**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL FOR:** “Nitrogen demand, supply, and acquisition strategy control plant responses to elevated CO2 at different scales”

**Results (cont.)**

*χ*

An interaction between CO2 and nitrogen fertilization (*p*<0.001; Table 3) indicated that the negative effect of increasing nitrogen fertilization on *χ* (*p*<0.001; Table 3)was stronger under elevated CO2 than ambient CO2 (Tukey test comparing the nitrogen fertilization-*χ* slope between CO2 treatments: *p*<0.05; Fig. 3b), resulting in a stronger downregulation of *χ* under elevated CO2 with increasing fertilization. A three-way interaction (*p*<0.001; Table 3) indicated that interactions between CO2 and nitrogen fertilization were driven by inoculated plants (Tukey test comparing the nitrogen fertilization-*χ* slope between inoculated plants grown under ambient CO2 and inoculated plants grown under elevated CO2: *p*<0.001), as there was no difference in the effect of nitrogen fertilization on *χ* between CO2 treatments in uninoculated plants (Tukey test comparing the nitrogen fertilization-*χ* slope between uninoculated plants grown under ambient CO2 and uninoculated plants grown under elevated CO2: *p*>0.05). An interaction between CO2 and inoculation (*p*<0.001; Table 3) indicated that elevated CO2 decreased *χ* in uninoculated plants (Tukey test of the CO2 effect in uninoculated plants: *p*<0.001) and increased *χ* in inoculated plants (Tukey test of the CO2 effect in inoculated plants: *p*<0.001).

*Components of carbon costs to acquire nitrogen*

Elevated CO2 increased *C*bg by 100% (*p*<0.001; Table S3), a pattern that was not modified by nitrogen fertilization (CO2-by-nitrogen fertilization interaction: *p*>0.05; Table S3). An interaction between CO2 and inoculation (*p*<0.05; Table S3) indicated that the positive effect of inoculation on *C*bg (*p*<0.001; Table S3) was only apparent under ambient CO2 (Tukey test of the inoculation effect under ambient CO2: *p*<0.001), as there was no effect of inoculation on *C*bg under elevated CO2 (Tukey test of the inoculation effect under elevated CO2: *p*>0.05). An interaction between nitrogen fertilization and inoculation (*p*<0.001; Table S3) indicated that the positive effect of increasing nitrogen fertilization on *C*bg (*p*<0.001; Table S3) was stronger in uninoculated plants than inoculated plants (Tukey test comparing the fertilization-*C*bg slope between inoculation treatments: *p*<0.001).

Elevated CO2 increased *N*wp by 27% (*p*<0.001; Table S3), a pattern that was enhanced with increasing nitrogen fertilization (CO2-by-fertilization interaction: *p*<0.05; Table S3). Inoculation did not modify the effect of elevated CO2 on *N*wp (CO2-by-inoculation interaction: *p*>0.05; Table S3). An interaction between nitrogen fertilization and inoculation (*p*<0.001; Table S3) indicated that the positive effect of increasing nitrogen fertilization on *N*wp (*p*<0.001; Table S3) were stronger in uninoculated plants than inoculated plants (Tukey test comparing the fertilization-*N*wp slope between inoculation treatments: *p*<0.001).

*Nitrogen fixation*

Nodule biomass increased by 30% under eCO2 (*p*<0.001; Table S4), a pattern that was not modified by nitrogen fertilization (CO2-by-fertilization interaction: *p*>0.05; Table S4; Fig. S6a) or inoculation (CO2-by-inoculation interaction: *p*>0.05; Table S4). An interaction between nitrogen fertilization and inoculation (*p*<0.001; Table S4) indicated that negative effects of increasing nitrogen fertilization on nodule biomass (*p*<0.001; Table S4) were driven by inoculated plants (Tukey test comparing the fertilization-nodule biomass slope in inoculated plants: *p*<0.001), as there was no effect of nitrogen fertilization on nodule biomass in uninoculated plants (Tukey test comparing the fertilization-nodule biomass slope in uninoculated plants: *p*>0.05; Fig. S6a).

There was no effect of CO2 treatment on nodule: root biomass (*p*>0.05; Table S4), a pattern that was not modified by nitrogen fertilization (CO2-by-fertilization interaction: *p*>0.05; Table S4). However, an interaction between CO2 and inoculation (*p*<0.001; Table S4) indicated that the positive effect of inoculation on nodule: root biomass (*p*<0.001; Table S4) was stronger under ambient CO2 (3129% increase; Tukey test comparing the inoculation effect under ambient CO2: *p*<0.001) than elevated CO2 (379% increase; Tukey test comparing the inoculation effect under elevated CO2: *p*<0.001). An interaction between nitrogen fertilization and inoculation (*p*<0.001; Table S4) indicated that the negative effect of increasing nitrogen fertilization on nodule: root biomass (*p*<0.001; Table S4) was stronger in inoculated pots (Tukey test comparing the fertilization-nodule: root biomass slope between inoculation treatments: *p*<0.001; Fig. S6b).

**Table S1** Summary table containing volumes of compounds used to create modified Hoagland’s solutions for each soil nitrogen fertilization treatment. All volumes are expressed as milliliters per liter (mL/L)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Compound** | **0 ppm N** | **35 ppm N** | **70 ppm N** | **105 ppm N** | **140 ppm N** |
| **1 M NH4H2PO4** | 0 | 0.165 | 0.33 | 0.5 | 0.67 |
| **2 M KNO3** | 0 | 0.335 | 0.67 | 1 | 1.33 |
| **2 M Ca(NO3)2** | 0 | 0.335 | 0.67 | 1 | 1.33 |
| **1 M NH4NO3** | 0 | 0.165 | 0.33 | 0.5 | 0.67 |
| **8 M NH4NO3** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **1 M KH2PO4** | 1 | 0.85 | 0.67 | 0.5 | 0.33 |
| **1 M KCl** | 3 | 2.45 | 2 | 1.5 | 1 |
| **1 M CaCO3** | 4 | 3.33 | 2.67 | 2 | 1.33 |
| **2 M MgSO4** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| **10% Fe-EDTA** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| **Trace elements** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Compound** | **210 ppm N** | **280 ppm N** | **350 ppm N** | **630 ppm N** |  |
| **1 M NH4H2PO4** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| **2 M KNO3** | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| **2 M Ca(NO3)2** | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| **1 M NH4NO3** | 1 | 3.5 | 0 | 0 |  |
| **8 M NH4NO3** | 0 | 0 | 0.75 | 2 |  |
| **1 M KH2PO4** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| **1 M KCl** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| **1 M CaCO3** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| **2 M MgSO4** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| **10% Fe-EDTA** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| **Trace elements** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |

**Table S2** Summary of the daily growth chamber growing condition program

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Time** | **Air temperature (ºC)** | **PAR ± SD (μmol m-2 s-1)** |
| 09:00 | 21 | 278±2 |
| 09:45 | 557±4 |
| 10:30 | 25 | 797±4 |
| 11:15 | 1230±12 |
| 22:45 | 21 | 797±4 |
| 23:30 | 557±4 |
| 00:15 | 17 | 278±2 |
| 01:00 | 0±0 |

**Table S3** Effects of soil nitrogen fertilization, inoculation, and CO2 on *χ*\*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | df | *χ*2 | *p* |
| CO2 | 1 | 6.809 | **0.009** |
| Inoculation (I) | 1 | 5.827 | **0.016** |
| N fertilization (N) | 1 | 109.544 | **<0.001** |
| CO2\*I | 1 | 20.644 | **<0.001** |
| CO2\*N | 1 | 11.839 | **<0.001** |
| I\*N | 1 | 0.013 | 0.909 |
| CO2\*I\*N | 1 | 16.901 | **<0.001** |

\*Significance determined using Type II Wald χ2 tests (α=0.05). *P*-values less than 0.05 are in bold. Key: df=degrees of freedom, *χ*2=Wald chi-square test statistic,*χ*=isotope-based ratio of intercellular CO2 to extracellular CO2, inversely related to water-use efficiency

**Table S4** Effects of soil nitrogen fertilization, inoculation, and CO2 on components of the carbon cost to acquire nitrogen\*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Belowground carbon biomass**a | | **Total nitrogen biomassb** | |
|  | df | *χ*2 | *p* | *χ*2 | *p* |
| CO2 | 1 | 84.134 | **<0.001** | 23.890 | **<0.001** |
| Inoculation (I) | 1 | 41.03 | **<0.001** | 134.46 | **<0.001** |
| N fertilization (N) | 1 | 152.248 | **<0.001** | 529.021 | **<0.001** |
| CO2\*I | 1 | 8.965 | **0.003** | 1.190 | 0.275 |
| CO2\*N | 1 | 1.188 | 0.276 | 5.915 | **0.015** |
| I\*N | 1 | 22.648 | **<0.001** | 55.562 | **<0.001** |
| CO2\*I\*N | 1 | 1.109 | 0.292 | 0.620 | 0.431 |

\*Significance determined using Type II Wald χ2 tests (α=0.05). A superscript “a” is included after trait labels to indicate if models were fit with natural-log transformed response variables, while a superscript “b” is included if models were fit with square-root transformed response variables. *P*-values less than 0.05 are in bold. Key: df=degrees of freedom.

**Table S4** Effects of soil nitrogen fertilization, inoculation, and CO2 on root nodule biomass, root biomass, and the root nodule biomass: root biomass ratio\*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Root nodule biomassb** | | **Root**  **biomass** | | **Root nodule: root biomassb** | |
|  | df | *χ*2 | *p* | *χ*2 | *p* | *χ*2 | *p* |
| (Intercept) | - | - | - |  |  | - | - |
| CO2 | 1 | 19.258 | **<0.001** | 73.865 | **<0.001** | 0.010 | 0.921 |
| Inoculation (I) | 1 | 755.02 | **<0.001** | 1.704 | 0.192 | 902.063 | **<0.001** |
| N fertilization (N) | 1 | 84.376 | **<0.001** | 160.802 | **<0.001** | 254.741 | **<0.001** |
| CO2\*I | 1 | 0.950 | 0.330 | 2.430 | 0.119 | 21.632 | **<0.001** |
| CO2\*N | 1 | 2.106 | 0.147 | 25.401 | **<0.001** | 1.590 | 0.207 |
| I\*N | 1 | 44.622 | **<0.001** | 5.133 | **0.023** | 132.463 | **<0.001** |
| CO2\*I\*N | 1 | 0.196 | 0.658 | 1.152 | 0.283 | 2.481 | 0.115 |

\*Significance determined using Type II Wald χ2 tests (α=0.05). A superscript “b” is included after trait labels to indicate if models were fit with square-root transformed response variables. *P*-values less than 0.05 are in bold. Key: df=degrees of freedom.
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**Figure S1** Effects of CO2, fertilization, and inoculation on the ratio of whole plant biomass to pot volume. Fertilization is represented on the x-axis. Red shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under elevated CO2, while blue shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under ambient CO2. Light blue and red circular points and trendlines indicate measurements collected from uninoculated plants, while dark blue and red triangular points indicate measurements collected from inoculated plants. Solid trendlines indicate regression slopes that are different from zero (*p*<0.05). The dotted horizontal line indicates the point where biomass: pot volume exceeds 1 g L-1, and the dashed line indicates the point where biomass: pot volume exceeds 2 g L-1.
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**Figure S2** Effects of CO2 and fertilization inoculation on area-based leaf nitrogen content (a), mass-based leaf nitrogen content (b), and leaf biomass per unit leaf area (c). Fertilization is represented on the x-axis. Red shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under elevated CO2, while blue shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under ambient CO2. Light blue and red circular points and trendlines indicate measurements collected from uninoculated plants, while dark blue and red triangular points indicate measurements collected from inoculated plants. Solid trendlines indicate regression slopes that are different from zero (*p*<0.05), while dashed trendlines indicate slopes that are not distinguishable from zero (*p*>0.05).

**Figure S3**

**A graph showing the growth of soil fertilization

Description automatically generated**

**Figure S3** Effects of CO2 and fertilization inoculation on photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency. Fertilization is represented on the x-axis. Red shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under elevated CO2, while blue shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under ambient CO2. Light blue and red circular points and trendlines indicate measurements collected from uninoculated plants, while dark blue and red triangular points indicate measurements collected from inoculated plants. Solid trendlines indicate regression slopes that are different from zero (*p*<0.05), while dashed trendlines indicate slopes that are not distinguishable from zero (*p*>0.05).
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**Figure S4** Effects of CO2 and fertilization inoculation on belowground carbon biomass (a) and total nitrogen biomass (b). Belowground carbon biomass is the numerator of *N*cost, while total nitrogen biomass is the denominator of *N*cost. Fertilization is represented on the x-axis in all panels. Red shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under elevated CO2, while blue shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under ambient CO2. Light blue and red circular points and trendlines indicate measurements collected from uninoculated plants, while dark blue and red triangular points indicate measurements collected from inoculated plants. Solid trendlines indicate regression slopes that are different from zero (*p*<0.05), while dashed trendlines indicate slopes that are not distinguishable from zero (*p*>0.05).
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**Figure S5** Effects of nitrogen fertilization, inoculation treatment, and CO2 treatment on nodule biomass (a) and root nodule biomass: root biomass (b). Fertilization is represented on the x-axis. Red shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under elevated CO2, while blue shaded points and trendlines indicate plants grown under ambient CO2. Light blue and red circular points and trendlines indicate measurements collected from uninoculated plants, while dark blue and red triangular points indicate measurements collected from inoculated plants. Solid trendlines indicate regression slopes that are different from zero (*p*<0.05), while dashed trendlines indicate slopes that are not distinguishable from zero (*p*>0.05).