## 8.311 Recitation Notes

```
Eric R. Anschuetz
```

MIT Center for Theoretical Physics,
77 Massachusetts Avenue,
Cambridge,
MA 02142,
USA\*

(Dated: April 18, 2019)

## I. INTRODUCTION

Today I am going to emphasize the connection between relativity and electromagnetism. In the second recitation I talked about how they were mathematically linked, but today I will (hopefully) give more physical intuition for it.

## II. FIELD TRANSFORMATIONS

Let us consider a charged particle of velocity  $\mathbf{v} = v_x \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x$ , and a pure Lorentz boost into the frame the charged particle is stationary:

$$\Lambda^{\mu}_{\ \nu} = \begin{pmatrix}
\cosh(w) & \sinh(w) & 0 & 0 \\
\sinh(w) & \cosh(w) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}^{\mu}, \tag{1}$$

where w is the rapidity:

$$\cosh\left(w\right) = \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}\tag{2}$$

and due to us boosting by -v:

$$\sinh(w) = -\gamma \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} = -\frac{\mathbf{v}}{c\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}.$$
(3)

How do the fields change under such a boost? Recalling that the fields transform as components of the electromagnetic tensor:

$$F^{\mu}_{\ \nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{E_1}{c} & \frac{E_2}{c} & \frac{E_3}{c} \\ \frac{E_1}{c} & 0 & B_3 & -B_2 \\ \frac{E_2}{c} & -B_3 & 0 & B_1 \\ \frac{E_3}{c} & B_2 & -B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\mu}, \tag{4}$$

<sup>\*</sup> eans@mit.edu

we know that:

$$(F')^{\mu}_{\nu} = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\alpha} F^{\alpha}_{\beta} \left( \Lambda^{-1} \right)^{\beta}_{\nu}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{E'_{1}}{c} & \frac{E'_{2}}{c} & \frac{E'_{3}}{c} \\ \frac{E'_{1}}{c} & 0 & B'_{3} & -B'_{2} \\ \frac{E'_{2}}{c} & -B'_{3} & 0 & B'_{1} \\ \frac{E'_{3}}{c} & B'_{2} & -B'_{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\mu}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\left(w\right) & \sinh\left(w\right) & 0 & 0 \\ \sinh\left(w\right) & \cosh\left(w\right) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\mu}_{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{E_{1}}{c} & \frac{E_{2}}{c} & \frac{E_{3}}{c} \\ \frac{E_{1}}{c} & 0 & B_{3} & -B_{2} \\ \frac{E_{2}}{c} & -B_{3} & 0 & B_{1} \\ \frac{E_{3}}{c} & B_{2} & -B_{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\alpha}_{\beta}$$

$$\times \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\left(w\right) & -\sinh\left(w\right) & 0 & 0 \\ -\sinh\left(w\right) & \cosh\left(w\right) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\beta}_{\nu}$$

$$(5)$$

Therefore, the field components in the frame where the electron is stationary are:

$$E_x' = E_x, (6)$$

$$E_y' = \gamma \left( E_y - v_x B_z \right), \tag{7}$$

$$E_z' = \gamma \left( E_z + v_x B_y \right), \tag{8}$$

$$B_x' = B_x, (9)$$

$$B_y' = \gamma \left( B_y + \frac{v_x}{c^2} E_z \right), \tag{10}$$

$$B_z' = \gamma \left( B_z - \frac{v_x}{c^2} E_y \right). \tag{11}$$

That is, for  $v \ll c$ ,

$$E' = E + v \times B, \tag{12}$$

$$\mathbf{B'} = \mathbf{B} - \frac{\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{E}}{c^2}.\tag{13}$$

## III. CONSISTENCY OF THE LORENTZ FORCE LAW

From here, it is easy to see why the Lorentz force law has a  $\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B}$  term. The Lorentz force in terms of what electric field the electron "feels" is:

$$F = qE'. (14)$$

Transforming to the lab frame then gives from Eq. (12):

$$\boldsymbol{F} = q\left(\boldsymbol{E} + \boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B}\right). \tag{15}$$