Real Number Definitions, Equivalence Classes, and Embeddings (No Countable Choice)

This document lists the first twenty-three constructive definitions of real numbers, partitions them into equivalence classes, and records the canonical embeddings. Note that while many equivalences hold in standard constructive mathematics (assuming Countable Choice, AC_ω), in plain Cubical Agda without AC_ω, the hierarchy is more fractured (e.g., Cauchy reals are not provably Dedekind reals). General surveys and formalization resources include [81, 94, 5].

1. Definitions (1–33)

1. $\mathbb{R}_D$: Dedekind reals (located cuts of $\mathbb{Q}$) [27, 16, 17, 21, 53, 93, 107, 62, 86, 70, 42, 28].

2. $\mathbb{R}_C$: Cauchy reals (modulated Cauchy sequences of rationals, quotiented) [16, 17, 51, 63, 60, 58, 65].

3. $\mathbb{R}_E$: Eudoxus reals (almost-homomorphisms $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$) [7, 84, 87, 79, 37, 47, 61].

4. $\mathbb{R}_{FC}$ / $\mathbb{R}_I$: fast Cauchy reals / interval reals (Cauchy sequences with explicit moduli or nested rational intervals) [24, 3, 99, 104].

5. $\mathbb{R}_{CF}$: continued fraction reals (streams of partial quotients) [23, 103, 97].

6. $\mathbb{R}_b$: coinductive base-b reals (digit streams, e.g., binary/decimal) [100].

7. $\mathbb{R}_{SD}$: signed-digit reals (streams over $\{-1, 0, 1\}$) [6, 34, 100, 105].

8. $\mathbb{R}_{ID}$: interval domain reals (maximal elements of the interval domain) [98, 73, 45, 11, 13, 31, 30, 29, 91, 32, 12].

9. $\mathbb{R}_L$: lower reals (rounded lower sets of $\mathbb{Q}$) [69, 18, 26].

10. $\mathbb{R}_U$: upper reals (rounded upper sets of $\mathbb{Q}$) [69, 18, 26].

11. $\mathbb{R}_M$: MacNeille reals (double-negation closed cuts) [55, 68, 38, 85].

12. $\mathbb{R}_H$: HIT/HoTT-book reals (higher inductive type with universal property) [92, 67, 20, 19, 10, 78].

13. $\mathbb{R}_{ES}$: Escardó–Simpson reals (least Cauchy-complete subobject of $\mathbb{R}_D$ containing $\mathbb{Q}$) [33, 19].

14. $\mathbb{R}_{formal}$: formal/locale reals (points of the locale of reals) [54, 43, 44, 77, 41, 70].

15. $\mathbb{R}_{init}$: initial sequentially modulated Cauchy-complete Archimedean ordered field [33, 19, 64].

16. $\mathbb{R}_{term}$: terminal Archimedean ordered field [33, 54, 64]. Items 34 and 35 are distinct definitions with different construction proofs, but they result in the same object if the category is well-behaved.

17. $\mathbb{R}_{DedComp}$: Dedekind-complete ordered field (axiomatic characterization) [54, 44, 9].

18. $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{CauComp}}$: Cauchy-complete ordered field (axiomatic characterization of the Cauchy completion) [17, 94].

19. $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{Tarski}}$: Archimedean Tarski group reals (characterization via Tarski's axioms) [89, 28].

20. $[0,1]_{\mathrm{coalg}}$: unit interval as a terminal coalgebra [33, 4, 66, 75].

21. $\mathbb{R}^+_{\mathrm{coalg}}$: positive reals as a terminal coalgebra [33, 4, 66].

22. Sheaf-theoretic reals: the internal real numbers object in a topos [54, 44, 86, 41, 70].

23. Real numbers object (RNO) in a topos [54, 44, 86, 41, 70]. Items 41 and 42 are essentially the same mathematical object described from two different points of view (internal language vs. category theory).

24. $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{SDG}}$: Smooth Reals (synthetic differential geometry). In Synthetic Differential Geometry, the reals are defined to include "nilpotent" infinitesimals (elements d where $d^2 = 0$ but $d \neq 0$). These are distinct from standard Dedekind/Cauchy reals because they violate the field axiom $x \neq 0 \implies x$ is invertible (nilpotents are not invertible). They are a distinct mathematical object internal to a smooth topos, not isomorphic to the usual Cauchy/Dedekind reals [49, 50, 59, 71].

25. $^*\mathbb{R}$: Hyperreals (non-standard analysis). These include infinite and infinitesimal numbers. While usually constructed classically (using ultrafilters), there are constructive approaches (e.g., Palmgren's constructive non-standard analysis) that result in a structure distinct from $\mathbb{R}_D$ or $\mathbb{R}_C$. They are strict extensions of the ordinary reals [82, 46, 72].

26. Predicative Reals: In systems stricter than Agda (like those prohibiting impredicativity), Dedekind cuts must be restricted (e.g., to "generalized" or "weak" cuts) to avoid circular definitions. The document hints at this with lower/upper reals (items 9, 10), but specific predicative formalizations often stand alone [36, 22, 74].

27. **No**: Surreal Numbers (Conway's construction). While the Surreals contain the Reals, the "Real subset" of the Surreals is a valid constructive definition of the reals. Inside **No** there is a canonical embedded copy of $\mathbb{R}$; this embedding can be used as yet another definition of the real line [25, 40, 56, 48, 106].

28. Geometric Reals: Defined synthetically in Euclidean Geometry (e.g., Tarski's axioms for geometry, or Hilbert's axioms). Defined as "points on a line" rather than arithmetically. Constructively, relating "points on a line" to "Dedekind cuts" is a non-trivial project (requires the Cantor-Dedekind axiom) [89, 90, 14, 76, 101].

29. Computable Reals (Turing): Specifically defined as "Turing machines that output digits". This is distinct from $\mathbb{R}_C$ because $\mathbb{R}_C$ allows *any* function, whereas Computable Reals restrict the functions to computable ones. In strongly normalizing type theories, every *definable* function is computable (meta-theoretically), so formalising computable reals inside such a system is natural. But this does not by itself make $\mathbb{R}_C$ "the same" as the usual Cauchy reals object; you still have to choose a semantic setting (e.g. an effective topos) where every function in the space is interpreted computably [95, 1, 99, 39].

30. Decimal / Base-10 Cauchy Reals: Reals as equivalence classes of decimal expansions; classically standard, but constructively they are just another representation type akin to digit-based reals [88, 8, 102].

31. Apartness / Located Reals (Bishop Style): Reals as located, rounded lower cuts (or Cauchy sequences with an apartness relation). Bishop's "Constructive Analysis" uses a specific flavor of Cauchy reals (regular sequences with a fixed modulus of convergence, usually $1/n$). While often isomorphic to standard Cauchy reals, in a strict intensional type theory, the specific choice of modulus makes the type definition distinct [16, 17, 22, 80].

32. Filter-based Completions: Reals as equivalence classes of Cauchy filters (or regular Cauchy filters) on $\mathbb{Q}$; conceptually close to Dedekind/Cauchy but more topological [35].

33. Locale-of-Reals Variants: Several flavours exist internally: lower reals, upper reals, rounded reals, etc. Some topos texts distinguish a few different "real objects" as default [96, 38, 43, 70].

2. Equivalence Classes (Provable without Countable Choice)

Each class below consists of definitions that are often equivalent in constructive mathematics with AC_ω. In plain Cubical Agda, equivalences between classes (e.g., A and B) may fail.

A. Dedekind-Type Completions

$\mathbb{R}_D$, $\mathbb{R}_{\text{formal}}$, Sheaf-theoretic reals, and the real numbers object in a topos all present the Dedekind completion of $\mathbb{Q}$ via localized/topos-theoretic perspectives [54, 43, 44, 70, 41].

B. Cauchy/HIT-Type Completions

$\mathbb{R}_C$, $\mathbb{R}_{FC}$, $\mathbb{R}_I$, $\mathbb{R}_H$, $\mathbb{R}_{\text{init}}$, $\mathbb{R}_{ES}$, and (axiomatically) $\mathbb{R}_{\text{CauComp}}$ represent the Cauchy completion, differing only in presentation (explicit modulus, higher inductive, universal property, or internal closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ within $\mathbb{R}_D$) [92, 20, 19, 63].

C. Representation (Digit/Continued Fraction) Pre-Reals

$\mathbb{R}_{CF}$, $\mathbb{R}_b$, $\mathbb{R}_{SD}$, Decimal/Base-10 reals give concrete digit- or fraction-based streams. These are not literally "the reals" until quotiented; they are "presentations of $\mathbb{R}$". Raw types are not fields because of non-unique encodings, but their quotients by the appropriate equivalence relation coincide with Class B [99, 100, 57, 15].

D. Coalgebraic Subspaces

$[0,1]_{\text{coalg}}$ and $\mathbb{R}^+_{\text{coalg}}$ describe the unit interval and positive reals as terminal coalgebras. Constructively they model subspaces of $\mathbb{R}_D$ but do not deliver the entire field without additional principles [33, 4, 66, 83].

E. Generalized Cuts

$\mathbb{R}_L$, $\mathbb{R}_U$, and $\mathbb{R}_M$ relax locatedness/density requirements. They contain $\mathbb{R}$ as a canonical subobject (or as maximal elements) but are bigger structures and not isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$ as an ordered field [96, 55, 18, 38, 85].

F. Domain-Theoretic

$\mathbb{R}_{ID}$ sits in domain/locale theory. Its equivalence to Dedekind reals is not provable in plain Cubical Agda, so it remains a separate class. Note that in frameworks like Abstract Stone Duality or general Topos Theory, these often collapse into Class A (Dedekind-type) via duality

results, but internally to Agda without extra axioms, the distinction is maintained [2, 31, 98, 73, 11, 29, 91].

G. Axiomatic/Universal Characterizations

$\mathbb{R}_{\text{term}}$, $\mathbb{R}_{\text{DedComp}}$, and $\mathbb{R}_{\text{Tarski}}$ capture Dedekind-like structures via universal properties or axioms. These are abstract characterizations; one still needs to show they are realized by some concrete construction. They coincide with the usual reals only once classical principles (e.g., choice) are assumed [54, 44, 9].

H. Isolated/Unresolved

$\mathbb{R}_{\text{E}}$ (Eudoxus reals) currently lacks a constructive proof of equivalence with either Dedekind or Cauchy completions. We therefore mark it as isolated [7, 84, 79, 37, 47].

3. Canonical Embeddings (No Countable Choice)

- Cauchy into Dedekind: there is a canonical field embedding $\mathbb{R}_{\text{C}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{D}}$ that sends each Cauchy real to the located cut defined by its values [17, 51, 52, 60].

- Cauchy/HIT equivalences: $\mathbb{R}_{\text{FC}}$, $\mathbb{R}_{\text{I}}$, $\mathbb{R}_{\text{H}}$, $\mathbb{R}_{\text{init}}$, $\mathbb{R}_{\text{ES}}$, and $\mathbb{R}_{\text{CauComp}}$ are inter-definable and embed into $\mathbb{R}_{\text{C}}$ (hence into $\mathbb{R}_{\text{D}}$) [92, 20, 19, 33].

- Representation quotients: the quotient of $\mathbb{R}_{\text{CF}}$, $\mathbb{R}_b$, or $\mathbb{R}_{\text{SD}}$ by the digit-equivalence relation embeds into $\mathbb{R}_{\text{C}}$. Without quotienting there is a surjection obstruction due to non-unique encodings [99, 15, 57, 100].

- Dedekind to generalized cuts: taking lower (resp. upper) shadows gives embeddings $\mathbb{R}_{\text{D}} \to \mathbb{R}_{\text{L}}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{\text{D}} \to \mathbb{R}_{\text{U}}$. Composing with double-negation closure embeds into $\mathbb{R}_{\text{M}}$ [96, 69, 68, 55].

- Coalgebraic subspaces: maps $[0,1]_{\text{coalg}} \to [0,1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\text{D}}$ and $\mathbb{R}^+_{\text{coalg}} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\text{D}}$ exist, but surjectivity constructs require additional principles [33, 4, 66].

- Axiomatic to Dedekind/Cauchy: the objects $\mathbb{R}_{\text{term}}$, $\mathbb{R}_{\text{DedComp}}$, and $\mathbb{R}_{\text{Tarski}}$ admit maps into $\mathbb{R}_{\text{D}}$ matching their universal properties, yet converses rely on classical axioms and are not derivable constructively [54, 44, 9, 28].

- Domain to Dedekind: $\mathbb{R}_{\text{ID}}$ maps to $\mathbb{R}_{\text{D}}$ via evaluation at maximal elements, but equivalence is unproven constructively [2, 31, 11, 30].

- Eudoxus: natural maps from $\mathbb{R}_{\text{E}}$ into either $\mathbb{R}_{\text{C}}$ or $\mathbb{R}_{\text{D}}$ require countable choice for surjectivity and therefore remain dashed (non-provable) in this setting [7, 79, 37, 47, 61].

References

[1] O. Aberth. *Computable Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, 1980. ISBN: 978-0070000797.

[2] S. Abramsky and A. Jung. "Domain Theory". In: *Handbook of Logic in Computer Science*. Vol. 3. Oxford University Press, 1994, pp. 1–168. URL: http://www.cs.bham.ac.uk/~axj/.

[3] J.-R. Abrial and D. Cansell. *Constructing the Reals from the Integers*. EBRP Project Notes. 2021.

[4] J. Adámek, S. Milius, and L. Moss. *Initial Algebras and Terminal Coalgebras*. Cambridge Tracts in Theoretical Computer Science. Cambridge University Press, 2025. DOI: `10.1017/9781108884112`.

[5] Agda Wiki. *Agda Wiki Portal*. 2024. URL: `https://wiki.portal.chalmers.se/agda/pmwiki.php`.

[6] Todd Waugh Ambridge. "Exact Real Search: Formalised Optimisation and Regression in Constructive Univalent Mathematics". Implements ternary signed-digit encodings in Agda with verified arithmetic operations. PhD thesis. University of Birmingham, 2024. DOI: `10.48550/arXiv.2401.09270`. arXiv: `2401.09270`. URL: `https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.09270`.

[7] R. D. Arthan. "The Eudoxus Real Numbers". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:math/0405454* (2004). arXiv: `math/0405454`. URL: `https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0405454`.

[8] R. G. Bartle and D. R. Sherbert. *Introduction to Real Analysis*. 4th. Wiley, 2011. ISBN: 978-0-471-43331-6.

[9] A. Bauer. *On Complete Ordered Fields*. 2019. URL: `https://math.andrej.com/2019/09/09/on-complete-ordered-fields/`.

[10] A. Bauer. *The Real Numbers in Homotopy Type Theory*. CCA 2016 slides. 2016. URL: `https://math.andrej.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/hott-reals-cca2016.pdf`.

[11] A. Bauer. *The Role of the Interval Domain in Modern Exact Real Arithmetic*. 2007. URL: `https://math.andrej.com/2007/09/18/the-role-of-the-interval-domain-in-modern-exact-real-airthmetic/`.

[12] A. Bauer and A. Kavkler. "Implementing Real Numbers With RZ". In: *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science* 202 (2008), pp. 365–384. DOI: `10.1016/j.entcs.2008.03.027`.

[13] A. Bauer and P. Taylor. "The Dedekind Reals in Abstract Stone Duality". In: *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science* 203 (2009), pp. 69–90. DOI: `10.1016/j.entcs.2008.05.018`.

[14] M. Beeson. "A Constructive Version of Tarski's Geometry". In: *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 166.11 (2015), pp. 1199–1273. DOI: `10.1016/j.apal.2015.07.006`.

[15] Ulrich Berger and Tie Hou. "Coinduction for Exact Real Number Computation". In: *Theory of Computing Systems* 43 (2008), pp. 38–51. DOI: `10.1007/s00224-007-9017-6`.

[16] E. Bishop. *Foundations of Constructive Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, 1967. URL: `https://openlibrary.org/books/OL5546656M/Foundations_of_constructive_analysis`.

[17] E. Bishop and D. Bridges. *Constructive Analysis*. Springer, 1985. DOI: `10.1007/978-3-642-61667-9`.

[18] I. Blechschmidt and M. Hutzler. *A Constructive Knaster–Tarski Proof of the Uncountability of the Reals*. 2019. arXiv: `1902.07366`. URL: `https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.07366`.

[19] A. Booij. *The HoTT Reals are the Cauchy Completion of the Rationals*. 2020. arXiv: `2004.04582`. URL: `https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.04582`.

[20] A. Booij. *The HoTT Reals Coincide with the Escardó–Simpson Reals*. 2017. arXiv: `1706.05956`. URL: `https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.05956`.

[21] D. Bridges and F. Richman. *Varieties of Constructive Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 1987. DOI: `10.1017/CBO9780511565663`.

[22] D. Bridges et al., eds. *Handbook of Constructive Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 2023. DOI: `10.1017/9781009039888`.

[23] Brilliant.org. *Continued Fractions*. 2024. URL: https://brilliant.org/wiki/continued-fractions/.

[24] V. Chernov. *Constructive Real Numbers and Connectedness*. 2021. arXiv: 2108.11189. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.11189.

[25] J. H. Conway. *On Numbers and Games*. Academic Press, 1976. ISBN: 978-0121863500.

[26] Coq Development Team. *Constructive Reals Interface*. 2024. URL: https://coq.inria.fr/stdlib/.

[27] R. Dedekind. *Stetigkeit und irrationale Zahlen*. 1872. URL: https://gdz.sub.uni-goettingen.de/id/PPN23569441X.

[28] G. Devillanova. "The Fabulous Destiny of Richard Dedekind". In: *Atti della Accademia Peloritana* 99 (2021). DOI: 10.1478/AAPP.99S1A17.

[29] P. Di Gianantonio. "Real Number Computability and Domain Theory". In: *Information and Computation* 127 (1996), pp. 11–25. DOI: 10.1006/inco.1996.0048.

[30] A. Edalat. "A Domain-Theoretic Approach to Computability on the Real Line". In: *Theoretical Computer Science* 210 (1999), pp. 73–98. DOI: 10.1016/S0304-3975(98)00097-8.

[31] A. Edalat and R. Heckmann. "A Computational Model for Metric Spaces". In: *Theoretical Computer Science* 193 (1998), pp. 53–73. DOI: 10.1016/S0304-3975(97)00050-9.

[32] M. Escardó. "PCF Extended with Real Numbers". In: *Theoretical Computer Science* 162 (1996), pp. 79–115. DOI: 10.1016/0304-3975(95)00250-2.

[33] M. Escardó and A. Simpson. "A Universal Characterization of the Closed Real Interval". In: *Theoretical Computer Science* 287 (2002), pp. 513–546. DOI: 10.1016/S0304-3975(01)00178-5.

[34] Martín H. Escardó and contributors. *TypeTopology: Various new theorems in constructive univalent mathematics written in Agda*. Includes signed-digit real encodings in TWA.Thesis.Chapter5.Sig 2024. URL: https://github.com/martinescardo/TypeTopology.

[35] I. Farah and I. Weiss. "The Reals as Rational Cauchy Filters". In: *The Journal of Symbolic Logic* (2015). DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.1503.04348. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.04348.

[36] S. Feferman. "Predicative Foundations of Arithmetic". In: *Essays on the Foundations of Mathematics*. 1962. URL: https://math.stanford.edu/~feferman/papers.html.

[37] A. Fokma. "The Eudoxus Reals Constructed in Homotopy Type Theory". MA thesis. TU Eindhoven, 2021. URL: https://research.tue.nl/en/studentTheses/the-eudoxus-reals-constructed-in-homotopy-type-theory.

[38] M. Fourman and D. Grayson. "Formal Spaces". In: *Studies in Logic*. Vol. 31. North-Holland, 1982, pp. 107–122. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0049237X0800160X.

[39] H. Geuvers and M. Niqui. "Constructive Analysis, Types and Exact Real Numbers". In: *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science* 17 (2007), pp. 879–916. DOI: 10.1017/S0960129506005834.

[40] H. Gonshor. *An Introduction to the Theory of Surreal Numbers*. Cambridge University Press, 1986. DOI: 10.1017/CBO9780511629143.

[41] C. Grossack. *Life in Johnstone's Topological Topos*. 2024. URL: https://grossack.site/2024/07/03/life-in-johnstones-topological-topos.html.

[42] J. Hall. *Completeness of Ordered Fields*. Tech. rep. Cal Poly, 2010. URL: https://digitalcommons.calpoly.edu/mathsp/3.

[43] P. T. Johnstone. "Metric Spaces in Topoi". In: *Category Theory Conference*. Vol. 871. Springer LNM. 1981, pp. 247–269. DOI: `10.1007/BFb0090024`.

[44] P. T. Johnstone. *Sketches of an Elephant: A Topos Theory Compendium*. Oxford University Press, 2002. URL: `https://global.oup.com/academic/product/sketches-of-an-elephant-a-topos-theory-compendium-9780198534259`.

[45] T. de Jong. "Domain Theory in Constructive and Predicative Univalent Foundations". PhD thesis. 2023. arXiv: `2301.12405`. URL: `https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.12405`.

[46] H. J. Keisler. *Elementary Calculus: An Infinitesimal Approach*. Prindle, Weber & Schmidt, 1976. URL: `https://www.math.wisc.edu/~keisler/calc.html`.

[47] A. Keskin. *Eudoxus Reals*. Archive of Formal Proofs. 2025. URL: `https://www.isa-afp.org/entries/Eudoxus_Reals.html`.

[48] D. E. Knuth. *Surreal Numbers*. Addison-Wesley, 1974. ISBN: 978-0201038125.

[49] A. Kock. *Synthetic Differential Geometry*. 2nd. Cambridge University Press, 2006. DOI: `10.1017/CBO9780511550812`.

[50] R. Kostecki. *An Introduction to Synthetic Differential Geometry*. 2009. URL: `https://www.fuw.edu.pl/~kostecki/sdg.pdf`.

[51] R. Lubarsky. "On the Cauchy Completeness of the Constructive Cauchy Reals". In: *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science* 167 (2007), pp. 307–323. DOI: `10.1016/j.entcs.2006.05.018`.

[52] R. Lubarsky. "On the Cauchy Completeness of the Constructive Dedekind Reals". In: *Mathematical Logic Quarterly* 53 (2007), pp. 396–414. DOI: `10.1002/malq.200710009`.

[53] R. Lubarsky and M. Rathjen. "On the Constructive Dedekind Reals". In: *Logic Colloquium 2004*. Vol. 4514. LNCS. Springer, 2007, pp. 129–142. DOI: `10.1007/978-3-540-72734-7_9`.

[54] S. Mac Lane and I. Moerdijk. *Sheaves in Geometry and Logic*. Springer, 1992. DOI: `10.1007/978-1-4612-0927-0`.

[55] H. M. MacNeille. "Partially Ordered Sets". In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 42 (1937), pp. 416–460. DOI: `10.1090/S0002-9947-1937-1501929-X`.

[56] L. E. Mamane. "Surreal Numbers in Coq". In: *Types for Proofs and Programs*. Vol. 3839. LNCS. Springer, 2004, pp. 170–185. DOI: `10.1007/11617990_11`.

[57] Math StackExchange. *Constructive Representation of Real Numbers*. 2024. URL: `https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/5093384`.

[58] MathOverflow. *Cauchy Real Numbers with and without Modulus*. 2024. URL: `https://mathoverflow.net/questions/289900`.

[59] MathOverflow. *Constructive Analysis and Synthetic Differential Geometry*. 2024. URL: `https://mathoverflow.net/questions/286187`.

[60] MathOverflow. *Difference Between Constructive Dedekind and Cauchy Reals in Computation*. 2024. URL: `https://mathoverflow.net/questions/236483`.

[61] MathOverflow. *Is Bauer–Hanson's Result There is a Topos Where the Dedekind Reals are Countable…* 2024. URL: `https://mathoverflow.net/questions/453312`.

[62] MathOverflow. *Locales in Constructive Mathematics*. 2024. URL: `https://mathoverflow.net/questions/275548`.

[63] Z. Murray. *Constructive Analysis in the Agda Proof Assistant*. 2022. arXiv: `2205.08354`. URL: `https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.08354`.

[64] nLab. *Archimedean Ordered Field*. 2024. URL: `https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/Archimedean+ordered+field`.

[65] nLab. *Cauchy Real Number*. 2024. URL: `https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/Cauchy+real+number`.

[66] nLab. *Coalgebra of the Real Interval*. 2024. URL: `https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/coalgebra+of+the+real+interval`.

[67] nLab. *HoTT Book Real Number*. 2024. URL: `https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/HoTT+book+real+number`.

[68] nLab. *MacNeille Real Number*. 2024. URL: `https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/MacNeille+real+number`.

[69] nLab. *One-Sided Real Number*. 2024. URL: `https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/one-sided+real+number`.

[70] nLab. *Real Numbers Object*. 2024. URL: `https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/real+numbers+object`.

[71] nLab. *Smooth Topos*. 2024. URL: `https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/smooth+topos`.

[72] E. Palmgren. "Constructive Nonstandard Analysis". In: *Mathematical Logic Quarterly* 44 (1998), pp. 71–103. DOI: `10.1002/malq.19980440105`.

[73] D. Pattinson and A. Mohammadian. "Constructive Domains with Classical Witnesses". In: *Logical Methods in Computer Science* 17 (2021). DOI: `10.23638/LMCS-17(3:17)2021`.

[74] C. Paulin-Mohring. "Inductive Definitions in the System Coq - Rules and Properties". In: *Typed Lambda Calculi and Applications*. Vol. 664. LNCS. Springer, 1993, pp. 328–345. DOI: `10.1007/BFb0037116`.

[75] D. Pavlović and D. Prange. "The Continuum as a Final Coalgebra". In: *Theoretical Computer Science* 280 (2002), pp. 285–301. DOI: `10.1016/S0304-3975(01)00027-5`.

[76] D. Perout. "Tarski's Axioms of Euclidean Geometry". MA thesis. Charles University in Prague, 2013. URL: `http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.11956/57343`.

[77] J. Picado and A. Pultr. *Frames and Locales*. Springer, 2012. DOI: `10.1007/978-3-0348-0154-6`.

[78] F. Pratali. "The Construction of Real Numbers in Homotopy Type Theory". MA thesis. University of Pisa, 2016. URL: `https://etd.adm.unipi.it/theses/available/etd-06082016-113314/`.

[79] PROMYS Research Lab. *The Eudoxus Reals*. 2023. arXiv: `2310.04534`. URL: `https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.04534`.

[80] ResearchGate. *Mathematical Foundations of Real Numbers and its Application in Computation*. 2024. URL: `https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385359856`.

[81] F. Richman. "Real Numbers in Constructive Mathematics". In: *American Mathematical Monthly* 104 (1997), pp. 546–551. DOI: `10.1080/00029890.1997.11990683`.

[82] A. Robinson. *Non-standard Analysis*. North-Holland, 1966. ISBN: `978-0691044903`.

[83] J. J. M. M. Rutten. "Universal Coalgebra: A Theory of Systems". In: *Theoretical Computer Science* 249 (2000), pp. 3–80. DOI: `10.1016/S0304-3975(00)00056-6`.

[84] S. H. Schanuel. "The Eudoxus Real Numbers". In: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 114 (1992), pp. 543–552. DOI: `10.1090/S0002-9939-1992-1076586-6`.

[85] M. Shulman. *MacNeille Reals*. 2022. URL: `https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/MacNeille+completion`.

[86]   L. N. Stout. "Topological Properties of the Real Numbers Object in a Topos". In: *Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielles Catégoriques* 17 (1976), pp. 295–326. URL: http://www.numdam.org/item/CTGDC_1976__17_3_295_0/.

[87]   R. Street. *The Most General Real Numbers*. 2003. arXiv: math/0309030. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0309030.

[88]   T. Tao. *Analysis I*. Springer, 2016. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-10-1789-6.

[89]   A. Tarski. 2nd. University of California Press, 1951. URL: https://www.rand.org/pubs/reports/R109.html.

[90]   A. Tarski. "What is Elementary Geometry?" In: *The Axiomatic Method*. North-Holland, 1959, pp. 16–29. DOI: 10.1016/S0049-237X(08)71527-4.

[91]   P. Taylor. *The Dedekind Reals in ASD*. Slides. 2005. URL: https://paultaylor.eu/slides/05-CCA-Kyoto1.pdf.

[92]   The Univalent Foundations Program. *Homotopy Type Theory: Univalent Foundations of Mathematics*. 2013. URL: https://homotopytypetheory.org/book.

[93]   L. Tomasi. *Fondamenti della Matematica: Sezioni di Dedekind*. University of Padova lecture notes. 2012.

[94]   A. S. Troelstra and D. van Dalen. *Constructivism in Mathematics*. Vol. I–II. North-Holland, 1988. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/bookseries/studies-in-logic-and-the-foundations-of-mathematics/vol/121.

[95]   A. M. Turing. "On Computable Numbers, with an Application to the Entscheidungsproblem". In: *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 42 (1937), pp. 230–265. DOI: 10.1112/plms/s2-42.1.230.

[96]   S. Vickers. *Topology via Logic*. Cambridge University Press, 1996. DOI: 10.1017/CBO9780511569258.

[97]   J. Vuillemin. "Two Constructive Results in Continued Fractions". In: *SIAM Journal on Computing* 5.2 (1976), pp. 231–248. DOI: 10.1137/0205019.

[98]   N. van der Weide and D. Frumin. "The Interval Domain in Homotopy Type Theory". In: *TYPES 2023*. Vol. 14560. LNCS. Springer, 2024, pp. 381–399. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-031-58367-4_18.

[99]   K. Weihrauch. *Computable Analysis*. Springer, 2000. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-56999-9.

[100]   T. Wiesnet and T. Kopp. "Limits of Real Numbers in the Binary Signed Digit Representation". In: *Logical Methods in Computer Science* 18 (2022). DOI: 10.46298/lmcs-18(3:24)2022.

[101]   Wikipedia. *Cantor–Dedekind Axiom*. 2024. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cantor%E2%80%93Dedekind_axiom.

[102]   Wikipedia. *Construction of the Real Numbers*. 2024. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Construction_of_the_real_numbers.

[103]   Wikipedia. *Continued Fraction*. 2024. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Continued_fraction.

[104]   Wikipedia. *Nested Intervals*. 2024. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nested_intervals.

[105]   Wikipedia. *Signed-digit representation*. 2024. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Signed-digit_representation.

[106]   Wikipedia. *Surreal Number*. 2024. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Surreal_number.

[107] A. Zanardo. *La Struttura dei Numeri Reali: Costruzione e Proprietá*. Dept. of Mathematics, Univ. of Padova. 2012.