

# APPM 5360, Spring 2023 - Written Homework 9

Eappen Nelluvelil; Collaborators: Jack, Logan

April 7, 2023

1. Introduce a slack variable  $z$  such that  $Ax + z = b$ , and Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda$  (for the  $\|x\|_1 \leq \tau$  constraint) and  $\nu$  (for the  $Ax + z = b$  constraint), and write down the Lagrangian and dual function for  $\text{LS}_\tau$  (really, for the modified version of  $\text{LS}_\tau$  that has the slack variables). Alternatively, use Fenchel-Rockafellar duality (in which case, you do not need to introduce  $z$ ). Either way, the dual function should be found explicitly – it should be something that you could give to CVX/CVXPY to solve.

After introducing the slack variable  $z$ , we have the following equivalent problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, z \in \mathbb{R}^m} \quad & \|z\|_2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax - b = -z \\ & \|x\|_1 \leq \tau. \end{aligned}$$

The Lagrangian of this problem is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(x, z, \lambda, \nu) = \|z\|_2 + \lambda (\|x\|_1 - \tau) + \nu^T (Ax + z - b).$$

The Lagrangian dual is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda, \nu) &= \inf_{x, z} \mathcal{L}(x, z, \lambda, \nu) \\ &= \inf_{x, z} \|z\|_2 + \lambda (\|x\|_1 - \tau) + \nu^T (Ax + z - b) \\ &= \inf_x (\lambda \|x\|_1 + \nu^T (Ax)) + \inf_z (\|z\|_2 + \nu^T z) - \lambda \tau - \nu^T b \\ &= -\sup_x -(\lambda \|x\|_1 + \langle A^T \nu, x \rangle) - \sup_z -(\|z\|_2 + \langle \nu, z \rangle) - \lambda \tau - \nu^T b, \end{aligned}$$

where we take the infimum separately over  $x$  and  $z$  since they are independent primal variables. Furthermore, we convert the infimums to supremums and find the supremums separately.

$$(a) \quad -\sup_x -(\lambda \|x\|_1 + \langle A^T \nu, x \rangle)$$

Note that for the function  $f(x) = \lambda \|x\|_1$ , the conjugate function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(y) &= \sup_x (\langle y, x \rangle - \lambda \|x\|_1) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \|y\|_\infty \leq \lambda, \\ \infty & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$-\sup_x -(\lambda \|x\|_1 + \langle A^T \nu, x \rangle) = -f^*(-A^T \nu),$$

and the supremum of 0 is obtained when  $\|A^T \nu\|_\infty \leq \lambda$ .

(b)  $-\sup_z -(\|z\|_2 + \langle \nu, z \rangle)$

Note that for the function  $f(z) = \|z\|_2$ , the conjugate function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(y) &= \sup_z (\langle y, z \rangle - \|z\|_2) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \|y\|_2 \leq 1, \\ \infty & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$-\sup_z -(\|z\|_2 + \langle \nu, z \rangle) = -f^*(-\nu),$$

and the supremum of 0 is obtained when  $\|\nu\|_2 \leq 1$ .

Thus, the dual problem is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\lambda, \nu} \quad & -\lambda\tau - \nu^T b \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \|A^T \nu\|_\infty \leq \lambda, \\ & \|\nu\|_2 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

2. If  $\tau > 0$ , can we guarantee strong duality for  $(\text{LS}_\tau)$ ?

Yes. Our primal problem is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathcal{D}} \quad & f_0(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & f_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ & Ax = b, \end{aligned}$$

with  $f_0, \dots, f_m$  convex. For this type of problem, to guarantee strong duality of the primal problem, the only condition that needs to be satisfied is Slater's condition: There exists an  $x \in \text{relint}(\mathcal{D})$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(x) &< 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ Ax &= b. \end{aligned}$$

Slater's theorem states that strong duality holds if Slater's condition holds and the primal problem is convex.

Concretely, our primal problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad & \|\Psi D^T x - b\|_2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \|x\|_1 - \tau \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The objective function is convex, and the inequality constraint is also convex. Thus,  $(\text{LS}_\tau)$  is convex, and the point  $x = 0$  is strictly feasible as  $\tau > 0$ . Thus, Slater's condition is satisfied, and we have strong duality.

3. Let  $x_\tau$  be the solution to  $(\text{LS}_\tau)$ , and let

$$\sigma(\tau) = \|Ax_\tau - b\|_2$$

be the corresponding value of the objective. Suppose  $\lambda_\tau$  and  $\nu_\tau$  are dual optimal variables. What is  $\sigma'$  (that is,  $\frac{d\sigma}{d\tau}$ )?

**Hint:** see section 5.6 in Boyd and Vandenberghe.

We assume that  $\tau > 0$  per problem 2 to ensure that we have strong duality. We assume also that we have the optimal dual variables  $\lambda_\tau$  and  $\nu_\tau$ . Per section 5.6 in Boyd and Vandenberghe, we have that  $p^* = p^*(0, 0) = g(\lambda_\tau, \nu_\tau)$ , where  $g(\lambda_\tau, \nu_\tau) = -\lambda_\tau \tau - \nu_\tau^T b$ . We then have that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(\tau) &= \|Ax_\tau - b\|_2 \\ &= -\lambda_\tau \tau - \nu_\tau^T b.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the derivative of  $\sigma$  with respect to  $\tau$ , we have that  $\frac{d\sigma}{d\tau} = -\lambda_\tau$ .

4. (a) What are the KKT conditions for  $(LS_\tau)$ ? Simplify them as much as possible.

The KKT conditions for  $(LS_\tau)$  at the stationary point  $(x_\tau, z_\tau, \lambda_\tau, \nu_\tau)$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_\tau\|_1 - \tau &\leq 0, \\ Ax_\tau + z_\tau - b &= 0, \\ \lambda_\tau &\geq 0, \\ \lambda_\tau (\|x_\tau\|_1 - \tau) &= 0, \\ \nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x_\tau, z_\tau, \lambda_\tau, \nu_\tau) &= 0, \\ \nabla_z \mathcal{L}(x_\tau, z_\tau, \lambda_\tau, \nu_\tau) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

The last two conditions are the stationary conditions. The first stationary condition simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x_\tau, z_\tau, \lambda_\tau, \nu_\tau) = 0 &\implies 0 \in \lambda_\tau \partial(\|x_\tau\|_1) + \partial(\langle A^T \nu_\tau, x_\tau \rangle) \\ &\implies 0 \in \lambda_\tau \partial(\|x_\tau\|_1) + A^T \nu_\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Here, the  $\partial$  refers to the sub-differential operator since the one-norm is not differentiable, but is sub-differentiable.

The second stationary condition simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_z \mathcal{L}(x_\tau, z_\tau, \lambda_\tau, \nu_\tau) = 0 &\implies 0 = \frac{z_\tau}{\|z_\tau\|_2} + \nu_\tau \\ &\implies \nu_\tau = -\frac{z_\tau}{\|z_\tau\|_2}.\end{aligned}$$

After simplifying the above KKT conditions, we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_\tau\|_1 &\leq \tau, \\ z_\tau &= b - Ax_\tau, \\ \lambda_\tau &\geq 0, \\ \lambda_\tau (\|x_\tau\|_1 - \tau) &= 0, \\ \lambda_\tau \partial(\|x_\tau\|_1) + A^T \nu_\tau &\ni 0, \\ \nu_\tau &= -\frac{z_\tau}{\|z_\tau\|_2}.\end{aligned}$$

- (b) Supposing we are given the primal solutions  $x_\tau$  such that  $f_0(x_\tau) > 0$ , then use the stationary KKT condition to find the optimal Lagrange multiplier  $\nu$ . Then use this to find the optimal Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda$ .

From question 4a, we have solved for the optimal  $\nu_\tau$  in terms of  $z_\tau$ . By strong duality being available due to Slater's condition being satisfied, we have that  $\lambda_\tau$  is necessarily positive. We then use the first stationary condition to solve for  $\lambda_\tau$ , i.e.,

$$0 \in \lambda_\tau (\|x_\tau\|_1) + A^T \nu_\tau \implies -\frac{A^T \nu_\tau}{\lambda_\tau} \in \partial(\|x_\tau\|_1).$$

The subdifferential of  $\|x_\tau\|_1$  is the set  $[-1, 1]$ , which implies that

$$\left| \left( \frac{A^T \nu_\tau}{\lambda_\tau} \right)_i \right| \leq 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \text{length}(A^T \nu_\tau).$$

Since we have strong duality, the above inequality must be met for at least one index  $i$ , which occurs if  $\lambda_\tau = \|A^T \nu_\tau\|_\infty$ .