

Real Number Definitions, Equivalence Classes, and Embeddings (No Countable Choice)

This document lists the first twenty-three constructive definitions of real numbers, partitions them into equivalence classes, and records the canonical embeddings. Note that while many equivalences hold in standard constructive mathematics (assuming Countable Choice, AC_ω), in plain Cubical Agda without AC_ω , the hierarchy is more fractured (e.g., Cauchy reals are not provably Dedekind reals). General surveys and formalization resources include [79, 93, 5].

1. Definitions (1–33)

1. \mathbb{R}_D : Dedekind reals (located cuts of \mathbb{Q}) [26, 15, 16, 20, 51, 92, 106, 60, 85, 68, 40, 27].
2. \mathbb{R}_C : Cauchy reals (modulated Cauchy sequences of rationals, quotiented) [15, 16, 49, 61, 58, 56, 63].
3. \mathbb{R}_E : Eudoxus reals (almost-homomorphisms $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$) [6, 83, 86, 77, 35, 45, 59].
4. \mathbb{R}_{FC} / \mathbb{R}_I : fast Cauchy reals / interval reals (Cauchy sequences with explicit moduli or nested rational intervals) [23, 3, 81, 98, 104].
5. \mathbb{R}_{CF} : continued fraction reals (streams of partial quotients) [22, 103, 96].
6. \mathbb{R}_b : coinductive base- b reals (digit streams, e.g., binary/decimal) [81, 55, 99].
7. \mathbb{R}_{SD} : signed-digit reals (streams over $\{-1, 0, 1\}$) [100, 12, 31, 7].
8. \mathbb{R}_{ID} : interval domain reals (maximal elements of the interval domain) [97, 71, 43, 11, 13, 30, 29, 28, 90].
9. \mathbb{R}_L : lower reals (rounded lower sets of \mathbb{Q}) [67, 17, 25].
10. \mathbb{R}_U : upper reals (rounded upper sets of \mathbb{Q}) [67, 17, 25].
11. \mathbb{R}_M : MacNeille reals (double-negation closed cuts) [53, 66, 36, 84].
12. \mathbb{R}_H : HIT/HoTT-book reals (higher inductive type with universal property) [91, 65, 19, 18, 10, 76].
13. \mathbb{R}_{ES} : Escardó–Simpson reals (least Cauchy-complete subobject of \mathbb{R}_D containing \mathbb{Q}) [32, 18].
14. $\mathbb{R}_{\text{formal}}$: formal/locale reals (points of the locale of reals) [52, 41, 42, 75, 39, 68].
15. \mathbb{R}_{init} : initial sequentially modulated Cauchy-complete Archimedean ordered field [32, 18, 62].
16. \mathbb{R}_{term} : terminal Archimedean ordered field [32, 52, 62]. Items 34 and 35 are distinct definitions with different construction proofs, but they result in the same object if the category is well-behaved.
17. $\mathbb{R}_{\text{DedComp}}$: Dedekind-complete ordered field (axiomatic characterization) [52, 42, 9].

18. $\mathbb{R}_{\text{CauComp}}$: Cauchy-complete ordered field (axiomatic characterization of the Cauchy completion) [16, 93].
19. $\mathbb{R}_{\text{Tarski}}$: Archimedean Tarski group reals (characterization via Tarski’s axioms) [88, 27].
20. $[0, 1]_{\text{coalg}}$: unit interval as a terminal coalgebra [32, 4, 64, 73].
21. $\mathbb{R}_{\text{coalg}}^+$: positive reals as a terminal coalgebra [32, 4, 64].
22. Sheaf-theoretic reals: the internal real numbers object in a topos [52, 42, 85, 39, 68].
23. Real numbers object (RNO) in a topos [52, 42, 85, 39, 68]. Items 41 and 42 are essentially the same mathematical object described from two different points of view (internal language vs. category theory).
24. \mathbb{R}_{SDG} : Smooth Reals (synthetic differential geometry). In Synthetic Differential Geometry, the reals are defined to include “nilpotent” infinitesimals (elements d where $d^2 = 0$ but $d \neq 0$). These are distinct from standard Dedekind/Cauchy reals because they violate the field axiom $x \neq 0 \implies x$ is invertible (nilpotents are not invertible). They are a distinct mathematical object internal to a smooth topos, not isomorphic to the usual Cauchy/Dedekind reals [47, 48, 57, 69].
25. $^*\mathbb{R}$: Hyperreals (non-standard analysis). These include infinite and infinitesimal numbers. While usually constructed classically (using ultrafilters), there are constructive approaches (e.g., Palmgren’s constructive non-standard analysis) that result in a structure distinct from \mathbb{R}_{D} or \mathbb{R}_{C} . They are strict extensions of the ordinary reals [80, 44, 70].
26. Predicative Reals: In systems stricter than Agda (like those prohibiting impredicativity), Dedekind cuts must be restricted (e.g., to “generalized” or “weak” cuts) to avoid circular definitions. The document hints at this with lower/upper reals (items 9, 10), but specific predicative formalizations often stand alone [34, 21, 72].
27. **No**: Surreal Numbers (Conway’s construction). While the Surreals contain the Reals, the “Real subset” of the Surreals is a valid constructive definition of the reals. Inside **No** there is a canonical embedded copy of \mathbb{R} ; this embedding can be used as yet another definition of the real line [24, 38, 54, 46, 105].
28. Geometric Reals: Defined synthetically in Euclidean Geometry (e.g., Tarski’s axioms for geometry, or Hilbert’s axioms). Defined as “points on a line” rather than arithmetically. Constructively, relating “points on a line” to “Dedekind cuts” is a non-trivial project (requires the Cantor-Dedekind axiom) [88, 89, 14, 74, 101].
29. Computable Reals (Turing): Specifically defined as “Turing machines that output digits”. This is distinct from \mathbb{R}_{C} because \mathbb{R}_{C} allows *any* function, whereas Computable Reals restrict the functions to computable ones. In strongly normalizing type theories, every *definable* function is computable (meta-theoretically), so formalising computable reals inside such a system is natural. But this does not by itself make \mathbb{R}_{C} “the same” as the usual Cauchy reals object; you still have to choose a semantic setting (e.g. an effective topos) where every function in the space is interpreted computably [94, 1, 98, 37].
30. Decimal / Base-10 Cauchy Reals: Reals as equivalence classes of decimal expansions; classically standard, but constructively they are just another representation type akin to digit-based reals [87, 8, 102].

31. Apartness / Located Reals (Bishop Style): Reals as located, rounded lower cuts (or Cauchy sequences with an apartness relation). Bishop’s “Constructive Analysis” uses a specific flavor of Cauchy reals (regular sequences with a fixed modulus of convergence, usually $1/n$). While often isomorphic to standard Cauchy reals, in a strict intensional type theory, the specific choice of modulus makes the type definition distinct [15, 16, 21, 78].
32. Filter-based Completions: Reals as equivalence classes of Cauchy filters (or regular Cauchy filters) on \mathbb{Q} ; conceptually close to Dedekind/Cauchy but more topological [33].
33. Locale-of-Reals Variants: Several flavours exist internally: lower reals, upper reals, rounded reals, etc. Some topos texts distinguish a few different “real objects” as default [95, 36, 41, 68].

2. Equivalence Classes (Provable without Countable Choice)

Each class below consists of definitions that are often equivalent in constructive mathematics with AC_ω . In plain Cubical Agda, equivalences between classes (e.g., A and B) may fail.

A. Dedekind-Type Completions

\mathbb{R}_D , $\mathbb{R}_{\text{formal}}$, Sheaf-theoretic reals, and the real numbers object in a topos all present the Dedekind completion of \mathbb{Q} via localized/topos-theoretic perspectives [52, 41, 42, 68, 39].

B. Cauchy/HIT-Type Completions

\mathbb{R}_C , \mathbb{R}_{FC} , \mathbb{R}_I , \mathbb{R}_H , \mathbb{R}_{init} , \mathbb{R}_{ES} , and (axiomatically) $\mathbb{R}_{\text{CauComp}}$ represent the Cauchy completion, differing only in presentation (explicit modulus, higher inductive, universal property, or internal closure of \mathbb{Q} within \mathbb{R}_D) [91, 19, 18, 61].

C. Representation (Digit/Continued Fraction) Pre-Reals

\mathbb{R}_{CF} , \mathbb{R}_b , \mathbb{R}_{SD} , Decimal/Base-10 reals give concrete digit- or fraction-based streams. These are not literally “the reals” until quotiented; they are “presentations of \mathbb{R} ”. Raw types are not fields because of non-unique encodings, but their quotients by the appropriate equivalence relation coincide with Class B [98, 81, 100, 55].

D. Coalgebraic Subspaces

$[0, 1]_{\text{coalg}}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{\text{coalg}}^+$ describe the unit interval and positive reals as terminal coalgebras. Constructively they model subspaces of \mathbb{R}_D but do not deliver the entire field without additional principles [32, 4, 64, 82].

E. Generalized Cuts

\mathbb{R}_L , \mathbb{R}_U , and \mathbb{R}_M relax locatedness/density requirements. They contain \mathbb{R} as a canonical subobject (or as maximal elements) but are bigger structures and not isomorphic to \mathbb{R} as an ordered field [95, 53, 17, 36, 84].

F. Domain-Theoretic

\mathbb{R}_D sits in domain/locale theory. Its equivalence to Dedekind reals is not provable in plain Cubical Agda, so it remains a separate class. Note that in frameworks like Abstract Stone Duality or general Topos Theory, these often collapse into Class A (Dedekind-type) via duality

results, but internally to Agda without extra axioms, the distinction is maintained [2, 30, 97, 71, 11, 28, 90].

G. Axiomatic/Universal Characterizations

\mathbb{R}_{term} , $\mathbb{R}_{\text{DedComp}}$, and $\mathbb{R}_{\text{Tarski}}$ capture Dedekind-like structures via universal properties or axioms. These are abstract characterizations; one still needs to show they are realized by some concrete construction. They coincide with the usual reals only once classical principles (e.g., choice) are assumed [52, 42, 9].

H. Isolated/Unresolved

\mathbb{R}_{E} (Eudoxus reals) currently lacks a constructive proof of equivalence with either Dedekind or Cauchy completions. We therefore mark it as isolated [6, 83, 77, 35, 45].

3. Canonical Embeddings (No Countable Choice)

- Cauchy into Dedekind: there is a canonical field embedding $\mathbb{R}_{\text{C}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{D}}$ that sends each Cauchy real to the located cut defined by its values [16, 49, 50, 58].
- Cauchy/HIT equivalences: \mathbb{R}_{FC} , \mathbb{R}_{I} , \mathbb{R}_{H} , \mathbb{R}_{init} , \mathbb{R}_{ES} , and $\mathbb{R}_{\text{CauComp}}$ are inter-definable and embed into \mathbb{R}_{C} (hence into \mathbb{R}_{D}) [91, 19, 18, 32].
- Representation quotients: the quotient of \mathbb{R}_{CF} , \mathbb{R}_b , or \mathbb{R}_{SD} by the digit-equivalence relation embeds into \mathbb{R}_{C} . Without quotienting there is a surjection obstruction due to non-unique encodings [98, 81, 55, 100].
- Dedekind to generalized cuts: taking lower (resp. upper) shadows gives embeddings $\mathbb{R}_{\text{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{L}}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{\text{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{U}}$. Composing with double-negation closure embeds into \mathbb{R}_{M} [95, 67, 66, 53].
- Coalgebraic subspaces: maps $[0, 1]_{\text{coalg}} \rightarrow [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\text{D}}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{\text{coalg}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\text{D}}$ exist, but surjectivity constructs require additional principles [32, 4, 64].
- Axiomatic to Dedekind/Cauchy: the objects \mathbb{R}_{term} , $\mathbb{R}_{\text{DedComp}}$, and $\mathbb{R}_{\text{Tarski}}$ admit maps into \mathbb{R}_{D} matching their universal properties, yet converses rely on classical axioms and are not derivable constructively [52, 42, 9, 27].
- Domain to Dedekind: \mathbb{R}_{ID} maps to \mathbb{R}_{D} via evaluation at maximal elements, but equivalence is unproven constructively [2, 30, 11, 29].
- Eudoxus: natural maps from \mathbb{R}_{E} into either \mathbb{R}_{C} or \mathbb{R}_{D} require countable choice for surjectivity and therefore remain dashed (non-provable) in this setting [6, 77, 35, 45, 59].

References

- [1] O. Aberth. *Computable Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, 1980.
- [2] S. Abramsky and A. Jung. “Domain Theory”. In: *Handbook of Logic in Computer Science*. Vol. 3. Oxford University Press, 1994, pp. 1–168. URL: <http://www.cs.bham.ac.uk/~axj/>.
- [3] J.-R. Abrial and D. Cansell. *Constructing the Reals from the Integers*. EBRP Project Notes. 2021.

- [4] J. Adámek, S. Milius, and L. Moss. *Initial Algebras and Terminal Coalgebras*. Cambridge Tracts in Theoretical Computer Science. Cambridge University Press, 2025. DOI: 10.1017/9781108884112.
- [5] Agda Wiki. *Agda Wiki Portal*. 2024. URL: <https://wiki.portal.chalmers.se/agda/pmwiki.php>.
- [6] R. D. Arthan. “The Eudoxus Real Numbers”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:math/0405454* (2004). arXiv: math/0405454. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0405454>.
- [7] A. Avizienis. “Signed-Digit Number Representations for Fast Parallel Arithmetic”. In: *IRE Transactions on Electronic Computers* EC-10 (1961), pp. 389–400. DOI: 10.1109/TEC.1961.5219236.
- [8] R. G. Bartle and D. R. Sherbert. *Introduction to Real Analysis*. 4th. Wiley, 2011.
- [9] A. Bauer. *On Complete Ordered Fields*. 2019. URL: <https://math.andrej.com/2019/09/09/on-complete-ordered-fields/>.
- [10] A. Bauer. *The Real Numbers in Homotopy Type Theory*. CCA 2016 slides. 2016. URL: <https://math.andrej.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/hott-reals-cca2016.pdf>.
- [11] A. Bauer. *The Role of the Interval Domain in Modern Exact Real Arithmetic*. 2007. URL: <https://math.andrej.com/2007/09/18/the-role-of-the-interval-domain-in-modern-exact-real-arithmetic/>.
- [12] A. Bauer and A. Kavkler. “Implementing Exact Real Arithmetic in Type Theory”. In: *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science* 202 (2009), pp. 3–21. DOI: 10.1016/j.entcs.2008.03.002.
- [13] A. Bauer and P. Taylor. “The Dedekind Reals in Abstract Stone Duality”. In: *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science* 203 (2009), pp. 69–90. DOI: 10.1016/j.entcs.2008.05.018.
- [14] M. Beeson. “A Constructive Version of Tarski’s Geometry”. In: *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 166.11 (2015), pp. 1199–1273. DOI: 10.1016/j.apal.2015.07.006.
- [15] E. Bishop. *Foundations of Constructive Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, 1967. URL: https://openlibrary.org/books/OL5546656M/Foundations_of_constructive_analysis.
- [16] E. Bishop and D. Bridges. *Constructive Analysis*. Springer, 1985. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-61667-9.
- [17] I. Blechschmidt and M. Hutzler. *A Constructive Knaster–Tarski Proof of the Uncountability of the Reals*. 2019. arXiv: 1902.07366. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.07366>.
- [18] A. Booiij. *The HoTT Reals are the Cauchy Completion of the Rationals*. 2020. arXiv: 2004.04582. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.04582>.
- [19] A. Booiij. *The HoTT Reals Coincide with the Escardó–Simpson Reals*. 2017. arXiv: 1706.05956. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.05956>.
- [20] D. Bridges and F. Richman. *Varieties of Constructive Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 1987. DOI: 10.1017/CB09780511565663.
- [21] D. Bridges et al., eds. *Handbook of Constructive Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 2023.
- [22] Brilliant.org. *Continued Fractions*. 2024. URL: <https://brilliant.org/wiki/continued-fractions/>.
- [23] V. Chernov. *Constructive Real Numbers and Connectedness*. 2021. arXiv: 2108.11189. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.11189>.
- [24] J. H. Conway. *On Numbers and Games*. Academic Press, 1976.

- [25] Coq Development Team. *Constructive Reals Interface*. 2024. URL: <https://coq.inria.fr/stdlib/>.
- [26] R. Dedekind. *Stetigkeit und irrationale Zahlen*. 1872. URL: <https://gdz.sub.uni-goettingen.de/id/PPN23569441X>.
- [27] G. Devillanova. “The Fabulous Destiny of Richard Dedekind”. In: *Atti della Accademia Peloritana* 99 (2021). DOI: 10.1478/AAPP.99S1A17.
- [28] P. Di Gianantonio. “Real Number Computability and Domain Theory”. In: *Information and Computation* 127 (1996), pp. 11–25. DOI: 10.1006/inco.1996.0048.
- [29] A. Edalat. “A Domain-Theoretic Approach to Computability on the Real Line”. In: *Theoretical Computer Science* 210 (1999), pp. 73–98. DOI: 10.1016/S0304-3975(98)00097-8.
- [30] A. Edalat and R. Heckmann. “A Computational Model for Metric Spaces”. In: *Theoretical Computer Science* 193 (1998), pp. 53–73. DOI: 10.1016/S0304-3975(97)00050-9.
- [31] M. Escardó. “PCF Extended with Real Numbers”. In: *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science* 6 (1997), pp. 199–212. DOI: 10.1016/S1571-0661(05)80146-6.
- [32] M. Escardó and A. Simpson. “A Universal Characterization of the Closed Real Interval”. In: *Theoretical Computer Science* 287 (2002), pp. 513–546. DOI: 10.1016/S0304-3975(01)00178-5.
- [33] I. Farah and I. Weiss. “The Reals as Rational Cauchy Filters”. In: *The Journal of Symbolic Logic* (2015).
- [34] S. Feferman. “Predicative Foundations of Arithmetic”. In: *Essays on the Foundations of Mathematics*. 1962. URL: <https://math.stanford.edu/~feferman/papers.html>.
- [35] A. Fokma. “The Eudoxus Reals Constructed in Homotopy Type Theory”. MA thesis. TU Eindhoven, 2021. URL: <https://research.tue.nl/en/studentTheses/the-eudoxus-reals-constructed-in-homotopy-type-theory>.
- [36] M. Fourman and D. Grayson. “Formal Spaces”. In: *Studies in Logic*. Vol. 31. North-Holland, 1982, pp. 107–122. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0049237X0800160X>.
- [37] H. Geuvers and M. Niqui. “Constructive Analysis, Types and Exact Real Numbers”. In: *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science* 12 (2002), pp. 879–916.
- [38] H. Gonshor. *An Introduction to the Theory of Surreal Numbers*. Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [39] C. Grossack. *Life in Johnstone’s Topological Topos*. 2024. URL: <https://grossack.site/2024/07/03/life-in-johnstones-topological-topos.html>.
- [40] J. Hall. *Completeness of Ordered Fields*. Tech. rep. Cal Poly, 2010. URL: <https://digitalcommons.calpoly.edu/mathsp/3>.
- [41] P. T. Johnstone. “Metric Spaces in Topoi”. In: *Category Theory Conference*. Vol. 871. Springer LNM. 1981, pp. 247–269. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0090024.
- [42] P. T. Johnstone. *Sketches of an Elephant: A Topos Theory Compendium*. Oxford University Press, 2002. URL: <https://global.oup.com/academic/product/sketches-of-an-elephant-a-topos-theory-compendium-9780198534259>.
- [43] T. de Jong. “Domain Theory in Constructive and Predicative Univalent Foundations”. PhD thesis. 2023. arXiv: 2301.12405. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.12405>.
- [44] H. J. Keisler. *Elementary Calculus: An Infinitesimal Approach*. Prindle, Weber & Schmidt, 1976.

- [45] A. Keskin. *Eudoxus Reals*. Archive of Formal Proofs. 2025. URL: https://www.isa-afp.org/entries/Eudoxus_Reals.html.
- [46] D. E. Knuth. *Surreal Numbers*. Addison-Wesley, 1974.
- [47] A. Kock. *Synthetic Differential Geometry*. 2nd. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [48] R. Kostecki. *An Introduction to Synthetic Differential Geometry*. 2009. URL: <https://www.fuw.edu.pl/~kostecki/sdg.pdf>.
- [49] R. Lubarsky. “On the Cauchy Completeness of the Constructive Cauchy Reals”. In: *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science* 167 (2007), pp. 307–323. DOI: 10.1016/j.entcs.2006.05.018.
- [50] R. Lubarsky. “On the Cauchy Completeness of the Constructive Dedekind Reals”. In: *Mathematical Logic Quarterly* 53 (2007), pp. 396–414. DOI: 10.1002/malq.200710009.
- [51] R. Lubarsky and M. Rathjen. “On the Constructive Dedekind Reals”. In: *Logic Colloquium 2004*. Vol. 4514. LNCS. Springer, 2007, pp. 129–142. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-72734-7_9.
- [52] S. Mac Lane and I. Moerdijk. *Sheaves in Geometry and Logic*. Springer, 1992. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4612-0927-0.
- [53] H. M. MacNeille. “Partially Ordered Sets”. In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 42 (1937), pp. 416–460. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9947-1937-1501929-X.
- [54] L. E. Mamane. “Surreal Numbers in Coq”. In: *Types for Proofs and Programs*. Vol. 3839. LNCS. Springer, 2004, pp. 170–185. DOI: 10.1007/11617990_11.
- [55] Math StackExchange. *Constructive Representation of Real Numbers*. 2024. URL: <https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/5093384>.
- [56] MathOverflow. *Cauchy Real Numbers with and without Modulus*. 2024. URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/289900>.
- [57] MathOverflow. *Constructive Analysis and Synthetic Differential Geometry*. 2024. URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/286187>.
- [58] MathOverflow. *Difference Between Constructive Dedekind and Cauchy Reals in Computation*. 2024. URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/236483>.
- [59] MathOverflow. *Is Bauer–Hanson’s Result There is a Topos Where the Dedekind Reals are Countable...*. 2024. URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/453312>.
- [60] MathOverflow. *Locales in Constructive Mathematics*. 2024. URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/275548>.
- [61] Z. Murray. *Constructive Analysis in the Agda Proof Assistant*. 2022. arXiv: 2205.08354. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.08354>.
- [62] nLab. *Archimedean Ordered Field*. 2024. URL: <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/Archimedean+ordered+field>.
- [63] nLab. *Cauchy Real Number*. 2024. URL: <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/Cauchy+real+number>.
- [64] nLab. *Coalgebra of the Real Interval*. 2024. URL: <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/coalgebra+of+the+real+interval>.
- [65] nLab. *HoTT Book Real Number*. 2024. URL: <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/HoTT+book+real+number>.
- [66] nLab. *MacNeille Real Number*. 2024. URL: <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/MacNeille+real+number>.

- [67] nLab. *One-Sided Real Number*. 2024. URL: <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/one-sided+real+number>.
- [68] nLab. *Real Numbers Object*. 2024. URL: <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/real+numbers+object>.
- [69] nLab. *Smooth Topos*. 2024. URL: <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/smooth+topos>.
- [70] E. Palmgren. “Constructive Nonstandard Analysis”. In: *Mathematical Logic Quarterly* 44 (1998), pp. 71–103. DOI: 10.1002/malq.19980440105.
- [71] D. Pattinson and A. Mohammadian. “Constructive Domains with Classical Witnesses”. In: *Logical Methods in Computer Science* 17 (2021). DOI: 10.23638/LMCS-17(3:17)2021.
- [72] C. Paulin-Mohring. “Inductive Definitions in the System Coq - Rules and Properties”. In: *Typed Lambda Calculi and Applications*. Vol. 664. LNCS. Springer, 1993, pp. 328–345. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0037116.
- [73] D. Pavlović and D. Prange. “The Continuum as a Final Coalgebra”. In: *Theoretical Computer Science* 280 (2002), pp. 285–301. DOI: 10.1016/S0304-3975(01)00027-5.
- [74] D. Perout. “Tarski’s Axioms of Euclidean Geometry”. MA thesis. Charles University in Prague, 2013.
- [75] J. Picado and A. Pultr. *Frames and Locales*. Springer, 2012. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-0348-0154-6.
- [76] F. Pratali. “The Construction of Real Numbers in Homotopy Type Theory”. MA thesis. University of Pisa, 2016. URL: <https://etd.adm.unipi.it/theses/available/etd-06082016-113314/>.
- [77] PROMYS Research Lab. *The Eudoxus Reals*. 2023. arXiv: 2310.04534. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.04534>.
- [78] ResearchGate. *Mathematical Foundations of Real Numbers and its Application in Computation*. 2024. URL: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385359856>.
- [79] F. Richman. “Real Numbers in Constructive Mathematics”. In: *American Mathematical Monthly* 104 (1997), pp. 546–551. DOI: 10.1080/00029890.1997.11990683.
- [80] A. Robinson. *Non-standard Analysis*. North-Holland, 1966.
- [81] J. Rutten. *Coinduction for Exact Real Number Computation*. ResearchGate preprint. 2015. URL: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/277942366_Coinduction_for_exact_real_number_computation.
- [82] J. J. M. M. Rutten. “Universal Coalgebra: A Theory of Systems”. In: *Theoretical Computer Science* 249 (2000), pp. 3–80. DOI: 10.1016/S0304-3975(00)00056-6.
- [83] S. H. Schanuel. “The Eudoxus Real Numbers”. In: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 114 (1992), pp. 543–552. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9939-1992-1076586-6.
- [84] M. Shulman. *MacNeille Reals*. 2022. URL: <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/MacNeille+completion>.
- [85] L. N. Stout. “Topological Properties of the Real Numbers Object in a Topos”. In: *Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle Catégoriques* 17 (1976), pp. 295–326. URL: http://www.numdam.org/item/CTGDC_1976__17_3_295_0/.
- [86] R. Street. *The Most General Real Numbers*. 2003. arXiv: math/0309030. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0309030>.
- [87] T. Tao. *Analysis I*. Springer, 2016.
- [88] A. Tarski. 2nd. University of California Press, 1951. URL: <https://www.rand.org/pubs/reports/R109.html>.

- [89] A. Tarski. “What is Elementary Geometry?” In: *The Axiomatic Method*. North-Holland, 1959, pp. 16–29.
- [90] P. Taylor. *The Dedekind Reals in ASD*. Slides. 2005. URL: <https://paultaylor.eu/slides/05-CCA-Kyoto1.pdf>.
- [91] The Univalent Foundations Program. *Homotopy Type Theory: Univalent Foundations of Mathematics*. 2013. URL: <https://homotopytypetheory.org/book>.
- [92] L. Tomasi. *Fondamenti della Matematica: Sezioni di Dedekind*. University of Padova lecture notes. 2012.
- [93] A. S. Troelstra and D. van Dalen. *Constructivism in Mathematics*. Vol. I–II. North-Holland, 1988. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/bookseries/studies-in-logic-and-the-foundations-of-mathematics/vol/121>.
- [94] A. M. Turing. “On Computable Numbers, with an Application to the Entscheidungsproblem”. In: *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 42 (1937), pp. 230–265.
- [95] S. Vickers. *Topology via Logic*. Cambridge University Press, 1996. DOI: 10.1017/CB09780511569258.
- [96] J. Vuillemin. “Two Constructive Results in Continued Fractions”. In: *SIAM Journal on Computing* 5.2 (1976), pp. 231–248. DOI: 10.1137/0205019.
- [97] N. van der Weide and D. Frumin. “The Interval Domain in Homotopy Type Theory”. In: *TYPES 2023*. Vol. 14560. LNCS. Springer, 2024, pp. 381–399. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-031-58367-4_18.
- [98] K. Weihrauch. *Computable Analysis*. Springer, 2000. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-56999-9.
- [99] T. Wiesnet and T. Kopp. *Limits of Real Numbers in the Binary Signed Digit Representation*. 2021. arXiv: 2103.15702. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.15702>.
- [100] T. Wiesnet and T. Kopp. “Limits of Real Numbers in the Binary Signed Digit Representation”. In: *Logical Methods in Computer Science* 18 (2022). DOI: 10.46298/lmcs-18(3:26)2022.
- [101] Wikipedia. *Cantor–Dedekind Axiom*. 2024. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cantor%E2%80%93Dedekind_axiom.
- [102] Wikipedia. *Construction of the Real Numbers*. 2024. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Construction_of_the_real_numbers.
- [103] Wikipedia. *Continued Fraction*. 2024. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Continued_fraction.
- [104] Wikipedia. *Nested Intervals*. 2024. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nested_intervals.
- [105] Wikipedia. *Surreal Number*. 2024. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Surreal_number.
- [106] A. Zanardo. *La Struttura dei Numeri Reali: Costruzione e Proprietà*. Dept. of Mathematics, Univ. of Padova. 2012.