

1 **SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL FOR:** “Nitrogen demand, supply, and acquisition strategy
2 control plant responses to elevated CO₂”

3

4 **Text S1: Results (cont.)**

5 *Leaf nitrogen content*

6 Elevated CO₂ reduced N_{mass} by 51% and increased M_{area} by 44% ($p < 0.001$ in both cases; Table
7 S5; Fig. S1). Increasing nitrogen fertilization increased N_{mass} and M_{area} more strongly under
8 ambient CO₂ than elevated CO₂ (CO₂-by-nitrogen fertilization interaction: $p < 0.05$ in both cases),
9 resulting in a stronger reduction in N_{mass} and a stronger increase in M_{area} under elevated CO₂ with
10 increasing nitrogen fertilization than ambient CO₂ (Fig. S2). Increasing nitrogen fertilization
11 increased N_{mass} and M_{area} more strongly in uninoculated plants compared to inoculated plants
12 (inoculation-by-nitrogen fertilization interaction: $p < 0.05$ in all cases; Table S5; Fig. S1).

13

14 *Organ biomasses*

15 Elevated CO₂ increased total leaf, stem, root, and root nodule biomass by 117%, 97%, 96%, and
16 70%, respectively ($p < 0.001$ in all cases; Table S7). Increasing nitrogen fertilization increased
17 total leaf, stem, and root biomasses ($p < 0.001$ in all cases; Table S7) more strongly under elevated
18 CO₂ than ambient CO₂ (CO₂-by-nitrogen fertilization interaction: $p < 0.001$ in all cases; Table
19 S7). However, increasing nitrogen fertilization decreased root nodule biomass ($p < 0.001$; Table
20 S7) similarly between CO₂ treatments (CO₂-by-nitrogen fertilization interaction: $p > 0.05$; Table
21 S7). Inoculation increased root biomass ($p < 0.05$; Table S7), but this pattern was only observed
22 under ambient CO₂ (CO₂-by-inoculation interaction: $p < 0.05$; Table S7). Increasing nitrogen
23 fertilization increased total leaf, stem, and root biomasses ($p < 0.001$ in all cases; Table S7) more

24 strongly in uninoculated plants than inoculated plants (inoculation-by-nitrogen fertilization
25 interaction: $p<0.001$ in all cases; Table S7). Increasing nitrogen fertilization decreased root
26 nodule biomass only in inoculated plants (inoculation-by-nitrogen fertilization interaction:
27 $p<0.001$; Table S7)

28

29 *The ratio of total biomass to pot volume*

30 Total biomass: pot volume increased with elevated CO₂, inoculation, and nitrogen fertilization
31 ($p<0.001$ in all cases; Table S9; Fig. S7). Increasing nitrogen fertilization increased biomass: pot
32 volume ($p<0.001$; Table S9) more strongly in uninoculated plants compared to inoculated plants
33 (inoculation-by-nitrogen fertilization interaction: $p<0.05$; Table S9; Fig. S7) and more strongly
34 under elevated CO₂ than ambient CO₂ (CO₂-by-nitrogen fertilization interaction: $p<0.001$; Table
35 S9; Fig. S7).

36

Table S1 Summary table containing volumes of compounds used to create modified Hoagland's solutions for each soil nitrogen fertilization treatment. All volumes are expressed as milliliters per liter (mL/L)

Compound	0 ppm N (0 mM N)	35 ppm N (2.5 mM N)	70 ppm N (5 mM N)	105 ppm N (7.5 mM N)	140 ppm N (10 mM N)
1 M NH₄H₂PO₄	0	0.165	0.33	0.5	0.67
2 M KNO₃	0	0.335	0.67	1	1.33
2 M Ca(NO₃)₂	0	0.335	0.67	1	1.33
1 M NH₄NO₃	0	0.165	0.33	0.5	0.67
8 M NH₄NO₃	0	0	0	0	0
<hr/>					
1 M KH₂PO₄	1	0.85	0.67	0.5	0.33
1 M KCl	3	2.45	2	1.5	1
1 M CaCO₃	4	3.33	2.67	2	1.33
2 M MgSO₄	1	1	1	1	1
10% Fe-EDTA	1	1	1	1	1
Trace elements	1	1	1	1	1

Compound	210 ppm N (15 mM N)	280 ppm N (20 mM N)	350 ppm N (25 mM N)	630 ppm N (45 mM N)
1 M NH₄H₂PO₄	1	1	1	1
2 M KNO₃	2	2	2	2
2 M Ca(NO₃)₂	2	2	2	2
1 M NH₄NO₃	1	3.5	0	0
8 M NH₄NO₃	0	0	0.75	2
<hr/>				
1 M KH₂PO₄	0	0	0	0
1 M KCl	0	0	0	0
1 M CaCO₃	0	0	0	0
2 M MgSO₄	1	1	1	1
10% Fe-EDTA	1	1	1	1
Trace elements	1	1	1	1

Table S2 Summary of the daily growth chamber growing condition program

Time	Air temperature (°C)	PAR ± SD ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)
09:00	21	278±2
09:45		557±4
10:30	25	797±4
11:15		1230±12
22:45	21	797±4
23:30		557±4
00:15	17	278±2
01:00		0±0

Table S3 Replication scheme for each unique CO₂-by-inoculation-by-N fertilization combination

CO ₂ treatment	Inoculation treatment	N fertilization	n
Ambient CO ₂	Uninoculated	0	2
		35	4
		70	1
		105	2
		140	4
		210	4
		280	3
		350	4
		630	4
		0	4
Elevated CO ₂	Inoculated	35	4
		70	4
		105	4
		140	4
		210	4
		280	4
		350	4
		630	4
		0	2
		35	1
Elevated CO ₂	Uninoculated	70	1
		105	4
		140	4
		210	4
		280	4
		350	4
		630	4
		0	4
		35	4
		70	4
Elevated CO ₂	Inoculated	105	4
		140	4
		210	4
		280	4
		350	4
		630	4
		0	4
		35	4
		70	4
		105	4

Table S4 Replication scheme for each unique CO₂-by-inoculation combination

CO₂ treatment	Inoculation treatment	n
Ambient CO ₂	Uninoculated	28
	Inoculated	36
Elevated CO ₂	Uninoculated	28
	Inoculated	36

Table S5 Effects of CO₂ concentration, inoculation, and nitrogen fertilization on leaf nitrogen content and leaf mass per area*

	df	<i>N_{mass}</i>		<i>M_{area}</i> ^a	
		χ^2	<i>p</i>	χ^2	<i>p</i>
CO ₂	1	272.362	<0.001	151.319	<0.001
Inoculation (I)	1	15.576	<0.001	19.158	<0.001
N fertilization (N)	1	106.659	<0.001	21.440	<0.001
CO ₂ × I	1	2.025	0.155	0.029	0.866
CO ₂ × N	1	22.542	<0.001	7.619	0.006
I × N	1	11.137	<0.001	5.022	0.025
CO ₂ × I × N	1	0.041	0.839	0.208	0.649

*Significance determined using Type II Wald χ^2 tests ($\alpha=0.05$). *P*-values less than 0.05 are in bold. Key: ^a=variable was natural log transformed before model fitting, df=degrees of freedom, χ^2 =Wald chi-square test statistic, *N_{mass}*=leaf nitrogen content (gN g⁻¹), *M_{area}*=leaf mass per unit leaf area (g m⁻²).

Table S6 Effects of CO₂ concentration, inoculation, and nitrogen fertilization on dark respiration and photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency*

		<i>R</i> _{d25}		<i>PNUE</i> _{gc}	
	df	χ^2	p	χ^2	p
CO ₂	1	0.256	0.613	300.202	<0.001
Inoculation (I)	1	3.094	0.079	9.899	0.002
N fertilization (N)	1	5.965	0.015	29.696	<0.001
CO ₂ × I	1	2.563	0.109	0.944	0.331
CO ₂ × N	1	2.675	0.102	5.359	0.021
I × N	1	12.083	<0.001	10.884	<0.001
CO ₂ × I × N	1	0.244	0.622	0.369	0.544

*Significance determined using Type II Wald χ^2 tests ($\alpha=0.05$). P-values less than 0.05 are in bold. Key: df=degrees of freedom, χ^2 =Wald chi-square test statistic, *PNUE*_{gc}=photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency at growth CO₂ concentration ($\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ gN}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

Table S7 Effects of CO₂ concentration, inoculation, and nitrogen fertilization on biomass partitioning*

		Leaf biomass ^b		Stem biomass ^b		Root biomass ^b		Root nodule biomass ^b	
	df	χ^2	p	χ^2	p	χ^2	p	χ^2	p
CO ₂	1	151.836	<0.001	98.264	<0.001	93.249	<0.001	19.258	<0.001
Inoculation (I)	1	51.267	<0.001	18.744	<0.001	6.983	0.008	755.020	<0.001
N fertilization (N)	1	312.022	<0.001	255.837	<0.001	195.843	<0.001	84.376	<0.001
CO ₂ × I	1	0.087	0.768	0.046	0.831	3.873	0.049	0.950	0.330
CO ₂ × N	1	17.727	<0.001	18.482	<0.001	11.456	0.001	2.106	0.147
I × N	1	24.442	<0.001	3.743	0.053	7.435	0.006	44.622	<0.001
CO ₂ × I × N	1	0.194	0.659	0.483	0.487	0.064	0.800	0.196	0.658

		Leaf mass fraction		Stem mass fraction		Root mass fraction ^a	
	df	χ^2	p	χ^2	p	χ^2	p
CO ₂	1	16.819	<0.001	1.695	0.193	2.430	0.119
Inoculation (I)	1	30.317	<0.001	24.839	<0.001	65.092	<0.001
N fertilization (N)	1	38.919	<0.001	12.466	<0.001	8.127	0.004
CO ₂ × I	1	6.011	0.014	2.717	0.099	6.876	0.009
CO ₂ × N	1	2.361	0.124	2.419	0.120	0.004	0.950
I × N	1	17.762	<0.001	32.436	<0.001	20.424	<0.001
CO ₂ × I × N	1	0.553	0.457	0.020	0.888	0.161	0.688

*Significance determined using Type II Wald χ^2 tests ($\alpha=0.05$). P-values less than 0.05 are in bold, while p-values where $0.05 < p < 0.1$ are in italic font. Key: ^a=variable was natural log transformed before model fitting, ^b=variable was square root transformed before model fitting, df=degrees of freedom, χ^2 =Wald chi-square test statistic, leaf biomass (g), stem biomass (g), root biomass (g), root nodule biomass (g), leaf mass fraction (unitless), stem mass fraction (unitless), root mass fraction (unitless)

Table S8 Effects of CO₂ concentration, inoculation, and nitrogen fertilization on components of the carbon cost to acquire nitrogen*

		Belowground biomass carbon		Whole-plant nitrogen biomass	
	df	χ^2	p	χ^2	p
CO ₂	1	83.286	<0.001	27.499	<0.001
Inoculation (I)	1	9.237	0.002	87.370	<0.001
N fertilization (N)	1	139.920	<0.001	584.694	<0.001
CO ₂ × I	1	2.264	0.132	2.758	0.097
CO ₂ × N	1	25.892	<0.001	25.009	<0.001
I × N	1	8.388	0.004	30.321	<0.001
CO ₂ × I × N	1	1.169	0.279	2.139	0.144

*Significance determined using Type II Wald χ^2 tests ($\alpha=0.05$). P-values less than 0.05 are in bold, while p-values where $0.05 < p < 0.1$ are in italic font. Key: df=degrees of freedom, χ^2 =Wald chi-square test statistic, belowground biomass carbon (gC, numerator of the belowground biomass carbon cost to acquire nitrogen), whole-plant nitrogen biomass (gN, denominator of the belowground biomass carbon cost to acquire nitrogen).

Table S9 Effects of CO₂ concentration, inoculation, and nitrogen fertilization on the ratio of total biomass to pot volume (g L⁻¹)*

	df	χ^2	p
CO ₂	1	146.004	<0.001
Inoculation (I)	1	19.320	<0.001
N fertilization (N)	1	279.388	<0.001
CO ₂ × I	1	0.007	0.934
CO ₂ × N	1	49.725	<0.001
I × N	1	9.007	0.003
CO ₂ × I × N	1	0.640	0.434

*Significance determined using Type II Wald χ^2 tests ($\alpha=0.05$). P-values less than 0.05 are in bold. Key: df=degrees of freedom, χ^2 =Wald chi-square test statistic

Figure S1




Figure S1 Effects of CO₂ concentration, inoculation, and nitrogen fertilization on mass-based leaf nitrogen content (a) and leaf biomass per unit leaf area (b). Nitrogen fertilization is on the x-axis in both panels. Red shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under elevated CO₂, while blue shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under ambient CO₂. Light blue and light red circular points and trendlines indicate measurements collected from uninoculated plants, while dark blue and dark red triangular points indicate measurements collected from inoculated plants. Solid trendlines indicate regression slopes that are different from zero ($p<0.05$), while dashed trendlines indicate slopes that are not distinguishable from zero ($p>0.05$). Error ribbons of each trendline represent the upper and lower 95% confidence intervals.

Figure S2




Figure S2 Effects of CO₂ concentration and nitrogen fertilization on area-based leaf nitrogen content (a), mass-based leaf nitrogen content (b), and leaf biomass per unit leaf area (c). Nitrogen fertilization is on the x-axis. Red shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under elevated CO₂, while blue shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under ambient CO₂. Circular points indicate measurements collected from uninoculated plants, while triangular points indicate measurements collected from inoculated plants. Solid trendlines indicate regression slopes that are different from zero ($p < 0.05$). Error ribbons of each trendline represent the upper and lower 95% confidence intervals.

Figure S3




Figure S3 Effects of CO₂ concentration, inoculation, and nitrogen fertilization on dark respiration at 25°C (a) and photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency at growth CO₂ concentration (b). Nitrogen fertilization is on the x-axis in both panels. Red shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under elevated CO₂, while blue shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under ambient CO₂. Light blue and light red circular points and trendlines indicate measurements collected from uninoculated plants, while dark blue and dark red triangular points indicate measurements collected from inoculated plants. Solid trendlines indicate regression slopes that are different from zero ($p < 0.05$), while dashed trendlines indicate slopes that are not distinguishable from zero ($p > 0.05$). Error ribbons of each trendline represent the upper and lower 95% confidence intervals.

Figure S4




Figure S4 Effects of CO₂ concentration and nitrogen fertilization on photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency at growth CO₂ concentration. Nitrogen fertilization is on the x-axis. Red shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under elevated CO₂, while blue shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under ambient CO₂. Circular points indicate measurements collected from uninoculated plants, while triangular points indicate measurements collected from inoculated plants. Solid trendlines indicate regression slopes that are different from zero ($p < 0.05$). Error ribbons of each trendline represent the upper and lower 95% confidence intervals.

Figure S5




Figure S5 Effects of CO₂ concentration, inoculation, and nitrogen fertilization on belowground biomass carbon (a) and total nitrogen biomass (b). Belowground biomass carbon is the numerator of N_{cost} , while total nitrogen biomass is the denominator of N_{cost} . Nitrogen fertilization is on the x-axis in both panels. Red shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under elevated CO₂, while blue shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under ambient CO₂. Light blue and light red circular points and trendlines indicate measurements collected from uninoculated plants, while dark blue and dark red triangular points indicate measurements collected from inoculated plants. Solid trendlines indicate regression slopes that are different from zero ($p<0.05$), while dashed trendlines indicate slopes that are not distinguishable from zero ($p>0.05$). Error ribbons of each trendline represent the upper and lower 95% confidence intervals.

Figure S6




Figure S6 Effects of CO₂ concentration, inoculation, and nitrogen fertilization on root nodule biomass (a) and root biomass (b). Nitrogen fertilization is on the x-axis in both panels. Red shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under elevated CO₂, while blue shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under ambient CO₂. Light blue and light red circular points and trendlines indicate measurements collected from uninoculated plants, while dark blue and dark red triangular points indicate measurements collected from inoculated plants. Solid trendlines indicate regression slopes that are different from zero ($p<0.05$), while dashed trendlines indicate slopes that are not distinguishable from zero ($p>0.05$). Error ribbons of each trendline represent the upper and lower 95% confidence intervals.


Figure S7

Figure S7 Effects of CO₂ concentration, inoculation, and nitrogen fertilization on the ratio of whole-plant biomass to pot volume. Nitrogen fertilization is on the x-axis in both panels. Red shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under elevated CO₂, while blue shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under ambient CO₂. Light blue and light red circular points and trendlines indicate measurements collected from uninoculated plants, while dark blue and dark red triangular points indicate measurements collected from inoculated plants. Solid trendlines indicate regression slopes that are different from zero ($p < 0.05$). Error ribbons of each trendline represent the upper and lower 95% confidence intervals. The dotted horizontal line indicates the point where biomass: pot volume exceeds 1 g L⁻¹, and the dashed line indicates the point where biomass: pot volume exceeds 2 g L⁻¹.