal inputs.
- Basic abstractions
 - Signals Functions of time¹.
 - Events Sequences of temporally ordered and labeled discrete values.

¹Often also called behaviors.

Signal-Function FRP

- Signal functions are transformers of events and signals.
- Signal functions are first class in Signal-Function FRP.
- Signals and events are not first-class in Signal Function FRP.
- This approach is more composable than first-class signals and events (since input may be transformed)².

²Courtney and Elliott, "Genuinely functional user interfaces".

Push vs. Pull Evaluation

- When to evaluate what?
- Pull evaluation: ("demand-driven") Evaluate when output is needed.
- Push evaluation: ("data-driven") Evaluate when input is available.
- Ideally, FRP uses both: pull for signals and push for events.

Push-Pull Signal-Function Functional Reactive Programming Problem

Problem

Separating Events and Signals

```
class (Category a) => Arrow a where
arr :: (b -> c) -> a b c
...
```

 Traditional Signal-Function FRP encodes signal functions as a Haskell Arrow.

```
data SF a b = \dots
```

```
instance Arrow SF where
```

Separating Events and Signals

- Necessary to lift any function to a corresponding signal function, without input/output type annotation
- Events encoded as optional signals:type Event a = Maybe a
- Combined signals and events encoded as one signal with pair type:

Separating Events and Signals

Push-Pull Signal-Function Functional Reactive Programming Approach

Approach

Type Signal Functions with Signal Vectors

- Described by Sculthorpe³
- Describe separation of individual signals and events
- In Haskell (with -XEmptyDataDecls):
 data SVEmpty
 data SVSignal a
 data SVEvent a
 data SVAppend svl svr
 type :^: svl svr = SVAppend svl svr
- Would like to use -XDataKinds but it's not working well yet.

³Schulthorpe, "Towards Safe and Efficient Functional Reactive Programming".

Type Signal Functions with Signal Vectors

- Two combinator examples:
- Lifting a pure function to transform a signal:

Passing through input on the right:

```
first :: SF svIn svOut
     -> SF (svIn :^: svRight) (svOut :^: svRight)
```

Composing these leads to:

Partial Representations of Signal Vectors

- To evaluate signals and events differently we must represent them separately.
- Signal vectors enable this by distinguishing them in the types.
- We can construct several representations of a signal vector.
 - Represent signal leaves, event leaves, or both.
 - Represent one leaf, a subset of leaves, or all applicable leaves.
 - Transform the type at the leaf, or don't.

Push-Pull Signal-Function Functional Reactive Programming Implementation

Implementation

Signal Representation

Signal Representation

Event Representation

Represent an event occurrence:

```
data SVOccurrence where
```

SVOccurrence :: a -> SVOccurrence (SVEvent a)

SVOccLeft :: SVOccurrence svLeft

-> SVOccurrence

(svLeft : ^: svRight)

SVOccRight :: SVOccurrence svRight

-> SVOccurrence

(svLeft : ^: svRight)

data Initialized

Signal Function Representation

 Separate continuations for time advancement and event occurrences:

Signal Function Representation

 Separate continuations for time advancement and event occurrences.

Evaluation

- Yampa/AFRP: Supply SF and input/output actions to an evaluation loop (reactimate).
- Here: initialize an evaluation state with:
 - A signal function.
 - Initial values for all input signals.
 - Handlers for all outputs.
- Then, the evaluation monad carries this state and provides the actions:
 - push Push an event (which will be immediately reacted to).
 - update Update the value of an input signal (with no immediate effect).
 - step Update the time and evaluate new values of signals.

Push-Pull Signal-Function Functional Reactive Programming Conclusion

Conclusion

Further Work

- Dynamic collections: Dynamically switch between collections of signal functions.
- Semantics/correctness proof (especially for event merging).
- Time-independence optimization.

Push-Pull Signal-Function Functional Reactive Programming Conclusion

Questions?

Push-Pull Signal-Function Functional Reactive Programming
In Detail

In Detail

Combinator Implementations: Serial Composition (>>>)

- Initialization:
- Running:

Combinator Implementations: Parallel Composition (first)

- Initialization:
- Running:

Combinator Implementations: Parallel Composition (second)

- Initialization:
- Running:

Push-Pull Signal-Function Functional Reactive Programming
In Detail

Time-independence optimization

Push-Pull Signal-Function Functional Reactive Programming In Detail

Event Merging